16-0331-TP-CSS Case Number

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio Attn: Docketing 180 E. Broad St. Columbus, OH 43215

Formal Complaint Form

Elizabeth Branson Customer Name (Please Print)	6530 Big Plain Circlevelle Customer Address London OH 431 City State Zip	Ra
Against	City State Zip 740-845-025-8670-8 Account Number	
A++T/FCC	Customer Service Address (if different from above)	
Utility Company Name	City State Zip	
Please describe your complaint. (Attach additional sh		_
	PUC PHIZOSignature January	VENT ASOCK 1910

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business.

Technician Date Processed FEB 1 2 2016

Customer Telephone Number

To Whom &T May Concern,

d've been a customer af AT+T for many I am belong this formal complaint with AT+T/FCC regarding "Calling area C." This issue has been a continual concern for over 2 years. I have been tald by A+++ on numerous occasions that "area "C" was not supposed to be on my bill. I have been issued refunds on my bill many times because of this problem. I've been tall the review board indicated I was not supposed to have " area C" on my bill and that it would be taken off to no avail. This has happened for at least a year. After an informal complaint with FCC and PCIC, & received a letter on 11/10/10 explaining about this Ohio Tariff #20, Part 4 Lection 2. This letter also stated - "Calley" area C" is for calls over 23 miles. I have enclosed this letter. I was tall it doesn't mean what it says Having binally received itemized numbers used for "area C" on the Oct 29-Nov. 28, 2015, bil, I realized my charges were mostly for 2 neighbors that live 1-3 miles from my home. The one of which I talked to the longest and every day, has "area C" on her bill but even when she called me, she was only charged a few cents a month. So, we decided to have her call me each time instead

Page 2 - cont. of me calling her and when she got her bill, "area C" was not even listed. Mine was stell on my bill and I was charged #1,73. There is something wrong with this system. this system. I am convinced this "area C / Tariff" is unjust, perhaps violated the law and that I am being discremenated against for an unknown Teason. I'm asking that the unfair charge be removed from my bill at once. d've been given the run around for over 2 years by AT+t personnel who handled my concerns. They promised, more times than I can count to remove the " area C" and the charges. Perhaps this was because of ignorance of the system on their part but it has caused me loss of time, energy and much brustration throughout this and much frustration throughout this whole process. d'm hopeful this problem will be resolved very soon and d can use my AT+T phone service without conflict. Lincoly, Elizabeth Branson

- , . . .

.....



FCC Appeals Bureau AT&T One AT&T Way Suite 412 Bedminster, NJ 07921-0752 Fax: 908-532-1334

Response to Notice of Informal Complaint (NOIC)

Date: 11/10/2015

Federal Communications Commission

Consumer & Governmental Affairs Bureau

Consumer Inquiries and Complaints Division

445 12th Street

Washington, D.C. 20554

Complainant's Name: Elizabeth Branson

File No.: 645327

Response Type: Billing

Service Date: 12/9/2015

INVESTIGATION SUMMARY:

AT&T received a notice from the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) on behalf of Elizabeth Branson regarding billing concerns. AT&T records confirm Ms. Branson was authorized on the account information provided.

AT&T has previously addressed Ms. Branson's concerns per a prior appeal.

AT&T investigated and found that Ms. Branson is being billed for Local Calling Plus, Calling Area C calls. This provides Ms. Branson an extended calling area for local calls billed at a per minute rate of \$.0406 for the initial minute and \$.0104 for each additional minute Monday through Friday and \$.0203 for the initial minute and \$.0052 for each additional minute on Saturdays, Sundays and Holidays. Calling Area C is for calls over 23 miles. The rates and charges are part of the Ohio Tariff, #20, Part 4, Section 2, sheet 6. Customers do not subscribe to these rates; they are mandated rates.

AT&T spoke with Ms. Branson on 11/06/2015. Ms. Branson questioned the legalities of billing for these calls. AT&T discussed the investigation findings.

On 11/09/2015, AT&T left a detailed explaining that calls on Ms. Branson's previous bills cannot be viewed; however, an order has been issued to view calls on the upcoming statement.

On 11/10/2015, AT&T spoke with Ms. Branson to acknowledge receipt of her FCC complaint. AT&T explained the previous resolution and investigation findings. A courtesy credit has been applied to Ms. Branson's October 2015 billing statement. AT&T explained that going forward adjustments would not be issued for the calls as they are correctly billed.

Ms. Branson's issues have been addressed and AT&T provided contact information should she have any further questions or concerns.

Sincerely,

Brad Smith

Date Printed: 11/10/2015

Page: 1 of 2