

FILE

14-1297-EL-SSO

Hunter, Donielle

From: Johnson, Thomas  
Sent: Friday, January 08, 2016 1:03 PM  
To: Puco Docketing  
Subject: FW: Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout

130

From: Environmental Defense Fund On Behalf Of Rebecca Castle  
Sent: Friday, January 8, 2016 1:02:53 PM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)  
To: Johnson, Thomas  
Subject: Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout

Jan 8, 2016

Commissioner Thomas Johnson

Dear Commissioner Johnson,

I'm writing today to ask you to say no to FirstEnergy's request for the PUCO to authorize substantial, customer-funded subsidies to bail out its uneconomic power plants.

With the new settlement, FirstEnergy's proposal went from bad to worse. Please safeguard market competition and reject FirstEnergy's proposal, so that a utility can no longer cross-subsidize or favor its affiliate companies in order to block competition. Approving the deal would send a clear message to Ohio's businesses, entrepreneurs, investors, and residents: We favor reigning power players and political clout over innovation and competition. Utility profits should not be placed ahead of Ohioans' best interests, and especially not at their personal expense.

If you believe Ohio should have electricity that is clean, reliable, and affordable, please reject FirstEnergy's request.

Thank you,

Mrs. Rebecca Castle  
1404 W High St  
Springfield, OH 45506-1218  
(937) 450-7555  
[auntbek007@yahoo.com](mailto:auntbek007@yahoo.com)

PUCO

2016 JAN -8 PM 1:32

RECEIVED-DOCKETING DIV

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business.  
Technician SM Date Processed JAN 08 2016

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Johnson, Thomas  
**Sent:** Friday, January 08, 2016 1:02 PM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout

---

From: Environmental Defense Fund On Behalf Of Niko Kurkcu  
Sent: Friday, January 8, 2016 1:02:08 PM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)  
To: Johnson, Thomas  
Subject: Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout

Jan 8, 2016

Commissioner Thomas Johnson

Dear Commissioner Johnson,

I'm writing today to ask you to say no to FirstEnergy's request for the PUCO to authorize substantial, customer-funded subsidies to bail out its uneconomic power plants.

Unless the State gains a controlling interest in, provides dividends to the taxpayers, and fires the board of First Energy as well as the top tier management. Coal is a dying industry and should be put to rest. There is zero future in coal. Bailing this company out to the tune of 4 billion dollars is like providing a band-aid to a hemophiliac.

With the new settlement, FirstEnergy's proposal went from bad to worse. Please safeguard market competition and reject FirstEnergy's proposal, so that a utility can no longer cross-subsidize or favor its affiliate companies in order to block competition. Approving the deal would send a clear message to Ohio's businesses, entrepreneurs, investors, and residents: We favor reigning power players and political clout over innovation and competition. Utility profits should not be placed ahead of Ohioans' best interests, and especially not at their personal expense.

If you believe Ohio should have electricity that is clean, reliable, and affordable, please reject FirstEnergy's request.

Thank you,

Mr. Niko Kurkcu  
727 E Cecil St  
Springfield, OH 45503-3817  
[niko.kurkcu@gmail.com](mailto:niko.kurkcu@gmail.com)

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Johnson, Thomas  
**Sent:** Friday, January 08, 2016 1:02 PM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout

---

From: Environmental Defense Fund On Behalf Of J. F.  
Sent: Friday, January 8, 2016 1:01:57 PM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)  
To: Johnson, Thomas  
Subject: Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout

Jan 8, 2016

Commissioner Thomas Johnson

Dear Commissioner Johnson,

I'm writing today to ask you to say no to FirstEnergy's request for the PUCO to authorize substantial, customer-funded subsidies to bail out its uneconomic power plants.

With the new settlement, FirstEnergy's proposal went from bad to worse.

Please safeguard market competition and reject FirstEnergy's proposal, so that a utility can no longer cross-subsidize or favor its affiliate companies in order to block competition. Approving the deal would send a clear message to Ohio's businesses, entrepreneurs, investors, and

residents: We favor reigning power players and political clout over innovation and competition. Utility profits should not be placed ahead of Ohioans' best interests, and especially not at their personal expense.

If you believe Ohio should have electricity that is clean, reliable, and affordable, please reject FirstEnergy's request.

Thank you,

Mr. J. F.

C

H, OH 44839

[nategeologist@yahoo.com](mailto:nategeologist@yahoo.com)

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Johnson, Thomas  
**Sent:** Friday, January 08, 2016 1:01 PM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout

---

**From:** Environmental Defense Fund On Behalf Of Martha Foppe  
**Sent:** Friday, January 8, 2016 1:01:18 PM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)  
**To:** Johnson, Thomas  
**Subject:** Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout

Jan 8, 2016

Commissioner Thomas Johnson

Dear Commissioner Johnson,

I'm writing today to ask you to say no to FirstEnergy's request for the PUCO to authorize substantial, customer-funded subsidies to bail out its uneconomic power plants.

With the new settlement, FirstEnergy's proposal went from bad to worse. Please safeguard market competition and reject FirstEnergy's proposal, so that a utility can no longer cross-subsidize or favor its affiliate companies in order to block competition. Approving the deal would send a clear message to Ohio's businesses, entrepreneurs, investors, and residents: We favor reigning power players and political clout over innovation and competition. Utility profits should not be placed ahead of Ohioans' best interests, and especially not at their personal expense.

If you believe Ohio should have electricity that is clean, reliable, and affordable, please reject FirstEnergy's request.

Thank you,

Mrs. Martha Foppe  
6834 Wild Plum Ct  
Maineville, OH 45039-8540  
(513) 404-1404  
[foppe@fuse.net](mailto:foppe@fuse.net)

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Johnson, Thomas  
**Sent:** Friday, January 08, 2016 1:01 PM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout

---

From: Environmental Defense Fund On Behalf Of Tim Meier  
Sent: Friday, January 8, 2016 1:01:00 PM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)  
To: Johnson, Thomas  
Subject: Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout

Jan 8, 2016

Commissioner Thomas Johnson

Dear Commissioner Johnson,

I'm writing today to ask you to say no to FirstEnergy's request for the PUCO to authorize substantial, customer-funded subsidies to bail out its uneconomic power plants.

With the new settlement, FirstEnergy's proposal went from bad to worse.

Please safeguard market competition and reject FirstEnergy's proposal, so that a utility can no longer cross-subsidize or favor its affiliate companies in order to block competition. Approving the deal would send a clear message to Ohio's businesses, entrepreneurs, investors, and residents: We favor reigning power players and political clout over innovation and competition. Utility profits should not be placed ahead of Ohioans' best interests, and especially not at their personal expense.

If you believe Ohio should have electricity that is clean, reliable, and affordable, please reject FirstEnergy's request.

Thank you,

Mr. Tim Meier  
4033 Cherry St  
Cincinnati, OH 45223-2506  
[timeier88@gmail.com](mailto:timeier88@gmail.com)

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Johnson, Thomas  
**Sent:** Friday, January 08, 2016 1:01 PM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout

---

From: Environmental Defense Fund On Behalf Of Joanne Morgan  
Sent: Friday, January 8, 2016 1:00:49 PM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)  
To: Johnson, Thomas  
Subject: Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout

Jan 8, 2016

Commissioner Thomas Johnson

Dear Commissioner Johnson,

I'm writing today to ask you to say no to FirstEnergy's request for the PUCO to authorize substantial, customer-funded subsidies to bail out its uneconomic power plants.

With the new settlement, FirstEnergy's proposal went from bad to worse.

Please safeguard market competition and reject FirstEnergy's proposal, so that a utility can no longer cross-subsidize or favor its affiliate companies in order to block competition. Approving the deal would send a clear message to Ohio's businesses, entrepreneurs, investors, and residents: We favor reigning power players and political clout over innovation and competition. Utility profits should not be placed ahead of Ohioans' best interests, and especially not at their personal expense.

If you believe Ohio should have electricity that is clean, reliable, and affordable, please reject FirstEnergy's request.

Thank you,

Mrs. Joanne Morgan  
8130 Woodward Claypool Rd  
Morrow, OH 45152-9795  
(514) 292-4328  
[morganrjra@embarqmail.com](mailto:morganrjra@embarqmail.com)

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Johnson, Thomas  
**Sent:** Friday, January 08, 2016 1:00 PM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout

---

From: Environmental Defense Fund On Behalf Of Silvia Jackson  
Sent: Friday, January 8, 2016 1:00:06 PM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)  
To: Johnson, Thomas  
Subject: Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout

Jan 8, 2016

Commissioner Thomas Johnson

Dear Commissioner Johnson,

I'm writing today to ask you to say no to FirstEnergy's request for the PUCO to authorize substantial, customer-funded subsidies to bail out its uneconomic power plants.

With the new settlement, FirstEnergy's proposal went from bad to worse.

Please safeguard market competition and reject FirstEnergy's proposal, so that a utility can no longer cross-subsidize or favor its affiliate companies in order to block competition. Approving the deal would send a clear message to Ohio's businesses, entrepreneurs, investors, and residents: We favor reigning power players and political clout over innovation and competition. Utility profits should not be placed ahead of Ohioans' best interests, and especially not at their personal expense.

If you believe Ohio should have electricity that is clean, reliable, and affordable, please reject FirstEnergy's request.

Thank you,

Ms. Silvia Jackson  
2413 Montana Ave  
Cincinnati, OH 45211-3855  
[silviajackson001@aol.com](mailto:silviajackson001@aol.com)

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Johnson, Thomas  
**Sent:** Friday, January 08, 2016 12:59 PM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout

---

**From:** Environmental Defense Fund On Behalf Of Kathleen Deanda  
**Sent:** Friday, January 8, 2016 12:58:43 PM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)  
**To:** Johnson, Thomas  
**Subject:** Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout

Jan 8, 2016

Commissioner Thomas Johnson

Dear Commissioner Johnson,

I'm writing today to ask you to say no to FirstEnergy's request for the PUCO to authorize substantial, customer-funded subsidies to bail out its uneconomic power plants.

With the new settlement, FirstEnergy's proposal went from bad to worse. Please safeguard market competition and reject FirstEnergy's proposal, so that a utility can no longer cross-subsidize or favor its affiliate companies in order to block competition. Approving the deal would send a clear message to Ohio's businesses, entrepreneurs, investors, and residents: We favor reigning power players and political clout over innovation and competition. Utility profits should not be placed ahead of Ohioans' best interests, and especially not at their personal expense.

If you believe Ohio should have electricity that is clean, reliable, and affordable, please reject FirstEnergy's request.

Thank you,

Ms. Kathleen Deanda  
549 Toronto Ave.  
Toledo, OH 43609-2953  
(419) 344-5877  
[kdeanda@att.net](mailto:kdeanda@att.net)



## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Johnson, Thomas  
**Sent:** Friday, January 08, 2016 12:30 PM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout

---

From: Environmental Defense Fund On Behalf Of Kevin Losekamp  
Sent: Friday, January 8, 2016 12:30:23 PM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)  
To: Johnson, Thomas  
Subject: Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout

Jan 8, 2016

Commissioner Thomas Johnson

Dear Commissioner Johnson,

I'm writing today to ask you to say no to FirstEnergy's request for the PUCO to authorize substantial, customer-funded subsidies to bail out its uneconomic power plants.

With the new settlement, FirstEnergy's proposal went from bad to worse.

Please safeguard market competition and reject FirstEnergy's proposal, so that a utility can no longer cross-subsidize or favor its affiliate companies in order to block competition. Approving the deal would send a clear message to Ohio's businesses, entrepreneurs, investors, and residents: We favor reigning power players and political clout over innovation and competition. Utility profits should not be placed ahead of Ohioans' best interests, and especially not at their personal expense.

If you believe Ohio should have electricity that is clean, reliable, and affordable, please reject FirstEnergy's request.

Thank you,

Mr. Kevin Losekamp  
1543 Creekside Rd  
Amelia, OH 45102-1771  
[kevin.losekamp@tetrattech.com](mailto:kevin.losekamp@tetrattech.com)

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Johnson, Thomas  
**Sent:** Friday, January 08, 2016 12:30 PM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout

---

From: Environmental Defense Fund On Behalf Of Joyce Shuck  
Sent: Friday, January 8, 2016 12:30:11 PM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)  
To: Johnson, Thomas  
Subject: Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout

Jan 8, 2016

Commissioner Thomas Johnson

Dear Commissioner Johnson,

I'm writing today to ask you to say no to FirstEnergy's request for the PUCO to authorize substantial, customer-funded subsidies to bail out its uneconomic power plants.

With the new settlement, FirstEnergy's proposal went from bad to worse.

Please safeguard market competition and reject FirstEnergy's proposal, so that a utility can no longer cross-subsidize or favor its affiliate companies in order to block competition. Approving the deal would send a clear message to Ohio's businesses, entrepreneurs, investors, and

residents: We favor reigning power players and political clout over innovation and competition. Utility profits should not be placed ahead of Ohioans' best interests, and especially not at their personal expense.

If you believe Ohio should have electricity that is clean, reliable, and affordable, please reject FirstEnergy's request.

Thank you,

Ms. Joyce Shuck  
greenbrook ln  
cincinnati, OH 45251  
[cinci39@yahoo.com](mailto:cinci39@yahoo.com)

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Johnson, Thomas  
**Sent:** Friday, January 08, 2016 12:30 PM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout

---

**From:** Environmental Defense Fund On Behalf Of Christine Cuffari  
**Sent:** Friday, January 8, 2016 12:29:39 PM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)  
**To:** Johnson, Thomas  
**Subject:** Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout

Jan 8, 2016

Commissioner Thomas Johnson

Dear Commissioner Johnson,

I'm writing today to ask you to say no to FirstEnergy's request for the PUCO to authorize substantial, customer-funded subsidies to bail out its uneconomic power plants.

With the new settlement, FirstEnergy's proposal went from bad to worse. Please safeguard market competition and reject FirstEnergy's proposal, so that a utility can no longer cross-subsidize or favor its affiliate companies in order to block competition. Approving the deal would send a clear message to Ohio's businesses, entrepreneurs, investors, and residents: We favor reigning power players and political clout over innovation and competition. Utility profits should not be placed ahead of Ohioans' best interests, and especially not at their personal expense.

If you believe Ohio should have electricity that is clean, reliable, and affordable, please reject FirstEnergy's request.

Thank you,

Ms. Christine Cuffari  
1516 Arthur Ave  
Lakewood, OH 44107-3804  
[cuffarichrissy@gmail.com](mailto:cuffarichrissy@gmail.com)

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Johnson, Thomas  
**Sent:** Friday, January 08, 2016 12:30 PM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout

---

From: Environmental Defense Fund On Behalf Of Mark Kroenke  
Sent: Friday, January 8, 2016 12:29:27 PM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)  
To: Johnson, Thomas  
Subject: Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout

Jan 8, 2016

Commissioner Thomas Johnson

Dear Commissioner Johnson,

I'm writing today to ask you to say no to FirstEnergy's request for the PUCO to authorize substantial, customer-funded subsidies to bail out its uneconomic power plants.

With the new settlement, FirstEnergy's proposal went from bad to worse. Please safeguard market competition and reject FirstEnergy's proposal, so that a utility can no longer cross-subsidize or favor its affiliate companies in order to block competition. Approving the deal would send a clear message to Ohio's businesses, entrepreneurs, investors, and residents: We favor reigning power players and political clout over innovation and competition. Utility profits should not be placed ahead of Ohioans' best interests, and especially not at their personal expense.

If you believe Ohio should have electricity that is clean, reliable, and affordable, please reject FirstEnergy's request.

Thank you,

Mr. Mark Kroenke  
1223 Swigart Rd  
New Franklin, OH 44203-4733  
[kroenkejunk@sbcglobal.net](mailto:kroenkejunk@sbcglobal.net)

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Johnson, Thomas  
**Sent:** Friday, January 08, 2016 12:29 PM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout

---

From: Environmental Defense Fund On Behalf Of sue gorsuch  
Sent: Friday, January 8, 2016 12:28:51 PM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)  
To: Johnson, Thomas  
Subject: Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout

Jan 8, 2016

Commissioner Thomas Johnson

Dear Commissioner Johnson,

I'm writing today to ask you to say no to FirstEnergy's request for the PUCO to authorize substantial, customer-funded subsidies to bail out its uneconomic power plants.

With the new settlement, FirstEnergy's proposal went from bad to worse. Please safeguard market competition and reject FirstEnergy's proposal, so that a utility can no longer cross-subsidize or favor its affiliate companies in order to block competition. Approving the deal would send a clear message to Ohio's businesses, entrepreneurs, investors, and residents: We favor reigning power players and political clout over innovation and competition. Utility profits should not be placed ahead of Ohioans' best interests, and especially not at their personal expense.

If you believe Ohio should have electricity that is clean, reliable, and affordable, please reject FirstEnergy's request.

Thank you,

Ms. sue gorsuch  
5548 Glasgow Pl  
Columbus, OH 43235-7545  
[cmhclaygrl@gmail.com](mailto:cmhclaygrl@gmail.com)

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Johnson, Thomas  
**Sent:** Friday, January 08, 2016 12:28 PM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout

---

From: Environmental Defense Fund On Behalf Of Sandra Harding  
Sent: Friday, January 8, 2016 12:28:26 PM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)  
To: Johnson, Thomas  
Subject: Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout

Jan 8, 2016

Commissioner Thomas Johnson

Dear Commissioner Johnson,

I'm writing today to ask you to say no to FirstEnergy's request for the PUCO to authorize substantial, customer-funded subsidies to bail out its uneconomic power plants.

With the new settlement, FirstEnergy's proposal went from bad to worse. Please safeguard market competition and reject FirstEnergy's proposal, so that a utility can no longer cross-subsidize or favor its affiliate companies in order to block competition. Approving the deal would send a clear message to Ohio's businesses, entrepreneurs, investors, and residents: We favor reigning power players and political clout over innovation and competition. Utility profits should not be placed ahead of Ohioans' best interests, and especially not at their personal expense.

If you believe Ohio should have electricity that is clean, reliable, and affordable, please reject FirstEnergy's request.

Thank you,

Mrs. Sandra Harding  
222 Cleveland Ave  
Milford, OH 45150-1010  
[stharding46@gmail.com](mailto:stharding46@gmail.com)

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Johnson, Thomas  
**Sent:** Friday, January 08, 2016 12:02 PM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout

---

**From:** Environmental Defense Fund On Behalf Of Shannon McIntosh  
**Sent:** Friday, January 8, 2016 12:01:58 PM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)  
**To:** Johnson, Thomas  
**Subject:** Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout

Jan 8, 2016

Commissioner Thomas Johnson

Dear Commissioner Johnson,

I'm writing today to ask you to say no to FirstEnergy's request for the PUCO to authorize substantial, customer-funded subsidies to bail out its uneconomic power plants.

With the new settlement, FirstEnergy's proposal went from bad to worse.

Please safeguard market competition and reject FirstEnergy's proposal, so that a utility can no longer cross-subsidize or favor its affiliate companies in order to block competition. Approving the deal would send a clear message to Ohio's businesses, entrepreneurs, investors, and residents: We favor reigning power players and political clout over innovation and competition. Utility profits should not be placed ahead of Ohioans' best interests, and especially not at their personal expense.

If you believe Ohio should have electricity that is clean, reliable, and affordable, please reject FirstEnergy's request.

Thank you,

Miss Shannon McIntosh  
23 Meadow Dr  
Berea, OH 44017  
[shannonm107@yahoo.com](mailto:shannonm107@yahoo.com)

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Johnson, Thomas  
**Sent:** Friday, January 08, 2016 12:01 PM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout

---

From: Environmental Defense Fund On Behalf Of Joyce McMenemy  
Sent: Friday, January 8, 2016 12:00:53 PM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)  
To: Johnson, Thomas  
Subject: *Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout*

Jan 8, 2016

Commissioner Thomas Johnson

Dear Commissioner Johnson,

I'm writing today to ask you to say no to FirstEnergy's request for the PUCO to authorize substantial, customer-funded subsidies to bail out its uneconomic power plants.

With the new settlement, FirstEnergy's proposal went from bad to worse.

Please safeguard market competition and reject FirstEnergy's proposal, so that a utility can no longer cross-subsidize or favor its affiliate companies in order to block competition. Approving the deal would send a clear message to Ohio's businesses, entrepreneurs, investors, and residents: We favor reigning power players and political clout over innovation and competition. Utility profits should not be placed ahead of Ohioans' best interests, and especially not at their personal expense.

If you believe Ohio should have electricity that is clean, reliable, and affordable, please reject FirstEnergy's request.

Thank you,

Mrs. Joyce McMenemy  
6004 St. Rt. 14  
Ravenna, OH 44266  
(330) 297-0293  
[dani20004@att.net](mailto:dani20004@att.net)



## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Johnson, Thomas  
**Sent:** Friday, January 08, 2016 12:01 PM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout

---

From: Environmental Defense Fund On Behalf Of Lauren Formet  
Sent: Friday, January 8, 2016 12:00:25 PM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)  
To: Johnson, Thomas  
Subject: Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout

Jan 8, 2016

Commissioner Thomas Johnson

Dear Commissioner Johnson,

I'm writing today to ask you to say no to FirstEnergy's request for the PUCO to authorize substantial, customer-funded subsidies to bail out its uneconomic power plants.

With the new settlement, FirstEnergy's proposal went from bad to worse. Please safeguard market competition and reject FirstEnergy's proposal, so that a utility can no longer cross-subsidize or favor its affiliate companies in order to block competition. Approving the deal would send a clear message to Ohio's businesses, entrepreneurs, investors, and residents: We favor reigning power players and political clout over innovation and competition. Utility profits should not be placed ahead of Ohioans' best interests, and especially not at their personal expense.

If you believe Ohio should have electricity that is clean, reliable, and affordable, please reject FirstEnergy's request.

Thank you,

Mrs. Lauren Formet  
1824 Amber Hills Dr  
Akron, OH 44313-8542  
[iamavampire@mail.com](mailto:iamavampire@mail.com)

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Johnson, Thomas  
**Sent:** Friday, January 08, 2016 12:00 PM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout

---

**From:** Environmental Defense Fund On Behalf Of KENNETH WIDISH  
**Sent:** Friday, January 8, 2016 12:00:00 PM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)  
**To:** Johnson, Thomas  
**Subject:** Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout

Jan 8, 2016

Commissioner Thomas Johnson

Dear Commissioner Johnson,

I'm writing today to ask you to say no to FirstEnergy's request for the PUCO to authorize substantial, customer-funded subsidies to bail out its uneconomic power plants.

With the new settlement, FirstEnergy's proposal went from bad to worse.

Please safeguard market competition and reject FirstEnergy's proposal, so that a utility can no longer cross-subsidize or favor its affiliate companies in order to block competition. Approving the deal would send a clear message to Ohio's businesses, entrepreneurs, investors, and residents: We favor reigning power players and political clout over innovation and competition. Utility profits should not be placed ahead of Ohioans' best interests, and especially not at their personal expense.

If you believe Ohio should have electricity that is clean, reliable, and affordable, please reject FirstEnergy's request.

Thank you,

Mr. KENNETH WIDISH  
4198 Powell Ave  
Columbus, OH 43213-2322  
[rumbagutz@aol.com](mailto:rumbagutz@aol.com)

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Johnson, Thomas  
**Sent:** Friday, January 08, 2016 12:00 PM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout

---

From: Environmental Defense Fund On Behalf Of Donna Lee  
Sent: Friday, January 8, 2016 11:59:30 AM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)  
To: Johnson, Thomas  
Subject: Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout

Jan 8, 2016

Commissioner Thomas Johnson

Dear Commissioner Johnson,

I'm writing today to ask you to say no to FirstEnergy's request for the PUCO to authorize substantial, customer-funded subsidies to bail out its uneconomic power plants.

With the new settlement, FirstEnergy's proposal went from bad to worse.

Please safeguard market competition and reject FirstEnergy's proposal, so that a utility can no longer cross-subsidize or favor its affiliate companies in order to block competition. Approving the deal would send a clear message to Ohio's businesses, entrepreneurs, investors, and residents: We favor reigning power players and political clout over innovation and competition. Utility profits should not be placed ahead of Ohioans' best interests, and especially not at their personal expense.

If you believe Ohio should have electricity that is clean, reliable, and affordable, please reject FirstEnergy's request.

Thank you,

Mrs. Donna Lee  
179 Marklan Rd  
Heath, OH 43056-9302  
(740) 755-6361  
[donnalee769@gmail.com](mailto:donnalee769@gmail.com)

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Johnson, Thomas  
**Sent:** Friday, January 08, 2016 11:59 AM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout

---

From: Environmental Defense Fund On Behalf Of Doris Ricks  
Sent: Friday, January 8, 2016 11:59:18 AM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)  
To: Johnson, Thomas  
Subject: Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout

Jan 8, 2016

Commissioner Thomas Johnson

Dear Commissioner Johnson,

I'm writing today to ask you to say no to FirstEnergy's request for the PUCO to authorize substantial, customer-funded subsidies to bail out its uneconomic power plants.

With the new settlement, FirstEnergy's proposal went from bad to worse. Please safeguard market competition and reject FirstEnergy's proposal, so that a utility can no longer cross-subsidize or favor its affiliate companies in order to block competition. Approving the deal would send a clear message to Ohio's businesses, entrepreneurs, investors, and residents: We favor reigning power players and political clout over innovation and competition. Utility profits should not be placed ahead of Ohioans' best interests, and especially not at their personal expense.

If you believe Ohio should have electricity that is clean, reliable, and affordable, please reject FirstEnergy's request.

Thank you,

Ms. Doris Ricks  
7300 Charnwood Dr  
Dayton, OH 45424-2634  
(937) 206-6819  
[dricks1968@gmail.com](mailto:dricks1968@gmail.com)

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Johnson, Thomas  
**Sent:** Friday, January 08, 2016 11:59 AM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout

---

From: Environmental Defense Fund On Behalf Of Jenny Geib  
Sent: Friday, January 8, 2016 11:58:53 AM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)  
To: Johnson, Thomas  
Subject: Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout

Jan 8, 2016

Commissioner Thomas Johnson

Dear Commissioner Johnson,

I'm writing today to ask you to say no to FirstEnergy's request for the PUCO to authorize substantial, customer-funded subsidies to bail out its uneconomic power plants.

With the new settlement, FirstEnergy's proposal went from bad to worse. Please safeguard market competition and reject FirstEnergy's proposal, so that a utility can no longer cross-subsidize or favor its affiliate companies in order to block competition. Approving the deal would send a clear message to Ohio's businesses, entrepreneurs, investors, and residents: We favor reigning power players and political clout over innovation and competition. Utility profits should not be placed ahead of Ohioans' best interests, and especially not at their personal expense.

If you believe Ohio should have electricity that is clean, reliable, and affordable, please reject FirstEnergy's request.

Thank you,

Ms. Jenny Geib  
122 W 22nd St  
Dover, OH 44622-2415  
(330) 987-5845  
[babayaga.geib@gmail.com](mailto:babayaga.geib@gmail.com)

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Johnson, Thomas  
**Sent:** Friday, January 08, 2016 11:59 AM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout

---

From: Environmental Defense Fund On Behalf Of Leah Henize  
Sent: Friday, January 8, 2016 11:58:48 AM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)  
To: Johnson, Thomas  
Subject: Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout

Jan 8, 2016

Commissioner Thomas Johnson

Dear Commissioner Johnson,

I'm writing today to ask you to say no to FirstEnergy's request for the PUCO to authorize substantial, customer-funded subsidies to bail out its uneconomic power plants.

With the new settlement, FirstEnergy's proposal went from bad to worse.

Please safeguard market competition and reject FirstEnergy's proposal, so that a utility can no longer cross-subsidize or favor its affiliate companies in order to block competition. Approving the deal would send a clear message to Ohio's businesses, entrepreneurs, investors, and residents: We favor reigning power players and political clout over innovation and competition. Utility profits should not be placed ahead of Ohioans' best interests, and especially not at their personal expense.

If you believe Ohio should have electricity that is clean, reliable, and affordable, please reject FirstEnergy's request.

Thank you,

Ms. Leah Henize  
7081 Fields Ertel Rd  
Cincinnati, OH 45241-6082  
[ljhenize@gmail.com](mailto:ljhenize@gmail.com)

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Johnson, Thomas  
**Sent:** Friday, January 08, 2016 11:58 AM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout

---

From: Environmental Defense Fund On Behalf Of Kandace Nevin  
Sent: Friday, January 8, 2016 11:58:19 AM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)  
To: Johnson, Thomas  
Subject: Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout

Jan 8, 2016

Commissioner Thomas Johnson

Dear Commissioner Johnson,

I'm writing today to ask you to say no to FirstEnergy's request for the PUCO to authorize substantial, customer-funded subsidies to bail out its uneconomic power plants.

With the new settlement, FirstEnergy's proposal went from bad to worse.

Please safeguard market competition and reject FirstEnergy's proposal, so that a utility can no longer cross-subsidize or favor its affiliate companies in order to block competition. Approving the deal would send a clear message to Ohio's businesses, entrepreneurs, investors, and residents: We favor reigning power players and political clout over innovation and competition. Utility profits should not be placed ahead of Ohioans' best interests, and especially not at their personal expense.

If you believe Ohio should have electricity that is clean, reliable, and affordable, please reject FirstEnergy's request.

Thank you,

Ms. Kandace Nevin  
717 Robindale Dr  
Waynesville, OH 45068-9476  
[knevin@live.com](mailto:knevin@live.com)

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Johnson, Thomas  
**Sent:** Friday, January 08, 2016 11:34 AM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout

---

From: Environmental Defense Fund On Behalf Of Susan Jenkins  
Sent: Friday, January 8, 2016 11:33:56 AM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)  
To: Johnson, Thomas  
Subject: Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout

Jan 8, 2016

Commissioner Thomas Johnson

Dear Commissioner Johnson,

I'm writing today to ask you to say no to FirstEnergy's request for the PUCO to authorize substantial, customer-funded subsidies to bail out its uneconomic power plants.

With the new settlement, FirstEnergy's proposal went from bad to worse. Please safeguard market competition and reject FirstEnergy's proposal, so that a utility can no longer cross-subsidize or favor its affiliate companies in order to block competition. Approving the deal would send a clear message to Ohio's businesses, entrepreneurs, investors, and residents: We favor reigning power players and political clout over innovation and competition. Utility profits should not be placed ahead of Ohioans' best interests, and especially not at their personal expense.

If you believe Ohio should have electricity that is clean, reliable, and affordable, please reject FirstEnergy's request.

Thank you,

Mrs. Susan Jenkins  
27043 1st St  
Westlake, OH 44145-1412  
[srjenkins33@gmail.com](mailto:srjenkins33@gmail.com)



## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Johnson, Thomas  
**Sent:** Friday, January 08, 2016 11:34 AM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout

---

From: Environmental Defense Fund On Behalf Of Patricia Roth  
Sent: Friday, January 8, 2016 11:33:35 AM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)  
To: Johnson, Thomas  
Subject: Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout

Jan 8, 2016

Commissioner Thomas Johnson

Dear Commissioner Johnson,

I'm writing today to ask you to say no to FirstEnergy's request for the PUCO to authorize substantial, customer-funded subsidies to bail out its uneconomic power plants.

With the new settlement, FirstEnergy's proposal went from bad to worse.

Please safeguard market competition and reject FirstEnergy's proposal, so that a utility can no longer cross-subsidize or favor its affiliate companies in order to block competition. Approving the deal would send a clear message to Ohio's businesses, entrepreneurs, investors, and residents: We favor reigning power players and political clout over innovation and competition. Utility profits should not be placed ahead of Ohioans' best interests, and especially not at their personal expense.

If you believe Ohio should have electricity that is clean, reliable, and affordable, please reject FirstEnergy's request.

Thank you,

Mrs. Patricia Roth  
9622b Wilkshire Blvd NE  
Bolivar, OH 44612-8976  
(330) 204-9723  
[patriciaroth@myfrontiermail.com](mailto:patriciaroth@myfrontiermail.com)

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Johnson, Thomas  
**Sent:** Friday, January 08, 2016 11:32 AM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout

---

From: Environmental Defense Fund On Behalf Of Deborah Humbert  
Sent: Friday, January 8, 2016 11:32:17 AM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)  
To: Johnson, Thomas  
Subject: Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout

Jan 8, 2016

Commissioner Thomas Johnson

Dear Commissioner Johnson,

I'm writing today to ask you to say no to FirstEnergy's request for the PUCO to authorize substantial, customer-funded subsidies to bail out its uneconomic power plants.

With the new settlement, FirstEnergy's proposal went from bad to worse.

Please safeguard market competition and reject FirstEnergy's proposal, so that a utility can no longer cross-subsidize or favor its affiliate companies in order to block competition. Approving the deal would send a clear message to Ohio's businesses, entrepreneurs, investors, and residents: We favor reigning power players and political clout over innovation and competition. Utility profits should not be placed ahead of Ohioans' best interests, and especially not at their personal expense.

If you believe Ohio should have electricity that is clean, reliable, and affordable, please reject FirstEnergy's request.

Thank you,

Ms. Deborah Humbert  
1538 Oakwood Dr  
Cleveland, OH 44121-1708  
(216) 321-0833  
[djhumbert@gmail.com](mailto:djhumbert@gmail.com)

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Johnson, Thomas  
**Sent:** Friday, January 08, 2016 11:32 AM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout

---

From: Environmental Defense Fund On Behalf Of Thomas McBride  
Sent: Friday, January 8, 2016 11:31:54 AM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)  
To: Johnson, Thomas  
Subject: Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout

Jan 8, 2016

Commissioner Thomas Johnson

Dear Commissioner Johnson,

I'm writing today to ask you to say no to FirstEnergy's request for the PUCO to authorize substantial, customer-funded subsidies to bail out its uneconomic power plants.

With the new settlement, FirstEnergy's proposal went from bad to worse. Please safeguard market competition and reject FirstEnergy's proposal, so that a utility can no longer cross-subsidize or favor its affiliate companies in order to block competition. Approving the deal would send a clear message to Ohio's businesses, entrepreneurs, investors, and residents: We favor reigning power players and political clout over innovation and competition. Utility profits should not be placed ahead of Ohioans' best interests, and especially not at their personal expense.

If you believe Ohio should have electricity that is clean, reliable, and affordable, please reject FirstEnergy's request.

Thank you,

Mr. Thomas McBride  
7224 Silver Crest Dr  
Cincinnati, OH 45236-3623  
[dzin14u@yahoo.com](mailto:dzin14u@yahoo.com)

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Johnson, Thomas  
**Sent:** Friday, January 08, 2016 11:32 AM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout

---

From: Environmental Defense Fund On Behalf Of Sally Gilson  
Sent: Friday, January 8, 2016 11:31:27 AM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)  
To: Johnson, Thomas  
Subject: Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout

Jan 8, 2016

Commissioner Thomas Johnson

*Dear Commissioner Johnson,*

I'm writing today to ask you to say no to FirstEnergy's request for the PUCO to authorize substantial, customer-funded subsidies to bail out its uneconomic power plants.

With the new settlement, FirstEnergy's proposal went from bad to worse.

Please safeguard market competition and reject FirstEnergy's proposal, so that a utility can no longer cross-subsidize or favor its affiliate companies in order to block competition. Approving the deal would send a clear message to Ohio's businesses, entrepreneurs, investors, and residents: We favor reigning power players and political clout over innovation and competition. Utility profits should not be placed ahead of Ohioans' best interests, and especially not at their personal expense.

Old coal-burning plants need to be taken off line and the sites cleaned up, but not at customers' expense.

If you believe Ohio should have electricity that is clean, reliable, and affordable, please reject FirstEnergy's request.

Thank you,

Ms. Sally Gilson  
1242 Bradshire Dr  
Columbus, OH 43220-2614  
(614) 352-8972  
[gilson@wideopenwest.com](mailto:gilson@wideopenwest.com)

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Johnson, Thomas  
**Sent:** Friday, January 08, 2016 11:31 AM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout

---

From: Environmental Defense Fund On Behalf Of Carolyn Veerjee  
Sent: Friday, January 8, 2016 11:30:54 AM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)  
To: Johnson, Thomas  
Subject: Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout

Jan 8, 2016

Commissioner Thomas Johnson

Dear Commissioner Johnson,

I'm writing today to ask you to say no to FirstEnergy's request for the PUCO to authorize substantial, customer-funded subsidies to bail out its uneconomic power plants.

With the new settlement, FirstEnergy's proposal went from bad to worse. Please safeguard market competition and reject FirstEnergy's proposal, so that a utility can no longer cross-subsidize or favor its affiliate companies in order to block competition. Approving the deal would send a clear message to Ohio's businesses, entrepreneurs, investors, and residents: We favor reigning power players and political clout over innovation and competition. Utility profits should not be placed ahead of Ohioans' best interests, and especially not at their personal expense.

If you believe Ohio should have electricity that is clean, reliable, and affordable, please reject FirstEnergy's request.

Thank you,

Ms. Carolyn Veerjee  
21579 St Rt 93 S  
Logan, OH 43138  
[carolynveerjee@gmail.com](mailto:carolynveerjee@gmail.com)

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Johnson, Thomas  
**Sent:** Friday, January 08, 2016 11:30 AM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout

---

From: Environmental Defense Fund On Behalf Of Porsche Dillon  
Sent: Friday, January 8, 2016 11:30:21 AM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)  
To: Johnson, Thomas  
Subject: Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout

Jan 8, 2016

Commissioner Thomas Johnson

Dear Commissioner Johnson,

I'm writing today to ask you to say no to FirstEnergy's request for the PUCO to authorize substantial, customer-funded subsidies to bail out its uneconomic power plants.

With the new settlement, FirstEnergy's proposal went from bad to worse.

Please safeguard market competition and reject FirstEnergy's proposal, so that a utility can no longer cross-subsidize or favor its affiliate companies in order to block competition. Approving the deal would send a clear message to Ohio's businesses, entrepreneurs, investors, and residents: We favor reigning power players and political clout over innovation and competition. Utility profits should not be placed ahead of Ohioans' best interests, and especially not at their personal expense.

If you believe Ohio should have electricity that is clean, reliable, and affordable, please reject FirstEnergy's request.

Thank you,

Ms. Porsche Dillon  
1601 Honeysuckle Pl Apt B  
Fairfield, OH 45014-3176  
[porscad@yahoo.com](mailto:porscad@yahoo.com)

## **Hunter, Donielle**

---

**From:** Johnson, Thomas  
**Sent:** Friday, January 08, 2016 11:30 AM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout

---

From: Environmental Defense Fund On Behalf Of James Newhall  
Sent: Friday, January 8, 2016 11:29:28 AM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)  
To: Johnson, Thomas  
Subject: Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout

Jan 8, 2016

Commissioner Thomas Johnson

Dear Commissioner Johnson,

I'm writing today to ask you to say no to FirstEnergy's request for the PUCO to authorize substantial, customer-funded subsidies to bail out its uneconomic power plants.

With the new settlement, FirstEnergy's proposal went from bad to worse. Please safeguard market competition and reject FirstEnergy's proposal, so that a utility can no longer cross-subsidize or favor its affiliate companies in order to block competition. Approving the deal would send a clear message to Ohio's businesses, entrepreneurs, investors, and residents: We favor reigning power players and political clout over innovation and competition. Utility profits should not be placed ahead of Ohioans' best interests, and especially not at their personal expense.

If you believe Ohio should have electricity that is clean, reliable, and affordable, please reject FirstEnergy's request.

Thank you,

Mr. James Newhall  
1178 Leonard Blvd  
Kent, OH 44240-3333  
[jnewhal@uakron.edu](mailto:jnewhal@uakron.edu)

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Johnson, Thomas  
**Sent:** Friday, January 08, 2016 11:04 AM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout

---

From: Environmental Defense Fund On Behalf Of Billie Sturgill  
Sent: Friday, January 8, 2016 11:03:35 AM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)  
To: Johnson, Thomas  
Subject: Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout

Jan 8, 2016

Commissioner Thomas Johnson

Dear Commissioner Johnson,

I'm writing today to ask you to say no to FirstEnergy's request for the PUCO to authorize substantial, customer-funded subsidies to bail out its uneconomic power plants.

With the new settlement, FirstEnergy's proposal went from bad to worse.

Please safeguard market competition and reject FirstEnergy's proposal, so that a utility can no longer cross-subsidize or favor its affiliate companies in order to block competition. Approving the deal would send a clear message to Ohio's businesses, entrepreneurs, investors, and residents: We favor reigning power players and political clout over innovation and competition. Utility profits should not be placed ahead of Ohioans' best interests, and especially not at their personal expense.

If you believe Ohio should have electricity that is clean, reliable, and affordable, please reject FirstEnergy's request.

Thank you,

Ms. Billie Sturgill  
3531 Secor Rd Apt 106  
Toledo, OH 43606-1534  
[mycatdoestricks@bex.net](mailto:mycatdoestricks@bex.net)



## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Johnson, Thomas  
**Sent:** Friday, January 08, 2016 11:03 AM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout

---

From: Environmental Defense Fund On Behalf Of Kathleen Plant  
Sent: Friday, January 8, 2016 11:03:02 AM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)  
To: Johnson, Thomas  
Subject: Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout

Jan 8, 2016

Commissioner Thomas Johnson

Dear Commissioner Johnson,

I'm writing today to ask you to say no to FirstEnergy's request for the PUCO to authorize substantial, customer-funded subsidies to bail out its uneconomic power plants.

With the new settlement, FirstEnergy's proposal went from bad to worse. Please safeguard market competition and reject FirstEnergy's proposal, so that a utility can no longer cross-subsidize or favor its affiliate companies in order to block competition. Approving the deal would send a clear message to Ohio's businesses, entrepreneurs, investors, and residents: We favor reigning power players and political clout over innovation and competition. Utility profits should not be placed ahead of Ohioans' best interests, and especially not at their personal expense.

If you believe Ohio should have electricity that is clean, reliable, and affordable, please reject FirstEnergy's request.

Thank you,

Ms. Kathleen Plant  
2627 Williamsburg Bantam Rd  
Bethel, OH 45106-9328  
[kpbdrdjd@gmail.com](mailto:kpbdrdjd@gmail.com)

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Johnson, Thomas  
**Sent:** Friday, January 08, 2016 11:02 AM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout

---

From: Environmental Defense Fund On Behalf Of Anna Schmidt  
Sent: Friday, January 8, 2016 11:02:21 AM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)  
To: Johnson, Thomas  
Subject: Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout

Jan 8, 2016

Commissioner Thomas Johnson

Dear Commissioner Johnson,

I'm writing today to ask you to say no to FirstEnergy's request for the PUCO to authorize substantial, customer-funded subsidies to bail out its uneconomic power plants.

With the new settlement, FirstEnergy's proposal went from bad to worse. Please safeguard market competition and reject FirstEnergy's proposal, so that a utility can no longer cross-subsidize or favor its affiliate companies in order to block competition. Approving the deal would send a clear message to Ohio's businesses, entrepreneurs, investors, and residents: We favor reigning power players and political clout over innovation and competition. Utility profits should not be placed ahead of Ohioans' best interests, and especially not at their personal expense.

If you believe Ohio should have electricity that is clean, reliable, and affordable, please reject FirstEnergy's request.

Thank you,

Mrs. Anna Schmidt  
5931 Little Turtle Trl  
Waterville, OH 43566-9741  
(419) 878-8603  
[aschmidt@buckeye-express.com](mailto:aschmidt@buckeye-express.com)

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Johnson, Thomas  
**Sent:** Friday, January 08, 2016 11:02 AM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout

---

From: Environmental Defense Fund On Behalf Of Jolene Miller  
Sent: Friday, January 8, 2016 11:01:43 AM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)  
To: Johnson, Thomas  
Subject: Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout

Jan 8, 2016

Commissioner Thomas Johnson

Dear Commissioner Johnson,

I'm writing today to ask you to say no to FirstEnergy's request for the PUCO to authorize substantial, customer-funded subsidies to bail out its uneconomic power plants.

With the new settlement, FirstEnergy's proposal went from bad to worse.

Please safeguard market competition and reject FirstEnergy's proposal, so that a utility can no longer cross-subsidize or favor its affiliate companies in order to block competition. Approving the deal would send a clear message to Ohio's businesses, entrepreneurs, investors, and residents: We favor reigning power players and political clout over innovation and competition. Utility profits should not be placed ahead of Ohioans' best interests, and especially not at their personal expense.

If you believe Ohio should have electricity that is clean, reliable, and affordable, please reject FirstEnergy's request.

Thank you,

Ms. Jolene Miller  
662 Islington St  
Toledo, OH 43610-1336  
[jolene278@gmail.com](mailto:jolene278@gmail.com)

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Johnson, Thomas  
**Sent:** Friday, January 08, 2016 11:00 AM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout

---

From: Environmental Defense Fund On Behalf Of Shawn Seiser  
Sent: Friday, January 8, 2016 10:59:29 AM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)  
To: Johnson, Thomas  
Subject: Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout

Jan 8, 2016

Commissioner Thomas Johnson

Dear Commissioner Johnson,

I'm writing today to ask you to say no to FirstEnergy's request for the PUCO to authorize substantial, customer-funded subsidies to bail out its uneconomic power plants.

With the new settlement, FirstEnergy's proposal went from bad to worse.

Please safeguard market competition and reject FirstEnergy's proposal, so that a utility can no longer cross-subsidize or favor its affiliate companies in order to block competition. Approving the deal would send a clear message to Ohio's businesses, entrepreneurs, investors, and residents: We favor reigning power players and political clout over innovation and competition. Utility profits should not be placed ahead of Ohioans' best interests, and especially not at their personal expense.

If you believe Ohio should have electricity that is clean, reliable, and affordable, please reject FirstEnergy's request.

Thank you,

Mr. Shawn Seiser  
1411 N 6th St  
Columbus, OH 43201-2507  
[shawnmseiser@yahoo.com](mailto:shawnmseiser@yahoo.com)

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Johnson, Thomas  
**Sent:** Friday, January 08, 2016 10:59 AM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout

---

From: Environmental Defense Fund On Behalf Of Craig Ballweg  
Sent: Friday, January 8, 2016 10:59:05 AM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)  
To: Johnson, Thomas  
Subject: Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout

Jan 8, 2016

Commissioner Thomas Johnson

Dear Commissioner Johnson,

I'm writing today to ask you to say no to FirstEnergy's request for the PUCO to authorize substantial, customer-funded subsidies to bail out its uneconomic power plants.

With the new settlement, FirstEnergy's proposal went from bad to worse. Please safeguard market competition and reject FirstEnergy's proposal, so that a utility can no longer cross-subsidize or favor its affiliate companies in order to block competition. Approving the deal would send a clear message to Ohio's businesses, entrepreneurs, investors, and residents: We favor reigning power players and political clout over innovation and competition. Utility profits should not be placed ahead of Ohioans' best interests, and especially not at their personal expense.

If you believe Ohio should have electricity that is clean, reliable, and affordable, please reject FirstEnergy's request.

Thank you,

Mr. Craig Ballweg  
1001 Magnolia St  
Saint Marys, OH 45885-1410  
(419) 394-2728  
[cballweg59@msn.com](mailto:cballweg59@msn.com)

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Johnson, Thomas  
**Sent:** Friday, January 08, 2016 10:33 AM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout

---

From: Environmental Defense Fund On Behalf Of Dennis Fuller  
Sent: Friday, January 8, 2016 10:33:11 AM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)  
To: Johnson, Thomas  
Subject: Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout

Jan 8, 2016

Commissioner Thomas Johnson

Dear Commissioner Johnson,

I'm writing today to ask you to say no to FirstEnergy's request for the PUCO to authorize substantial, customer-funded subsidies to bail out its uneconomic power plants.

With the new settlement, FirstEnergy's proposal went from bad to worse. Please safeguard market competition and reject FirstEnergy's proposal, so that a utility can no longer cross-subsidize or favor its affiliate companies in order to block competition. Approving the deal would send a clear message to Ohio's businesses, entrepreneurs, investors, and residents: We favor reigning power players and political clout over innovation and competition. Utility profits should not be placed ahead of Ohioans' best interests, and especially not at their personal expense.

If you believe Ohio should have electricity that is clean, reliable, and affordable, please reject FirstEnergy's request.

Thank you,

Mr. Dennis Fuller  
859 Village Ln  
Defiance, OH 43512-3748  
[dennisfuller52@yahoo.com](mailto:dennisfuller52@yahoo.com)

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Johnson, Thomas  
**Sent:** Friday, January 08, 2016 10:32 AM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout

---

From: Environmental Defense Fund On Behalf Of Irma pedro  
Sent: Friday, January 8, 2016 10:32:07 AM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)  
To: Johnson, Thomas  
Subject: Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout

Jan 8, 2016

Commissioner Thomas Johnson

Dear Commissioner Johnson,

I'm writing today to ask you to say no to FirstEnergy's request for the PUCO to authorize substantial, customer-funded subsidies to bail out its uneconomic power plants.

With the new settlement, FirstEnergy's proposal went from bad to worse.

Please safeguard market competition and reject FirstEnergy's proposal, so that a utility can no longer cross-subsidize or favor its affiliate companies in order to block competition. Approving the deal would send a clear message to Ohio's businesses, entrepreneurs, investors, and residents: We favor reigning power players and political clout over innovation and competition. Utility profits should not be placed ahead of Ohioans' best interests, and especially not at their personal expense.

If you believe Ohio should have electricity that is clean, reliable, and affordable, please reject FirstEnergy's request.

Thank you,

Ms. Irma pedro  
2083 W 41st St  
Cleveland, OH 44113-3875  
(216) 855-4049  
[ijpedro21@gmail.com](mailto:ijpedro21@gmail.com)

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Johnson, Thomas  
**Sent:** Friday, January 08, 2016 10:32 AM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout

---

From: Environmental Defense Fund On Behalf Of Katherine Carmer  
Sent: Friday, January 8, 2016 10:31:41 AM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)  
To: Johnson, Thomas  
Subject: Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout

Jan 8, 2016

Commissioner Thomas Johnson

Dear Commissioner Johnson,

I'm writing today to ask you to say no to FirstEnergy's request for the PUCO to authorize substantial, customer-funded subsidies to bail out its uneconomic power plants.

With the new settlement, FirstEnergy's proposal went from bad to worse. Please safeguard market competition and reject FirstEnergy's proposal, so that a utility can no longer cross-subsidize or favor its affiliate companies in order to block competition. Approving the deal would send a clear message to Ohio's businesses, entrepreneurs, investors, and residents: We favor reigning power players and political clout over innovation and competition. Utility profits should not be placed ahead of Ohioans' best interests, and especially not at their personal expense.

If you believe Ohio should have electricity that is clean, reliable, and affordable, please reject FirstEnergy's request.

Thank you,

Ms. Katherine Carmer  
415 N East St Apt 310  
Hillsboro, OH 45133-1155  
(937) 402-4556  
[childofgod102857@yahoo.com](mailto:childofgod102857@yahoo.com)



## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Johnson, Thomas  
**Sent:** Friday, January 08, 2016 10:31 AM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout

---

From: Environmental Defense Fund On Behalf Of Lynn Goodman  
Sent: Friday, January 8, 2016 10:31:08 AM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)  
To: Johnson, Thomas  
Subject: Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout

Jan 8, 2016

Commissioner Thomas Johnson

Dear Commissioner Johnson,

I'm writing today to ask you to say no to FirstEnergy's request for the PUCO to authorize substantial, customer-funded subsidies to bail out its uneconomic power plants.

With the new settlement, FirstEnergy's proposal went from bad to worse. Please safeguard market competition and reject FirstEnergy's proposal, so that a utility can no longer cross-subsidize or favor its affiliate companies in order to block competition. Approving the deal would send a clear message to Ohio's businesses, entrepreneurs, investors, and residents: We favor reigning power players and political clout over innovation and competition. Utility profits should not be placed ahead of Ohioans' best interests, and especially not at their personal expense.

If you believe Ohio should have electricity that is clean, reliable, and affordable, please reject FirstEnergy's request.

Thank you,

Ms. Lynn Goodman  
1210 Rosemount Rd  
Portsmouth, OH 45662-6648  
[anasazigrill@gmail.com](mailto:anasazigrill@gmail.com)

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Johnson, Thomas  
**Sent:** Friday, January 08, 2016 10:31 AM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout

---

From: Environmental Defense Fund On Behalf Of Patricia Hanavan  
Sent: Friday, January 8, 2016 10:30:52 AM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)  
To: Johnson, Thomas  
Subject: Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout

Jan 8, 2016

Commissioner Thomas Johnson

Dear Commissioner Johnson,

I'm writing today to ask you to say no to FirstEnergy's request for the PUCO to authorize substantial, customer-funded subsidies to bail out its uneconomic power plants.

With the new settlement, FirstEnergy's proposal went from bad to worse. Please safeguard market competition and reject FirstEnergy's proposal, so that a utility can no longer cross-subsidize or favor its affiliate companies in order to block competition. Approving the deal would send a clear message to Ohio's businesses, entrepreneurs, investors, and residents: We favor reigning power players and political clout over innovation and competition. Utility profits should not be placed ahead of Ohioans' best interests, and especially not at their personal expense.

If you believe Ohio should have electricity that is clean, reliable, and affordable, please reject FirstEnergy's request.

Thank you,

Ms. Patricia Hanavan  
2935 Scarborough Rd  
Cleveland Heights, OH 44118-4045  
[patrelf1@gmail.com](mailto:patrelf1@gmail.com)

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Johnson, Thomas  
**Sent:** Friday, January 08, 2016 10:30 AM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout

---

From: Environmental Defense Fund On Behalf Of Jeffrey Hayden  
Sent: Friday, January 8, 2016 10:29:38 AM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)  
To: Johnson, Thomas  
Subject: Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout

Jan 8, 2016

Commissioner Thomas Johnson

Dear Commissioner Johnson,

I'm writing today to ask you to say no to FirstEnergy's request for the PUCO to authorize substantial, customer-funded subsidies to bail out its uneconomic power plants.

With the new settlement, FirstEnergy's proposal went from bad to worse. Please safeguard market competition and reject FirstEnergy's proposal, so that a utility can no longer cross-subsidize or favor its affiliate companies in order to block competition. Approving the deal would send a clear message to Ohio's businesses, entrepreneurs, investors, and residents: We favor reigning power players and political clout over innovation and competition. Utility profits should not be placed ahead of Ohioans' best interests, and especially not at their personal expense.

If you believe Ohio should have electricity that is clean, reliable, and affordable, please reject FirstEnergy's request.

Thank you,

Mr. Jeffrey Hayden  
828 Lafayette Blvd  
Bowling Green, OH 43402-1416  
(419) 297-6865  
[jeffahayden@gmail.com](mailto:jeffahayden@gmail.com)

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Johnson, Thomas  
**Sent:** Friday, January 08, 2016 10:29 AM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout

---

From: Environmental Defense Fund On Behalf Of Kathy Crisler  
Sent: Friday, January 8, 2016 10:28:45 AM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)  
To: Johnson, Thomas  
Subject: Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout

Jan 8, 2016

Commissioner Thomas Johnson

Dear Commissioner Johnson,

I'm writing today to ask you to say no to FirstEnergy's request for the PUCO to authorize substantial, customer-funded subsidies to bail out its uneconomic power plants.

With the new settlement, FirstEnergy's proposal went from bad to worse. Please safeguard market competition and reject FirstEnergy's proposal, so that a utility can no longer cross-subsidize or favor its affiliate companies in order to block competition. Approving the deal would send a clear message to Ohio's businesses, entrepreneurs, investors, and residents: We favor reigning power players and political clout over innovation and competition. Utility profits should not be placed ahead of Ohioans' best interests, and especially not at their personal expense.

If you believe Ohio should have electricity that is clean, reliable, and affordable, please reject FirstEnergy's request.

Thank you,

Mrs. Kathy Crisler  
10659 Bremen Rd  
Logan, OH 43138-9573  
[jkcrisler@hockingcounty.com](mailto:jkcrisler@hockingcounty.com)

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Porter, Andre  
**Sent:** Friday, January 08, 2016 10:15 AM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

-----Original Message-----

From: Marlene Burrell [<mailto:marlene.Burrell@gmail.com>]  
Sent: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 7:32 PM  
To: Porter, Andre <[Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us](mailto:Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us)>  
Subject: Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

Commissioner

After more than a year of public hearings, thousands of citizens calling for its rejection, countless legal hearings and expert testimony, FirstEnergy has failed to explain why propping up their aging, inefficient, dirty power plants makes any sense .

The proposed settlement that is pending before you and your fellow Commissioners does nothing to mitigate the environmental and public health impacts these coal plants will have in Ohio and beyond. The proposed settlement flies in the face of Ohio policy that honors customer choice. And just as egregious is asking Ohioans - the very same people who will suffer from air pollution and will have diminished energy choice under this proposed deal - to pick up the tab.

It is past time for FirstEnergy to adapt to a competitive market that is becoming more and more favorable to cleaner resources; the PUCO should encourage them to innovate and invest in the energy model of the future instead of doubling down on the energy model of the past.

Please stand with Ohio families and small businesses and reject this proposed settlement.

Thank you for your time in considering this request.

Marlene Burrell  
11177 Brass road  
mineral city, OH 44656

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Porter, Andre  
**Sent:** Friday, January 08, 2016 10:15 AM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

-----Original Message-----

From: Alaina McCleery [<mailto:mccleery.11@gmail.com>]  
Sent: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 9:16 AM  
To: Porter, Andre <[Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us](mailto:Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us)>  
Subject: Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

Commissioner

After more than a year of public hearings, thousands of citizens calling for its rejection, countless legal hearings and expert testimony, FirstEnergy has failed to explain why propping up their aging, inefficient, dirty power plants makes any sense .

The proposed settlement that is pending before you and your fellow Commissioners does nothing to mitigate the environmental and public health impacts these coal plants will have in Ohio and beyond. The proposed settlement flies in the face of Ohio policy that honors customer choice. And just as egregious is asking Ohioans - the very same people who will suffer from air pollution and will have diminished energy choice under this proposed deal - to pick up the tab.

It is past time for FirstEnergy to adapt to a competitive market that is becoming more and more favorable to cleaner resources; the PUCO should encourage them to innovate and invest in the energy model of the future instead of doubling down on the energy model of the past.

Please stand with Ohio families and small businesses and reject this proposed settlement.

Thank you for your time in considering this request.

Alaina McCleery  
874 Dennison Ave  
Columbus, OH 43215

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Porter, Andre  
**Sent:** Friday, January 08, 2016 10:15 AM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Matt Niekamp [<mailto:mattn76@hotmail.com>]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, December 02, 2015 7:40 PM  
**To:** Porter, Andre <[Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us](mailto:Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us)>  
**Subject:** Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

Commissioner

After more than a year of public hearings, thousands of citizens calling for its rejection, countless legal hearings and expert testimony, FirstEnergy has failed to explain why propping up their aging, inefficient, dirty power plants makes any sense .

The proposed settlement that is pending before you and your fellow Commissioners does nothing to mitigate the environmental and public health impacts these coal plants will have in Ohio and beyond. The proposed settlement flies in the face of Ohio policy that honors customer choice. And just as egregious is asking Ohioans - the very same people who will suffer from air pollution and will have diminished energy choice under this proposed deal - to pick up the tab.

It is past time for FirstEnergy to adapt to a competitive market that is becoming more and more favorable to cleaner resources; the PUCO should encourage them to innovate and invest in the energy model of the future instead of doubling down on the energy model of the past.

Please stand with Ohio families and small businesses and reject this proposed settlement.

Thank you for your time in considering this request.

Matt Niekamp  
281 North Sycamore Street  
Saint Henry, OH 45883

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Porter, Andre  
**Sent:** Friday, January 08, 2016 10:15 AM  
**To:** Puc Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

-----Original Message-----

From: Kim Landsbergen PhD [<mailto:Kim.landsbergen@gmail.com>]  
Sent: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 8:04 PM  
To: Porter, Andre <[Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us](mailto:Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us)>  
Subject: Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

Commissioner

I'm emailing to request that the PUCO represent the states citizens and not just its energy companies.

Please Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement.

Thank you.

Kim Landsbergen PhD  
2615 Wellesley drive  
Upper Arlington, OH 43221



## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Porter, Andre  
**Sent:** Friday, January 08, 2016 10:15 AM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

-----Original Message-----

From: Melanie Houston [<mailto:Melanie.houston.88@gmail.com>]  
Sent: Thursday, December 03, 2015 12:16 PM  
To: Porter, Andre <[Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us](mailto:Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us)>  
Subject: Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

Commissioner

After more than a year of public hearings, thousands of citizens calling for its rejection, countless legal hearings and expert testimony, FirstEnergy has failed to explain why propping up their aging, inefficient, dirty power plants makes any sense .

The proposed settlement that is pending before you and your fellow Commissioners does nothing to mitigate the environmental and public health impacts these coal plants will have in Ohio and beyond. The proposed settlement flies in the face of Ohio policy that honors customer choice. And just as egregious is asking Ohioans - the very same people who will suffer from air pollution and will have diminished energy choice under this proposed deal - to pick up the tab.

It is past time for FirstEnergy to adapt to a competitive market that is becoming more and more favorable to cleaner resources; the PUCO should encourage them to innovate and invest in the energy model of the future instead of doubling down on the energy model of the past.

Please stand with Ohio families and small businesses and reject this proposed settlement.

Thank you for your time in considering this request.

Melanie Houston  
1008 Palmer road  
Columbus, OH 43212

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Porter, Andre  
**Sent:** Friday, January 08, 2016 10:15 AM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

-----Original Message-----

From: Matthew Bockey [<mailto:mbockey@law.capital.edu>]  
Sent: Thursday, December 03, 2015 1:08 PM  
To: Porter, Andre <[Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us](mailto:Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us)>  
Subject: Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

Commissioner

After more than a year of public hearings, thousands of citizens calling for its rejection, countless legal hearings and expert testimony, FirstEnergy has failed to explain why propping up their aging, inefficient, dirty power plants makes any sense .

The proposed settlement that is pending before you and your fellow Commissioners does nothing to mitigate the environmental and public health impacts these coal plants will have in Ohio and beyond. The proposed settlement flies in the face of Ohio policy that honors customer choice. And just as egregious is asking Ohioans - the very same people who will suffer from air pollution and will have diminished energy choice under this proposed deal - to pick up the tab.

It is past time for FirstEnergy to adapt to a competitive market that is becoming more and more favorable to cleaner resources; the PUCO should encourage them to innovate and invest in the energy model of the future instead of doubling down on the energy model of the past.

Please stand with Ohio families and small businesses and reject this proposed settlement.

Thank you for your time in considering this request.

Matthew Bockey  
11410 Bockey Road  
Delphos, OH 45833

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Porter, Andre  
**Sent:** Friday, January 08, 2016 10:15 AM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

-----Original Message-----

From: David Schmitt [<mailto:djs@corsbassett.com>]  
Sent: Wednesday, December 16, 2015 3:23 PM  
To: Porter, Andre <[Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us](mailto:Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us)>  
Subject: Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

Commissioner

After more than a year of public hearings, thousands of citizens calling for its rejection, countless legal hearings and expert testimony, FirstEnergy has failed to explain why propping up their aging, inefficient, dirty power plants makes any sense .

The proposed settlement that is pending before you and your fellow Commissioners does nothing to mitigate the environmental and public health impacts these coal plants will have in Ohio and beyond. The proposed settlement flies in the face of Ohio policy that honors customer choice. And just as egregious is asking Ohioans - the very same people who will suffer from air pollution and will have diminished energy choice under this proposed deal - to pick up the tab.

It is past time for FirstEnergy to adapt to a competitive market that is becoming more and more favorable to cleaner resources; the PUCO should encourage them to innovate and invest in the energy model of the future instead of doubling down on the energy model of the past.

Please stand with Ohio families and small businesses and reject this proposed settlement.

Thank you for your time in considering this request.

David Schmitt  
537 E Pete Rose Way  
Suite 400  
Cincinnati, OH 45202

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Porter, Andre  
**Sent:** Friday, January 08, 2016 10:15 AM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

-----Original Message-----

From: Bob Krasen [<mailto:bkrasen@wowway.com>]  
Sent: Wednesday, December 16, 2015 3:41 PM  
To: Porter, Andre <[Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us](mailto:Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us)>  
Subject: Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

Commissioner

After more than a year of public hearings, thousands of citizens calling for its rejection, countless legal hearings and expert testimony, FirstEnergy has failed to explain why propping up their aging, inefficient, dirty power plants makes any sense .

The proposed settlement that is pending before you and your fellow Commissioners does nothing to mitigate the environmental and public health impacts these coal plants will have in Ohio and beyond. The proposed settlement flies in the face of Ohio policy that honors customer choice. And just as egregious is asking Ohioans - the very same people who will suffer from air pollution and will have diminished energy choice under this proposed deal - to pick up the tab.

It is past time for FirstEnergy to adapt to a competitive market that is becoming more and more favorable to cleaner resources; the PUCO should encourage them to innovate and invest in the energy model of the future instead of doubling down on the energy model of the past.

I continue to reflect on the fact that if Ohio was a nation, it would rank 15th in the world in CO2 and greenhouse gas creation, mostly because of the 20+ coal fired power plants within the State. This agreement doesn't change that in my lifetime.

Please stand with Ohio families and small businesses and reject this proposed settlement.

Thank you for your time in considering this request.

Bob Krasen  
566 Blenheim Road  
Columbus, OH 43214

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Porter, Andre  
**Sent:** Friday, January 08, 2016 10:15 AM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

-----Original Message-----

From: Martha Brown [mailto:[martha.brown884@gmail.com](mailto:martha.brown884@gmail.com)]  
Sent: Wednesday, December 16, 2015 4:00 PM  
To: Porter, Andre <[Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us](mailto:Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us)>  
Subject: Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

Commissioner

After more than a year of public hearings, thousands of citizens calling for its rejection, countless legal hearings and expert testimony, FirstEnergy has failed to explain why propping up their aging, inefficient, dirty power plants makes any sense .

The proposed settlement that is pending before you and your fellow Commissioners does nothing to mitigate the environmental and public health impacts these coal plants will have in Ohio and beyond. The proposed settlement flies in the face of Ohio policy that honors customer choice. And just as egregious is asking Ohioans - the very same people who will suffer from air pollution and will have diminished energy choice under this proposed deal - to pick up the tab.

It is past time for FirstEnergy to adapt to a competitive market that is becoming more and more favorable to cleaner resources; the PUCO should encourage them to innovate and invest in the energy model of the future instead of doubling down on the energy model of the past.

Please stand with Ohio families and small businesses and reject this proposed settlement.

Thank you for your time in considering this request.

Martha Brown  
4055 Colonial Ct  
Medina, OH 44256

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Porter, Andre  
**Sent:** Friday, January 08, 2016 10:15 AM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Joan Brasaemle [<mailto:joanknits2@frontier.com>]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, December 16, 2015 4:23 PM  
**To:** Porter, Andre <[Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us](mailto:Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us)>  
**Subject:** Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

Commissioner

After more than a year of public hearings, thousands of citizens calling for its rejection, countless legal hearings and expert testimony, FirstEnergy has failed to explain why propping up their aging, inefficient, dirty power plants makes any sense .

The proposed settlement that is pending before you and your fellow Commissioners does nothing to mitigate the environmental and public health impacts these coal plants will have in Ohio and beyond. The proposed settlement flies in the face of Ohio policy that honors customer choice. And just as egregious is asking Ohioans - the very same people who will suffer from air pollution and will have diminished energy choice under this proposed deal - to pick up the tab.

It is past time for FirstEnergy to adapt to a competitive market that is becoming more and more favorable to cleaner resources; the PUCO should encourage them to innovate and invest in the energy model of the future instead of doubling down on the energy model of the past.

Please stand with Ohio families and small businesses and reject this proposed settlement.

Thank you for your time in considering this request.

Joan Brasaemle  
4721 Copley Road  
4721 Copley Road  
Copley, OH 44321

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Porter, Andre  
**Sent:** Friday, January 08, 2016 10:15 AM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Joan Brasaemle [<mailto:joanknits2@frontier.com>]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, December 16, 2015 4:23 PM  
**To:** Porter, Andre <[Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us](mailto:Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us)>  
**Subject:** Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

Commissioner

After more than a year of public hearings, thousands of citizens calling for its rejection, countless legal hearings and expert testimony, FirstEnergy has failed to explain why propping up their aging, inefficient, dirty power plants makes any sense .

The proposed settlement that is pending before you and your fellow Commissioners does nothing to mitigate the environmental and public health impacts these coal plants will have in Ohio and beyond. The proposed settlement flies in the face of Ohio policy that honors customer choice. And just as egregious is asking Ohioans - the very same people who will suffer from air pollution and will have diminished energy choice under this proposed deal - to pick up the tab.

It is past time for FirstEnergy to adapt to a competitive market that is becoming more and more favorable to cleaner resources; the PUCO should encourage them to innovate and invest in the energy model of the future instead of doubling down on the energy model of the past.

Please stand with Ohio families and small businesses and reject this proposed settlement.

Thank you for your time in considering this request.

Joan Brasaemle  
4721 Copley Road  
4721 Copley Road  
Copley, OH 44321

## **Hunter, Donielle**

---

**From:** Porter, Andre  
**Sent:** Friday, January 08, 2016 10:15 AM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

-----Original Message-----

From: Ronald Russo [<mailto:rrusso1@sbcglobal.net>]  
Sent: Wednesday, December 16, 2015 5:21 PM  
To: Porter, Andre <[Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us](mailto:Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us)>  
Subject: Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

Commissioner

After more than a year of public hearings, thousands of citizens calling for its rejection, countless legal hearings and expert testimony, FirstEnergy has failed to explain why propping up their aging, inefficient, dirty power plants makes any sense .

The proposed settlement that is pending before you and your fellow Commissioners does nothing to mitigate the environmental and public health impacts these coal plants will have in Ohio and beyond. The proposed settlement flies in the face of Ohio policy that honors customer choice. And just as egregious is asking Ohioans - the very same people who will suffer from air pollution and will have diminished energy choice under this proposed deal - to pick up the tab.

It is past time for FirstEnergy to adapt to a competitive market that is becoming more and more favorable to cleaner resources; the PUCO should encourage them to innovate and invest in the energy model of the future instead of doubling down on the energy model of the past.

Please stand with Ohio families and small businesses and reject this proposed settlement.

Thank you for your time in considering this request.

Ronald Russo  
4834 Wood St  
Willoughby, OH 44094



## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Porter, Andre  
**Sent:** Friday, January 08, 2016 10:15 AM  
**To:** Puc Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

-----Original Message-----

From: Michael Klein [<mailto:mjklein3@ameritech.net>]  
Sent: Wednesday, December 16, 2015 6:31 PM  
To: Porter, Andre <[Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us](mailto:Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us)>  
Subject: Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

Commissioner

After more than a year of public hearings, thousands of citizens calling for its rejection, countless legal hearings and expert testimony, FirstEnergy has failed to explain why propping up their aging, inefficient, dirty power plants makes any sense .

The proposed settlement that is pending before you and your fellow Commissioners does nothing to mitigate the environmental and public health impacts these coal plants will have in Ohio and beyond. The proposed settlement flies in the face of Ohio policy that honors customer choice. And just as egregious is asking Ohioans - the very same people who will suffer from air pollution and will have diminished energy choice under this proposed deal - to pick up the tab.

It is past time for FirstEnergy to adapt to a competitive market that is becoming more and more favorable to cleaner resources; the PUCO should encourage them to innovate and invest in the energy model of the future instead of doubling down on the energy model of the past.

Please stand with Ohio families and small businesses and reject this proposed settlement.

Thank you for your time in considering this request.

DO NOT SUPPORT CORPORATE WELFARE FOR FIRST ENERGY OR ANY OF THESE COMPANIES! NO DEALS - BACKROOM OR OTHERWISE! THESE ARE PRIVATE COMPANIES WHO HAVE SHAREHOLDERS THAT SHOULD BE SHOULDERING THE COSTS OF BAD BUSINESS CHOICES AND FIRING BUSINESS LEADERS FOR POOR PERFORMANCE. THIS IS LIKE WHEN THEY TRIED TO STICK US WITH OVERPRICED CFLS THAT COST THRICE MORE THAN I COULD BUY THEM FOR AT WALMART OF HOME DEPOT! ANOTHER RIPOFF!

I CANNOT WAIT FOR REAL ENERGY CHOICE - WHEN I CAN PRODUCE POWER ON MY OWN ROOF AND NOT HAVE TO GET STABBED IN THE BACK BY A FALSELY LABELED CONSUMER WATCH-DOG AGENCY. PERSONAL POWER IS THE WAVE OF THE FUTURE!

IF YOU IMPLEMENT THIS, YOU WILL PROVE THE WORTHLESSNESS OF PUKE-OH, EXCEPT TO THE COMPANIES YOU FALSELY CLAIM TO PROTECT OUR INTERESTS WITH!!!!

Michael Klein  
733 W Market St  
Akron, OH 44303

**Hunter, Donielle**

---

**From:** Porter, Andre  
**Sent:** Friday, January 08, 2016 10:15 AM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Tom Butch [<mailto:butchy5@gmail.com>]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, December 16, 2015 7:07 PM  
**To:** Porter, Andre <[Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us](mailto:Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us)>  
**Subject:** Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

Commissioner

After more than a year of public hearings, thousands of citizens calling for its rejection, countless legal hearings and expert testimony, FirstEnergy has failed to explain why propping up their aging, inefficient, dirty power plants makes any sense .

The proposed settlement that is pending before you and your fellow Commissioners does nothing to mitigate the environmental and public health impacts these coal plants will have in Ohio and beyond. The proposed settlement flies in the face of Ohio policy that honors customer choice. And just as egregious is asking Ohioans - the very same people who will suffer from air pollution and will have diminished energy choice under this proposed deal - to pick up the tab.

It is past time for FirstEnergy to adapt to a competitive market that is becoming more and more favorable to cleaner resources; the PUCO should encourage them to innovate and invest in the energy model of the future instead of doubling down on the energy model of the past.

Please stand with Ohio families and small businesses and reject this proposed settlement.

Thank you for your time in considering this request.

Tom Butch  
3620 Conkle Rd  
Salem, OH 44460

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Porter, Andre  
**Sent:** Friday, January 08, 2016 10:15 AM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Michael Wildermuth (<mailto:wildermuth@alum.mit.edu>)  
**Sent:** Wednesday, December 16, 2015 7:38 PM  
**To:** Porter, Andre <[Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us](mailto:Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us)>  
**Subject:** Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

Commissioner

After more than a year of public hearings, thousands of citizens calling for its rejection, countless legal hearings and expert testimony, FirstEnergy has failed to explain why propping up their aging, inefficient, dirty power plants makes any sense .

The proposed settlement that is pending before you and your fellow Commissioners does nothing to mitigate the environmental and public health impacts these coal plants will have in Ohio and beyond. The proposed settlement flies in the face of Ohio policy that honors customer choice. And just as egregious is asking Ohioans - the very same people who will suffer from air pollution and will have diminished energy choice under this proposed deal - to pick up the tab.

It is past time for FirstEnergy to adapt to a competitive market that is becoming more and more favorable to cleaner resources; the PUCO should encourage them to innovate and invest in the energy model of the future instead of doubling down on the energy model of the past.

Please stand with Ohio families and small businesses and reject this proposed settlement.

Thank you for your time in considering this request.

Michael Wildermuth  
3801 Linfield Ln  
Lima, OH 45806

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Porter, Andre  
**Sent:** Friday, January 08, 2016 10:15 AM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

-----Original Message-----

**From:** john hansen [<mailto:john.f.hansen@centurylink.net>]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, December 16, 2015 8:22 PM  
**To:** Porter, Andre <[Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us](mailto:Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us)>  
**Subject:** Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

Commissioner

After more than a year of public hearings, thousands of citizens calling for its rejection, countless legal hearings and expert testimony, FirstEnergy has failed to explain why propping up their aging, inefficient, dirty power plants makes any sense .

The proposed settlement that is pending before you and your fellow Commissioners does nothing to mitigate the environmental and public health impacts these coal plants will have in Ohio and beyond. The proposed settlement flies in the face of Ohio policy that honors customer choice. And just as egregious is asking Ohioans - the very same people who will suffer from air pollution and will have diminished energy choice under this proposed deal - to pick up the tab.

It is past time for FirstEnergy to adapt to a competitive market that is becoming more and more favorable to cleaner resources; the PUCO should encourage them to innovate and invest in the energy model of the future instead of doubling down on the energy model of the past.

Please stand with Ohio families and small businesses and reject this proposed settlement.

Thank you for your time in considering this request.

john hansen  
29 Woodside Blvd  
Lexington, OH 44904

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Porter, Andre  
**Sent:** Friday, January 08, 2016 10:15 AM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Mary Bartos [<mailto:bartosm16@gmail.com>]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, December 16, 2015 10:06 PM  
**To:** Porter, Andre <[Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us](mailto:Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us)>  
**Subject:** Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

Commissioner

After more than a year of public hearings, thousands of citizens calling for its rejection, countless legal hearings and expert testimony, FirstEnergy has failed to explain why propping up their aging, inefficient, dirty power plants makes any sense .

The proposed settlement that is pending before you and your fellow Commissioners does nothing to mitigate the environmental and public health impacts these coal plants will have in Ohio and beyond. The proposed settlement flies in the face of Ohio policy that honors customer choice. And just as egregious is asking Ohioans - the very same people who will suffer from air pollution and will have diminished energy choice under this proposed deal - to pick up the tab.

It is past time for FirstEnergy to adapt to a competitive market that is becoming more and more favorable to cleaner resources; the PUCO should encourage them to innovate and invest in the energy model of the future instead of doubling down on the energy model of the past.

I urge you to deny any bailout to FirstEnergy so that it can continue business as usual and pass on the cost to Ohioans.

Please stand with Ohio families and small businesses and reject this proposed settlement.

Thank you for your time in considering this request.

Mary Bartos  
5936 Stumph Road  
Apt. 314  
Parma, OH 44130

## **Hunter, Donielle**

---

**From:** Porter, Andre  
**Sent:** Friday, January 08, 2016 10:15 AM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Rick Hamilton [<mailto:grhmkh@gmail.com>]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, December 16, 2015 10:22 PM  
**To:** Porter, Andre <[Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us](mailto:Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us)>  
**Subject:** Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

Commissioner

After more than a year of public hearings, thousands of citizens calling for its rejection, countless legal hearings and expert testimony, FirstEnergy has failed to explain why propping up their aging, inefficient, dirty power plants makes any sense .

The proposed settlement that is pending before you and your fellow Commissioners does nothing to mitigate the environmental and public health impacts these coal plants will have in Ohio and beyond. The proposed settlement flies in the face of Ohio policy that honors customer choice. And just as egregious is asking Ohioans - the very same people who will suffer from air pollution and will have diminished energy choice under this proposed deal - to pick up the tab.

It is past time for FirstEnergy to adapt to a competitive market that is becoming more and more favorable to cleaner resources; the PUCO should encourage them to innovate and invest in the energy model of the future instead of doubling down on the energy model of the past.

Please stand with Ohio families and small businesses and reject this proposed settlement.

Thank you for your time in considering this request.

Rick Hamilton  
3646 W. 148th St.  
Cleveland, OH 44111

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Porter, Andre  
**Sent:** Friday, January 08, 2016 10:15 AM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Kathleen Bradley [<mailto:kjbradley123@gmail.com>]  
**Sent:** Thursday, December 17, 2015 8:51 AM  
**To:** Porter, Andre <[Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us](mailto:Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us)>  
**Subject:** Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

Commissioner

After more than a year of public hearings, thousands of citizens calling for its rejection, countless legal hearings and expert testimony, FirstEnergy has failed to explain why propping up their aging, inefficient, dirty power plants makes any sense .

The proposed settlement that is pending before you and your fellow Commissioners does nothing to mitigate the environmental and public health impacts these coal plants will have in Ohio and beyond. The proposed settlement flies in the face of Ohio policy that honors customer choice. And just as egregious is asking Ohioans - the very same people who will suffer from air pollution and will have diminished energy choice under this proposed deal - to pick up the tab.

It is past time for FirstEnergy to adapt to a competitive market that is becoming more and more favorable to cleaner resources; the PUCO should encourage them to innovate and invest in the energy model of the future instead of doubling down on the energy model of the past.

Please stand with Ohio families and small businesses and reject this proposed settlement.

Thank you for your time in considering this request.

Kathleen Bradley  
6240 Big Creek Parkway, 3A  
Parma Heights, OH 44130



## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Porter, Andre  
**Sent:** Friday, January 08, 2016 10:15 AM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Susan Righi, MD [<mailto:sprighi@gmail.com>]  
**Sent:** Thursday, December 17, 2015 10:21 AM  
**To:** Porter, Andre <[Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us](mailto:Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us)>  
**Subject:** Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

Commissioner

First Energy's request to pass on the cost of maintaining old coal plants is wrong on many counts - public health, environment, economic and moral. Even if it were in the public's interest, the proposed agreement has no teeth - the company could fail to meet its promises with no real consequences.

After more than a year of public hearings, thousands of citizens calling for its rejection, countless legal hearings and expert testimony, FirstEnergy has failed to explain why propping up their aging, inefficient, dirty power plants makes any sense .

The proposed settlement that is pending before you and your fellow Commissioners does nothing to mitigate the environmental and public health impacts these coal plants will have in Ohio and beyond. The proposed settlement flies in the face of Ohio policy that honors customer choice. And just as egregious is asking Ohioans - the very same people who will suffer from air pollution and will have diminished energy choice under this proposed deal - to pick up the tab.

It is past time for FirstEnergy to adapt to a competitive market that is becoming more and more favorable to cleaner resources; the PUCO should encourage them to innovate and invest in the energy model of the future instead of doubling down on the energy model of the past.

Please stand with Ohio families and small businesses and reject this proposed settlement.

Thank you for your time in considering this request.

Susan Righi, MD  
29830 SR 356  
New Marshfield, OH 45766

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Porter, Andre  
**Sent:** Friday, January 08, 2016 10:15 AM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

-----Original Message-----

From: Robert LaPlante [<mailto:robert.e.laplante@gmail.com>]  
Sent: Monday, December 21, 2015 11:41 AM  
To: Porter, Andre <[Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us](mailto:Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us)>  
Subject: Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

Commissioner

After more than a year of public hearings, thousands of citizens calling for its rejection, countless legal hearings and expert testimony, FirstEnergy has failed to explain why propping up their aging, inefficient, dirty power plants makes any sense .

The proposed settlement that is pending before you and your fellow Commissioners does nothing to mitigate the environmental and public health impacts these coal plants will have in Ohio and beyond. The proposed settlement flies in the face of Ohio policy that honors customer choice. And just as egregious is asking Ohioans - the very same people who will suffer from air pollution and will have diminished energy choice under this proposed deal - to pick up the tab.

It is past time for FirstEnergy to adapt to a competitive market that is becoming more and more favorable to cleaner resources; the PUCO should encourage them to innovate and invest in the energy model of the future instead of doubling down on the energy model of the past.

Please stand with Ohio families and small businesses and reject this proposed settlement.

Thank you for your time in considering this request.

Robert LaPlante  
8486 Camden CT  
Broadview Heights, OH 44147

**Hunter, Donielle**

---

**From:** Porter, Andre  
**Sent:** Friday, January 08, 2016 10:15 AM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

-----Original Message-----

From: Allen Strous [<mailto:a.strous@hotmail.com>]  
Sent: Wednesday, December 30, 2015 6:05 PM  
To: Porter, Andre <[Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us](mailto:Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us)>  
Subject: Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

Commissioner

After more than a year of public hearings, thousands of citizens calling for its rejection, countless legal hearings and expert testimony, FirstEnergy has failed to explain why propping up their aging, inefficient, dirty power plants makes any sense .

The proposed settlement that is pending before you and your fellow Commissioners does nothing to mitigate the environmental and public health impacts these coal plants will have in Ohio and beyond. The proposed settlement flies in the face of Ohio policy that honors customer choice. And just as egregious is asking Ohioans - the very same people who will suffer from air pollution and will have diminished energy choice under this proposed deal - to pick up the tab.

It is past time for FirstEnergy to adapt to a competitive market that is becoming more and more favorable to cleaner resources; the PUCO should encourage them to innovate and invest in the energy model of the future instead of doubling down on the energy model of the past.

Please stand with Ohio families and small businesses and reject this proposed settlement.

Thank you for your time in considering this request.

Allen Strous  
11339 Spangler Rd  
Circleville, OH 43113

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Porter, Andre  
**Sent:** Friday, January 08, 2016 10:15 AM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Alaina McCleery [<mailto:mccleery.11@gmail.com>]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, December 02, 2015 9:16 AM  
**To:** Porter, Andre <[Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us](mailto:Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us)>  
**Subject:** Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

Commissioner

After more than a year of public hearings, thousands of citizens calling for its rejection, countless legal hearings and expert testimony, FirstEnergy has failed to explain why propping up their aging, inefficient, dirty power plants makes any sense .

The proposed settlement that is pending before you and your fellow Commissioners does nothing to mitigate the environmental and public health impacts these coal plants will have in Ohio and beyond. The proposed settlement flies in the face of Ohio policy that honors customer choice. And just as egregious is asking Ohioans - the very same people who will suffer from air pollution and will have diminished energy choice under this proposed deal - to pick up the tab.

It is past time for FirstEnergy to adapt to a competitive market that is becoming more and more favorable to cleaner resources; the PUCO should encourage them to innovate and invest in the energy model of the future instead of doubling down on the energy model of the past.

Please stand with Ohio families and small businesses and reject this proposed settlement.

Thank you for your time in considering this request.

Alaina McCleery  
874 Dennison Ave  
Columbus, OH 43215

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Porter, Andre  
**Sent:** Friday, January 08, 2016 10:15 AM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

-----Original Message-----

From: Matt Niekamp [<mailto:mattn76@hotmail.com>]  
Sent: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 7:40 PM  
To: Porter, Andre <[Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us](mailto:Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us)>  
Subject: Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

Commissioner

After more than a year of public hearings, thousands of citizens calling for its rejection, countless legal hearings and expert testimony, FirstEnergy has failed to explain why propping up their aging, inefficient, dirty power plants makes any sense .

The proposed settlement that is pending before you and your fellow Commissioners does nothing to mitigate the environmental and public health impacts these coal plants will have in Ohio and beyond. The proposed settlement flies in the face of Ohio policy that honors customer choice. And just as egregious is asking Ohioans - the very same people who will suffer from air pollution and will have diminished energy choice under this proposed deal - to pick up the tab.

It is past time for FirstEnergy to adapt to a competitive market that is becoming more and more favorable to cleaner resources; the PUCO should encourage them to innovate and invest in the energy model of the future instead of doubling down on the energy model of the past.

Please stand with Ohio families and small businesses and reject this proposed settlement.

Thank you for your time in considering this request.

Matt Niekamp  
281 North Sycamore Street  
Saint Henry, OH 45883

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Porter, Andre  
**Sent:** Friday, January 08, 2016 10:15 AM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Joan Brasaemle [<mailto:joanknits2@frontier.com>]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, December 16, 2015 4:23 PM  
**To:** Porter, Andre <[Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us](mailto:Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us)>  
**Subject:** Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

Commissioner

After more than a year of public hearings, thousands of citizens calling for its rejection, countless legal hearings and expert testimony, FirstEnergy has failed to explain why propping up their aging, inefficient, dirty power plants makes any sense .

The proposed settlement that is pending before you and your fellow Commissioners does nothing to mitigate the environmental and public health impacts these coal plants will have in Ohio and beyond. The proposed settlement flies in the face of Ohio policy that honors customer choice. And just as egregious is asking Ohioans - the very same people who will suffer from air pollution and will have diminished energy choice under this proposed deal - to pick up the tab.

It is past time for FirstEnergy to adapt to a competitive market that is becoming more and more favorable to cleaner resources; the PUCO should encourage them to innovate and invest in the energy model of the future instead of doubling down on the energy model of the past.

Please stand with Ohio families and small businesses and reject this proposed settlement.

Thank you for your time in considering this request.

Joan Brasaemle  
4721 Copley Road  
4721 Copley Road  
Copley, OH 44321

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Porter, Andre  
**Sent:** Friday, January 08, 2016 10:15 AM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Brenda Eastlake [<mailto:eastlake@windstream.net>]  
**Sent:** Thursday, December 17, 2015 7:55 AM  
**To:** Porter, Andre <[Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us](mailto:Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us)>  
**Subject:** Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

Commissioner

After more than a year of public hearings, thousands of citizens calling for its rejection, countless legal hearings and expert testimony, FirstEnergy has failed to explain why propping up their aging, inefficient, dirty power plants makes any sense .

The proposed settlement that is pending before you and your fellow Commissioners does nothing to mitigate the environmental and public health impacts these coal plants will have in Ohio and beyond. The proposed settlement flies in the face of Ohio policy that honors customer choice. And just as egregious is asking Ohioans - the very same people who will suffer from air pollution and will have diminished energy choice under this proposed deal - to pick up the tab.

It is past time for FirstEnergy to adapt to a competitive market that is becoming more and more favorable to cleaner resources; the PUCO should encourage them to innovate and invest in the energy model of the future instead of doubling down on the energy model of the past.

Please stand with Ohio families and small businesses and reject this proposed settlement.

Thank you for your time in considering this request.

Brenda Eastlake  
11575 Kile Road  
Chardon, OH 44024

**Hunter, Donielle**

---

**From:** Porter, Andre  
**Sent:** Friday, January 08, 2016 10:15 AM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

-----Original Message-----

From: Kim Landsbergen PhD [<mailto:Kim.landsbergen@gmail.com>]  
Sent: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 8:04 PM  
To: Porter, Andre <[Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us](mailto:Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us)>  
Subject: Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

Commissioner

I'm emailing to request that the PUCO represent the states citizens and not just its energy companies.

Please Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement.

Thank you.

Kim Landsbergen PhD  
2615 Wellesley drive  
Upper Arlington, OH 43221



## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Porter, Andre  
**Sent:** Friday, January 08, 2016 10:15 AM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Joan Brasaemle [<mailto:joanknits2@frontier.com>]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, December 16, 2015 4:23 PM  
**To:** Porter, Andre <[Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us](mailto:Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us)>  
**Subject:** Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

Commissioner

After more than a year of public hearings, thousands of citizens calling for its rejection, countless legal hearings and expert testimony, FirstEnergy has failed to explain why propping up their aging, inefficient, dirty power plants makes any sense .

The proposed settlement that is pending before you and your fellow Commissioners does nothing to mitigate the environmental and public health impacts these coal plants will have in Ohio and beyond. The proposed settlement flies in the face of Ohio policy that honors customer choice. And just as egregious is asking Ohioans - the very same people who will suffer from air pollution and will have diminished energy choice under this proposed deal - to pick up the tab.

It is past time for FirstEnergy to adapt to a competitive market that is becoming more and more favorable to cleaner resources; the PUCO should encourage them to innovate and invest in the energy model of the future instead of doubling down on the energy model of the past.

Please stand with Ohio families and small businesses and reject this proposed settlement.

Thank you for your time in considering this request.

Joan Brasaemle  
4721 Copley Road  
4721 Copley Road  
Copley, OH 44321

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Porter, Andre  
**Sent:** Friday, January 08, 2016 10:15 AM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

-----Original Message-----

From: Melanie Houston (<mailto:Melanie.houston.88@gmail.com>)  
Sent: Thursday, December 03, 2015 12:16 PM  
To: Porter, Andre <[Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us](mailto:Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us)>  
Subject: Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

Commissioner

After more than a year of public hearings, thousands of citizens calling for its rejection, countless legal hearings and expert testimony, FirstEnergy has failed to explain why propping up their aging, inefficient, dirty power plants makes any sense .

The proposed settlement that is pending before you and your fellow Commissioners does nothing to mitigate the environmental and public health impacts these coal plants will have in Ohio and beyond. The proposed settlement flies in the face of Ohio policy that honors customer choice. And just as egregious is asking Ohioans - the very same people who will suffer from air pollution and will have diminished energy choice under this proposed deal - to pick up the tab.

It is past time for FirstEnergy to adapt to a competitive market that is becoming more and more favorable to cleaner resources; the PUCO should encourage them to innovate and invest in the energy model of the future instead of doubling down on the energy model of the past.

Please stand with Ohio families and small businesses and reject this proposed settlement.

Thank you for your time in considering this request.

Melanie Houston  
1008 Palmer road  
Columbus, OH 43212

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Porter, Andre  
**Sent:** Friday, January 08, 2016 10:15 AM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Ronald Russo [<mailto:rrusso1@sbcglobal.net>]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, December 16, 2015 5:21 PM  
**To:** Porter, Andre <[Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us](mailto:Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us)>  
**Subject:** Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

Commissioner

After more than a year of public hearings, thousands of citizens calling for its rejection, countless legal hearings and expert testimony, FirstEnergy has failed to explain why propping up their aging, inefficient, dirty power plants makes any sense .

The proposed settlement that is pending before you and your fellow Commissioners does nothing to mitigate the environmental and public health impacts these coal plants will have in Ohio and beyond. The proposed settlement flies in the face of Ohio policy that honors customer choice. And just as egregious is asking Ohioans - the very same people who will suffer from air pollution and will have diminished energy choice under this proposed deal - to pick up the tab.

It is past time for FirstEnergy to adapt to a competitive market that is becoming more and more favorable to cleaner resources; the PUCO should encourage them to innovate and invest in the energy model of the future instead of doubling down on the energy model of the past.

Please stand with Ohio families and small businesses and reject this proposed settlement.

Thank you for your time in considering this request.

Ronald Russo  
4834 Wood St  
Willoughby, OH 44094

## **Hunter, Donielle**

---

**From:** Porter, Andre  
**Sent:** Friday, January 08, 2016 10:15 AM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Matthew Bockey [<mailto:mbockey@law.capital.edu>]  
**Sent:** Thursday, December 03, 2015 1:08 PM  
**To:** Porter, Andre <[Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us](mailto:Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us)>  
**Subject:** Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

Commissioner

After more than a year of public hearings, thousands of citizens calling for its rejection, countless legal hearings and expert testimony, FirstEnergy has failed to explain why propping up their aging, inefficient, dirty power plants makes any sense .

The proposed settlement that is pending before you and your fellow Commissioners does nothing to mitigate the environmental and public health impacts these coal plants will have in Ohio and beyond. The proposed settlement flies in the face of Ohio policy that honors customer choice. And just as egregious is asking Ohioans - the very same people who will suffer from air pollution and will have diminished energy choice under this proposed deal - to pick up the tab.

It is past time for FirstEnergy to adapt to a competitive market that is becoming more and more favorable to cleaner resources; the PUCO should encourage them to innovate and invest in the energy model of the future instead of doubling down on the energy model of the past.

Please stand with Ohio families and small businesses and reject this proposed settlement.

Thank you for your time in considering this request.

Matthew Bockey  
11410 Bockey Road  
Delphos, OH 45833

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Porter, Andre  
**Sent:** Friday, January 08, 2016 10:15 AM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

-----Original Message-----

From: Michael Klein [<mailto:mjklein3@ameritech.net>]  
Sent: Wednesday, December 16, 2015 6:31 PM  
To: Porter, Andre <[Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us](mailto:Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us)>  
Subject: Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

Commissioner

After more than a year of public hearings, thousands of citizens calling for its rejection, countless legal hearings and expert testimony, FirstEnergy has failed to explain why propping up their aging, inefficient, dirty power plants makes any sense .

The proposed settlement that is pending before you and your fellow Commissioners does nothing to mitigate the environmental and public health impacts these coal plants will have in Ohio and beyond. The proposed settlement flies in the face of Ohio policy that honors customer choice. And just as egregious is asking Ohioans - the very same people who will suffer from air pollution and will have diminished energy choice under this proposed deal - to pick up the tab.

It is past time for FirstEnergy to adapt to a competitive market that is becoming more and more favorable to cleaner resources; the PUCO should encourage them to innovate and invest in the energy model of the future instead of doubling down on the energy model of the past.

Please stand with Ohio families and small businesses and reject this proposed settlement.

Thank you for your time in considering this request.

DO NOT SUPPORT CORPORATE WELFARE FOR FIRST ENERGY OR ANY OF THESE COMPANIES! NO DEALS - BACKROOM OR OTHERWISE! THESE ARE PRIVATE COMPANIES WHO HAVE SHAREHOLDERS THAT SHOULD BE SHOULDERING THE COSTS OF BAD BUSINESS CHOICES AND FIRING BUSINESS LEADERS FOR POOR PERFORMANCE. THIS IS LIKE WHEN THEY TRIED TO STICK US WITH OVERPRICED CFLS THAT COST THRICE MORE THAN I COULD BUY THEM FOR AT WALMART OF HOME DEPOT! ANOTHER RIPOFF!

I CANNOT WAIT FOR REAL ENERGY CHOICE - WHEN I CAN PRODUCE POWER ON MY OWN ROOF AND NOT HAVE TO GET STABBED IN THE BACK BY A FALSELY LABELED CONSUMER WATCH-DOG AGENCY. PERSONAL POWER IS THE WAVE OF THE FUTURE!

IF YOU IMPLEMENT THIS, YOU WILL PROVE THE WORTHLESSNESS OF PUKE-OH, EXCEPT TO THE COMPANIES YOU FALSELY CLAIM TO PROTECT OUR INTERESTS WITH!!!!

Michael Klein  
733 W Market St  
Akron, OH 44303

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Porter, Andre  
**Sent:** Friday, January 08, 2016 10:15 AM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

-----Original Message-----

**From:** David Schmitt [<mailto:djs@corsbassett.com>]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, December 16, 2015 3:23 PM  
**To:** Porter, Andre <[Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us](mailto:Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us)>  
**Subject:** Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

Commissioner

After more than a year of public hearings, thousands of citizens calling for its rejection, countless legal hearings and expert testimony, FirstEnergy has failed to explain why propping up their aging, inefficient, dirty power plants makes any sense .

The proposed settlement that is pending before you and your fellow Commissioners does nothing to mitigate the environmental and public health impacts these coal plants will have in Ohio and beyond. The proposed settlement flies in the face of Ohio policy that honors customer choice. And just as egregious is asking Ohioans - the very same people who will suffer from air pollution and will have diminished energy choice under this proposed deal - to pick up the tab.

It is past time for FirstEnergy to adapt to a competitive market that is becoming more and more favorable to cleaner resources; the PUCO should encourage them to innovate and invest in the energy model of the future instead of doubling down on the energy model of the past.

Please stand with Ohio families and small businesses and reject this proposed settlement.

Thank you for your time in considering this request.

David Schmitt  
537 E Pete Rose Way  
Suite 400  
Cincinnati, OH 45202

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Porter, Andre  
**Sent:** Friday, January 08, 2016 10:15 AM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Tom Butch [<mailto:butchy5@gmail.com>]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, December 16, 2015 7:07 PM  
**To:** Porter, Andre <[Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us](mailto:Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us)>  
**Subject:** Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

Commissioner

After more than a year of public hearings, thousands of citizens calling for its rejection, countless legal hearings and expert testimony, FirstEnergy has failed to explain why propping up their aging, inefficient, dirty power plants makes any sense .

The proposed settlement that is pending before you and your fellow Commissioners does nothing to mitigate the environmental and public health impacts these coal plants will have in Ohio and beyond. The proposed settlement flies in the face of Ohio policy that honors customer choice. And just as egregious is asking Ohioans - the very same people who will suffer from air pollution and will have diminished energy choice under this proposed deal - to pick up the tab.

It is past time for FirstEnergy to adapt to a competitive market that is becoming more and more favorable to cleaner resources; the PUCO should encourage them to innovate and invest in the energy model of the future instead of doubling down on the energy model of the past.

Please stand with Ohio families and small businesses and reject this proposed settlement.

Thank you for your time in considering this request.

Tom Butch  
3620 Conkle Rd  
Salem, OH 44460



## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Porter, Andre  
**Sent:** Friday, January 08, 2016 10:15 AM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Marlene Burrell [<mailto:marlene.Burrell@gmail.com>]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, December 02, 2015 7:32 PM  
**To:** Porter, Andre <[Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us](mailto:Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us)>  
**Subject:** Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

Commissioner

After more than a year of public hearings, thousands of citizens calling for its rejection, countless legal hearings and expert testimony, FirstEnergy has failed to explain why propping up their aging, inefficient, dirty power plants makes any sense .

The proposed settlement that is pending before you and your fellow Commissioners does nothing to mitigate the environmental and public health impacts these coal plants will have in Ohio and beyond. The proposed settlement flies in the face of Ohio policy that honors customer choice. And just as egregious is asking Ohioans - the very same people who will suffer from air pollution and will have diminished energy choice under this proposed deal - to pick up the tab.

It is past time for FirstEnergy to adapt to a competitive market that is becoming more and more favorable to cleaner resources; the PUCO should encourage them to innovate and invest in the energy model of the future instead of doubling down on the energy model of the past.

Please stand with Ohio families and small businesses and reject this proposed settlement.

Thank you for your time in considering this request.

Marlene Burrell  
11177 Brass road  
mineral city, OH 44656

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Porter, Andre  
**Sent:** Friday, January 08, 2016 10:15 AM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

-----Original Message-----

From: Bob Krasen [<mailto:bkrasen@wowway.com>]  
Sent: Wednesday, December 16, 2015 3:41 PM  
To: Porter, Andre <[Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us](mailto:Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us)>  
Subject: Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

Commissioner

After more than a year of public hearings, thousands of citizens calling for its rejection, countless legal hearings and expert testimony, FirstEnergy has failed to explain why propping up their aging, inefficient, dirty power plants makes any sense .

The proposed settlement that is pending before you and your fellow Commissioners does nothing to mitigate the environmental and public health impacts these coal plants will have in Ohio and beyond. The proposed settlement flies in the face of Ohio policy that honors customer choice. And just as egregious is asking Ohioans - the very same people who will suffer from air pollution and will have diminished energy choice under this proposed deal - to pick up the tab.

It is past time for FirstEnergy to adapt to a competitive market that is becoming more and more favorable to cleaner resources; the PUCO should encourage them to innovate and invest in the energy model of the future instead of doubling down on the energy model of the past.

I continue to reflect on the fact that if Ohio was a nation, it would rank 15th in the world in CO2 and greenhouse gas creation, mostly because of the 20+ coal fired power plants within the State. This agreement doesn't change that in my lifetime.

Please stand with Ohio families and small businesses and reject this proposed settlement.

Thank you for your time in considering this request.

Bob Krasen  
566 Blenheim Road  
Columbus, OH 43214

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Porter, Andre  
**Sent:** Friday, January 08, 2016 10:15 AM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

-----Original Message-----

From: Michael Wildermuth [<mailto:wildermuth@alum.mit.edu>]  
Sent: Wednesday, December 16, 2015 7:38 PM  
To: Porter, Andre <[Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us](mailto:Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us)>  
Subject: Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

Commissioner

After more than a year of public hearings, thousands of citizens calling for its rejection, countless legal hearings and expert testimony, FirstEnergy has failed to explain why propping up their aging, inefficient, dirty power plants makes any sense .

The proposed settlement that is pending before you and your fellow Commissioners does nothing to mitigate the environmental and public health impacts these coal plants will have in Ohio and beyond. The proposed settlement flies in the face of Ohio policy that honors customer choice. And just as egregious is asking Ohioans - the very same people who will suffer from air pollution and will have diminished energy choice under this proposed deal - to pick up the tab.

It is past time for FirstEnergy to adapt to a competitive market that is becoming more and more favorable to cleaner resources; the PUCO should encourage them to innovate and invest in the energy model of the future instead of doubling down on the energy model of the past.

Please stand with Ohio families and small businesses and reject this proposed settlement.

Thank you for your time in considering this request.

Michael Wildermuth  
3801 Linfield Ln  
Lima, OH 45806

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Porter, Andre  
**Sent:** Friday, January 08, 2016 10:15 AM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

-----Original Message-----

From: Martha Brown [<mailto:martha.brown884@gmail.com>]  
Sent: Wednesday, December 16, 2015 4:00 PM  
To: Porter, Andre <[Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us](mailto:Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us)>  
Subject: Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

Commissioner

After more than a year of public hearings, thousands of citizens calling for its rejection, countless legal hearings and expert testimony, FirstEnergy has failed to explain why propping up their aging, inefficient, dirty power plants makes any sense .

The proposed settlement that is pending before you and your fellow Commissioners does nothing to mitigate the environmental and public health impacts these coal plants will have in Ohio and beyond. The proposed settlement flies in the face of Ohio policy that honors customer choice. And just as egregious is asking Ohioans - the very same people who will suffer from air pollution and will have diminished energy choice under this proposed deal - to pick up the tab.

It is past time for FirstEnergy to adapt to a competitive market that is becoming more and more favorable to cleaner resources; the PUCO should encourage them to innovate and invest in the energy model of the future instead of doubling down on the energy model of the past.

Please stand with Ohio families and small businesses and reject this proposed settlement.

Thank you for your time in considering this request.

Martha Brown  
4055 Colonial Ct  
Medina, OH 44256

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Porter, Andre  
**Sent:** Friday, January 08, 2016 10:15 AM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

-----Original Message-----

From: john hansen [<mailto:john.f.hansen@centurylink.net>]  
Sent: Wednesday, December 16, 2015 8:22 PM  
To: Porter, Andre <[Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us](mailto:Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us)>  
Subject: Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

Commissioner

After more than a year of public hearings, thousands of citizens calling for its rejection, countless legal hearings and expert testimony, FirstEnergy has failed to explain why propping up their aging, inefficient, dirty power plants makes any sense .

The proposed settlement that is pending before you and your fellow Commissioners does nothing to mitigate the environmental and public health impacts these coal plants will have in Ohio and beyond. The proposed settlement flies in the face of Ohio policy that honors customer choice. And just as egregious is asking Ohioans - the very same people who will suffer from air pollution and will have diminished energy choice under this proposed deal - to pick up the tab.

It is past time for FirstEnergy to adapt to a competitive market that is becoming more and more favorable to cleaner resources; the PUCO should encourage them to innovate and invest in the energy model of the future instead of doubling down on the energy model of the past.

Please stand with Ohio families and small businesses and reject this proposed settlement.

Thank you for your time in considering this request.

john hansen  
29 Woodside Blvd  
Lexington, OH 44904

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Porter, Andre  
**Sent:** Friday, January 08, 2016 10:15 AM  
**To:** Puc Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Mary Bartos [<mailto:bartosm16@gmail.com>]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, December 16, 2015 10:06 PM  
**To:** Porter, Andre <[Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us](mailto:Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us)>  
**Subject:** Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

Commissioner

After more than a year of public hearings, thousands of citizens calling for its rejection, countless legal hearings and expert testimony, FirstEnergy has failed to explain why propping up their aging, inefficient, dirty power plants makes any sense .

The proposed settlement that is pending before you and your fellow Commissioners does nothing to mitigate the environmental and public health impacts these coal plants will have in Ohio and beyond. The proposed settlement flies in the face of Ohio policy that honors customer choice. And just as egregious is asking Ohioans - the very same people who will suffer from air pollution and will have diminished energy choice under this proposed deal - to pick up the tab.

It is past time for FirstEnergy to adapt to a competitive market that is becoming more and more favorable to cleaner resources; the PUCO should encourage them to innovate and invest in the energy model of the future instead of doubling down on the energy model of the past.

I urge you to deny any bailout to FirstEnergy so that it can continue business as usual and pass on the cost to Ohioans.

Please stand with Ohio families and small businesses and reject this proposed settlement.

Thank you for your time in considering this request.

Mary Bartos  
5936 Stumph Road  
Apt. 314  
Parma, OH 44130

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Porter, Andre  
**Sent:** Friday, January 08, 2016 10:15 AM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

-----Original Message-----

From: Rick Hamilton [mailto:grhmkh@gmail.com]  
Sent: Wednesday, December 16, 2015 10:22 PM  
To: Porter, Andre <Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us>  
Subject: Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

Commissioner

After more than a year of public hearings, thousands of citizens calling for its rejection, countless legal hearings and expert testimony, FirstEnergy has failed to explain why propping up their aging, inefficient, dirty power plants makes any sense .

The proposed settlement that is pending before you and your fellow Commissioners does nothing to mitigate the environmental and public health impacts these coal plants will have in Ohio and beyond. The proposed settlement flies in the face of Ohio policy that honors customer choice. And just as egregious is asking Ohioans - the very same people who will suffer from air pollution and will have diminished energy choice under this proposed deal - to pick up the tab.

It is past time for FirstEnergy to adapt to a competitive market that is becoming more and more favorable to cleaner resources; the PUCO should encourage them to innovate and invest in the energy model of the future instead of doubling down on the energy model of the past.

Please stand with Ohio families and small businesses and reject this proposed settlement.

Thank you for your time in considering this request.

Rick Hamilton  
3646 W. 148th St.  
Cleveland, OH 44111

## **Hunter, Donielle**

---

**From:** Porter, Andre  
**Sent:** Friday, January 08, 2016 10:15 AM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Kathleen Bradley [<mailto:kjbradley123@gmail.com>]  
**Sent:** Thursday, December 17, 2015 8:51 AM  
**To:** Porter, Andre <[Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us](mailto:Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us)>  
**Subject:** Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

Commissioner

After more than a year of public hearings, thousands of citizens calling for its rejection, countless legal hearings and expert testimony, FirstEnergy has failed to explain why propping up their aging, inefficient, dirty power plants makes any sense .

The proposed settlement that is pending before you and your fellow Commissioners does nothing to mitigate the environmental and public health impacts these coal plants will have in Ohio and beyond. The proposed settlement flies in the face of Ohio policy that honors customer choice. And just as egregious is asking Ohioans - the very same people who will suffer from air pollution and will have diminished energy choice under this proposed deal - to pick up the tab.

It is past time for FirstEnergy to adapt to a competitive market that is becoming more and more favorable to cleaner resources; the PUCO should encourage them to innovate and invest in the energy model of the future *instead of* doubling down on the energy model of the past.

Please stand with Ohio families and small businesses and reject this proposed settlement.

Thank you for your time in considering this request.

Kathleen Bradley  
6240 Big Creek Parkway, 3A  
Parma Heights, OH 44130



## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Porter, Andre  
**Sent:** Friday, January 08, 2016 10:15 AM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Brenda Eastlake [<mailto:eastlake@windstream.net>]  
**Sent:** Thursday, December 17, 2015 7:55 AM  
**To:** Porter, Andre <[Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us](mailto:Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us)>  
**Subject:** Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

Commissioner

After more than a year of public hearings, thousands of citizens calling for its rejection, countless legal hearings and expert testimony, FirstEnergy has failed to explain why propping up their aging, inefficient, dirty power plants makes any sense .

The proposed settlement that is pending before you and your fellow Commissioners does *nothing* to mitigate the environmental and public health impacts these coal plants will have in Ohio and beyond. The proposed settlement flies in the face of Ohio policy that honors customer choice. And just as egregious is asking Ohioans - the very same people who will suffer from air pollution and will have diminished energy choice under this proposed deal - to pick up the tab.

It is past time for FirstEnergy to adapt to a competitive market that is becoming more and more favorable to cleaner resources; the PUCO should encourage them to innovate and invest in the energy model of the future instead of doubling down on the energy model of the past.

Please stand with Ohio families and small businesses and reject this proposed settlement.

Thank you for your time in considering this request.

Brenda Eastlake  
11575 Kile Road  
Chardon, OH 44024

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Porter, Andre  
**Sent:** Friday, January 08, 2016 10:15 AM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Robert LaPlante [<mailto:robert.e.laplante@gmail.com>]  
**Sent:** Monday, December 21, 2015 11:41 AM  
**To:** Porter, Andre <[Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us](mailto:Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us)>  
**Subject:** Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

Commissioner

After more than a year of public hearings, thousands of citizens calling for its rejection, countless legal hearings and expert testimony, FirstEnergy has failed to explain why propping up their aging, inefficient, dirty power plants makes any sense .

The proposed settlement that is pending before you and your fellow Commissioners does nothing to mitigate the environmental and public health impacts these coal plants will have in Ohio and beyond. The proposed settlement flies in the face of Ohio policy that honors customer choice. And just as egregious is asking Ohioans - the very same people who will suffer from air pollution and will have diminished energy choice under this proposed deal - to pick up the tab.

It is past time for FirstEnergy to adapt to a competitive market that is becoming more and more favorable to cleaner resources; the PUCO should encourage them to innovate and invest in the energy model of the future instead of doubling down on the energy model of the past.

Please stand with Ohio families and small businesses and reject this proposed settlement.

Thank you for your time in considering this request.

Robert LaPlante  
8486 Camden CT  
Broadview Heights, OH 44147

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Porter, Andre  
**Sent:** Friday, January 08, 2016 10:15 AM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

-----Original Message-----

From: Susan Righi, MD [<mailto:sprighi@gmail.com>]  
Sent: Thursday, December 17, 2015 10:21 AM  
To: Porter, Andre <[Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us](mailto:Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us)>  
Subject: Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

Commissioner

First Energy's request to pass on the cost of maintaining old coal plants is wrong on many counts - public health, environment, economic and moral. Even if it were in the public's interest, the proposed agreement has no teeth - the company could fail to meet its promises with no real consequences.

After more than a year of public hearings, thousands of citizens calling for its rejection, countless legal hearings and expert testimony, FirstEnergy has failed to explain why propping up their aging, inefficient, dirty power plants makes any sense .

The proposed settlement that is pending before you and your fellow Commissioners does nothing to mitigate the environmental and public health impacts these coal plants will have in Ohio and beyond. The proposed settlement flies in the face of Ohio policy that honors customer choice. And just as egregious is asking Ohioans - the very same people who will suffer from air pollution and will have diminished energy choice under this proposed deal - to pick up the tab.

It is past time for FirstEnergy to adapt to a competitive market that is becoming more and more favorable to cleaner resources; the PUCO should encourage them to innovate and invest in the energy model of the future instead of doubling down on the energy model of the past.

Please stand with Ohio families and small businesses and reject this proposed settlement.

Thank you for your time in considering this request.

Susan Righi, MD  
29830 SR 356  
New Marshfield, OH 45766

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Porter, Andre  
**Sent:** Friday, January 08, 2016 10:15 AM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Allen Strous [<mailto:a.strous@hotmail.com>]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, December 30, 2015 6:05 PM  
**To:** Porter, Andre <[Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us](mailto:Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us)>  
**Subject:** Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

Commissioner

After more than a year of public hearings, thousands of citizens calling for its rejection, countless legal hearings and expert testimony, FirstEnergy has failed to explain why propping up their aging, inefficient, dirty power plants makes any sense .

The proposed settlement that is pending before you and your fellow Commissioners does nothing to mitigate the environmental and public health impacts these coal plants will have in Ohio and beyond. The proposed settlement flies in the face of Ohio policy that honors customer choice. And just as egregious is asking Ohioans - the very same people who will suffer from air pollution and will have diminished energy choice under this proposed deal - to pick up the tab.

It is past time for FirstEnergy to adapt to a competitive market that is becoming more and more favorable to cleaner resources; the PUCO should encourage them to innovate and invest in the energy model of the future instead of doubling down on the energy model of the past.

Please stand with Ohio families and small businesses and reject this proposed settlement.

Thank you for your time in considering this request.

Allen Strous  
11339 Spangler Rd  
Circleville, OH 43113

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Porter, Andre  
**Sent:** Friday, January 08, 2016 10:10 AM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Alaina McCleery [<mailto:mccleery.11@gmail.com>]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, December 02, 2015 9:16 AM  
**To:** Porter, Andre <[Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us](mailto:Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us)>  
**Subject:** Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

Commissioner

After more than a year of public hearings, thousands of citizens calling for its rejection, countless legal hearings and expert testimony, FirstEnergy has failed to explain why propping up their aging, inefficient, dirty power plants makes any sense .

The proposed settlement that is pending before you and your fellow Commissioners does nothing to mitigate the environmental and public health impacts these coal plants will have in Ohio and beyond. The proposed settlement flies in the face of Ohio policy that honors customer choice. And just as egregious is asking Ohioans - the very same people who will suffer from air pollution and will have diminished energy choice under this proposed deal - to pick up the tab.

It is past time for FirstEnergy to adapt to a competitive market that is becoming more and more favorable to cleaner resources; the PUCO should encourage them to innovate and invest in the energy model of the future instead of doubling down on the energy model of the past.

Please stand with Ohio families and small businesses and reject this proposed settlement.

Thank you for your time in considering this request.

Alaina McCleery  
874 Dennison Ave  
Columbus, OH 43215

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Porter, Andre  
**Sent:** Friday, January 08, 2016 10:10 AM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Marlene Burrell [<mailto:marlene.Burrell@gmail.com>]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, December 02, 2015 7:32 PM  
**To:** Porter, Andre <[Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us](mailto:Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us)>  
**Subject:** Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

Commissioner

After more than a year of public hearings, thousands of citizens calling for its rejection, countless legal hearings and expert testimony, FirstEnergy has failed to explain why propping up their aging, inefficient, dirty power plants makes any sense .

The proposed settlement that is pending before you and your fellow Commissioners does nothing to mitigate the environmental and public health impacts these coal plants will have in Ohio and beyond. The proposed settlement flies in the face of Ohio policy that honors customer choice. And just as egregious is asking Ohioans - the very same people who will suffer from air pollution and will have diminished energy choice under this proposed deal - to pick up the tab.

It is past time for FirstEnergy to adapt to a competitive market that is becoming more and more favorable to cleaner resources; the PUCO should encourage them to innovate and invest in the energy model of the future instead of doubling down on the energy model of the past.

Please stand with Ohio families and small businesses and reject this proposed settlement.

Thank you for your time in considering this request.

Marlene Burrell  
11177 Brass road  
mineral city, OH 44656

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Porter, Andre  
**Sent:** Friday, January 08, 2016 10:10 AM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

-----Original Message-----

From: Matt Niekamp [<mailto:mattn76@hotmail.com>]  
Sent: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 7:40 PM  
To: Porter, Andre <[Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us](mailto:Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us)>  
Subject: Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

Commissioner

After more than a year of public hearings, thousands of citizens calling for its rejection, countless legal hearings and expert testimony, FirstEnergy has failed to explain why propping up their aging, inefficient, dirty power plants makes any sense .

The proposed settlement that is pending before you and your fellow Commissioners does nothing to mitigate the environmental and public health impacts these coal plants will have in Ohio and beyond. The proposed settlement flies in the face of Ohio policy that honors customer choice. And just as egregious is asking Ohioans - the very same people who will suffer from air pollution and will have diminished energy choice under this proposed deal - to pick up the tab.

It is past time for FirstEnergy to adapt to a competitive market that is becoming more and more favorable to cleaner resources; the PUCO should encourage them to innovate and invest in the energy model of the future instead of doubling down on the energy model of the past.

Please stand with Ohio families and small businesses and reject this proposed settlement.

Thank you for your time in considering this request.

Matt Niekamp  
281 North Sycamore Street  
Saint Henry, OH 45883

**Hunter, Donielle**

---

**From:** Porter, Andre  
**Sent:** Friday, January 08, 2016 10:10 AM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

-----Original Message-----

From: Kim Landsbergen PhD [<mailto:Kim.landsbergen@gmail.com>]  
Sent: Wednesday, December 02, 2015 8:04 PM  
To: Porter, Andre <[Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us](mailto:Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us)>  
Subject: Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

Commissioner

I'm emailing to request that the PUCO represent the states citizens and not just its energy companies.

Please Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement.

Thank you.

Kim Landsbergen PhD  
2615 Wellesley drive  
Upper Arlington, OH 43221



## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Porter, Andre  
**Sent:** Friday, January 08, 2016 10:10 AM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

-----Original Message-----

From: Melanie Houston [<mailto:Melanie.houston.88@gmail.com>]  
Sent: Thursday, December 03, 2015 12:16 PM  
To: Porter, Andre <[Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us](mailto:Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us)>  
Subject: Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

Commissioner

After more than a year of public hearings, thousands of citizens calling for its rejection, countless legal hearings and expert testimony, FirstEnergy has failed to explain why propping up their aging, inefficient, dirty power plants makes any sense .

The proposed settlement that is pending before you and your fellow Commissioners does nothing to mitigate the environmental and public health impacts these coal plants will have in Ohio and beyond. The proposed settlement flies in the face of Ohio policy that honors customer choice. And just as egregious is asking Ohioans - the very same people who will suffer from air pollution and will have diminished energy choice under this proposed deal - to pick up the tab.

It is past time for FirstEnergy to adapt to a competitive market that is becoming more and more favorable to cleaner resources; the PUCO should encourage them to innovate and invest in the energy model of the future instead of doubling down on the energy model of the past.

Please stand with Ohio families and small businesses and reject this proposed settlement.

Thank you for your time in considering this request.

Melanie Houston  
1008 Palmer road  
Columbus, OH 43212

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Porter, Andre  
**Sent:** Friday, January 08, 2016 10:10 AM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Matthew Bockey [<mailto:mbockey@law.capital.edu>]  
**Sent:** Thursday, December 03, 2015 1:08 PM  
**To:** Porter, Andre <[Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us](mailto:Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us)>  
**Subject:** Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

Commissioner

After more than a year of public hearings, thousands of citizens calling for its rejection, countless legal hearings and expert testimony, FirstEnergy has failed to explain why propping up their aging, inefficient, dirty power plants makes any sense .

The proposed settlement that is pending before you and your fellow Commissioners does nothing to mitigate the environmental and public health impacts these coal plants will have in Ohio and beyond. The proposed settlement flies in the face of Ohio policy that honors customer choice. And just as egregious is asking Ohioans - the very same people who will suffer from air pollution and will have diminished energy choice under this proposed deal - to pick up the tab.

It is past time for FirstEnergy to adapt to a competitive market that is becoming more and more favorable to cleaner resources; the PUCO should encourage them to innovate and invest in the energy model of the future instead of doubling down on the energy model of the past.

Please stand with Ohio families and small businesses and reject this proposed settlement.

Thank you for your time in considering this request.

Matthew Bockey  
11410 Bockey Road  
Delphos, OH 45833

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Porter, Andre  
**Sent:** Friday, January 08, 2016 10:10 AM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

-----Original Message-----

From: David Schmitt [<mailto:djs@corsbassett.com>]  
Sent: Wednesday, December 16, 2015 3:23 PM  
To: Porter, Andre <[Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us](mailto:Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us)>  
Subject: Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

Commissioner

After more than a year of public hearings, thousands of citizens calling for its rejection, countless legal hearings and expert testimony, FirstEnergy has failed to explain why propping up their aging, inefficient, dirty power plants makes any sense .

The proposed settlement that is pending before you and your fellow Commissioners does nothing to mitigate the environmental and public health impacts these coal plants will have in Ohio and beyond. The proposed settlement flies in the face of Ohio policy that honors customer choice. And just as egregious is asking Ohioans - the very same people who will suffer from air pollution and will have diminished energy choice under this proposed deal - to pick up the tab.

It is past time for FirstEnergy to adapt to a competitive market that is becoming more and more favorable to cleaner resources; the PUCO should encourage them to innovate and invest in the energy model of the future instead of doubling down on the energy model of the past.

Please stand with Ohio families and small businesses and reject this proposed settlement.

Thank you for your time in considering this request.

David Schmitt  
537 E Pete Rose Way  
Suite 400  
Cincinnati, OH 45202

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Porter, Andre  
**Sent:** Friday, January 08, 2016 10:10 AM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

-----Original Message-----

From: Bob Krasen [<mailto:bkrasen@wowway.com>]  
Sent: Wednesday, December 16, 2015 3:41 PM  
To: Porter, Andre <[Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us](mailto:Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us)>  
Subject: Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

Commissioner

After more than a year of public hearings, thousands of citizens calling for its rejection, countless legal hearings and expert testimony, FirstEnergy has failed to explain why propping up their aging, inefficient, dirty power plants makes any sense .

The proposed settlement that is pending before you and your fellow Commissioners does nothing to mitigate the environmental and public health impacts these coal plants will have in Ohio and beyond. The proposed settlement flies in the face of Ohio policy that honors customer choice. And just as egregious is asking Ohioans - the very same people who will suffer from air pollution and will have diminished energy choice under this proposed deal - to pick up the tab.

It is past time for FirstEnergy to adapt to a competitive market that is becoming more and more favorable to cleaner resources; the PUCO should encourage them to innovate and invest in the energy model of the future instead of doubling down on the energy model of the past.

I continue to reflect on the fact that if Ohio was a nation, it would rank 15th in the world in CO2 and greenhouse gas creation, mostly because of the 20+ coal fired power plants within the State. This agreement doesn't change that in my lifetime.

Please stand with Ohio families and small businesses and reject this proposed settlement.

Thank you for your time in considering this request.

Bob Krasen  
566 Blenheim Road  
Columbus, OH 43214

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Porter, Andre  
**Sent:** Friday, January 08, 2016 10:10 AM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Martha Brown [<mailto:martha.brown884@gmail.com>]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, December 16, 2015 4:00 PM  
**To:** Porter, Andre <[Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us](mailto:Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us)>  
**Subject:** Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

Commissioner

After more than a year of public hearings, thousands of citizens calling for its rejection, countless legal hearings and expert testimony, FirstEnergy has failed to explain why propping up their aging, inefficient, dirty power plants makes any sense .

The proposed settlement that is pending before you and your fellow Commissioners does nothing to mitigate the environmental and public health impacts these coal plants will have in Ohio and beyond. The proposed settlement flies in the face of Ohio policy that honors customer choice. And just as egregious is asking Ohioans - the very same people who will suffer from air pollution and will have diminished energy choice under this proposed deal - to pick up the tab.

It is past time for FirstEnergy to adapt to a competitive market that is becoming more and more favorable to cleaner resources; the PUCO should encourage them to innovate and invest in the energy model of the future instead of doubling down on the energy model of the past.

Please stand with Ohio families and small businesses and reject this proposed settlement.

Thank you for your time in considering this request.

Martha Brown  
4055 Colonial Ct  
Medina, OH 44256

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Porter, Andre  
**Sent:** Friday, January 08, 2016 10:10 AM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

-----Original Message-----

From: Joan Brasaemle [<mailto:joanknits2@frontier.com>]  
Sent: Wednesday, December 16, 2015 4:23 PM  
To: Porter, Andre <[Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us](mailto:Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us)>  
Subject: Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

Commissioner

After more than a year of public hearings, thousands of citizens calling for its rejection, countless legal hearings and expert testimony, FirstEnergy has failed to explain why propping up their aging, inefficient, dirty power plants makes any sense .

The proposed settlement that is pending before you and your fellow Commissioners does nothing to mitigate the environmental and public health impacts these coal plants will have in Ohio and beyond. The proposed settlement flies in the face of Ohio policy that honors customer choice. And just as egregious is asking Ohioans - the very same people who will suffer from air pollution and will have diminished energy choice under this proposed deal - to pick up the tab.

It is past time for FirstEnergy to adapt to a competitive market that is becoming more and more favorable to cleaner resources; the PUCO should encourage them to innovate and invest in the energy model of the future instead of doubling down on the energy model of the past.

Please stand with Ohio families and small businesses and reject this proposed settlement.

Thank you for your time in considering this request.

Joan Brasaemle  
4721 Copley Road  
4721 Copley Road  
Copley, OH 44321

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Porter, Andre  
**Sent:** Friday, January 08, 2016 10:10 AM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Joan Brasaemle [<mailto:joanknits2@frontier.com>]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, December 16, 2015 4:23 PM  
**To:** Porter, Andre <[Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us](mailto:Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us)>  
**Subject:** Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

Commissioner

After more than a year of public hearings, thousands of citizens calling for its rejection, countless legal hearings and expert testimony, FirstEnergy has failed to explain why propping up their aging, inefficient, dirty power plants makes any sense .

The proposed settlement that is pending before you and your fellow Commissioners does nothing to mitigate the environmental and public health impacts these coal plants will have in Ohio and beyond. The proposed settlement flies in the face of Ohio policy that honors customer choice. And just as egregious is asking Ohioans - the very same people who will suffer from air pollution and will have diminished energy choice under this proposed deal - to pick up the tab.

It is past time for FirstEnergy to adapt to a competitive market that is becoming more and more favorable to cleaner resources; the PUCO should encourage them to innovate and invest in the energy model of the future instead of doubling down on the energy model of the past.

Please stand with Ohio families and small businesses and reject this proposed settlement.

Thank you for your time in considering this request.

Joan Brasaemle  
4721 Copley Road  
4721 Copley Road  
Copley, OH 44321

## **Hunter, Donielle**

---

**From:** Porter, Andre  
**Sent:** Friday, January 08, 2016 10:10 AM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

-----Original Message-----

From: Ronald Russo [<mailto:rrusso1@sbcglobal.net>]  
Sent: Wednesday, December 16, 2015 5:21 PM  
To: Porter, Andre <[Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us](mailto:Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us)>  
Subject: Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

Commissioner

After more than a year of public hearings, thousands of citizens calling for its rejection, countless legal hearings and expert testimony, FirstEnergy has failed to explain why propping up their aging, inefficient, dirty power plants makes any sense .

The proposed settlement that is pending before you and your fellow Commissioners does nothing to mitigate the environmental and public health impacts these coal plants will have in Ohio and beyond. The proposed settlement flies in the face of Ohio policy that honors customer choice. And just as egregious is asking Ohioans - the very same people who will suffer from air pollution and will have diminished energy choice under this proposed deal - to pick up the tab.

It is past time for FirstEnergy to adapt to a competitive market that is becoming more and more favorable to cleaner resources; the PUCO should encourage them to innovate and invest in the energy model of the future instead of doubling down on the energy model of the past.

Please stand with Ohio families and small businesses and reject this proposed settlement.

Thank you for your time in considering this request.

Ronald Russo  
4834 Wood St  
Willoughby, OH 44094



## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Porter, Andre  
**Sent:** Friday, January 08, 2016 10:10 AM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

-----Original Message-----

From: Michael Klein [mailto:mjklein3@ameritech.net]  
Sent: Wednesday, December 16, 2015 6:31 PM  
To: Porter, Andre <Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us>  
Subject: Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

Commissioner

After more than a year of public hearings, thousands of citizens calling for its rejection, countless legal hearings and expert testimony, FirstEnergy has failed to explain why propping up their aging, inefficient, dirty power plants makes any sense .

The proposed settlement that is pending before you and your fellow Commissioners does nothing to mitigate the environmental and public health impacts these coal plants will have in Ohio and beyond. The proposed settlement flies in the face of Ohio policy that honors customer choice. And just as egregious is asking Ohioans - the very same people who will suffer from air pollution and will have diminished energy choice under this proposed deal - to pick up the tab.

It is past time for FirstEnergy to adapt to a competitive market that is becoming more and more favorable to cleaner resources; the PUCO should encourage them to innovate and invest in the energy model of the future instead of doubling down on the energy model of the past.

Please stand with Ohio families and small businesses and reject this proposed settlement.

Thank you for your time in considering this request.

DO NOT SUPPORT CORPORATE WELFARE FOR FIRST ENERGY OR ANY OF THESE COMPANIES! NO DEALS - BACKROOM OR OTHERWISE! THESE ARE PRIVATE COMPANIES WHO HAVE SHAREHOLDERS THAT SHOULD BE SHOULDERING THE COSTS OF BAD BUSINESS CHOICES AND FIRING BUSINESS LEADERS FOR POOR PERFORMANCE. THIS IS LIKE WHEN THEY TRIED TO STICK US WITH OVERPRICED CFLS THAT COST THRICE MORE THAN I COULD BUY THEM FOR AT WALMART OF HOME DEPOT! ANOTHER RIPOFF!

I CANNOT WAIT FOR REAL ENERGY CHOICE - WHEN I CAN PRODUCE POWER ON MY OWN ROOF AND NOT HAVE TO GET STABBED IN THE BACK BY A FALSELY LABELED CONSUMER WATCH-DOG AGENCY. PERSONAL POWER IS THE WAVE OF THE FUTURE!

IF YOU IMPLEMENT THIS, YOU WILL PROVE THE WORTHLESSNESS OF PUKE-OH, EXCEPT TO THE COMPANIES YOU FALSELY CLAIM TO PROTECT OUR INTERESTS WITH!!!!

Michael Klein  
733 W Market St  
Akron, OH 44303

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Porter, Andre  
**Sent:** Friday, January 08, 2016 10:10 AM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

-----Original Message-----

From: Tom Butch [<mailto:butchy5@gmail.com>]  
Sent: Wednesday, December 16, 2015 7:07 PM  
To: Porter, Andre <[Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us](mailto:Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us)>  
Subject: Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

Commissioner

After more than a year of public hearings, thousands of citizens calling for its rejection, countless legal hearings and expert testimony, FirstEnergy has failed to explain why propping up their aging, inefficient, dirty power plants makes any sense .

The proposed settlement that is pending before you and your fellow Commissioners does nothing to mitigate the environmental and public health impacts these coal plants will have in Ohio and beyond. The proposed settlement flies in the face of Ohio policy that honors customer choice. And just as egregious is asking Ohioans - the very same people who will suffer from air pollution and will have diminished energy choice under this proposed deal - to pick up the tab.

It is past time for FirstEnergy to adapt to a competitive market that is becoming more and more favorable to cleaner resources; the PUCO should encourage them to innovate and invest in the energy model of the future instead of doubling down on the energy model of the past.

Please stand with Ohio families and small businesses and reject this proposed settlement.

Thank you for your time in considering this request.

Tom Butch  
3620 Conkle Rd  
Salem, OH 44460

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Porter, Andre  
**Sent:** Friday, January 08, 2016 10:10 AM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Michael Wildermuth [<mailto:wildermuth@alum.mit.edu>]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, December 16, 2015 7:38 PM  
**To:** Porter, Andre <[Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us](mailto:Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us)>  
**Subject:** Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

Commissioner

After more than a year of public hearings, thousands of citizens calling for its rejection, countless legal hearings and expert testimony, FirstEnergy has failed to explain why propping up their aging, inefficient, dirty power plants makes any sense .

The proposed settlement that is pending before you and your fellow Commissioners does nothing to mitigate the environmental and public health impacts these coal plants will have in Ohio and beyond. The proposed settlement flies in the face of Ohio policy that honors customer choice. And just as egregious is asking Ohioans - the very same people who will suffer from air pollution and will have diminished energy choice under this proposed deal - to pick up the tab.

It is past time for FirstEnergy to adapt to a competitive market that is becoming more and more favorable to cleaner resources; the PUCO should encourage them to innovate and invest in the energy model of the future instead of doubling down on the energy model of the past.

Please stand with Ohio families and small businesses and reject this proposed settlement.

Thank you for your time in considering this request.

Michael Wildermuth  
3801 Linfield Ln  
Lima, OH 45806

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Porter, Andre  
**Sent:** Friday, January 08, 2016 10:10 AM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

-----Original Message-----

From: john hansen [<mailto:john.f.hansen@centurylink.net>]  
Sent: Wednesday, December 16, 2015 8:22 PM  
To: Porter, Andre <[Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us](mailto:Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us)>  
Subject: Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

Commissioner

After more than a year of public hearings, thousands of citizens calling for its rejection, countless legal hearings and expert testimony, FirstEnergy has failed to explain why propping up their aging, inefficient, dirty power plants makes any sense .

The proposed settlement that is pending before you and your fellow Commissioners does nothing to mitigate the environmental and public health impacts these coal plants will have in Ohio and beyond. The proposed settlement flies in the face of Ohio policy that honors customer choice. And just as egregious is asking Ohioans - the very same people who will suffer from air pollution and will have diminished energy choice under this proposed deal - to pick up the tab.

It is past time for FirstEnergy to adapt to a competitive market that is becoming more and more favorable to cleaner resources; the PUCO should encourage them to innovate and invest in the energy model of the future instead of doubling down on the energy model of the past.

Please stand with Ohio families and small businesses and reject this proposed settlement.

Thank you for your time in considering this request.

john hansen  
29 Woodside Blvd  
Lexington, OH 44904

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Porter, Andre  
**Sent:** Friday, January 08, 2016 10:10 AM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

-----Original Message-----

From: Rick Hamilton [<mailto:grhmkh@gmail.com>]  
Sent: Wednesday, December 16, 2015 10:22 PM  
To: Porter, Andre <[Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us](mailto:Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us)>  
Subject: Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

Commissioner

After more than a year of public hearings, thousands of citizens calling for its rejection, countless legal hearings and expert testimony, FirstEnergy has failed to explain why propping up their aging, inefficient, dirty power plants makes any sense .

The proposed settlement that is pending before you and your fellow Commissioners does nothing to mitigate the environmental and public health impacts these coal plants will have in Ohio and beyond. The proposed settlement flies in the face of Ohio policy that honors customer choice. And just as egregious is asking Ohioans - the very same people who will suffer from air pollution and will have diminished energy choice under this proposed deal - to pick up the tab.

It is past time for FirstEnergy to adapt to a competitive market that is becoming more and more favorable to cleaner resources; the PUCO should encourage them to innovate and invest in the energy model of the future instead of doubling down on the energy model of the past.

Please stand with Ohio families and small businesses and reject this proposed settlement.

Thank you for your time in considering this request.

Rick Hamilton  
3646 W. 148th St.  
Cleveland, OH 44111

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Porter, Andre  
**Sent:** Friday, January 08, 2016 10:10 AM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

-----Original Message-----

From: Mary Bartos [<mailto:bartosm16@gmail.com>]  
Sent: Wednesday, December 16, 2015 10:06 PM  
To: Porter, Andre <[Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us](mailto:Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us)>  
Subject: Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

Commissioner

After more than a year of public hearings, thousands of citizens calling for its rejection, countless legal hearings and expert testimony, FirstEnergy has failed to explain why propping up their aging, inefficient, dirty power plants makes any sense .

The proposed settlement that is pending before you and your fellow Commissioners does nothing to mitigate the environmental and public health impacts these coal plants will have in Ohio and beyond. The proposed settlement flies in the face of Ohio policy that honors customer choice. And just as egregious is asking Ohioans - the very same people who will suffer from air pollution and will have diminished energy choice under this proposed deal - to pick up the tab.

It is past time for FirstEnergy to adapt to a competitive market that is becoming more and more favorable to cleaner resources; the PUCO should encourage them to innovate and invest in the energy model of the future instead of doubling down on the energy model of the past.

I urge you to deny any bailout to FirstEnergy so that it can continue business as usual and pass on the cost to Ohioans.

Please stand with Ohio families and small businesses and reject this proposed settlement.

Thank you for your time in considering this request.

Mary Bartos  
5936 Stumph Road  
Apt. 314  
Parma, OH 44130

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Porter, Andre  
**Sent:** Friday, January 08, 2016 10:10 AM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Brenda Eastlake [<mailto:eastlake@windstream.net>]  
**Sent:** Thursday, December 17, 2015 7:55 AM  
**To:** Porter, Andre <[Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us](mailto:Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us)>  
**Subject:** Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

Commissioner

After more than a year of public hearings, thousands of citizens calling for its rejection, countless legal hearings and expert testimony, FirstEnergy has failed to explain why propping up their aging, inefficient, dirty power plants makes any sense .

The proposed settlement that is pending before you and your fellow Commissioners does nothing to mitigate the environmental and public health impacts these coal plants will have in Ohio and beyond. The proposed settlement flies in the face of Ohio policy that honors customer choice. And just as egregious is asking Ohioans - the very same people who will suffer from air pollution and will have diminished energy choice under this proposed deal - to pick up the tab.

It is past time for FirstEnergy to adapt to a competitive market that is becoming more and more favorable to cleaner resources; the PUCO should encourage them to innovate and invest in the energy model of the future instead of doubling down on the energy model of the past.

Please stand with Ohio families and small businesses and reject this proposed settlement.

Thank you for your time in considering this request.

Brenda Eastlake  
11575 Kile Road  
Chardon, OH 44024



## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Porter, Andre  
**Sent:** Friday, January 08, 2016 10:10 AM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Kathleen Bradley [<mailto:kjbradley123@gmail.com>]  
**Sent:** Thursday, December 17, 2015 8:51 AM  
**To:** Porter, Andre <[Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us](mailto:Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us)>  
**Subject:** Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

Commissioner

After more than a year of public hearings, thousands of citizens calling for its rejection, countless legal hearings and expert testimony, FirstEnergy has failed to explain why propping up their aging, inefficient, dirty power plants makes any sense .

The proposed settlement that is pending before you and your fellow Commissioners does nothing to mitigate the environmental and public health impacts these coal plants will have in Ohio and beyond. The proposed settlement flies in the face of Ohio policy that honors customer choice. And just as egregious is asking Ohioans - the very same people who will suffer from air pollution and will have diminished energy choice under this proposed deal - to pick up the tab.

It is past time for FirstEnergy to adapt to a competitive market that is becoming more and more favorable to cleaner resources; the PUCO should encourage them to innovate and invest in the energy model of the future instead of doubling down on the energy model of the past.

Please stand with Ohio families and small businesses and reject this proposed settlement.

Thank you for your time in considering this request.

Kathleen Bradley  
6240 Big Creek Parkway, 3A  
Parma Heights, OH 44130

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Porter, Andre  
**Sent:** Friday, January 08, 2016 10:10 AM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

-----Original Message-----

From: Susan Righi, MD [<mailto:sprighi@gmail.com>]  
Sent: Thursday, December 17, 2015 10:21 AM  
To: Porter, Andre <[Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us](mailto:Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us)>  
Subject: Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

Commissioner

First Energy's request to pass on the cost of maintaining old coal plants is wrong on many counts - public health, environment, economic and moral. Even if it were in the public's interest, the proposed agreement has no teeth - the company could fail to meet its promises with no real consequences.

After more than a year of public hearings, thousands of citizens calling for its rejection, countless legal hearings and expert testimony, FirstEnergy has failed to explain why propping up their aging, inefficient, dirty power plants makes any sense .

The proposed settlement that is pending before you and your fellow Commissioners does nothing to mitigate the environmental and public health impacts these coal plants will have in Ohio and beyond. The proposed settlement flies in the face of Ohio policy that honors customer choice. And just as egregious is asking Ohioans - the very same people who will suffer from air pollution and will have diminished energy choice under this proposed deal - to pick up the tab.

It is past time for FirstEnergy to adapt to a competitive market that is becoming more and more favorable to cleaner resources; the PUCO should encourage them to innovate and invest in the energy model of the future instead of doubling down on the energy model of the past.

Please stand with Ohio families and small businesses and reject this proposed settlement.

Thank you for your time in considering this request.

Susan Righi, MD  
29830 SR 356  
New Marshfield, OH 45766

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Porter, Andre  
**Sent:** Friday, January 08, 2016 10:10 AM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Robert LaPlante [<mailto:robert.e.laplante@gmail.com>]  
**Sent:** Monday, December 21, 2015 11:41 AM  
**To:** Porter, Andre <[Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us](mailto:Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us)>  
**Subject:** Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

Commissioner

After more than a year of public hearings, thousands of citizens calling for its rejection, countless legal hearings and expert testimony, FirstEnergy has failed to explain why propping up their aging, inefficient, dirty power plants makes any sense .

The proposed settlement that is pending before you and your fellow Commissioners does nothing to mitigate the environmental and public health impacts these coal plants will have in Ohio and beyond. The proposed settlement flies in the face of Ohio policy that honors customer choice. And just as egregious is asking Ohioans - the very same people who will suffer from air pollution and will have diminished energy choice under this proposed deal - to pick up the tab.

It is past time for FirstEnergy to adapt to a competitive market that is becoming more and more favorable to cleaner resources; the PUCO should encourage them to innovate and invest in the energy model of the future instead of doubling down on the energy model of the past.

Please stand with Ohio families and small businesses and reject this proposed settlement.

Thank you for your time in considering this request.

Robert LaPlante  
8486 Camden CT  
Broadview Heights, OH 44147

## **Hunter, Donielle**

---

**From:** Porter, Andre  
**Sent:** Friday, January 08, 2016 10:10 AM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Allen Strous [<mailto:a.strous@hotmail.com>]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, December 30, 2015 6:05 PM  
**To:** Porter, Andre <[Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us](mailto:Andre.Porter@puc.state.oh.us)>  
**Subject:** Reject the Proposed FirstEnergy Settlement

Commissioner

After more than a year of public hearings, thousands of citizens calling for its rejection, countless legal hearings and expert testimony, FirstEnergy has failed to explain why propping up their aging, inefficient, dirty power plants makes any sense .

The proposed settlement that is pending before you and your fellow Commissioners does nothing to mitigate the environmental and public health impacts these coal plants will have in Ohio and beyond. The proposed settlement flies in the face of Ohio policy that honors customer choice. And just as egregious is asking Ohioans - the very same people who will suffer from air pollution and will have diminished energy choice under this proposed deal - to pick up the tab.

It is past time for FirstEnergy to adapt to a competitive market that is becoming more and more favorable to cleaner resources; the PUCO should encourage them to innovate and invest in the energy model of the future instead of doubling down on the energy model of the past.

Please stand with Ohio families and small businesses and reject this proposed settlement.

Thank you for your time in considering this request.

Allen Strous  
11339 Spangler Rd  
Circleville, OH 43113

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Johnson, Thomas  
**Sent:** Friday, January 08, 2016 10:04 AM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout

---

From: Environmental Defense Fund On Behalf Of Sean Vater  
Sent: Friday, January 8, 2016 10:03:38 AM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)  
To: Johnson, Thomas  
Subject: Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout

Jan 8, 2016

Commissioner Thomas Johnson

Dear Commissioner Johnson,

I'm writing today to ask you to say no to FirstEnergy's request for the PUCO to authorize substantial, customer-funded subsidies to bail out its uneconomic power plants.

With the new settlement, FirstEnergy's proposal went from bad to worse. Please safeguard market competition and reject FirstEnergy's proposal, so that a utility can no longer cross-subsidize or favor its affiliate companies in order to block competition. Approving the deal would send a clear message to Ohio's businesses, entrepreneurs, investors, and residents: We favor reigning power players and political clout over innovation and competition. Utility profits should not be placed ahead of Ohioans' best interests, and especially not at their personal expense.

If you believe Ohio should have electricity that is clean, reliable, and affordable, please reject FirstEnergy's request.

Thank you,

Mr. Sean Vater  
3728 Fountain Cove Ln  
Grove City, OH 43123-4892  
[seanv67me@yahoo.com](mailto:seanv67me@yahoo.com)

## **Hunter, Donielle**

---

**From:** Johnson, Thomas  
**Sent:** Friday, January 08, 2016 10:03 AM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout

---

From: Environmental Defense Fund On Behalf Of Jennifer Rayoum  
Sent: Friday, January 8, 2016 10:03:16 AM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)  
To: Johnson, Thomas  
Subject: Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout

Jan 8, 2016

Commissioner Thomas Johnson

Dear Commissioner Johnson,

I'm writing today to ask you to say no to FirstEnergy's request for the PUCO to authorize substantial, customer-funded subsidies to bail out its uneconomic power plants.

With the new settlement, FirstEnergy's proposal went from bad to worse. Please safeguard market competition and reject FirstEnergy's proposal, so that a utility can no longer cross-subsidize or favor its affiliate companies in order to block competition. Approving the deal would send a clear message to Ohio's businesses, entrepreneurs, investors, and residents: We favor reigning power players and political clout over innovation and competition. Utility profits should not be placed ahead of Ohioans' best interests, and especially not at their personal expense.

If you believe Ohio should have electricity that is clean, reliable, and affordable, please reject FirstEnergy's request.

Thank you,

Ms. Jennifer Rayoum  
5675 Washburn Rd  
Berkey, OH 43504-9608  
[jrayoum@ymail.com](mailto:jrayoum@ymail.com)

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Johnson, Thomas  
**Sent:** Friday, January 08, 2016 10:02 AM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout

---

From: Environmental Defense Fund On Behalf Of Britiny Price  
Sent: Friday, January 8, 2016 10:02:23 AM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)  
To: Johnson, Thomas  
Subject: Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout

Jan 8, 2016

Commissioner Thomas Johnson

Dear Commissioner Johnson,

I'm writing today to ask you to say no to FirstEnergy's request for the PUCO to authorize substantial, customer-funded subsidies to bail out its uneconomic power plants.

With the new settlement, FirstEnergy's proposal went from bad to worse. Please safeguard market competition and reject FirstEnergy's proposal, so that a utility can no longer cross-subsidize or favor its affiliate companies in order to block competition. Approving the deal would send a clear message to Ohio's businesses, entrepreneurs, investors, and residents: We favor reigning power players and political clout over innovation and competition. Utility profits should not be placed ahead of Ohioans' best interests, and especially not at their personal expense.

If you believe Ohio should have electricity that is clean, reliable, and affordable, please reject FirstEnergy's request.

Thank you,

Mrs. Britiny Price  
3830 Bernard Pl  
Columbus, OH 43224-2201  
[bckazee@gmail.com](mailto:bckazee@gmail.com)

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Johnson, Thomas  
**Sent:** Friday, January 08, 2016 10:02 AM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout

---

From: Environmental Defense Fund On Behalf Of Dale Bodmer  
Sent: Friday, January 8, 2016 10:01:51 AM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)  
To: Johnson, Thomas  
Subject: Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout

Jan 8, 2016

Commissioner Thomas Johnson

Dear Commissioner Johnson,

I'm writing today to ask you to say no to FirstEnergy's request for the PUCO to authorize substantial, customer-funded subsidies to bail out its uneconomic power plants.

With the new settlement, FirstEnergy's proposal went from bad to worse. Please safeguard market competition and reject FirstEnergy's proposal, so that a utility can no longer cross-subsidize or favor its affiliate companies in order to block competition. Approving the deal would send a clear message to Ohio's businesses, entrepreneurs, investors, and residents: We favor reigning power players and political clout over innovation and competition. Utility profits should not be placed ahead of Ohioans' best interests, and especially not at their personal expense.

If you believe Ohio should have electricity that is clean, reliable, and affordable, please reject FirstEnergy's request.

Thank you,

Mr. Dale Bodmer  
916 Tracy Pl  
Mason, OH 45040-1167  
[buddhablue@gmail.com](mailto:buddhablue@gmail.com)



## **Hunter, Donielle**

---

**From:** Johnson, Thomas  
**Sent:** Friday, January 08, 2016 10:02 AM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout

---

From: Environmental Defense Fund On Behalf Of Margaret Frye  
Sent: Friday, January 8, 2016 10:01:30 AM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)  
To: Johnson, Thomas  
Subject: Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout

Jan 8, 2016

Commissioner Thomas Johnson

Dear Commissioner Johnson,

I'm writing today to ask you to say no to FirstEnergy's request for the PUCO to authorize substantial, customer-funded subsidies to bail out its uneconomic power plants.

With the new settlement, FirstEnergy's proposal went from bad to worse. Please safeguard market competition and reject FirstEnergy's proposal, so that a utility can no longer cross-subsidize or favor its affiliate companies in order to block competition. Approving the deal would send a clear message to Ohio's businesses, entrepreneurs, investors, and residents: We favor reigning power players and political clout over innovation and competition. Utility profits should not be placed ahead of Ohioans' best interests, and especially not at their personal expense.

If you believe Ohio should have electricity that is clean, reliable, and affordable, please reject FirstEnergy's request.

Thank you,

Ms. Margaret Frye  
630 S Harris Ave  
Columbus, OH 43204-2408  
[mefryedances@earthlink.net](mailto:mefryedances@earthlink.net)

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Johnson, Thomas  
**Sent:** Friday, January 08, 2016 10:01 AM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout

---

From: Environmental Defense Fund On Behalf Of Richard Caddell  
Sent: Friday, January 8, 2016 10:00:52 AM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)  
To: Johnson, Thomas  
Subject: Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout

Jan 8, 2016

Commissioner Thomas Johnson

Dear Commissioner Johnson,

I'm writing today to ask you to say no to FirstEnergy's request for the PUCO to authorize substantial, customer-funded subsidies to bail out its uneconomic power plants.

With the new settlement, FirstEnergy's proposal went from bad to worse. Please safeguard market competition and reject FirstEnergy's proposal, so that a utility can no longer cross-subsidize or favor its affiliate companies in order to block competition. Approving the deal would send a clear message to Ohio's businesses, entrepreneurs, investors, and residents: We favor reigning power players and political clout over innovation and competition. Utility profits should not be placed ahead of Ohioans' best interests, and especially not at their personal expense.

If you believe Ohio should have electricity that is clean, reliable, and affordable, please reject FirstEnergy's request.

Thank you,

Mr. Richard Caddell  
231 Richmond St  
Painesville, OH 44077-3226  
[richardcaddell@yahoo.com](mailto:richardcaddell@yahoo.com)

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Johnson, Thomas  
**Sent:** Friday, January 08, 2016 10:00 AM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout

---

From: Environmental Defense Fund On Behalf Of Judi Cunningham  
Sent: Friday, January 8, 2016 10:00:08 AM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)  
To: Johnson, Thomas  
Subject: Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout

Jan 8, 2016

Commissioner Thomas Johnson

Dear Commissioner Johnson,

I'm writing today to ask you to say no to FirstEnergy's request for the PUCO to authorize substantial, customer-funded subsidies to bail out its uneconomic power plants.

With the new settlement, FirstEnergy's proposal went from bad to worse. Please safeguard market competition and reject FirstEnergy's proposal, so that a utility can no longer cross-subsidize or favor its affiliate companies in order to block competition. Approving the deal would send a clear message to Ohio's businesses, entrepreneurs, investors, and residents: We favor reigning power players and political clout over innovation and competition. Utility profits should not be placed ahead of Ohioans' best interests, and especially not at their personal expense.

If you believe Ohio should have electricity that is clean, reliable, and affordable, please reject FirstEnergy's request.

Thank you,

Mrs. Judi Cunningham  
1664 Winchester Rd  
Lyndhurst, OH 44124-2823  
[cunning29@yahoo.com](mailto:cunning29@yahoo.com)

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Johnson, Thomas  
**Sent:** Friday, January 08, 2016 9:59 AM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout

---

From: Environmental Defense Fund On Behalf Of Eric schickendantz  
Sent: Friday, January 8, 2016 9:58:55 AM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)  
To: Johnson, Thomas  
Subject: Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout

Jan 8, 2016

Commissioner Thomas Johnson

Dear Commissioner Johnson,

I'm writing today to ask you to say no to FirstEnergy's request for the PUCO to authorize substantial, customer-funded subsidies to bail out its uneconomic power plants.

With the new settlement, FirstEnergy's proposal went from bad to worse. Please safeguard market competition and reject FirstEnergy's proposal, so that a utility can no longer cross-subsidize or favor its affiliate companies in order to block competition. Approving the deal would send a clear message to Ohio's businesses, entrepreneurs, investors, and residents: We favor reigning power players and political clout over innovation and competition. Utility profits should not be placed ahead of Ohioans' best interests, and especially not at their personal expense.

If you believe Ohio should have electricity that is clean, reliable, and affordable, please reject FirstEnergy's request.

Thank you,

Mr. Eric schickendantz  
1458 Edgemoor Ave  
Akron, OH 44313-7414  
[ages@neo.rr.com](mailto:ages@neo.rr.com)

**Hunter, Donielle**

---

**From:** Johnson, Thomas  
**Sent:** Friday, January 08, 2016 9:58 AM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout

---

**From:** Environmental Defense Fund On Behalf Of Theresa Verrier Poulin  
**Sent:** Friday, January 8, 2016 9:58:07 AM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)  
**To:** Johnson, Thomas  
**Subject:** Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout

Jan 8, 2016

Commissioner Thomas Johnson

Dear Commissioner Johnson,

I'm writing today to ask you to say no to FirstEnergy's request for the PUCO to authorize substantial, customer-funded subsidies to bail out its uneconomic power plants.

With the new settlement, FirstEnergy's proposal went from bad to worse. Please safeguard market competition and reject FirstEnergy's proposal, so that a utility can no longer cross-subsidize or favor its affiliate companies in order to block competition. Approving the deal would send a clear message to Ohio's businesses, entrepreneurs, investors, and residents: We favor reigning power players and political clout over innovation and competition. Utility profits should not be placed ahead of Ohioans' best interests, and especially not at their personal expense.

If you believe Ohio should have electricity that is clean, reliable, and affordable, please reject FirstEnergy's request.

Thank you,

Ms. Theresa Verrier Poulin  
13555 Neapolis Waterville Rd Lot 14  
Grand Rapids, OH 43522-9695  
[virtualmstree1@aol.com](mailto:virtualmstree1@aol.com)

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Johnson, Thomas  
**Sent:** Friday, January 08, 2016 9:33 AM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout

---

From: Environmental Defense Fund On Behalf Of Heather Walter  
Sent: Friday, January 8, 2016 9:32:45 AM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)  
To: Johnson, Thomas  
Subject: Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout

Jan 8, 2016

Commissioner Thomas Johnson

Dear Commissioner Johnson,

I'm writing today to ask you to say no to FirstEnergy's request for the PUCO to authorize substantial, customer-funded subsidies to bail out its uneconomic power plants.

With the new settlement, FirstEnergy's proposal went from bad to worse.

Please safeguard market competition and reject FirstEnergy's proposal, so that a utility can no longer cross-subsidize or favor its affiliate companies in order to block competition. Approving the deal would send a clear message to Ohio's businesses, entrepreneurs, investors, and

residents: We favor reigning power players and political clout over innovation and competition. Utility profits should not be placed ahead of Ohioans' best interests, and especially not at their personal expense.

If you believe Ohio should have electricity that is clean, reliable, and affordable, please reject FirstEnergy's request.

Thank you,

Mrs. Heather Walter  
529 Willow Grove Dr  
Munroe Falls, OH 44262-1411  
(330) 622-4544  
[hlwalter725@gmail.com](mailto:hlwalter725@gmail.com)

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Johnson, Thomas  
**Sent:** Friday, January 08, 2016 9:32 AM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout

---

**From:** Environmental Defense Fund On Behalf Of Sharon Morton  
**Sent:** Friday, January 8, 2016 9:31:31 AM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)  
**To:** Johnson, Thomas  
**Subject:** Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout

Jan 8, 2016

Commissioner Thomas Johnson

Dear Commissioner Johnson,

I'm writing today to ask you to say no to FirstEnergy's request for the PUCO to authorize substantial, customer-funded subsidies to bail out its uneconomic power plants.

With the new settlement, FirstEnergy's proposal went from bad to worse.

Please safeguard market competition and reject FirstEnergy's proposal, so that a utility can no longer cross-subsidize or favor its affiliate companies in order to block competition. Approving the deal would send a clear message to Ohio's businesses, entrepreneurs, investors, and

residents: We favor reigning power players and political clout over innovation and competition. Utility profits should not be placed ahead of Ohioans' best interests, and especially not at their personal expense.

If you believe Ohio should have electricity that is clean, reliable, and affordable, please reject FirstEnergy's request.

Thank you,

Mrs. Sharon Morton  
1354 Milan Ave  
Akron, OH 44320-1545  
(330) 294-0595  
[sololdy@gmail.com](mailto:sololdy@gmail.com)

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Johnson, Thomas  
**Sent:** Friday, January 08, 2016 9:29 AM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout

---

**From:** Environmental Defense Fund On Behalf Of Anthony Commarata  
**Sent:** Friday, January 8, 2016 9:29:23 AM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)  
**To:** Johnson, Thomas  
**Subject:** Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout

Jan 8, 2016

Commissioner Thomas Johnson

Dear Commissioner Johnson,

I'm writing today to ask you to say no to FirstEnergy's request for the PUCO to authorize substantial, customer-funded subsidies to bail out its uneconomic power plants.

With the new settlement, FirstEnergy's proposal went from bad to worse.

Please safeguard market competition and reject FirstEnergy's proposal, so that a utility can no longer cross-subsidize or favor its affiliate companies in order to block competition. Approving the deal would send a clear message to Ohio's businesses, entrepreneurs, investors, and

residents: We favor reigning power players and political clout over innovation and competition. Utility profits should not be placed ahead of Ohioans' best interests, and especially not at their personal expense.

If you believe Ohio should have electricity that is clean, reliable, and affordable, please reject FirstEnergy's request.

Thank you,

Dr. Anthony Commarata  
1833 Fairlawn Knolls Dr  
Akron, OH 44313-5435  
[anthony.commarata@minister.com](mailto:anthony.commarata@minister.com)



**Hunter, Donielle**

---

**From:** Johnson, Thomas  
**Sent:** Friday, January 08, 2016 9:01 AM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout

---

From: Environmental Defense Fund On Behalf Of Sonya Charles  
Sent: Friday, January 8, 2016 9:00:36 AM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)  
To: Johnson, Thomas  
Subject: Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout

Jan 8, 2016

Commissioner Thomas Johnson

Dear Commissioner Johnson,

I'm writing today to ask you to say no to FirstEnergy's request for the PUCO to authorize substantial, customer-funded subsidies to bail out its uneconomic power plants.

With the new settlement, FirstEnergy's proposal went from bad to worse. Please safeguard market competition and reject FirstEnergy's proposal, so that a utility can no longer cross-subsidize or favor its affiliate companies in order to block competition. Approving the deal would send a clear message to Ohio's businesses, entrepreneurs, investors, and residents: We favor reigning power players and political clout over innovation and competition. Utility profits should not be placed ahead of Ohioans' best interests, and especially not at their personal expense.

If you believe Ohio should have electricity that is clean, reliable, and affordable, please reject FirstEnergy's request.

Thank you,

Dr. Sonya Charles  
1173 Erieview Rd  
Cleveland Hts, OH 44121-2423  
[sonya.charles@gmail.com](mailto:sonya.charles@gmail.com)

## Hunter, Donielle

---

**From:** Johnson, Thomas  
**Sent:** Friday, January 08, 2016 8:59 AM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** FW: Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout

---

From: Environmental Defense Fund On Behalf Of Joel Sacco  
Sent: Friday, January 8, 2016 8:58:47 AM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)  
To: Johnson, Thomas  
Subject: Reject the FirstEnergy Bailout

Jan 8, 2016

Commissioner Thomas Johnson

Dear Commissioner Johnson,

I'm writing today to ask you to say no to FirstEnergy's request for the PUCO to authorize substantial, customer-funded subsidies to bail out its uneconomic power plants.

With the new settlement, FirstEnergy's proposal went from bad to worse. Please safeguard market competition and reject FirstEnergy's proposal, so that a utility can no longer cross-subsidize or favor its affiliate companies in order to block competition. Approving the deal would send a clear message to Ohio's businesses, entrepreneurs, investors, and residents: We favor reigning power players and political clout over innovation and competition. Utility profits should not be placed ahead of Ohioans' best interests, and especially not at their personal expense.

If you believe Ohio should have electricity that is clean, reliable, and affordable, please reject FirstEnergy's request.

Thank you,

Mr. Joel Sacco  
35092 Cannon Rd  
Bentleyville, OH 44022-3502  
[joelsacco@sbcglobal.net](mailto:joelsacco@sbcglobal.net)