

FILE

2
RECEIVED-BOOKING DIV
2015 DEC 28 PM 2:10
PUCO

I am submitting a letter regarding case record: 14-1160-EL-UNC

I see that Duke Energy is trying to charge people not only for replacing their wireless "smart" meters with analog meters but also for monthly reading fees. I find this offensive.

First of all, I would like it to be noted that my family's health suffered tremendously after the wireless "smart" meters were installed on our home. This created a serious financial hardship for us. I have reported this in great detail already to several Ohio agencies including PUCO.

I have some things that I would like you to consider regarding these fees that Duke Energy is trying to implement:

1. If Duke insists on having an official reading done by a meter reader, why does it have to be done every month? When Duke still employed meter readers and we weren't home to let them in, they estimated the bill until the next time we were home to let them in. Why can't they just leave a card for us to call in the numbers ourselves?
2. In many areas, it is not mandatory that a meter reader make an official reading for 6 months. It shouldn't be necessary for a meter reader to make a visit every month especially for customers in good standing.
3. In many areas, customers are allowed to take pictures of their meters and send them directly to the utility companies by email. Have you thought of this?
4. Has Duke Energy considered creating an "app" for people who have cellular phones to take pictures of their meters to submit directly to the company? They could create one with a time stamp so that the date on the picture would be verified. Customers' meter identification numbers could also be on the submitted picture so fraud would not be possible. Send everyone a sticker if these ID numbers aren't easy to read – like what the BMV does for license plates. There are apps for cell phones which take pictures of checks so that money can be withdrawn immediately from a bank without a personal visit. Why not an app for a meter reading? People who don't have the capability to take and submit these pictures could have it done by neighbors, friends, family or social workers and Duke Energy would not have to hire meter readers at all.
5. Last but certainly not least: There are countless research studies that have been done regarding the adverse health effects of wireless or "smart" meters:

"...the exposure to microwave and radiowave radiation from these (smart) meters is involuntary and continuous. The transmitting meters may not even comply with Federal Communications Commission (FCC) "safety" standards (see <http://sagereports.com/smart-meter-rf/>). However, those standards were initially designed to protect an average male from tissue heating (cooking) during a brief exposure. These standards were not designed to protect a diverse population from the non-thermal effects of continuous exposure to microwave and radiowave radiation. Therefore, these "safety" standards were not designed to protect the public from health problems under the circumstances which the meters are being used. The American Academy of Environmental Medicine has called for a moratorium on the installation of transmitting utility meters on the basis that:

"Chronic exposure to wireless radiofrequency radiation is a preventable environmental hazard that is sufficiently well documented to warrant immediate preventative public health action."

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business.
Technician AW Date Processed 12/28/15

These harmful wireless meters have been forced on us by the utility companies and this is creating a financial hardship for all of us who have been or are becoming sick. Now the utility companies want to charge customers fees to protect ourselves from these wireless "smart" meters?

The people who can afford these fees shouldn't be expected to pay them. And the government shouldn't be expected to pay these fees for an ever increasing population of people who won't be able to afford this but want to protect themselves. The government is already paying the medical bills for people receiving assistance who have been sickened by the wireless "smart" meters. The only ones who don't seem to be losing money in this wireless "smart" meter venture are the utility companies.

The Energy Policy Act of 2005, Section 1252, "smart meters", states that electric utilities shall provide such meters to those customers who request them. Therefore, people should have to "opt in". We should not have to "opt out". <http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/PLAW-109publ58/html/PLAW-109publ58.htm>

I know I am not the only person in Ohio or anywhere else who has had adverse health reactions from these wireless "smart" meters. There is too much documentation that confirms this. I shouldn't have to pay additional money to protect myself and neither should anyone else.

Thank you for your consideration.



LANA GALLO
581 Chardonnay Ridge
Cincinnati, OH
45226