

John R. Kasich, Governor Andre T. Porter, Chairman RECEIVED-DOCKETING DIV

Asim Z. Haque Lynn Slaby M. Beth Trombold Thomas W. Johnson

Commissioners

2015 DEC 15 PM 4:03

December 16, 2015

PUC0

Docketing Division Public Utilities Commission of Ohio 180 East Broad Street Columbus OH 43215

RE: In the Matter of the Review of the Advanced Metering Infrastructure / Modern Grid Rider contained in the Tariffs of Ohio Edison, The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company and The Toledo Edison Company, Case No. 13-2172-EL-RDR

Dear Docketing Division:

Enclosed please find the Staff's Review and Recommendations in regard to the application filed by Ohio Edison, The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company and The Toledo Edison Company for the annual review of its Rider AMI, in Case No. 13-2172-EL-RDR.

When

Tamara S. Turkenton Chief, Regulatory Services Division Public Utilities Commission of Ohio

David Lipthratt Chief, Research and Policy Division Public Utilities Commission of Ohio

Enclosure Cc: Parties of Record This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business. Technician______Date Processed______DEC 1-5 2015

180 East Broad Street Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

(614) 466-3016 www.PUCO.ohio.gov

Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company Ohio Edison Company Toledo Edison Company

Case No. 13-2172-EL-RDR (Rider AMI)

SUMMARY

On March 2, 2015, The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company, Ohio Edison Company and The Toledo Edison Company (FirstEnergy) filed their application for the annual review of 2014 costs applicable to the Advanced Metering Infrastructure / Modern Grid Rider (Rider AMI). Rider AMI is a non-bypassable rider, approved by the Commission as the mechanism for recovering the costs related to the deployment of smart grid and advanced metering infrastructure as originally approved in Case No. 07-551-EL-AIR.

In its order in Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO¹, the Commission provided its final guidance on matters related to Rider AMI and costs that could be recovered through this rider. The Commission authorized FirstEnergy to collect smart grid costs that it incurred as part of its pilot program over a ten year period through Rider AMI, with quarterly adjustments to the rate. Operation and maintenance expenses were deemed to be incremental to costs reflected in base rates for purposes of this pilot program. The rider is billed monthly on a fixed customer charge basis.

STAFF REVIEW

Staff's review of the March 2, 2015 application was designed to ensure that FirstEnergy's policies and practices comport with sound ratemaking principles and Commission policies, confirm that its books and records are reliable sources of cost data, and ultimately determine if the application is just and reasonable.

In its review, Staff examined the as-filed schedules for consistency with the Commission's Opinion and Orders in previous smart grid cases and to ensure proper accounting treatment was applied. The audit consisted of a review of the financial statements for completeness, occurrence, presentation, valuation, allocation, and accuracy. Staff conducted this audit through a combination of document review, interviews, and interrogatories. Staff requested documentation as needed to determine that the costs were substantiated or to conclude that an adjustment was warranted.

Based on that investigation, Staff has concluded that no adjustments are necessary and that Rider AMI rates are appropriate as filed.

CONCLUSION

Staff recommends that FirstEnergy's application filed on March 2, 2015 be approved.

¹ Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO, August 25, 2010 Opinion and Order at 13-14.