

FILE

Hunter, Donielle

From: ContactThePUCO@puc.state.oh.us  
Sent: Tuesday, December 15, 2015 12:37 PM  
To: Puco Docketing  
Subject: Docketing

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio  
Investigation and Audit Division

Memorandum

Date: 12/15/2015

Re: S. Linden

Docketing Case No.: 14-1297-EL-SSO

Notes:

Please docket the attached in the case number above.

COMMENT DESCRIPTION:

I live in Columbus and previously was a First Energy customer. I got an email this week that talked about how First Energy has been granted a "backroom deal" by the PUCO to "subsidize their aging power plants." The email is unclear w/re to whether the FE deal will affect just their customers, or all electric consumers in Ohio. Regardless, the deal apparently puts the burden on consumers for the subsidization. And the email states that FE will make millions off the arrangement. The source of that email, Environmental Defense Fund, certainly has a bias, albeit a worthy one. But they usually get their facts straight. What I'm most concerned about is that EDF said that the PUCO actually gave FE more than they asked for. As a taxpayer and electric consumer in Ohio, I think that deserves some explanation. You are supposed to be the government representative that protects the consumer. As the latter, I would expect some very good reason why giving FE more than they asked for would be of ultimate value to Ohio electric consumers? But I can certainly think of several downsides, including (1) potential cost to consumers and (2) encouraging the greed of already powerful utilities. An explanation would be appreciated. Thank you, S. A. Linden  
904 Norris Drive Columbus, OH 43224

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business.  
Technician Sam Date Processed DEC 15 2015

PUCO

2015 DEC 15 PM 1:27

RECEIVED-DOCKETING DIV

## **Hunter, Donielle**

---

**From:** ContactThePUCO@puc.state.oh.us  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 15, 2015 8:24 AM  
**To:** Puco Docketing  
**Subject:** Docketing

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio  
Investigation and Audit Division

Memorandum

Date: 12/15/2015

Re: Michael King  
10730 Gate Post Rd

Strongsville, OH 44149

Docketing Case No.:  
14-1297 el-sso

Notes:

From: [webmaster@puc.state.oh.us](mailto:webmaster@puc.state.oh.us)  
To: PUCO  
ContactThePUCO  
Subject: PUCO CONTACT  
FORM: 104014  
Received: 12/5/2015 10:29:11 AM  
Message:  
WEB ID:  
104014 AT:12-05-2015 at 10:28 AM

Related Case Number:

TYPE: Comment

NAME: Mr. Michael King

CONTACT SENDER ? Yes

MAILING ADDRESS:

- 10730 Gate Post Rd.
- Strongsville , Ohio 44149
- USA

PHONE INFORMATION:

- Home: 4402387592
- Alternative: 4402387592
- Fax: 4402387592

E-MAIL: [mikeking1208@sbcglobal.net](mailto:mikeking1208@sbcglobal.net)

INDUSTRY:Other

ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

- *(no utility company name provided?)*
- *(no account name provided?)*
- *(no service address provided?)*
- *(no service phone number provided?)*
- *(no account number provided?)*

COMMENT DESCRIPTION:

I am writing to you regarding FirstEnergy's latest rate proposal before you. As the owner of an all-electric home, I am quite familiar with FirstEnergy's disdain for their customers. Not too long ago, FirstEnergy chose to take away the discount agreed upon with all-electric homeowners. Bills quickly rose and some customers were forced to decide which to pay, their mortgage or their electric bill. Now they want customers to subsidize their plants that are inefficient. Give me a break. I would ask that the PUCO stand up to this bully, and act for once in the interest of the consumer, by not approving this request for a rate hike.

Please docket the attached in the case number above.