

BEFORE

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Commission's)
Investigation of Ohio's Retail Electric) Case No. 12-3151-EL-COI
Service Market.)

In the Matter of the Market Development) Case No. 14-2074-EL-EDI
Working Group.)

ENTRY

The attorney examiner finds:

- (1) On December 12, 2012, in Case No. 12-3151-EL-COI (*COI Case*), the Commission issued an Entry initiating an investigation into the health, strength, and vitality of Ohio's competitive retail electric service (CRES) market. The investigation was initiated to establish actions that the Commission could take to enhance the health, strength, and vitality of the CRES market. Throughout the investigation, the Commission presented questions to stakeholders regarding market design and corporate separation as they impact Ohio's competitive market for retail electricity. In response to the questions, comments were filed by multiple stakeholders.
- (2) On January 16, 2014, in the *COI Case*, the Commission's Staff filed a status report and a market development work plan (*COI Work Plan*), which included Staff recommendations to improve Ohio's CRES market.
- (3) On March 26, 2014, the Commission issued its Finding and Order in the *COI Case* (*COI Order*) adopting, in part, Staff's recommendations in the *COI Work Plan*, with modifications.
- (4) Additionally, in the *COI Order*, the Commission created the Market Development Working Group (MDWG). The Commission then directed the MDWG and Staff in the *COI Order* to develop an operational plan to implement a statewide seamless move, contract portability, instant connect, or warm transfer process. Once the operational plan was developed, the Commission directed Staff to file a staff report in a new case in order to bring the proposed policies and improvements resulting from the MDWG to the Commission. Following several requests

for an extension of time to file, in Case No. 14-2074-EL-EDI (*EDI Case*), on July 16, 2015, Staff filed a Staff Report (EDI Staff Report) proposing to implement a warm transfer process across the state of Ohio.

- (5) On November 9, 2015, Ohio Edison Company, The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company, and The Toledo Edison Company; Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.; Ohio Power Company (AEP Ohio); and The Dayton Power and Light Company (collectively, the EDUs), filed a joint motion for a comment period regarding the EDI Staff Report. On November 13, 2015, the attorney examiner granted the motion for a comment period and required initial comments and reply comments to be filed by December 14, 2015, and January 6, 2016, respectively.
- (6) Thereafter, on December 9, 2015, AEP Ohio filed a request to extend the deadline for initial comments and reply comments to January 6, 2016, and January 20, 2016, respectively. In support, AEP Ohio states that it has been engaged in serious settlement negotiations on a major case before the Commission that may have implications on the comments it may provide.
- (7) The Commission finds that AEP Ohio's request to extend the deadline is reasonable and should be granted. Consequently, initial comments and reply comments shall be due January 6, 2016, and January 20, 2016, respectively.

It is, therefore,

ORDERED, That AEP Ohio's request to extend the deadline be granted and comments be filed in accordance with Finding (7). It is, further,

ORDERED, That a copy of this Entry be served upon all parties of record.

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

s/Mandy W. Chiles

By: Mandy Willey Chiles
Attorney Examiner

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

12/9/2015 3:06:15 PM

in

Case No(s). 12-3151-EL-COI, 14-2074-EL-EDI

Summary: Attorney Examiner Entry granting AEP Ohio's request to extend the comment period. - electronically filed by Sandra Coffey on behalf of Mandy Willey Chiles, Attorney Examiner, Public Utilities Commission of Ohio