

From: webmaster@puc.state.oh.us  
To: PUCO ContactThePUCO  
Subject: PUCO CONTACT FORM: 103894  
Received: 11/29/2015 6:30:44 PM  
Message:  
WEB ID: 103894 AT:11-29-2015 at 06:30 PM

Related Case Number:

TYPE: Comment

NAME: Mr. martin benade

CONTACT SENDER ? Yes

MAILING ADDRESS:

- (NO CITY?) , Ohio (NO ZIP??)
- USA

PHONE INFORMATION:

- Home: (no home phone provided?)
- Alternative: (no alternative phone provided?)
- Fax: (no fax number provided?)

E-MAIL: martinbenade@yahoo.com

INDUSTRY:Electric

ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

- (no utility company name provided?)
- (no account name provided?)
- (no service address provided?)
- (no service phone number provided?)
- (no account number provided?)

COMMENT DESCRIPTION:

This is regarding issue #14-1297-EL-SSO, the proposed "bailout" for older coal fired plants. Us consumers would really not feel fair about paying the energy company's bills for outdated plants. Please do not put this on our shoulders. Thanks- Martin Benade

This is to certify that the images appearing are an  
accurate and complete reproduction of a case file  
document delivered in the regular course of business.  
Technician MM Date Processed **DEC 02 2015**

RECEIVED-SOCKETING DIV  
2015 DEC -2 AM 8:16  
PUCO

From: webmaster@puc.state.oh.us  
To: PUCO ContactThePUCO  
Subject: PUCO CONTACT FORM: 103878  
Received: 11/27/2015 3:01:03 PM  
Message:  
WEB ID: 103878 AT:11-27-2015 at 03:00 PM

Related Case Number:

TYPE: Comment

NAME: Mr. Dennis Meyers

CONTACT SENDER ? Yes

MAILING ADDRESS:

- 9064 Vermilion Rd
- Amherst , Ohio 44001
- USA

PHONE INFORMATION:

- Home: 440-988-3939
- Alternative: *(no alternative phone provided?)*
- Fax: *(no fax number provided?)*

E-MAIL: drmeyers@centurytel.net

INDUSTRY:Electric

ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

- Company: Ohio Edispon
- Name on account: Dennis R Meyers
- Service address: 9064 Vermilion Rd
- Service phone: 440-988-3939
- Account Number: 110012775315

COMMENT DESCRIPTION:

Docketing Division RE: Case No. 14-1297-EL-SSO Dear PUCO: As the owner of an all electric house in the Ohio Edison service area, I am very disappointed to see that you are about to once again rubber-stamp a First Energy Co. rate plan that will rape customers to the tune of BILLIONS of dollars. I find it very interesting that these sweetheart deals are always approved around the holiday season when it's hoped that people will be too busy with family get-togethers or holiday shopping to notice that they're about to get screwed again. If you are now excluding

me from purchasing electricity from the free market by making me buy power from First Energy's coal-fired plants, I would hereby like to request a refund for the thousands of dollars that I paid to First Energy over the years in "transition" fees so that they would be better positioned to compete in a deregulated market place. Don't penalize me for the failed leadership of this company. With all of the shenanigans and scams they've pulled over the years, not to mention all of the safety/maintenance issues with their nuclear power plants, First Energy should have lost their license years ago!! Sincerely, Dennis Meyers P.S. Please let me know when I can expect to receive my refund check. I'm going to need that money to be able to afford electricity in 2016-2019.

From: webmaster@puc.state.oh.us  
To: PUCO ContactThePUCO  
Subject: PUCO CONTACT FORM: 103912  
Received: 11/30/2015 7:55:50 PM  
Message:  
WEB ID: 103912 AT:11-30-2015 at 07:55 PM

Related Case Number:

TYPE: Comment

NAME: Mr. Kevin Camfield

CONTACT SENDER ? Yes

MAILING ADDRESS:

- 6057 ASHLEY CT
- BLUE ASH , Ohio 45242
- USA

PHONE INFORMATION:

- Home: 5137806367
- Alternative: 5137806367
- Fax: *(no fax number provided?)*

E-MAIL: kevinheidi2@gmail.com

INDUSTRY:Electric

ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

- *(no utility company name provided?)*
- *(no account name provided?)*
- *(no service address provided?)*
- *(no service phone number provided?)*
- *(no account number provided?)*

COMMENT DESCRIPTION:

Regarding the upcoming cases 14-1297-EL-SSO and 14-1693-EL-SSO which support electric security plans for FirstEnergy and AEP in general I am opposed and am requesting that you too oppose these proposals. There is no viable reason why the Ohio rate payers should pay the utilities to maintain the operation of outdated coal fired plants that significantly pollute the air Ohioans breath. The utilities should have been and need to come up with long term plans that support clean energy in Ohio. That being said, the only plant where support is requested that

could logically be a part of a longer term clean energy solution for Ohio is the FirstEnergy Nuclear plant. Consistent with the need to move Ohio to a cleaner energy format and protect the health of it's citizens we also must un-freeze Ohio's clean energy standards. Now is a time to be leading the way towards clean energy, not protecting the old guard and outdated technologies that poison our environment and our people.