BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Application of the Ohio Development Services Agency for an Order Approving Adjustments to the Universal Service Fund Riders of

Case No. 15-1046-EL-USF

Jurisdictional Ohio Electric Distribution

Utilities.

SUPPLEMENTAL TESTIMONY

OF

SUSAN M. MOSER

ON BEHALF OF THE OHIO DEVELOPMENT SERVICES AGENCY

November 27, 2015

TESTIMONY OF SUSAN MOSER On Behalf of The Ohio Development Services Agency

I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

1	Q.	Please state your name and business address.
2	A.	My name is Susan M. Moser. My business address is Ohio Development Services
3		Agency ("ODSA"), 77 South High Street, 26th Floor, Columbus, Ohio 43216-1001.
4	Q.	By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
5	A.	I am employed by ODSA in its Office of Community Assistance ("OCA") as Section
6		Supervisor of the EPP/PIPP Plus section.
7	Q.	Have you previously submitted written testimony on behalf of ODSA in this case?
8	A.	Yes. My direct testimony in support of ODSA's original application was filed in this
9		docket on October 30, 2015
10	Q.	What is the purpose of your supplemental testimony?
11	A.	The purpose of this supplemental testimony is to support the amended application which
12		ODSA has filed in this proceeding. In this testimony, I discuss the reasons for the
13		changes to the Universal Service Fund ("USF") rider revenue requirements and USF
14		rider rates originally proposed for each electric distribution utility ("EDU") and
15		sponsor the revised exhibits and workpapers that document these changes.
16	Q.	Why has ODSA filed an amended application?
17	A.	The approved test period for purposes of this case is calendar year 2015. Because actual
18		2015 data was only available through August 2015 at the time the original application
19		was prepared, ODSA utilized data from September, October, November, and December
20		2014 as a surrogate for the corresponding months of the 2015 test period. However,
21	9704465	ODSA reserved the right to update its calculations to incorporate additional actual data as

3	Q.	How does the inclusion of the additional month of actual data impact your revenue
2		substituted that data for the September 2014 data used in the original test-period analysis.
1		it became available. ODSA now has EDU reported data for September 2015 and I have

requirement analysis?

A.

A. Substituting the actual numbers for September 2015 for the estimates used in the original analysis changes the test-period cost of electricity delivered to the EDU's PIPP customers as well as the amount of the test-period USF rider collections that are offset against that cost to determine the test-period cost of PIPP. Although the primary impact is on the cost of PIPP, there are also changes to several other USF rider revenue requirement components that flow from substituting actual numbers from September 2015 for the September 2014 numbers used in my original analysis.

Q. How was the cost of PIPP component of each EDU's USF rider revenue requirement determined for purposes of the amended application?

The cost of PIPP represents the total cost of electricity consumed by each EDU's PIPP customers during the test period, plus their pre-PIPP balances, less the monthly installment payments billed to PIPP customers, less payments made by or on behalf of PIPP Plus customers during the test period, to the extent that payments exceed the amount of the installment payments billed over the same period. Substituting actual data from September 2015 for the September 2014 data used in the original analysis produces the revised test-period cost of PIPP Plus for each EDU shown in Exhibit A to the amended application. The supporting work papers are attached to my supplemental testimony as Exhibits SMM1 through SMM 7.

9704465v2 2

Q. In your direct testimony, you discussed the need to adjust the test-period cost of PIPP to annualize the impact of Commission-approved changes to EDU tariff rates. Does the use of actual September 2015 data in your revised analysis also affect these adjustments?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

A.

Yes. As I explained in my direct testimony, PIPP customer payments are based on fixed, specified percentages of the customer's income and are not tied to the cost of electricity the customer consumes. An increase in an EDU rate element widens the gap between the cost of electricity delivered to PIPP customers and the amount paid by PIPP customers, thereby increasing the cost of PIPP. By the same token, a decrease in an EDU tariff rate reduces the cost of PIPP. Thus, it is necessary to adjust the test-period cost of PIPP to account for the impact of these known changes in the underlying EDU tariff rates on the annual revenue requirement the new USF rider rates must be designed to generate during the 2016 collection period. In instances where the rate change is known, but will not occur until after the test period, the impact is annualized by multiplying the total cost of electricity delivered to the subject EDU's PIPP customers during the test period by the net percentage increase or decrease in the EDU's rates resulting from the rate changes. Replacing the September 2014 data with the actual September 2015 data changes the total test-period cost of electricity to which the percentage change is applied. In instances where the rate changes occurred during the test period, the cost of electricity delivered to PIPP customers in months prior to the rate change must be restated to recognize the impact of the rate change on the cost of PIPP. In this scenario, the

9704465v2 3

adjustment is calculated by multiplying the cost of electricity for the months prior to the rate change by the net percentage increase or decrease. The availability of actual data for September 2015 eliminated the need to restate that data from the surrogate month of September 2014 in performing these adjustments.

- Q. What effect did replacing the September 2014 data with actual data for September 2015 have on the adjustments for Commission-approved changes to EDU tariff rates?
- A. Commission-approved adjustments to the tariffed rates for Columbus Southern Power (CSP), Ohio Power (OP), Ohio Edison (OE) and Toledo Edison (TE) took effect during the test-period. The calculations of the related adjustments to the cost of PIPP for these EDUs are shown in Exhibits A.1.a and A.1.d and are summarized in the second column of Exhibit A.1. Rate adjustments for CSP, OP, Dayton Power and Light (DPL), Duke Energy Ohio (Duke), Cleveland Electric Illuminating (CEI), OE and TE will take place in 2016. The calculation of the related adjustments to the test-period cost of PIPP for each of these EDUs is shown in Exhibits A.1.a through A.1.d of the amended application. These adjustments are summarized in the third column of Exhibit A.1.

9704465v2

¹ The AEP Ohio operating companies, Columbus Southern Power Company ("CSP") and Ohio Power Company ("OP") merged, effective December 31, 2011, with OP as the surviving entity. However, the former CSP customers continue to be subject to separate rate schedules, including a separate USF rider, as are the customers that were served by OP prior to the merger. For ease of reference, ODSA refers herein to CSP as if it were an EDU, but it is understood that these references actually relate to the CSP Rate Zone and that references to OP actually relate to the OP Rate Zone. The Commission confirmed the continued existence of the CSP and OP rate zones in its *NOI Order* issued October 28, 2015.

Q. Exhibit A.l to the original application shows difference to the rates for all EDUs in comparison to the corresponding figure in Exhibit A.1 of the amended application. What accounts for these changes?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

A.

Exhibits A.l.a through A.l.d of the original application, show the change resulting from Commission-approved rate changes for each of the EDUs. Because the last month of the test period for which actual data is available is September 2015, the cost of PIPP for each test-period month (the surrogate months of October through December 2014 and the actual months of January through September 2015) must be restated to reflect the impact these rate changes will have on the cost of PIPP during the 2016 collection period. In addition, DPL revised its rate changes to reflect rate adjustments in 2016. It also should be noted that in Exhibit A.1.d to the original application the monthly "Cost of Electricity" for CEI, OE and TE was incorrectly inserted into the tables. For each of these EDUs, the sequential monthly "Cost of Electricity" beginning September 2014 and ending April 2015 in the original application, actually should begin January 2015 and end August 2015, as stated in the amended application. Further, the sequential monthly "Cost of Electricity" beginning June 2015 and ending August 15 in the original application, actually should begin October 2014 and end December 2014, as stated in the amended application. The total "Cost of Electricity" is correct in the original and amended applications. This error did not affect any calculations.

9704465v2 5

1	Q.	Does the use of the actual September 2015 data affect the adjustment to the
2		cost of PIPP for the projected increase in enrollment during the 2015
3		collection period?
4	A.	Yes, as explained in my direct testimony, this adjustment was calculated utilizing the
5		annual PIPP enrollment for each EDU for the period 2011 through 2015. As shown in
6		the second schedule in Exhibit A.2 to the amended application, the inclusion of the actual
7		September 2015 enrollments produced a decreased average enrollment for all of the
8		EDUs. The adjustments to the test-period cost of PIPP described above also affected the
9		adjusted test-period cost of PIPP in Column B of the first schedule in exhibit A.2 and the
10		average test-period cost of PIPP per customer shown in Column C of that schedule.
11		Changing these inputs, but using the same methodology described in my direct testimony,
12		produced the revised total adjusted cost of PIPP for each EDU shown in the final column
13		(Column F) in Exhibit A.2.
14	Q.	What was the overall effect on the adjusted test-period cost of PIPP of substituting
15		actual September 2015 data for the September 2014 data, revising the adjustment
16		due to rate changes for each of the EDUs and updating the adjustment for Projected
17		2016 PIPP enrollments?
18	A.	A comparison of Exhibit A.2 to the original application with Exhibit A.2 to the amended
19		application shows that the net impact of these changes was to increase the indicated
20		aggregate revenue requirement associated with the adjusted test-year cost of PIPP
21		component from \$392,054,293 to \$395,414,390.

9704465v2 6

22

1	Q.	You indicated that, although the primary impact of updating the USF rider revenue
2		requirement analysis was on the cost of PIPP, other components were also affected
3		by substituting actual numbers from September 2015 for the September 2014
4		numbers used in your original analysis. Please describe these other changes.

First, because the Electric Partnership Program ("EPP") costs are allocated based on each EDU's cost of PIPP relative to the total cost of PIPP, the changes to the respective cost of PIPP components produce changes in the EPP components as well. Second, the projected December 31, 2015 PIPP account balances for each EDU must also be recalculated to capture the impact of this additional actual data, resulting in changes in the adjustments necessary to synchronize the proposed riders with the EDU's PIPP USF account balances as of the riders' proposed effective date of January I, 2016. Third, the substitution of the actual Kwh sales for September 2015 for the September 2014 Kwh sales figures used in the original calculations also affects the calculation of the allowance for undercollection

Q. How was the EPP component of the USF rider revenue requirement determined for purposes of the amended application?

As in the original application, the total proposed allowance for EPP is the \$14,946,196 approved by the Commission in its October 28, 2015 opinion and order in the NOI phase of this proceeding (the "NOI Order"). However, as noted above, the specific amount allocated to each EDU changes due to the change in its relative cost of PIPP. The development of the allocation factors and the results of the allocation are shown in Exhibit B to the amended application.

9704465v2 7

A.

A.

1	Q.	Has the administrative cost component of the USF rider revenue requirement
2		changed as a result of substituting actual data from September 2015 for the
3		September 2014 used in the original application?
4	A.	No. Administrative costs are allocated among the EDUs based on the relative number of
5		PIPP customers during the test-period month with the highest PIPP customer account
6		totals. March 2015 was the test-period month with the highest PIPP customer account
7		totals, so as shown in Exhibit C to the amended application, the amounts allocated to the
8		individual EDUs are unaffected by the inclusion of the September 2015 data.
9	Q.	What was the effect of substituting actual data for September 2014 on the projected
10		December 31, 2015 account balance element of the USF rider revenue requirement?
11	A.	As shown in Exhibit H of the amended application, ODSA projects account surpluses for
12		OP, DPL, and Duke and a deficit for CSP, CEI, OE and TE. ODSA now projects a
13		consolidated USF deficit of \$10,188,198 as compared to the consolidated deficit of
14		\$18,508,379 identified in the original application. The workpapers showing the
15		calculation of the December 2015 USF account balances now projected for each
16		company are attached to my supplemental testimony as Exhibits SMM-8 through SMM-
17		14.
18	Q.	Were changes made to the reserve component of the USF rider revenue target in
19		preparing the amended application?
20	A.	Yes, as explained in my initial testimony, the reserve component is based on the EDU's
21		highest monthly deficit during the test period. With respect to CEI, OE and TE, the
22		highest monthly deficit continues to be December 2015. However, the use of actual data
23		for September 2015 reduced the deficit in December 2015 for each of these EDUs and,

9704465v2 **8**

1		thus, decreased their reserve requirement. The reserve components for each EDU shown
2		in Exhibit F to the amended application reflect these changes which together decrease the
3		allowance for the reserve from the \$47,341,937 proposed in the original application to
4		\$43,379,521.
5	Q.	You indicated that substituting actual Kwh sales for September 2015 in calculating
6		test-period sales, coupled with the changes in pro forma USF rider revenues, affects
7		the undercollection component of the revenue requirement. What was the impact of
8		these changes on the undercollection component?
9	A.	As shown in Exhibit G to the amended application, the total allowance for
10		undercollection is now \$1,465,264 as compared to the \$1,564,999 proposed in the
11		original application. The workpapers supporting the revisions for each EDU are attached
12		to my testimony as Exhibits SMM-15 through SMM-21. It should be noted that SMM-20
13		in the original application lists the KWH usage for January 2015 as 932,3783,908. This
14		number is incorrect. The correct KWH usage for this time period is 2,310,945,494. This
15		error did not affect the total KWH used in the Test-Period nor did it affect the
16		calculations for undercollection.
17	Q.	Taking into account the various changes you have described, what are the results of
18		your revised USF rider revenue requirement analysis?
19	A.	The results of the revised USF rider revenue requirement analysis for each EDU are
20		summarized in Exhibit I to the amended application. As shown on Table I of the
21		amended application, the total revised revenue requirement is \$470,734,837 as compared
22		to \$479,758,071 identified in the original application.

9704465v2 9

23

Q. How did you calculate the proposed USF rider rate for each EDU?

Q.

A.

A. I applied the same Commission-approved rate design methodology described in my initial testimony, substituting actual September 2015 KWH sales for the September 2014 sales used in the original calculation. I began by dividing each EDU's indicated revenue requirement by its revised test-period sales to determine the per Kwh rate that would be applicable if the EDU's revenue requirement were to be recovered through a uniform per Kwh rate. The Kwh sales figures for each EDU are shown in Exhibits SMM-22 through SMM-28. The per Kwh rates that would apply if the respective EDU's revenue requirements were recovered through a uniform per Kwh rate are shown in Exhibit J to the amended application.

How did you convert the indicated uniform per Kwh USF rider rate for each EDU into the two-tiered rates proposed in the amended application?

Under the Commission-approved methodology, the first block of the rate applies to all monthly consumption up to and including 833,000 Kwh (i.e. one-twelfth of an annual consumption of 10,000,000 Kwh), while the second block applies to all consumption above 833,000 Kwh per month. The rate per Kwh for the second block is set at the lower of the PIPP rider rate in effect in October 1999 or the per-Kwh rate that would apply if the EDU's annual USF rider revenue requirement were to be recovered through a single block per-Kwh rate, with the rate for the first black set at the level necessary to produce the remainder of the EDU's annual USF rider revenue requirement. In this case, this cap is in play for all the EDUs, so all the proposed rider rates have this declining block feature as shown on Table II of the amended application. The workpapers supporting the rate calculations are attached to my testimony as Exhibits SMM-29 through SMM-35.

9704465v2 10

The final line item on each of these exhibits shows the annual cost impact on the average residential consumer resulting from the use of the declining block rate structure as opposed to a uniform rate per Kwh. As in prior cases, I have included this analysis purely for informational purposes.

Q. How do the USF riders proposed in the amended application compare to the current USF riders?

Table II of the amended application compares the current and proposed rider rates. As indicated on Table I of the amended application, the revenues produced by the current USF riders of CSP, DPL, CEI, OE, and TE would fall short of their respective indicated revenue targets, while the revenues produced by the current OP and Duke riders would exceed their indicated revenue targets. Thus, the CSP, DPL, CEI, OE and TE rider rates will increase and the DPL rider rate will decrease. Although Table I of the amended application shows that OP's test year revenues produced under its current USF rider rate would exceed its indicated revenue target, OP's current rate actually increases slightly as shown on Table II. This is because the rates that produced the \$106,267,136 test year revenue (Table I) under the current rider rate (Table II) were designed to collect \$103,268,952.² OP's current revenue requirement has increased and its 2016 USF rider rate must be increased slightly to collect the increased revenue requirement of \$106,016,795.

A.

² See *In Re Ohio Development Services Agency*, Case No. 14-1002-EL-USF (Opinion and Order, December 10, 2014), at 4.
9704465v2
11

1	Q.	Will the USF rider adjustments proposed in the amended application produce the
2		minimum amount of revenue necessary to serve the purposes for which the USF
3		riders were created?
4	A.	Yes, ODSA's goal is propose USF riders at the lowest possible level that will generate
5		the revenues sufficient to fund the low-income customer assistance and consumer
6		education programs and to cover the associated administrative costs. However, ODSA
7		continues to believe that the USF riders must be reviewed no less frequently than
8		annually to assure, to the extent possible, that these riders will generate the necessary
9		level of revenues, but no more than that level.
10	Q.	Doe this conclude your supplemental testimony?
11	A.	Yes

9704465v2 12

Universal Service Fund
Current Rider Mechanism
Cost of PIPP

Loss Restrictioned on All Contentioned Organization \$4,000,200.00 \$4,000,200			10/2014	11/2014	12/2014	1/2015	\$102/Z	\$/2015	G102#	01.07/6	CLOZIO	6	21076		1
2. Non-Light Rider Funds. 2. Non-Light Rider	CSP A.			\$4,927,295.76	\$6,374,748 14	\$7,749,183.78			\$5,907,337.46	\$5,751,151.22	\$6,597,264.46	\$7,063,927.94	\$7,171,843.50	\$7,156,598.27	\$78,506,253.98
4. Decide Language Payments 4,102.02.20 3,115.04.1 3,15.02.02.20 3,115.04.1 3,15.02.02.20 3,115.04.1 3,15.02.02.20 3,115.04.1 3,15.02.02.20 3,115.04.1 3,15.02.02.20 3,115.04.1 3,15.02.02.20 3,115.04.1 3,15.02.04.2 3,115.04.2 3,115.02.02.20 3,115.04.1 3,15.02.04.2 3,10.02.04.2 3		2. Non-USF Rider Funds			A common and a contraction of the contract of										
b come cuctoment pryments 51114617 (5115.014) 511156134		a. Customer Payments	\$4,012,095.92	\$3,264,529.01	\$3,714,193.61	\$3,552,036.36			\$3,868,965.32	\$3,848,905.01	\$4,158,076.45	\$4,110,089.67	\$4,026,138.68	\$3,731,844.92	\$46,462,615.96
c. Agency Peyments S189, 100.77 S186, 131.65 S118, 1		b. Other Customer Payments	\$131,468.75	\$91,157.41	\$115,603.45	\$121,976.97			\$131,894.36	\$132,441.93	\$163,599.49	\$191,274.05	\$247,973.82	\$674,239.27	\$2,316,846.99
1. Total Payments S1201,125.39 (3.514,016.05 9.4155,127.96 9.5561,727.79 (3.5230,049.11 9.514,027.75 9.4156,124.77 (3.414,016.05 9.561,124.77 (3.414,016.05 9.541,124.77 (3.414,016.05		c. Agency Payments	\$387,860.72	\$158,331.63	\$325,330.89				\$302,115.01	\$539,106.61	\$190,458.33	\$247,712.00	\$401,863.30	\$120,560.49	\$6,604,555.25
SS 220,127 08 SS 67321 17 ST 1417,110 63 SS 624,172 15 ST 64,922.44 ST 12,665.30 SS 67,104.86 ST 033,702.82	4 1	3. Total Payments	\$4,531,425.39	\$3,514,018.05	\$4,155,127.95	\$5,813,043.79			\$4,302,974.69	\$4,520,453.55	\$4,512,134.27	\$4,549,075.72	\$4,675,975.80	\$4,526,644.68	\$55,384,018.20
Available Balance (A4-B-C) 85,023,077.02 84,007.02.03 81,032,772,819 81,032,772,772,819 81,032,772,772,772,772,772,772,772,772,772,7		4. Payments Applied to Arrearages	\$212,913.72	\$139,481.13		\$3,667,927.05			\$112,695.30	\$867,104.88	\$436,438.36	\$203,759.86	\$310,191.69	\$72,837.87	\$8,656,628.44
COCS Admin \$55,426 65 \$56,426 65 \$56,426 65 \$56,426 65 \$57,726 47 \$57,725 95 \$57,220 67 \$56,546 18 \$56,948 44 \$57,726 47 \$57,725 97 \$57,726 47 \$57,726		5. Total Amount of Remittance	\$5,290,127.08	\$5,066,776.89	\$6,573,921.17	\$11,417,110.83			\$6,020,032.76	\$6,618,256.10	\$7,033,702.82	\$7,267,687.80	\$7,482,035.19	\$7,229,436.14	\$87,162,882.42
Reprine Engrand \$2211,621.41 \$209,372.75 \$228,703.46 \$320,849.40 \$222,798.76 \$222,798.76 \$227,726.58 \$277,246.58 Available Balance (A4.B-C) \$5,023,077.02 \$4,401,071.24 \$1,000,722.66 \$1,000,722.66 \$1,000,722.66 \$1,000,722.66 \$1,000,724.68 \$227,724.68 \$22,798.76 \$26,577.08 \$277,246.58			\$55,428.65	\$53,791.98	\$69,594.02	\$80,735.64			\$61,546.18	\$59,918.94	\$68,734.25	\$73,596.23	\$74,720.56	\$74,561.73	\$826,090.93
Available Balance (A4 B-C) \$5,023.077.02 \$4,807.612.16 \$5,238,623.66 \$11,036,172.94 \$17,53.619.73 \$11,753.73 \$11,753.73			\$211,621.41	\$205,372.75		\$300,202.26			\$228,849.40	\$222,798.76	\$255,577.07	\$273,655.54	\$277,836.18	\$277,245.58	\$3,089,488.46
Total Costs Active PIPP and Grad PIPP Bill S4, G62, B46.36 S4, 139, 632, 125, 801.35 S4, 338, 938, 125, 801.35 S4, 338, 938, 13, 13, 13, 13, 13, 13, 13, 13, 13, 13	_		\$5,023,077.02	\$4,807,612.16		\$11,036,172.94			\$5,729,637.18	\$6,335,538.40	\$6,709,391.50	\$6,920,436.02	\$7,129,478.45	\$6,877,628.83	\$83,247,303.03
Active PIPP and Grad PIPP Bill St, Odt 3 Odt 5 St, 138, 038, 17, 15, 12, 12, 138, 038, 17, 15, 12, 138, 138, 138, 138, 138, 138, 138, 138		1 1	\$8,725,881.35	\$10,052,850.29		\$16,008,479.71			\$9,881,683.28	\$8,763,408.47	\$8,739,518.82	\$9,832,172.03	\$10,007,598.83	\$8,663,120.54	\$134,208,426.01
Reimbursement Due \$4,682,846.36 \$5,861,391.04 \$1,027,491.09 \$10,372,730.13 \$10,272,3971.04 \$5,487,382.95 \$4,400,437.99 \$4,381,119.78 \$6,482,512.13 \$5,686,555.08 \$1,686,431.46 \$1,047,149.78 \$1,047,923.89 \$1,450,923.37 \$2,191,197.37 \$1,047,923.89 \$1,0469,326 \$1,0469,32.64 \$1,047,923.89 \$1,0469,326 \$1,047,923.89	1	4 1	\$4,043,034.99	\$4,191,459.25		\$4,380,988.62			\$4,394,300.33	\$4,362,970.52	\$4,358,399.04	\$4,349,659.90	\$4,339,043.75	\$3,976,689.08	\$51,634,245.27
Surphus/Deficit (10-G) \$3.40,230.66 (\$1,053,778.86) (\$3.410.688.05) (\$5.91.318.15) (\$2,453,063.00) (\$1,753,931.32) \$2.42,254.23 \$1,535,100.45 \$2,328,271.72 \$1,437,923.89 \$1,460.923.37 \$2,191,197.37 \$1,901.91 \$1,901.9	46		\$4,682,846.36	\$5,861,391.04		\$11,627,491.09			\$5,487,382.95	\$4,400,437.95	\$4,381,119.78	\$5,482,512.13	\$5,668,555.08	\$4,686,431.46	\$82,574,180.74
\$4,469,932.64 \$5,721,909,91 \$9,450,138.70 \$7,959,564.04 \$9,503,556.82 \$8,709,038.80 \$5,374,687.65 \$3,533,333.07 \$3,944,681.42 \$5,276,752.27 \$5,356,363.39 \$4,613,593.69			\$340,230.66	(\$1,053,778.88)		(\$591,318 15)			\$242,254.23	\$1,935,100.45	\$2,328,271.72	\$1,437,923.89	\$1,460,923.37	\$2,191,197.37	\$673,122.29
		Cost to USF	\$4,469,932.64	\$5,721,909.91	\$9,450,138.70	\$7,959,564.04			\$5,374,687.65	\$3,533,333.07	\$3,944,681.42	\$5,278,752.27	\$5,358,363.39	\$4,613,593.59	\$73,917,552.30

Cost of PIPP \$ 73,917,552
Adjustment Test-Period Cost of PIPP: \$ (549,169)
Enrollment Adjustment Test-Period Cost of PIPP \$ 5,750,859

Total Adjusted Cost of PIPP \$ 79,119,242

Universal Service Fund
Current Rider Mechanism
Cost of PIPP

1. Unit Daire Collected on all Casioners 8.4527.065 8.552.06		+ 1 07501	100	+ 10551	2127									
2. Non-USF Rider Funds 2. Activisity 3. Acti	1. USF Rider Collected on All Customers		\$8,524,868.21	\$10,864,394,49		\$9,550,871.59	\$9,544,529.45	\$7,886,536.42	\$7,080,730.51	\$8,294,735.30	\$8,470,669.47	\$8,797,986.90	\$8,512,350.26	\$106,267,136.43
b characterizations with the control of the control	2. Non-USF Rider Funds	7						office who defined and and and and and and and and and an				Application of the feet party and the second	The second secon	
b. Obser Concisiones Payments \$151,588.5 \$151,586.5 \$175,644.7 \$175,644.7 \$175,644.7 \$175,644.7 \$175,644.7 \$175,644.7 \$175,644.7 \$175,644.7 \$175,644.7 \$175,641.0 \$175,644.7 \$175,641.0	a. Customer Payments	\$4,858,746.57				\$5,029,438.52	\$5,049,113.67	\$4,827,771.35	\$4,772,821.79	\$5,070,787.13	\$5,065,536.03	\$4,891,193.69	\$4,600,317.56	\$56,969,845.91
Company proments SSCS (6) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1	b. Other Customer Payments	\$143,889.56	\$108,776.20			\$195,790.67	\$194,186.61	\$175,834.75	\$171,985.01	\$186,756.06.	\$219,479.81	\$285,608.18	\$845,174.24	\$2,821,311.84
3. Total Payments S224 248.01 44.713.01664 \$5.071,228.22 57.014.01 51.201.0014.1 51.014.015 51.014.	c. Agency Payments	\$251,611.88	\$163,411.92			\$945,185.97	\$1,427,754.85		\$513,834.57	\$224,860.55	\$278,974.30	\$416,160.20	\$102,852.62	\$7,809,497.02
4. Paymenta Applied to Armaniges 5205 869 13 5176,112 80 5209/94 26 54,622/793 23 51,207/967 43 52,165,822 58 51,1170 512 71 58 52,232 52 51,112 80 52,234 52 51,112 80 52,234 52 51,112 80 52,234 52 51,112 80 52,307,99 50 51,112 51,11	3. Total Payments	\$5,254,248.01	\$4,213,016.64	\$5,071,328.27	\$7,223,552.37	\$6,170,415.16		\$5,350,697.45	\$5,458,641.37	\$5,482,403.74	\$5,563,990.14	\$5,592,962.07	\$5,548,344.42	\$67,600,654.77
6. Total Amount of Reunitance 88,758,118 6f4 85 850,881 01 811,074,182.72 851,1847,007 59 810,786,839 02 811,710,512.71 851,286,372 851,781,846 77 851,846 77 875,849 37 877,848 17 877,877,778 17 877,877,778 17 877,877,878 17 877,877,778 17 877,877,778 17 877,877,778 17 877,877,878 17 877,877,778 17 877,877,778 17 877,877,878 17 877,877,778 17 877,877,878 17 877,877,878 17 877,877,878 17 877,877,878 17 877,877,878 17 877,877,878 17 877,877,877 17 877,877,877 17 877,877,877 17 877,877,877 17 877,877,877	4. Payments Applied to Arrearages	\$205,869.13				\$1,207,967 43		\$141,783.78	\$976,223.81	\$487,111.67	\$218,410.93	\$404,247.53	\$103,700.47	\$10,899,998.30
COCS Admin SEZ 7108 G ST9 153.27 ST9 154.77 ST9 16 G ST9 154.77 ST9 16 G ST9 154.77 ST9 16 G ST9 16 G ST9 154.77 ST9 16 G ST9 16 G ST9 16 G ST9 16 G ST9 15 G ST9 16 G	5. Total Amount of Remittance	\$8,758,118.64	\$8,650,981 01			\$10,758,839.02	\$11,710,512.71	\$8,028,320.20	\$8,056,954.32	\$8,781,846.97	\$8,689,080.40	\$9,202,234.43	\$8,616,050.73	\$117,167,134.77
Available Balance (A4-B-C) SS 3.74,491 02 S17,4791 02 S17,201.05 S10.564.31.31 S10.564.31.32 S10.564		\$62,307.99	\$62,108.50				\$87,277.83	\$72,116.68	\$64,748.17	\$75,849.37	\$77,458.16	\$80,451.24	\$77,839.30	\$919,801.04
Available Balance (A4-B-C) 58,421,319,64 \$8,315,260,32 \$10,646,334,39 \$14,330,820,25 \$11,233,448,68 \$17,031,038,30 \$17,031,038,30 \$17,031,038,30 \$18,367,251,37 \$18,762,417,62 \$17,103,038,30 \$18,703,038,30 <		\$274,491.02	\$273,612.19		3	\$390,045.21	\$389,786.20	\$322,075.92	\$289,167.85	\$338,746.23	\$345,931.15	\$359,298.37	\$347,633 34	\$4,095,521.20
Total Costs \$10,311,688.20 \$12,774,832.99 \$19,057,147.56 \$22,704,340.96 \$21,003,203.53 \$20,224,418.25 \$13,804,464.46 \$10,788,687.46 \$10,782,417.62 \$11,708,599.07 \$12,192,125.44 \$10,253,732.44 \$10,247.15 \$10,003,003.53 \$10,003,003,003.53 \$10,003,003.53 \$10,003,003.53 \$10,003,003.53 \$10,003,0		\$8,421,319.64	\$8,315,260.32			\$10,281,457.99		\$7,634,127.60	\$7,703,038.30	\$8,367,251.37	\$8,265,691.09	\$8,762,484.82	\$8,190,578.09	\$112,151,812.53
Raimbursement Due \$5,425,389 98 \$7,663,146 92 \$5,111,686 07 \$5,401,784.47 \$5,564,192.42 \$5,406,172.56 \$5,317,133.95 \$5,317,133.95 \$5,317,133.95 \$5,409,750.16 \$5,300,683.15 \$5,409,750.76 \$5,409,750.16 \$5,500,683.20 \$5,500,683.15 \$5,500,683.10 \$5,500,683.10 \$5,409,750.16 \$5,409,750.16 \$5,409,750.16 \$5,409,750.16 \$5,500,683.20 \$5,5		\$10,311,688.20				\$21,003,203.53			\$10,798,987.44	\$10,782,417.62	\$11,708,599.07	\$12,192,125,44	\$10,259,753.24	\$176,321,998.78
Reimbursement Due \$5,425,389 98 \$7,662,146 92 \$13,756,464.41 \$17,292,556.51 \$15,614,630.09 \$15,360,225.83 \$8,396,311.90 \$55,481,633.49 \$5,481,633.49 \$5,481,633.49 \$5,481,633.49 \$5,481,633.49 \$5,481,633.49 \$5,481,633.49 \$5,481,633.49 \$5,481,633.49 \$5,481,634.49 \$5,481,248.77 \$1,491,491,491,491,491,491,491,491,491,49		\$4,886,298.22	\$5,111,686.07			\$5,388,573,44	\$5,564,192.42	\$5,406,172.56	\$5,317,133.95	\$5,372,667.46	\$5,346,700.65	\$5,320,839.28	\$4,908,922.04	\$63,335,663.71
Surphus/Deficit (D-G) \$2,995,929 66 \$552,113.40 (\$3,110,130.02) (\$2,961,736.26) (\$5,333,172.10) (\$4,126,777.15) (\$764,184.30) \$2,221,184.81 \$2,967,501.21 \$1,903,792.67 \$1,981,198.66 \$5.839,746.89 \$2,207,184.81 \$2,967,501.21 \$1,903,792.67 \$1,981,198.66 \$2.839,746.89 \$3,902,638.49 \$6,143,487.49 \$6,467,038.63 \$5,247,130.73		\$5,425,389.98			\$17,292,556.51	\$15,614,630.09		\$8,398,311.90	\$5,481,853.49	\$5,409,750.16	\$6,361,898.42	\$6,871,286.16	\$5,350,831.20	\$112,986,345.07
\$5,219,520.85 \$7,537,034.12 \$13,546,670.15 \$12,639,763.28 \$13,194,242.57 \$8,256,528.12 \$4,505,629.88 \$4,922,639.49 \$6,143,487.49 \$6,467,038.63 \$5,247,130.73		\$2,995,929.66		3 1		(\$5,333,172.10)		(\$764,184.30)	\$2,221,184.81	\$2,957,501 21	\$1,903,792.67	\$1,891,198.66	\$2,839,746.89	(\$834,532.54)
	Cost to USF	\$5,219,520.85				\$14,406,662.66		\$8,256,528.12	\$4,505,629.68	\$4,922,638.49	\$6,143,487.49	\$6,467,038.63	\$5,247,130.73	\$102,086,346.77

SMM-2

Total Adjustmner Cost of PIPP: \$ 111,324,828

Cost of PIPP: \$ 102,086,347
Adjustment Test-Period Cost of PIPP: \$ 433,465
Enrollment Adjustment Test-Period Cost of PIPP: \$ 8,805,016

-		+ 10491												
ď.	1. USF Rider Collected on All Customers	\$3,306,464.29	\$3,379,289.96	\$3,911,751.19	\$2,714,392.69	\$2,564,487.48	\$2,496,861.64	\$2,090,858.99	\$1,891,078.85	\$2,158,324,68	\$2,395,785.46	\$2,478,968.12	\$2,321,472.80	\$31,709,736.15
	2. Non-USF Rider Funds													
1	a. Customer Payments	\$2,497,564.28	\$2,015,731.32	\$2,403,467.51	\$2,362,440.01	\$2,678,423.66	\$2,640,051.61	\$2,404,648.78	\$2,470,340.93	\$2,635,697.91	\$2,479,802.32	\$2,517,746.89	\$2,368,717.14	\$29,474,632.36
	b. Other Customer Payments	\$117,975 82	\$90,000 02	\$118,228.49	\$143,936.04	\$197,115.70	\$171,926.75	\$181,966.23	\$157,817.15	\$171,594.82	\$147,183.55	\$157,154.63	\$319,566.43	\$1,974,465.63
	c. Agency Payments	\$44,161.26	\$119,670.03	\$130,173.96	\$1,460,270.79	\$426,200.83	\$343,757.75	\$128,949.93	\$113,744.70	\$115,319.58	\$352,966.93	\$77,632.97	\$51,941.10	\$3,364,789.83
	3. Total Payments	\$2,659,701.36	\$2,225,401.37	\$2,651,869.96	\$3,966,646.84	\$3,301,740.19	\$3,155,736.11	\$2,715,564.94	\$2,741,902.78	\$2,922,612.31	\$2,979,952.80	\$2,752,534.49	\$2,740,224.67	\$34,813,887.82
	4. Payments Applied to Arrearages	\$149,754.98	\$65,972.91	\$91,748.55	\$108,438.24	\$872,226.95	\$162,036.04	\$148,987.32	\$133,558.75	\$528,365.42	\$114,079.48	\$117,163.18	\$224,340.05	\$2,716,671.87
	5. Total Amount of Remittance	\$3,456,219.27	\$3,445,262.87	\$4,003,499.74	\$2,822,830.93	\$3,436,714.43	\$2,658,897.68	\$2,239,846.31	\$2,024,637.60	\$2,686,690.10	\$2,509,864.94	\$2,596,131.30	\$2,545,812.85	\$34,426,408.02
œ	OCS Admin	\$32,931.49	\$33,656.82	\$38,959.99	\$47,134.11	\$44,531.08	\$43,356.79	\$36,306.75	\$32,837.66	\$37,478.26	\$41,601.65	\$43,046.07	\$40,311.24	\$472,151.91
ပ	EPP/TEE Program	\$138,536.30	\$141,587.59	\$163,896.98	\$174,684.24	\$165,037.12	\$160,685.07	\$134,556.84	\$121,700.03	\$138,898.59	\$154,180.33	\$159,533.53	\$149,397.95	\$1,802,694.58
<u>a</u>	Available Balance (A4-B-C)	\$3,284,751.48	\$3,270,018.46	\$3,800,642.77	\$2,601,012.58	\$3,227,146.24	\$2,454,855.82	\$2,068,982.72	\$1,870,099.91	\$2,510,313.26	\$2,314,082.96	\$2,393,551.69	\$2,356,103.65	\$32,151,561.54
ய்	Total Costs	\$4,803,449.49	\$5,408,728.77	\$6,787,341 96	\$7,532,493.16	\$7,601,696.27	\$7,624,826.36	\$5,807,867.02	\$4,640,288.15	\$5,411,301.87	\$5,932,131.55	\$6,148,515.58	\$4,902,949.13	\$72,601,589.32
ď	Active PIPP and Grad PIPP Bill	\$2,483,173.70	\$2,482,385.00	\$2,512,954.45	\$2,529,086.48	\$2,560,312.80	\$2,592,353.60	\$2,612,534.69	\$2,626,068.23	\$2,621,723.19	\$2,612,787.81	\$2,608,207.23	\$2,407,886.97	\$30,649,474.15
ø	Reimbursement Due	\$2,320,275.79	\$2,926,343.77	\$4,274,387.51	\$5,003,406.68	\$5,041,383.47	\$5,032,472.76	\$3,195,332.33	\$2,014,219.93	\$2,789,578.68	\$3,319,343.74	\$3,540,308.35	\$2,495,062.16	\$41,952,115.17
Ŧ	Surplus/Deficit (D-G)	\$964,475.69	\$343,674.69	(\$473,744.74)	(\$2,402,394.10)	(\$1,814,237.23)	(\$2,577,616.94)	(\$1,126,349.61)	(\$144,120.02)	(\$279,265.42)	(\$1,005,260.78)	(\$1,146,756.66)	(\$138,958.51)	(\$9,800,553.63)
	Cost to USF	\$2,170,520.81	\$2,860,370.86	\$4,182,638.96	\$4,894,968.44	\$4,169,156.52	\$4,870,436.72	\$3,046,345.01	\$1,880,661 18	\$2,261,213.26	\$3,205,264.26	\$3,423,145.17	\$2,270,722.11	\$39,235,443.30

Total Adjustment Cost of PIPP: \$ 37,933,718

Cost of PIPP: \$ 39,235,443
Adjustment Test-Period Cost of PIPP: \$ (2,129,501)
Enrollment Adjustment Test-Perios Cost of PIPP: \$ 827,776

· - Z														
3	1. USF Rider Collected on All Customers	\$1,390,394.17	\$1,389,358.06	\$1,791,324.51	\$3,259,533.52	\$3,101,848.78	\$3,032,360.01	\$2,499,732.68	\$2,445,585.45	\$2,858,495.35	\$3,100,510.53	\$3,202,010.02	\$3,079,879.14	\$31,151,032.22
	2. Non-USF Rider Funds	***************************************						COO		710010000000000000000000000000000000000				0.0000000
	a. Customer Payments	\$1,652,394.34	\$1,299,007.67	\$1,550,832 11	\$1,596,005.19	\$1,689,020.11	\$1,700,970.34	\$1,521,563.27	\$1,472,508.40	\$1,697,380.32	\$1,624,716.67	\$1,656,885.97	\$1,620,845.10	\$19,082,129.49
, n	b. Other Customer Payments	\$209,505.78	\$158,032.11	\$189,851.95	\$160,948.83	\$255,011.67	\$195,641.55	\$172,974.20	\$179,060.69	\$180,608.18	\$191,389.18	\$189,360.08	\$263,521.93	\$2,345,906.15
ď	c. Agency Payments	\$13,122.32	\$65,177.16	\$59,141.57	\$1,704,221.77	\$290,846.51	\$240,265.02	\$275,238.04	\$159,523.92	\$147,665.48	\$103,656.29	\$29,012.90	\$26,910.60	\$3,114,781.58
÷	3. Total Payments	\$1,875,022 44	\$1,522,216.94	\$1,799,825.63	\$3,461,175.79	\$2,234,878.29	\$2,136,876.91	\$1,969,775.51	\$1,811,093.01	\$2,025,653.98	\$1,919,762.14	\$1,875,258.95	\$1,911,277 63	\$24,542,817.22
.	4. Payments Applied to Arrearages	\$249,144.28	\$187,364.99	\$264,263.02	\$1,920,549.75	\$541,514.11	\$441,422.20	\$426,071.59	\$350,580.08	\$521,772.19	\$569,194.41	\$393,679.13	\$303,532.16	\$6,169,087.91
ıo'	5. Total Amount of Remittance	\$1,639,538.45	\$1,576,723.05	\$2,055,587.53	\$5,180,083.27	\$3,643,362.89	\$3,473,782.21	\$2,925,804.27	\$2,796,165.53	\$3,380,267.54	\$3,669,704.94	\$3,595,689.15	\$3,383,411.30	\$37,320,120.13
о 6	OCS Admin	\$26,962.45	\$26,942.36	\$34,737.27	\$34,749.31	\$33,068.26	\$32,327.46	\$26,649.21	\$26,071.96	\$30,473.92	\$33,054.00	\$34,136.07	\$32,834.05	\$372,006.30
⊡ ∵	EPP/TEE Program	\$71,542.56	\$71,489.24	\$92,172.38	\$96,190.53	\$91,537.17	\$89,486.52	\$73,768.41	\$72,170.50	\$84,355.68	\$91,497.68	\$94,492.98	\$90,888.83	\$1,019,592.48
ě o	Available Balance (A4-B-C)	\$1,541,033.45	\$1,478,291.45	\$1,928,677.89	\$5,049,143.43	\$3,518,757.46	\$3,351,968.23	\$2,825,386.65	\$2,697,923.08	\$3,265,437.94	\$3,545,153.26	\$3,467,060.10	\$3,259,688.42	\$35,928,521.35
j Ž	Total Costs	\$3,066,538.25	\$3,259,468.48	\$4,362,648.87	\$4,608,729.93	\$4,295,945.61	\$4,402,991.22	\$3,381,428.44	\$3,087,910.50	\$3,920,308.88	\$4,587,227.91	\$4,615,605.02	\$4,131,059.71	\$47,719,862.82
ı. Ş	Active PIPP and Grad PIPP Bill	\$1,689,385.46	\$1,721,209.71	\$1,756,948.74	\$1,766,711.27	\$1,749,879.11	\$1,858,205.32	\$1,841,082.15	\$1,819,927.77	\$1,819,064.55	\$1,788,325.62	\$1,794,159.52	\$1,697,858.75	\$21,302,757.97
ď ď	Reimbursement Due	\$1,377,152.79	\$1,538,258.77	\$2,605,700.13	\$2,842,018.66	\$2,546,066.50	\$2,544,785.90	\$1,540,346.29	\$1,267,982.73	\$2,101,244.33	\$2,798,902.29	\$2,821,445.50	\$2,433,200.96	\$26,417,104.85
∓	Surplus/Deficit (D-G)	\$163,880.66	(\$59,967.32)	(\$677,022.24)	\$2,207,124.77	\$972,690.96	\$807,182.33	\$1,285,040.36	\$1,429,940.35	\$1,164,193.61	\$746,250.97	\$645,614.60	\$826,487.46	\$9,511,416.50
٥	Cost to USF	\$1,128,008.51	\$1,350,893.78	\$2,341,437.11	\$921,468.91	\$2,004,552.39	\$2,103,363.70	\$1,114,274.70	\$917,402.65	\$1,579,472.14	\$2,229,707.88	\$2,427,766.37	\$2,129,668.80	\$20,248,016.94

SMM-4

Total Adjustment Cost of PIPP: \$ 24,744,223.94

Cost of PIPP: \$ 20,248,016.94
Adjustment Test-Period Cost of PIPP: \$ 3,565,828.00
Enrollment Adjustment Test-Period Cost of PIPP: \$ 930,379.00

Universal Service Fund Current Rider Mechanism Cost of PIPP

		10/2014	\$107/LL											
ď				64 000 008 60	CA 360 585 kg	54 479 452 97	7 54 346 997 47	7 \$3 701 156.81	\$3.480.059.35	\$3,873,998.58	\$4,147,466.28	\$4,364,409.91	\$4,353,393.45	\$42,711,031.37
	1. USF Rider Collected on All Customers	\$1,874,254.80	\$1,813,607.77	79.586,586,16	34,203,233.44		Ì							
	2. Non-USF Rider Funds		CONTRACTOR	Water processing and the state of the state	VIII. 1. 10011111111111111111111111111111									000
1	a. Customer Payments	\$2,567,949.08	\$2,021,535.73	\$2,360,138.81	\$2,189,035.98	\$2,625,525.72	2 \$2,664,113.33	3 \$2,536,538.38	\$ \$2,379,938.93	is)	Š	\$2,393,504.45	\$4,527,888.03	973,260,443.00
	h Other Customer Payments	\$431,370,23	\$308,927.76	\$320,669.76	\$331,932.31	\$435,569.91	1 \$488,168.63	3 \$470,281.51	\$447,037.60	\$493,809.05	\$533,140.11	\$542,416.59	\$593,943.98	\$5,397,267.44
	c. Agency Payments	\$2,814.93		\$624,804.01	\$656,121.44	\$278,106.64	\$404,900.04	4 \$125,301.11	\$59,394.25	\$96,975.83	\$77,643.46	\$24,110.80	\$13,820.19	\$2,541,668.25
	3. Total Payments	\$3,002,134.24	\$2,508,139.04	\$3,305,612.58	\$3,177,089.73	\$ \$3,339,202.27	7 \$3,557,182.00	0 \$3,132,121.00	52,886,370.78	\$3,115,437,15	\$3,080,406.13	\$2,960,031.85	\$3,135,652.80	\$37,199,379.57
	4. Payments Applied to Arrearages	\$75,295.60	\$73,126.83	\$256,231.10	\$331,953.67	\$169,802.61	3167,140.66	\$132,525.64	\$118,438.11	\$143,070.06	\$140,300.89	\$101,320.39	\$125,796.83	\$1,835,002.39
	5. Total Amount of Remittance	\$1,949,550.40	\$1,886,734.54	\$2,249,229.72	\$4,615,189.09	3 \$4,649,255.58	8 \$4,514,138.13	3 \$3,833,682.45	5 \$3,598,497.46	\$4,017,068.64	\$4,287,767.17	\$4,465,730.30	\$4,479,190.28	\$44,546,033.76
6	OCS Admin	\$57,952.08	\$56,076.87	\$61,623.65	\$62,624.80	\$65,493.67	50.753,557.05	5 \$54,114.28	\$50,881.63	\$56,641.38	\$60,639.73	\$63,811.64	\$63,650.57	\$717,067.36
ပ	EPP/TEE Program	\$125,149.93	\$121,100.33	\$133,078.83	\$125,930.52	2 \$131,699.47	7 \$127,805.18	8 \$108,816.95	5 \$102,316.51	\$113,898.63	\$121,938.80	\$128,317.12	\$127,993.22	\$1,468,045.50
۵	Available Balance (A4-B-C)	\$1,766,448.39	\$1,709,557.34	\$2,054,527.24	\$4,426,633.77	7 \$4,452,062.43	3 \$4,322,775.90	90 \$3,670,751.22	2 \$3,445,299.32	\$3,846,528.63	\$4,105,188.64	\$4,273,601.54	\$4,287,546.49	\$42,360,920.90
μi	Total Costs	\$4,938,440.06	\$5,235,335.08	\$6,194,440.04	\$7,493,235.10	0 \$7,858,633.08	88,075,790.54	4 S6,548,378.61	1 \$5,602,524.75	\$6,065,625.87	\$6,522,031.24	\$6,986,661.18	\$6,372,892.18	\$77,893,987.73
	Active PIPP and Grad PIPP Bill	\$2,761,443.44	\$2,762,655.62	\$2,785,855.62	\$2,772,552.81	1 \$2,781,478.27	7 \$2,869,384.46	16 \$2,959,904.83	3 \$2,876,907.61	\$2,863,439.71	\$2,849,649.12	\$2,821,618.50	\$2,674,571.28	\$33,779,461.27
ø	Reimbursement Due	\$2,176,996.62	\$2,472,679.46	\$3,408,584.42	\$4,720,682.29	9 \$5,077,154.81	31 \$5,206,406.08	3,588,473.78	8 \$2,725,617.14	\$3,202,186.16	\$3,672,382.12	\$4,165,042.68	\$3,698,320.90	\$44,114,526.46
Í	Surplus/Deficit (D-G)	(\$410,548.23)	(\$763,122.12)	(\$1,354,057.18)	(\$294,048.52)	(\$625,092.38)	8) (\$883,630.18)	8) \$82,277.44	4 \$719,682.18	\$ \$644,342.47	\$432,806.52	\$108,558.86	\$589,225.59	(\$1,753,605.56)
	Cost to USF	\$2,101,701.02	\$2,399,552.63	\$3,152,353.32	\$4,388,728.62	2 \$4,907,352.20	20 \$5,039,265.42	42 \$3,455,948.14	4 \$2,607,179.03	3 \$3,059,116.10	53,532,081.23	\$4,063,722.29	\$3,572,524.07	\$42,279,524.07

Cost of PIPP: \$ 42,279,524.07
Adjustment Test-Period Cost of PIPP: \$ 3,541,636.00
Enrollment Adjustment Test-Period Cost of PIPP: \$ 734,323.00

Total Adjusted Cost of PIPP: \$ 46,555,483.07

Universal Service Fund
Current Rider Mechanism
Cost of PIPP

	1	10/2014	11/2014 1	12/2014	3/Z015	91077	01077	2107#							
۲ خ	1. USF Rider Collected on All Customers	\$2,786,723.15	\$2,753,369.41	\$3,048,022.48	\$5,390,485.00	00 \$5,565,281.94	94 \$5,271,520.34	0.34 \$4,485,520.53		\$4,197,817.60	\$4,717,048.22	\$4,966,077.56	\$5,191,718.59	\$5,142,581.08	\$53,516,165.90
7	2. Non-USF Rider Funds														
	a. Customer Payments	\$4,212,709.28	\$3,332,397.89	\$3,908,878.76	\$3,616,783.14	14 \$4,335,379.18	18 \$4,281,835.14	5.14 \$4,207,573.21		\$4,022,726.01	\$4,248,436.55	\$4,136,048.68	\$4,096,702.92	34,145,899.73	\$46,546,5/U.DI
1	b. Other Customer Payments	\$818,162.43	\$563,594.94	\$672,667.63	\$636,762.47	47 \$820,044.27	27 \$859,980.21	0.21 \$814,920.31		\$763,285.35	\$852,787.47	\$919,139.89	\$974,560.94	\$1,051,685.28	\$9,747,591.19
	c. Agency Payments	\$3,435,46	\$242,747.89	\$899,960.74	\$1,297,684.82	82 \$482,011.37	37 \$626,260.77	0.77 \$213,584.63		\$105,341.43	\$113,601.05	\$141,233.95	\$29,780.95	\$20,420.60	\$4,176,063.66
~	3. Total Payments	\$5,034,307.17	\$4,138,740.72	\$5,481,507.13	\$5,551,230.43	43 \$5,637,434.82	82 \$5,768,076.12	6.12 \$5,236,078.15		\$4,891,352.79	\$5,214,825.07	\$5,196,422.52	\$5,101,044.81	\$5,219,005.63	\$62,470,025.36
7	4. Payments Applied to Arrearages	\$152,078.31	\$114,378.68	\$383,035.42	\$772,757.27	27 \$371,210.43	43 \$310,354.38	4.38 \$249,683.55		\$196,744.54	\$230,979.24	\$222,121.49	\$187,886.90	\$216,520.19	\$3,407,750.40
7	5. Total Amount of Remittance	\$2,938,801.46	\$2,867,748.09	\$3,431,057.90	\$6,163,242.27	27 \$5,936,492.37	37 \$5,581,874.72	4.72 \$4,735,204.08		\$4,394,562.14	\$4,948,027.46	\$5,188,199.05	\$5,379,605.49	\$5,359,101.27	\$56,923,916.30
<u> </u>	OCS Admin	\$79,878.60	\$78,922.55	\$87,368.48	\$91,896.40	.40 \$94,876.32	32 \$89,868.30		\$76,468.67	\$71,563.94	\$80,415.72	\$84,661.15	\$88,507,85	\$87,670.16	\$1,012,098.15
ت ن	ЕРР/ТЕЕ Рюдгат	\$222,055.15	\$219,397.41	\$242,876.33	\$213,306.17	17 \$220,223.04	.04 \$208,598.64	8.64 \$177,495.94		\$166,111.29	\$186,657.69	\$196,512.00	\$205,440.81	\$203,496.40	\$2,462,170.86
	Available Balance (A4-B-C)	\$2,636,867.71	\$2,569,428.12	\$3,100,813.09	\$5,858,039.70	70 \$5,621,393.01	.01 \$5,283,407.78	7.78 \$4,481,239.47		\$4,156,886.92	\$4,680,954.04	\$4,907,025.90	\$5,085,656.83	\$5,067,934.71	\$53,449,647.29
_ 	Total Costs	\$7,340,847.49	\$7,829,819.23	\$9,849,185.12	\$12,275,955.20	.20 \$12,787,967.00	.00 \$12,783,042.53	12.53 \$10,366,944.34		\$8,554,521.36	\$9,385,146.36	\$10,470,801.22	\$10,844,215.00	\$9,651,234.47	\$122,139,679.32
<u>.</u>	Active PIPP and Grad PIPP Bill	\$4,284,070.24	\$4,245,837.97	\$4,316,745.50	\$4,309,854.43	.43 \$4,339,186.11	11 \$4,464,367.84	57.84 \$4,678,291.14		\$4,462,692.47	\$4,464,057.24	\$4,393,886.11	\$4,366,810.26	\$4,078,091.46	\$52,403,890.77
<u>.</u>	Reimbursement Due	\$3,056,777.25	\$3,583,981.26	\$5,532,439.62	\$7,966,100.77	.77 \$8,448,780.89	89 \$8,318,674.69	74.69 \$5,688,653.20		\$4,091,828.89	\$4,921,089.12	\$6,076,915.11	\$6,477,404.74	\$5,573,143.01	\$69,735,788.55
±	Surplus/Deficit (D-G)	(\$419,909.54)	(\$1,014,553.14)	(\$2,431,626.53)	(\$2,108,061.07)	07) (\$2,827,387.88)	88) (\$3,035,266.91)	6.91) (\$1,207,413.73)		\$65,058.03	(\$240,135.08)	(\$1,169,889.21)	(\$1,391,747.91)	(\$505,208.30)	(\$16,286,141.26)
	Cost to USF	\$2,904,698.94	\$3,469,602.58	\$5,149,404.20	\$7,193,343.50	.50 \$8,077,570.46	46 \$8,008,320.31	20.31 \$5,438,969.65		\$3,895,084.35	\$4,690,109.88	\$5,854,793.62	\$6,289,517.84	\$5,356,622.82	\$66,328,038.15

66,328,038 4,617,950 (441,312) Cost of PIPP: \$
Adjustment Test-Period Cost of PIPP: \$
Enrollment Adjustment Test-Period Cost of PIPP: \$

70,504,676 Total Adjusted Cost of PIPP: \$

A. 1. USF Rider Collected on All Customers \$563,133.63 \$617,433.84 2. Non-USF Rider Funds \$1,317,675.58 \$1,030.842.48 a. Customer Payments \$248,159.09 \$192,102.88 b. Other Customer Payments \$228,159.09 \$192,102.88 c. Agency Payments \$2,102.87 \$1,317,533.79 3. Total Payments \$1,567,937.54 \$1,317,533.79 4. Payments Applied to Arrearages \$339,271.16 \$40,601.92 5. Total Amount of Remittance \$882,404.79 \$858,055.76 6. Cel Prife Program \$228,781.95 \$27,209.63 C. EPPITE Program \$588,496.14 \$33,561.72 D. Available Balance (A4.8-C) \$557,126.70 \$547,184.41	\$676,304,09 \$1,173,104,41 \$190,402,94 \$465,178,81 \$1,828,686,16 \$196,610,43	\$1,663,277.45 \$1,132,428.45 \$164,312.86 \$420,072.51 \$1,736,813.64	\$1,782,884.40	\$1,699,753.59	\$1,446,578.21						
2. Non-USF Rider Funds a. Customer Payments 51,317,675,58 51,010 customer Payments 52,102.87 5. Total Payments 51,567,937,54 51 51 Total Payments 52,102.87 51,012 Payments Applied to Arreanges 51,567,937,54 51,012 Payments Applied to Arreanges 52,271.16 51,1012 Payments Applied to Arreanges 52,671,16 52,1012 Payments Program 528,781,95 64,141 Payments Program 528,781,95 65,141 Payments Program 528,781,95 66,14		\$1,132,428,45 \$184,312,68 \$420,072,51 \$1,736,813,64				\$1,385,222.35	\$1,558,634.17	\$1,648,069.65	\$1,764,734.65	\$1,720,498.41	\$16,616,544.44
a. Customer Payments \$1,317,675,58 \$1 b. Other Customer Payments \$2,40,199.09 c. Agency Payments \$2,102.87 3. Total Payments \$1,567,937,54 \$1 6. Fayments Applied to Arreanages \$199,71.16 6. Total Amount of Remittance \$692,404.79 CCS Admin \$28,781,95 EPPITEE Program \$28,781,95 Available Balance (A448-C) \$379,756.70		\$1,132,428.45 \$184,312.68 \$420,072.51 \$1,736,813.64					•				000000000000000000000000000000000000000
C. Agency Payments \$240,159.09 C. Agency Payments \$2,102.87 C. Agency Payments \$1,567,937,54 \$1 C. Agency Payments \$1,567,937,54 \$1 C. Agency Payments Applied to Arrearages \$139,271.16 C. Admin \$5692,404.79 C. Admin \$589,464.79 C. Admin \$589,466.14 Available Balance (A4.8-C) \$579,787.70		\$184,312.68 \$420,072.51 \$1,736,813.64	\$1,371,494.37	\$1,409,636.22	\$1,345,608.69	\$1,286,353.80	\$1,368,355.32	\$1,283,743.10	\$1,280,039.86	\$1,304,005.17	\$15,303,287.45
6. Agency Payments \$2,102.87 3. Total Payments Applied to Arrearages \$39,271.16 6. Total Amount of Remittance \$692,404.79 OCS Admin \$528,781.95 EPPITEE Program \$884,96.14 Available Balance (A4-8-C) \$579,787.00		\$420,072.51 \$1,736,813.64	\$258,023.90	\$260,088.58	\$261,916.48	\$232,647.52	\$249,805.37	\$263,553.94	\$277,658.52	\$333,412.44	\$2,952,084.34
3. Total Payments \$1,567,937,54 \$1 4. Payments Applied to Arreanages \$139,271.16 5. Total Amount of Remittance \$592,404.79 OCS Admin \$228,781.95 EPPITEE Program \$884,466.14 Available Balance (A4-8-C) \$379,726.70		\$1,736,813.64	\$168,810.10	\$213,031.49	\$75,639.01	\$35,687.94	\$51,208.44	\$41,769.63	\$4,850.92	\$7,184.38	\$1,580,124.53
4. Payments Applied to Arrearages \$39,271.16 5. Total Amount of Remittance \$692,404.79 OCS Admin \$28,781.95 EPPITEE Program \$88,496.14 Available Balance (A4-8-C) \$979,126.70			\$1,798,328.37	\$1,882,756.29	\$1,683,164.18	\$1,554,689.26	\$1,669,369.13	\$1,589,066.67	\$1,562,549.30	\$1,644,601.99	\$19,835,496.32
5. Total Amount of Remittance \$592,404.79 OCS Admin \$228,781.95 EPPITEE Program \$884.496.14 Available Balance (A448-C) \$575,726.70		\$253,264.34	\$121,439.48	\$123,237.78	\$96,601.19	\$74,466.27	\$81,236.26	\$70,006.82	\$60,874.01	\$81,727.88	\$1,239,337.54
OCS Admin \$29,781.95 EPPITEE Program \$88,486.14 Available Balance (A4.8-C) \$575,126.70 \$		\$1,916,541.79	\$1,904,323.88	\$1,822,991.37	\$1,543,179.40	\$1,459,688.62	\$1,639,870.43	\$1,718,076.47	\$1,825,608.66	\$1,802,226.29	\$17,855,881.98
EPPITEE Program \$88,496.14 Available Balance (44.8-C) \$575,126.70 \$	\$29,803.01	\$23,904.23	\$25,623.19	\$24,428.46	\$20,789.88	\$19,908.09	\$22,400.32	\$23,685.67	\$25,362.35	\$24,726.60	\$296,623.38
Available Balance (A4-B-C) \$575,126.70	\$91,635.62	\$59,040.89	\$63,286.55	\$60,335.68	\$51,348.78	\$49,170.85	\$55,326.40	\$58,501.07	\$62,642.29	\$61,072.05	\$784,518.04
	\$751,475.89	\$1,833,596.67	\$1,815,414.14	\$1,738,227 24	\$1,471,040.74	\$1,390,609.68	\$1,562,143.71	\$1,635,889.74	\$1,737,604.02	\$1,716,427.64	\$16,774,740.56
E. Total Costs \$22,416,564.83 \$2,713,862.85	\$3,506,557.75	\$4,277,483.10	\$4,436,012.94	\$4,453,447.41	\$3,292,919.64	\$2,795,777.14	\$2,996,877.70	\$3,372,571.43	\$3,690,188.53	\$3,112,547.28	\$41,064,850.60
F. Active PIPP and Grad PIPP Bill \$1,391,525.06 \$1,389,889.88	\$1,399,075.36	\$1,410,575.50	\$1,426,000.94	\$1,469,376.90	\$1,511,469.72	\$1,472,118.29	\$1,464,432.47	\$1,436,734.96	\$1,429,200.11	\$1,311,884.54	\$17,112,283.73
G. Reimbursement Due \$1,025,059.77 \$1,323,992.97	\$2,107,482.39	\$2,866,907.60	\$3,010,012.00	\$2,984,070.51	\$1,781,449.92	\$1,323,658.85	\$1,532,445.23	\$1,935,836.47	\$2,260,988.42	\$1,800,662.74	\$23,952,566.87
H. Surphus/Defren (D-G) (\$449,933.07) (\$776,808.56)	(\$1,356,006.50)	(\$1,033,310.93)	(\$1,194,597.86)	(\$1,245,843.27)	(\$310,409.18)	\$66,950.83	\$29,698.48	(\$299,946.73)	(\$523,384.40)	(\$84,235.10)	(\$7,177,826.31)
1. Cost to USF \$985,788.61 \$1,283,391.05	\$1,910,871.96	\$2,613,643.26	\$2,888,572.52	\$2,860,832.73	\$1,684,848.73	\$1,249,192.58	\$1,451,208.97	\$1,865,829.65	\$2,200,114.41	\$1,718,934.86	\$22,713,229.33

Adjusted Cost of PIPP: \$ 25,232,220

Cost of PIPP: \$ 22,713,229
Adjustment Test-Period Cost of PIPP: \$ 2,378,143
Enrollment Adjustment Test-Period Cost of PIPP: \$ 140,847

Universal Service Fund Projection of December 31, 2015 Balance Jan 2015 - Dec 2015

COLUMBUS SOUTHERN POWER

	Remittance (Form USF-301-00)	
î	(Form	
	Remittance	
	ď	

II a. USF Rider Collected on All Customers

Non-USF Rider Funds
III A. + B. Customer Payments
III C. + D. Other Customer Payments
III E. 1 + 2 + 3 Agency Payments

\$3,714,193,61 \$46,462,615.96 \$115,603.45 \$2,316,846.99 \$325,330.89 \$6,604,555.25

\$3,264,529.01 \$91,157.41 \$158,331.63

\$4,012,095.92 \$131,468.75 \$387,860.72

\$3,731,844.92 \$674,239.27 \$120,560.49

\$4,026,138.68 \$247,973.82 \$401,863.30

\$4,110,089.67 \$191,274.05 \$247,712.00

\$4,158,076.45 \$163,599.49 \$190,458.33

\$3,848,905.01 \$132,441.93 \$539,106.61 \$4,520,453.55

\$3,868,965.32 \$131,894.36 \$302,115.01 \$4,302,974.69

\$4,131,323.51 \$156,572.83 \$1,102,952.80

\$4,044,417.50 \$158,644.66 \$689,233.01 \$4,892,295.17

\$5,390,849.14

\$5,813,043.79

\$55,384,018.20

\$4,155,127.95

\$3,514,018.05

\$4,531,425.39

\$4,526,644.68

\$4,675,975.80

\$4,549,075.72

\$4,512,134.27 \$436,438.36 \$7,033,702.82

\$139,481.13 \$5,066,776.89

\$212,913.72

\$72,837.87 \$7,229,436.14 \$74,561.73

\$310,191.69

\$203,759.86 \$7,267,687.80

\$867,104.88 \$6,618,256.10 \$59,918.94 \$222,798.76

\$112,695.30

\$1,564,932.24 \$8,879,618.38

\$869,173.31

\$3,667,927.05

\$8,656,628.44

\$87,162,882.42

\$6,573,921.17 \$199,173.03

> \$5,290,127.08 \$55,428.65

\$7,482,035.19 \$74,720.56 \$277,836.18 \$7,129,478.45

\$73,596.23

\$68,734.25

\$61,546.18

\$76,208.78

\$77,253.95

\$80,735.64 \$300,202.26

\$6,020,032.76

\$8,284,177.26

\$11,417,110.83

\$228,849.40

\$283,369.88

\$287,256.18

\$273,655.54

\$255,577.07

\$826,090.9

\$69,594.02

\$53,791.98

\$3,089,488.47

\$265,703.46 \$6,238,623.69

\$205,372.75

\$277,245.58 \$6,877,628.83

\$83,247,303.0

\$4,338,938.17 \$51,634,245.27

\$13,988,249.90 \$134,208,426.0

\$10,052,850.29 \$4,191,459.25

\$8,725,881.35 \$4,043,034,99

\$10,007,598.83 \$8,663,120.54

\$5,729,637.18 \$6,335,538.40 \$6,709,391.50 \$6,920,436.03

\$3,976,689.08

\$4,339,043.75

\$4,349,659.90

\$9,832,172.03

\$8,739,518.82 \$4,358,399.04

\$8,763,408.47

\$9,881,683.28 \$4,394,300.33

\$14,791,843.06 \$4,517,872.02

\$8,520,039.72

\$7,919,667.13 \$14,753,619.73 \$4,380,889.60

\$11,036,172.93 \$16,008,479.71 \$4,380,988.62

\$4,362,970.52

\$4,807,612.16

\$5,023,077.02 \$211,621.41

\$6,374,748.14 \$78,506,253.98

\$5,077,213.36

\$7,156,598.27

\$7,171,843.50

\$7,063,927.94

\$6,597,264.46

\$5,907,337.46

\$7,749,183.78 \$7,415,003.95

II b. Payments Applied to Arrearages 3. Total Payments, 301 III F.

Il c. Total Amount of Remittance

OCS Admin

αi

EPP Program

Available Balance (A4-B-C) ä

Active PIPP and Grad PIPP Bill (302, X) Total Cost: (302, VIII) ωi

œ.

Surplus/Deficit (D-E) Í

Cumulative Deficit

Reimbursement Due:

\$82,574,180.74		\$673,122.30		29,112.43)	
4	7	<u> </u>		13) (\$3.5	
\$9,649,311.73		(\$3,410,688.04		(\$518,424.39) (\$3,929,112.43) (\$3,929,112.43)	
\$5,861,391.04		(\$1,053,778.88)		(\$518,424.39)	
\$4,682,846.36		\$340,230.66		\$535,354.49	
\$4,686,431.46		\$2,191,197.37		\$195,123.83	
\$5,668,555.08		\$1,437,923.90 \$1,460,923.37		158,292,98] (\$7,223,192.53) (\$4,894,920.81) (\$3,456,996.91) (\$1,996,073.54)	
\$5,482,512.13		\$1,437,923.90		(\$3,456,996.91)	
\$4,381,119.78		\$2,328,271.72		(\$4,894,920.81)	
\$4,400,437.95		\$1,935,100.45		(\$7,223,192.53)	
\$5,487,382.95		\$242.254.23		(\$9,158,292,98)	
\$10,273,971.04		(\$1 753 931 32)		(\$9,400,547,21)	
\$10,372,730.13		(\$2 453 063 00)		(\$7.646.615.89)	
\$11,627,491.09		(4594 348 4E)	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	(\$5 193 552 89)	

Universal Service Fund Projection of December 31, 2015 Balance Jan 2015- Dec 2015

Company: OHIO POWER COMPANY

B. OCS Adm

C. EPP Pro

D. Available E. Total Cos

F. Active Pl G. Reimbur

Reimbursement Due (302, XI)	Surplus/Shortfall (D-E)

For Monthly Billing Cycle Foding	Jan-15	Feb-15	Mar-15	Apr-15	May-15	Jun-15	Jul-15	Aug-15	Sep-15	Oct-15	Nov-15	Dec-15	Total
Remittance (Form USF-301-00)													
Il a. 1. USF Rider Collected on All Customers	\$10,187,214.36	\$9,550,871.59	\$9,544,529.45	\$7,886,536.42	\$7,080,730.51	\$8,294,735.30	\$8,470,669.47	\$8,797,986.90	\$8,512,350.26	\$8,552,249.51	\$8,524,868.21	\$10,864,394.49	106,267,136.47
2. Non-USF Rider Funds		0,000,000	10 000 000 00	36 122 224 36	64 777 834 70	\$5 070 787 43	\$5.065.536.03	\$4.891.193.69	\$4,600.317.56	\$4.858.746.57	\$3,940,828.52	\$4,511,483.30	56,969,845.91
III A. + B. Customer Payments	44,351,807.78	\$5,029,438.52	\$3,049,113.07	\$175,834.75	\$171.985.01	\$186,756,06	\$219,479.81	\$285,608.18	\$845,174.24	\$143,889.56	\$108,776.20	\$144,370.85	2,821,311.84
III C. + D. Other Customer Payments III E. 1 + 2 + 3 Agency Payments	\$2,722,284.69	\$945,185.97	\$1,427,754.85	\$347,091.35	\$513,834.57	\$224,860.55	\$278,974.30	\$416,160.20	\$102,852.62	\$251,611.88	\$163,411.92	\$415,474.12	7,809,497.02
3. Total Payments, 301 III F.	\$7,223,552.37	\$6,170,415.16	\$6,671,055.13	\$5,350,697.45	\$5,458,641.37	\$5,482,403.74	\$5,563,990.14	\$5,592,962.07	\$5,548,344.42	\$5,254,248.01	\$4,213,016.64	\$5,071,328.27	67,600,654.77
ll b. Payments Applied to Arrearages	\$4,652,793.23	\$1,207,967.43	\$2,165,983.26	\$141,783.78	\$976,223.81	\$487,111.67	\$218,410.93	\$404,247.53	\$103,700.47	\$205,869.13	\$126,112.80	209,794.26	10,899,998.30
Total Amount of Domittance	\$14 840 007.59	\$10,758.839.02	\$11.710.512.71	\$8,028,320.20	\$8,056,954.32	\$8,781,846.97	\$8,689,080.40	\$9,202,234.43	\$8,616,050.73	\$8,758,118.64	\$8,650,981.01	\$11,074,188.75	117,167,134.77
a C. Tolar Allionin of Newhitance	02.47.000	00 300 200	687.077.83	£72 118 68	\$64 748 17	£75 A49 37	\$77.458.16	\$80.451.24	\$77,839.30	\$62,307.99	\$62,108.50	\$79,153.27	919,801.05
. OCS Admin 2.99%	\$89,196.72	70'000'100	20,112,104	200111234							47 474	00 100 100	4 005 504 40
EPP Program 23.14%	\$416,032.62	\$390,045.21	\$389,786.20	\$322,075.92	\$289,167.85	\$338,746.23	\$345,931.15	\$359,298.37	\$347,633.34	\$274,491.02	\$273,612.19	\$348,701.09	4,095,521.19
16.90%													
. Available Balance (A4-B-C)	\$14,330,820.25	\$10,281,457.99	\$11,233,448.68	\$7,634,127.60	\$7,703,038.30	\$8,367,251.37	\$8,265,691.09	\$8,762,484.82	\$8,190,578.09	\$8,421,319.63	\$8,315,260.32	\$10,646,334.39	112,151,812.53
. Total Costs: (302, VIII)	\$22,704,340.98	\$21,003,203.53	\$20,924,418.25	\$13,804,484.46	\$10,798,987.44	\$10,782,417.62	\$11,708,599.07	\$12,192,125.44	\$10,259,753.24	\$10,311,688.20	\$12,774,832.99	\$19,057,147.56	176,321,998.78
Active PIPP and Grad PIPP Bill (302. X)	\$5,411,784.47	\$5,388,573.44	\$5,564,192.42	\$5,406,172,56	\$5,317,133.95	\$5,372,667.46	\$5,346,700.65	\$5,320,839.28	\$4,908,922.04	\$4,886,298.22	\$5,111,686.07	\$5,300,683.15	63,335,653.71
		645 644 630 00	615 360 325 83	CR 308 341 00	\$5 481 853 49	\$5.409.750.16	\$6.361.898.42	\$6.871,286.16	\$5,350,831.20	\$5,425,389.98	\$7,663,146.92	\$13,756,464.41	112,986,345.07
Reimbursement Due (302, XI)	16.066,282,718	\$15,014,050,09	69'077'000'614	20,110,000,00	200010000								14004 500
4. Surplus/Shortfall (D-E)	(\$2,961,736.26)	(\$5,333,172.10)	(\$4,126,777,15)	(\$764,184.30)	\$2,221,184.81	\$2,957,501.21	\$1,903,792.67	\$1,891,198.66	\$2,839,746.89	\$2,995,929.65	\$652,113.40	(20.001,011,04)	(\$624,532.54)
Cumulative Monthly Deficit	\$9,527,577.42	\$4,194,405.32	\$67,628.17	(\$696,556.13)	\$1,524,628.68	\$4,482,129.89	\$6,385,922.56	\$8,277,121.22	\$11,116,868.11	\$14,112,797.76	\$14,764,911.16	\$11,654,781.14	\$11,654,781.14

Universal Service Fund Projection of December 31, 2015 Balance Jan 2015 - Dec 2015

Company: Dayton Power and Light

For Monthly Billing Cycle Ending:

\$29,474,632.36 \$1,974,465.63 \$3,364,789.83 \$3,379,289.96 \$3,911,751.19 \$31,709,736.15 \$2,716,671.87 \$472,151.91 \$1,802,694.57 \$72,601,589.32 \$30,649,474.15 (\$9,800,553.63 \$8,022,814.64 \$32,151,561.54 \$41,952,115.17 \$34,813,887.82 \$34,426,408.02 \$5,408,728.77 \$6,787,341.96 \$343,674.69 (\$473,744.74) \$8,496,559.38 \$8,022,814.64 \$38,959.99 \$2,482,385.00 \$2,512,954.45 \$2,015,731.32 \$2,403,467.51 \$90,000.02 \$118,228.49 \$119,670.03 \$130,173.96 \$4,003,499.74 \$163,896.98 \$2,225,401.37 \$2,651,869.96 \$91,748.55 \$2,601,012.58 \$3,227,146.23 \$2,454,855.82 \$2,068,982.72 \$1,870,099.91 \$2,510,313.25 \$2,314,082.96 \$2,393,551.70 \$2,356,103.66 \$3,284,751.48 \$3,270,018.46 \$3,800,642.77 \$2,926,343.77 \$4,274,387.51 \$141,587.59 \$3,445,262.87 \$33,656.82 \$65,972.91 \$2,497,564.28 \$117,975.82 \$44,161.26 [\$2,402,394,10]| [\$1,814,237,24]| [\$2,577,616,94)| [\$1,126,349,61)| [\$144,120,02]| [\$279,265,43]| [\$1,005,260,78]| [\$1,146,756,65]| [\$138,958,50]| \$964,475,69| \$15,420,974.17 \$13,606,736.83 \$11,029,119.99 \$9,902,770.38 \$9,756,650.36 \$9,479,384.93 \$8,474,124,15 \$7,327,367.50 \$7,188,409.00 \$8,152,884.69 \$2,659,701.36 \$4,803,449.49 \$138,536.30 \$5,003,406.68 \$5,041,383.47 \$5,032,472.76 \$3,195,332.33 \$2,014,219.93 \$2,789,578.68 \$3,319,343.74 \$3,540,308.35 \$2,495,062.16 \$2,320,275.79 \$3,306,464.29 \$149,754.98 \$2,483,173.70 \$3,456,219.27 \$32,931.49 \$2,368,717.14 \$319,566.43 \$51,941.10 \$2,478,968.12 \$2,321,472.80 \$4,902,949.13 \$2,740,224.67 \$224,340.05 \$149,397.95 \$2,407,886.97 \$2,545,812.85 \$40,311.24 \$2,517,746.89 \$157,154.63 \$77,632.97 \$2,752,534.49 \$6,148,515.58 \$2,608,207.23 \$117,163.18 \$2,596,131.30 \$159,533.53 \$43,046.07 \$2,479,802.32 \$147,183.55 \$352,966.93 \$2,395,785.46 \$2,979,952.80 \$41,601.65 \$114,079.48 \$2,509,864.94 \$154,180.33 \$2,612,787.81 \$5,932,131.55 \$2,635,697.91 \$171,594.82 \$115,319.58 \$528,365.42 \$2,158,324.68 \$2,922,612.31 \$2,686,690.10 \$37,478.26 \$138,898.59 \$2,621,723.19 \$5,411,301.87 \$2,470,340.93 \$157,817.15 \$113,744.70 \$1,891,078.85 \$2,741,902.78 \$133,558.75 \$2,024,637.60 \$32,837.66 \$165,037.12 \$160,685.07 \$134,556.84 \$121,700.03 \$4,640,288.16 \$2,626,068.23 \$2,404,648.78 \$181,966.23 \$128,949.93 \$2,715,564.94 \$148,987.32 \$2,239,846.31 \$36,306.75 \$2,090,858.99 \$5,807,867.02 \$2,612,534.69 \$2,640,051.61 \$171,926.75 \$343,757.75 \$3,155,736.11 \$162,036.04 \$2,496,861.64 \$2,658,897.68 \$43,356.79 \$7,624,826.36 \$2,592,353.60 \$3,436,714.43 \$2,678,423.66 \$197,115.70 \$426,200.83 \$2,564,487.48 \$3,301,740.19 \$872,226.95 \$44,531.08 \$7,601,696.27 \$2,560,312.80 \$2,362,440.01 \$143,936.04 \$1,460,270.79 \$2,714,392.69 \$3,966,646.84 \$108,438.24 \$47,134,11 \$174,684.24 \$2,822,830.93 \$7,532,493.16 \$2,529,086.48 Il a. 1. USF Rider Collected on All Customers F. Active PIPP and Grad PIPP Bill (302, X) 2. Non-USF Rider Funds
III A. + B. Customer Payments
III C. + D. Other Customer Payments
III E. 1 + 2 + 3 Agency Payments II b. Payments Applied to Arrearages Il c. Total Amount of Remittance Remittance (Form USF-301-00) G. Reimbursement Due, (302, XI) 3. Total Payments, 301 III F. D. Available Balance (A4-B-C) **Cumulative Monthly Deficit** E. Total Costs: (302, VIII) H. Surplus/Deficit (D-E) C. TEE Program B. OCS Admin

Universal Service Fund Projection of December 31, 2015 Balance Jan 2015 - Dec 2015

Company: Duke

For Monthly Billing Cycle Ending:	Jan-15	Feb-15	Mar-15	Apr-15	May-15	Jun-15	Jul-15	Aug-15	Sep-15	Oct-15	Nov-15	Dec-15	Total
A. Remittance (Form USF-301-00)													
1. USF Rider Collected on All Customers (IIA)	\$3,259,533.52	\$3,101,848.78	\$3,032,360.01	\$2,499,732.68	\$2,445,585.45	\$2,858,495.35	\$3,100,510.53	\$3,202,010.02	\$3,079,879.14	\$1,390,394.17	\$1,389,358.06	\$1,791,324.51	\$31,151,032.22
2. Non-USF Rider Funds												77 000 000	
III A, B Customer Payments	\$1,596,005.19	\$1,689,020.11	\$1,700,970.34	\$1,521,563.27	\$1,472,508.40	\$1,697,380.32	\$1,624,716.67	\$1,656,885.97	\$1,620,845.10	\$1,652,394.34	\$1,289,007.87	\$1,550,832.11	\$2,345,906,15
III C.D Other Customer Payments III E 1,2,3. Agency Payments	\$1,704,221.77	\$290,846.51	\$240,265.02	\$275,238.04	\$159,523.92	\$147,665,48	\$103,656.29	\$29,012.90	\$26,910.60	\$13,122.32	\$65,177.16	\$59,141.57	\$3,114,781.58
3. Total Payments	\$3,461,175.79	\$2,234,878.29	\$2,136,876.91	\$1,969,775.51	\$1,811,093.01	\$2,025,653.98	\$1,919,762.14	\$1,875,258.95	\$1,911,277.63	\$1,875,022.44	\$1,522,216.94	\$1,799,825.63	\$24,542,817.22
II B. Payments Applied to Arrearages	\$1,920,549.75	\$541,514.11	\$441,422.20	\$426,071.59	\$350,580.08	\$521,772.19	\$569,194.41	\$393,679.13	\$303,532.16	\$249,144.28	\$187,364.99	\$264,263.02	\$6,169,087.91
HC. Total Amount of Remittance	\$5,180,083.27	\$3,643,362.89	\$3,473,782.21	\$2,925,804.27	\$2,796,165.53	\$3,380,267.54	\$3,669,704.94	\$3,595,689.15	\$3,383,411.30	\$1,639,538.45	\$1,576,723.05	\$2,055,587.53	\$37,320,120.13
B. OCS Admin	\$34,749.31	\$33,068.26	\$32,327.46	\$26,649.21	\$15,070.48	\$30,473.92	\$33,054.00	\$34,136.07	\$32,834.05	\$26,962.45	\$26,942.36	\$34,737.27	\$361,004.84
C. EPP Program	\$96,190.53	\$91,537.17	\$89,486.52	\$73,768.41	\$41,717.01	\$84,365.68	\$91,497.68	\$94,492.98	\$90,888.83	\$71,542.56	\$71,489.24	\$92,172.38	\$989,148.99
D. Available Balance (A4-B-C)	\$5,049,143.43	\$3,518,757.46	\$3,351,968.23	\$2,825,386.65	\$2,739,378.04	\$3,265,427.94	\$3,545,153.26	\$3,467,060.10	\$3,259,688.42	\$1,541,033.44	\$1,478,291.45	\$1,928,677.88	\$35,969,966.30
E. Total Costs (VIII)	\$4,608,729.93	\$4,295,945.61	\$4,402,991.22	\$3,381,428.44	\$3,087,910.50	\$3,920,308.88	\$4,587,227.91	\$4,615,605.02	\$4,131,059.71	\$3,066,538.25	\$3,259,468.48	\$4,362,648.87	\$47,719,862.82
F. Active PIPP and Grad PIPP Bill (X)	\$1,766,711.27	\$1,749,879.11	\$1,858,205.32	\$1,841,082.15	\$1,819,927.77	\$1,819,064.55	\$1,788,325.62	\$1,794,159.52	\$1,697,858.75	\$1,689,385.46	\$1,721,209.71	\$1,756,948.74	\$21,302,757.97
G. Reimbursement Due: (302, XI)	\$2,842,018.66	\$2,546,066.50	\$2,544,785.90	\$1,540,346.29	\$1,267,982.73	\$2,101,244.33	\$2,798,902.29	\$2,821,445.50	\$2,433,200.96	\$1,377,152.79	\$1,538,258.77	\$2,605,700.13	\$26,417,104.85
H. Surplus/Deficit (D-E)	\$2,207,124.77	\$972,690.96	\$807,182.33	\$1,285,040.36	\$1,471,395.31	\$1,164,183.61	\$746,250.97	\$645,614.60	\$826,487.46	\$163,880.65	(\$59,967.32)	(\$677,022.25)	\$9,552,861.45
I. Monthly Cumulative Deficit	(\$562,353.01)	\$410,337.95	\$1,217,520.28	\$2,502,560.64	\$3,973,955.95	\$5,138,139.56	\$5,884,390.53	\$6,530,005.13	\$7,356,492.59	\$7,520,373.24	\$7,460,405.92	\$6,783,383.67	\$6,783,383.67

Universal Service Fund Projected December 31, 2015 Balance Jan 2015-Dec 2015

Company: Cleveland Illuminating Company

veland illuminating company													
For Monthly Billing Cycle Ending:	Jan-15	Feb-15	Mar-15	Apr-15	May-15	Jun-15	Jul-16	Aug-15	Sep-15	0ct-15	Nov-15	Dec-15	Total
. Remittance (Form USF-301-00)													
II a. USF Rider Collected on All Customers	\$4,283,235.42	\$4,479,452.97	\$4,346,997.47	\$3,701,156.81	\$3,480,059.35	\$3,873,998.58	\$4,147,466.28	\$4,364,409.91	\$4,353,393.45	\$1,874,254.80	\$1,813,607.71	\$1,992,998.62	\$42,711,031.37
Non-USF Rider Funds		3			CO 000 010 00	70 030 803 04	23 460 E77 CB	\$7.303.504.46	C2 C2 BRR 63	\$2 500 260 30	\$2 021 535 73	\$2,360,138,81	29192755.1
III A. + B. Customer Payments	\$2,189,035.98	\$2,625,525.72	\$2,664,113.33	\$2,536,538.38	\$4,379,938,93	\$4,324,632.27	\$533,140,11	\$542,416,59	\$593,943.98	\$499,059.01	\$308,927.76	\$320,669.76	5464956.22
III C. + D. Other Customer Payments III E. 1 + 2 + 3 Agency Payments	\$331,932.31	\$278,106.64	\$404,900.04	\$125,301.11	\$59,394.25	\$96,975.83	\$77,643.46	\$24,110.60	\$13,820.19	\$2,814.93	\$177,675.55	\$624,804.01	2541668.25
3. Total Payments, 301 III F.	\$3,177,089.73	\$3,339,202.27	\$3,557,182.00	\$3,132,121.00	\$2,886,370.78	\$3,115,437.15	\$3,080,406.13	\$2,960,031.85	\$3,135,652.80	\$3,002,134.24	\$2,508,139.04	\$3,305,612.58	37199379.57
II b. Payments Applied to Arrearages	\$331,953.67	\$169,802.61	\$167,140.66	\$132,525.64	\$118,438.11	\$143,070.06	\$140,300.89	\$101,320.39	\$125,796.83	\$75,295.60	\$73,126.83	\$256,231,10	1835002.39
II c. Total Amount of Remittance	\$4,615,189.09	\$4,649,255.58	\$4,514,138.13	\$3,833,682.45	\$3,598,497.46	\$4,017,068.64	\$4,287,767.17	\$4,465,730.30	\$4,479,190.28	\$1,949,550.40	\$1,886,734.54	\$2,249,229.72	44546033.76
OCS Admin	\$62,624.80	\$65,493.67	\$63,557.05	\$54,114.28	\$50,881.63	\$56,641.38	\$60,639.73	\$63,811,64	\$63,650.57	\$57,952.08	\$56,076.87	\$61,623.65	717067.35
TEE Program	\$ 125,930.52 \$	131,699.47 \$	127,805.18 \$	108,816.95 \$	102,316.51 \$	113,898.63 \$	121,938.80 \$	128,317.12	\$127,993.22	\$125,149.93	\$121,100.33	\$133,078.83	1468045.49
			00 377 000	62 670 764 22	\$3.44E 200 32	£3 846 528 63	\$4.105.188.64	\$4.273.601.54	\$4.287,546.49	\$1,766,448.39	\$1,709,557.34	\$2,054,527.24	42360920.92
. Available Balance (A5-B-C)	\$4,426,633.77	11	94,322,110.30	22,1010,131,44	65 ATO 524 75	\$6.065.87	\$6.522.031.24	\$6 986 661 18	\$6.372.892.18	\$4,938,440.06	\$5,235,335.08	\$6,194,440.04	77893987.73
Total Cost: (302, VIII)	\$7,493,235.10	an 'spa'pas' /s	90,073,730,34	0.0000000000000000000000000000000000000	62,002,021.13	e2 863 430 74	£2 840 640 12	12 821 618 50	\$2 674 571 28	\$2.761.443.44	\$2,762,655,62	\$2,785,855.62	33779461.27
. Active PIPP & Grad PIPP Bill (302, X)	\$2,772,552.81	\$2,781,478.27	\$2,869,384.46	\$2,959,904.83j	32,676,907,01	\$2,000,438.71	42,043,043,12	25,020,120,120	02.014,012,0	62 476 000 63	42 472 676 46	£3 408 584 42	44114526 46
i. Reimbursement Due, (302, XI)	\$4,720,682.29	\$5,077,154.81	\$5,206,406.08	\$3,588,473.78	\$2,725,617.14	\$3,202,186.16	\$3,672,382.12	\$4,165,042.68	\$3,698,320.90	\$2,176,996.62	32,412,013.40		1111111111
l. Surplus/Shortfall (D-E)	(\$294,048.52)	(\$625,092.37)	(\$833,630.18)	\$82,277.44	\$719,682.18	\$644,342.47	\$432,806.52	\$108,558.86	\$589,225.59	(\$410,548.23)	(\$763,122.12)	(\$1,354,057.18)	(\$1,753,605.54)
. Cumulative Monthly Deficit	(\$6,276,608.43)	(\$6,276,608.43) (\$6,901,700.80) (\$7,785,330.98)	(\$7,785,330.98)	(\$7,703,053.54)	(\$6,983,371.36)	(\$6,339,028.89)	(\$5,906,222.37)	(\$5,797,663.51)	(\$5,208,437.92)	(\$5,618,986.15)	(\$6,382,108.27)	(\$7,736,165.45)	(\$7,736,165.45)

Universal Service Fund Projection of December 31, 2015 Balance Jan 2015 - Dec 2015

Company: Ohio Edison

														Ĭ
	For Monthly Billing Cycle Ending:	Jan-15	Feb-15	Mar-15	Apr-15	May-15	Jun-15	Jul-15	Aug-15	Sep-15	Oct-15	Nov-15	Dec-15	Total
ď	Remittance (Form USF-301-00)													
	1. USF Rider Collected on All Customers	\$5,390,485.00	\$5,565,281.94	\$5,271,520.34	\$4,485,520.53	\$4,197,817.60	\$4,717,048.22	\$4,966,077.56	\$5,191,718.59	\$5,142,581.08	\$2,786,723.15	\$2,753,369.41	\$3,048,022.48	\$53,516,165.90
	2. Non-USF Rider Funds	\$3,616,783,14	\$4.335.379.18	\$4.281.835.14	\$4.207.573.21	\$4,022,726.01	\$4,248,436,55	\$4,136,048.68	\$4,096,702.92	\$4,146,899.75	\$4,212,709.28	\$3,332,397.89	53,908,878.76	\$48,546,370.51
	b. Other Customer Payments	\$636,762.47	\$820,044.27	\$859,980.21	\$814,920.31	\$763,285.35	\$852,787.47	\$919,139.89	\$974,560.94	\$1,051,685.28	\$818,162.43	\$563,594.94	\$672,667.63	\$9,747,591.19
	c. Agency Payments	\$1,297,684.82	\$482,011.37	\$626,260.77	\$213,584.63	\$105,341.43	\$113,601.05	\$141,233.95	\$29,780.95	\$20,420.60	\$3,435.46	\$242,747.89	\$899,960.74	\$4,176,063.66
	3. Total Payments	\$5,551,230.43	\$5,637,434.82	\$5,768,076.12	\$5,236,078.15	\$4,891,352.79	\$5,214,825.07	\$5,196,422.52	\$5,101,044.81	\$5,219,005.63	\$5,034,307.17	\$4,138,740.72	\$5,481,507.13	\$62,470,025.36
	4. Payments Applied to Arrearages	\$772,757.27	\$371,210.43	\$310,354.38	\$249,683.55	\$196,744.54	\$230,979.24	\$222,121.49	\$187,886.90	\$216,520.19	\$152,078.31	\$114,378.68	\$383,035.42	\$3 407 750.40
	5. Total Amount of Remittance	\$6,163,242.27	\$5,936,492.37	\$5,581,874.72	\$4,735,204.08	\$4,394,562.14	\$4,948,027.46	\$5,188,199.05	\$5,379,605.49	\$5,359,101.27	\$2,938,801.46	\$2,867,748.09	\$3,431,057.90	\$56,923,916.30
œi	OCS Admin	\$91,896.40	\$94,876.32	\$89,868.30	\$76,468.67	\$71,563.94	\$80,415.72	\$84,661.15	\$88,507.85	\$87,670.16	\$79,878.60	\$78,922.55	\$87,368.48	\$1,012,098.14
ن	EPP Program	\$213,306.17	\$220,223.04	\$208,598.64	\$177,495.94	\$166,111.29	\$186,657.69	\$196,512.00	\$205,440.81	\$203,496.40	\$222,055.15	\$219,397.41	\$242,876.33	\$2,462,170.87
	•										72 200 000	67 607	40 040 040	00 170 077
Ġ	Available Balance (A5-B-C)	\$5,858,039.70	\$5,621,393.01	\$5,283,407.78	\$4,481,239.47	\$4,156,886.91	\$4,680,954.05	\$4,907,025.90	\$5,085,656.83	\$5,067,934.71	\$2,636,867.71	\$2,569,428.13	\$3,100,813.09	\$53,449,647.29
шi	Active PIPP and Grad PIPP Bill X	\$4,309,854.43	\$4,339,186.11	\$4,464,367.84	\$4,678,291.14	\$4,462,692.47	\$4,464,057.24	\$4,393,886.11	\$4,366,810.26	\$4,078,091.46	\$4,284,070.24	\$4,245,837.97	\$4,316,745.50	\$52,403,890.77
u:	Reimbursement Due XI	\$7,966,100.77	\$8,448,780.89	\$8,318,674.69	\$5,688,653.20	\$4,091,828.89	\$4,921,089.12	\$6,076,915.11	\$6,477,404.74	\$5,573,143.28	\$3,056,777.25	\$3,583,981.26	\$5,532,439.62	\$69,735,788.82
ø	Costs VIII	\$12,275,955.20	\$12,787,967.00	\$12,783,042.53	\$10,366,944,34	\$8,554,521.36	\$9,385,146.36	\$10,470,801.22	\$10,844,215.00	\$9,651,234.74	\$7,340,847.49	\$7,829,819.23	\$9,849,185.12	\$9,849,185.12 \$122,139,679.59
İ	Surplus/Shortfall (D-E)	(\$2,108,061.07)	(\$2,827,387.88)	(\$3,035,266.91)	(\$1,207,413.73)	\$65,058.02	(\$240,135.07)	(\$1,169,889.21)	(\$1,391,747.91)	(\$505,208.57)	(\$419,909.54)	(\$1,014,553.13)	(\$2,431,626.53)	(\$16,286,141.53)
<u> </u>	Cumulative Monthly Deficit	(\$1,899,564.95)	(\$4,726,952.83)	(\$7,762,219.74)	(\$8,969,633.47)	(\$8,904,575,45)	(\$9,144,710.52)	(\$10,314,599.73)	(\$9,144,710.52)] (\$10,314,599.73)] (\$11,706,347.64)] (\$12,211,556.21)] (\$12,631,465.75)] (\$13,646,018.88)]	\$12,211,556.21)	\$12,631,465.75)	(\$13,646,018.88)	(\$16,077,645.41) (\$16,077,645.41)	(\$16,077,645.41)

Universal Service Fund Projection of December 31, 2015 Balance Jan 2015 - Dec 2015

May-15 Jun-15

Apr-15

	_
any:	edison
Ē	edo
ပိ	₽

USF-301-00)	
(Form	
Remittance	

For Monthly Billing Cycle Ending:

1. USF Rider Collected on All Customers, Il a.

\$617,453.84 \$676,304.09 \$16,616,544.44

\$653,133.63

2. Non-USF Rider Funds
a. Customer Payments,
b. Other Customer Payments,
c. Agency Payments,

3. Total Payments,

4. Payments Applied to Arrearages, II b.

5. Total Amount of Remittance, II c.

OCS Admin œi

EPP Program

ပ

Available Balance ۵ Active PIPP and Grad PIPP Bill, X ш

Reimbursement Due, XI ш.

Total Costs, VIII

Ö

Cumulative Monthly Deficit Surplus/Shortfall ŕ

\$15,303,287.45 \$2,952,084.34 \$1,580,124.53 (\$1,033,310,93) (\$1,194,597.86) (\$1,245,643.28) (\$310,409.18) \$66,950.83 \$29,698.48 (\$229,946.74) (\$523,384.40) (\$84,235.10) (\$449,933.07) (\$776,808.56) (\$1,356,006.50) (\$7,177,826.31) (\$2,761,738.53) (\$3,956,336.336.33) (\$5,202,179.67) (\$5,512,588.85) (\$5,445,638.02) (\$5,415,939.54) (\$5,715,886.28) (\$6,239,270.68) (\$6,239,270.68) (\$6,323,505.78) (\$6,773,438.85) (\$7,550,247,41) (\$8,906,253.91) (\$8,906,254.00) 54,277,483.10 54,436,012.94 54,453,447.41 53,292,919.64 \$2,795,777.14 \$2,996,877.70 \$3,372,571.43 \$3,690,188.53 \$3,112,547.28 \$2,416,584.83 \$2,713,882.85 \$3,506,557.75 \$41,064,850.60 \$17,855,881.98 \$751,475.89 \$16,774,740.56 \$17,112,283.73 \$2,107,482.39 \$23,952,566.87 \$19,835,496.32 \$1,239,337.54 \$296,623.38 \$784,518.04 \$1,173,104.41 \$190,402.94 \$465,178.81 \$1,317,533.79 \$1,828,686.16 \$872,914.52 \$1,399,075.36 \$196,610.43 \$91,635.62 \$29,803.01 \$1,030,842.48 \$192,102.88 \$94,588.43 \$547,184.41 \$1,323,992.97 \$658,055.76 \$27,209.63 \$83,661.72 \$1,389,889.88 \$40,601.92 \$1,317,675.58 \$248,159.09 \$2,102.87 \$28,781.95 \$1,025,059.77 \$1,567,937.54 \$39,271.16 \$692,404.79 \$88,496.14 \$575,126.70 \$1,391,525.06 51,663,277,45 \$1,782,884.40 \$1,399,753,59 \$1,446,578.21 \$1,385,222,35 \$1,558,634.17 \$1,648,089.65 \$1,764,734.65 \$1,720,498.41
 \$1,12,42,82
 \$1,371,484.37
 \$1,409,636.22
 \$1,345,608.69
 \$1,286,353.80
 \$1,283,743.10
 \$1,283,743.10
 \$1,283,743.10
 \$1,280,743.10
 \$1,280,743.10
 \$1,280,743.10
 \$1,280,743.10
 \$1,280,743.10
 \$1,280,743.10
 \$1,280,743.10
 \$1,280,353.34
 \$2,333,412.44

 \$1,00,772.51
 \$166,810.10
 \$213,031.49
 \$75,639.01
 \$35,687.94
 \$51,208.44
 \$41,769.63
 \$4,850.92
 \$7,184.30
 8,833,596,67 \$1,815,414,14 \$1,738,227,23 \$1,471,040,74 \$1,390,609,66 \$1,562,143,71 \$1,635,889,73 \$1,737,604,02 \$1,716,427,64 \$1,781,449.92 \$1,323,658.85 \$1,532,445.23 \$1,935,836.47 \$2,260,988.42 \$1,800,662.74 \$1,736,813.64 \$1,798,328.37 \$1,882,756.29 \$1,683,164,18 \$1,554,689.26 \$1,669,369,13 \$1,569,066.67 \$1,562,549.30 \$1,644,601.99 \$24,726.60 \$1,904,323.88 \$1,822,891.37 \$1,543,179.40 \$1,459,688.62 \$1,639,870.43 \$1,718,076.47 \$1,825,608.66 \$1,802,226.29 \$61,072.05 \$81,727.88 \$1,311,884.54 \$25,362.35 \$62,642.29 \$1,410,575.50 \$1,426,000.94 \$1,469,376.90 \$1,511,469.72 \$1,472,118.29 \$1,464,432.47 \$1,436,724.96 \$1,429,200.11 \$60,874.01 \$70,006.82 \$23,685.67 \$58,501.07 \$19,908.09 \$22,400.32 \$63,286.55 \$60,335.68 \$51,348.78 \$49,170.85 \$55,326.40 \$81,236.26 \$74,466.27 \$20,789.88 \$121,439.48 \$123,237.78 \$96,601.19 \$24,428.46 \$25,623.19 \$253,264.34 \$1,916,541.79 \$23,904.23 \$59,040.89

American Electric Power - Columbus Southern Power Calculation of Allowance for Undercollection

	KWH	KWh sales X	Rider Collection Expected I	
		current rider = Rider		/ Rider Collection
		Expected Revenue		
01/2015	1,790,122,687	\$7,780,924.50	\$7,749,183.78	99.59%
02/2015	1,776,726,948	\$7,440,479.08	\$7,415,003.95	99.66%
03/2015	1,707,284,156	\$7,323,931.99	\$7,314,686.14	99.87%
04/2015	1,405,552,325	\$5,919,735.52	\$5,907,337.46	99.79%
05/2015	1,413,132,991	\$5,767,624.28	\$5,751,151.22	99.71%
06/2015	1,614,891,079	\$6,619,463.56	\$6,597,264.46	99.66%
07/2015	1,744,206,917	\$7,105,878.04	\$7,063,927.94	99.41%
08/2015	1,733,461,591	\$7,208,544.63	\$7,171,843.50	99.49%
09/2015	1,757,308,783	\$7,199,630.56	\$7,156,598.27	99.40%
10/2014	1,440,053,850	\$5,106,470.86	\$5,077,213.36	99.43%
11/2014	1,338,058,850	\$4,954,671.23	\$4,927,295.76	99.45%
12/2014	1,774,665,266	\$6,414,611.85	\$6,374,748.14	99.38%
And the second s	19,495,465,443	\$78,841,966.11	\$78,506,253.98	99.57%

Target Revenue:\$96,411,386Total Cost: (Target Revenue/Average Collection:\$96,825,239Allowance: (Total Cost-Target Revenue)\$413,852

SMM-16

American Electric Power - Ohio Power Calculation of Allowance for Undercollection				
/ (КWН	KWh sales X current rider = Rider Expected Revenue	Rider Collection	Expected Revenue / Rider Collection
01/2015	2,433,650,877	\$10,194,509.89	\$10,187,214.36	99.93%
02/2015	2,171,539,825	\$9,579,130.30	\$9,550,871.59	99.70%
03/2015	2,183,420,825	\$9,559,701.18	\$9,544,529.45	99.84%
04/2015	1,920,872,210	\$7,906,147.57	\$7,886,536.42	99.75%
05/2015	1,740,734,568	\$7,100,623.15	\$7,080,730.51	99.72%
06/2015	2,102,256,390	\$8,320,315.13	\$8,294,735.30	99.69%
07/2015	2,040,268,120	\$8,506,863.16	\$8,470,669.47	99.57%
08/2015	2,081,816,510	\$8,849,265.57	\$8,797,986.90	99.42%
09/2015	2,031,278,050	\$8,535,221.21	\$8,512,350.26	99.73%
10/2014	1,942,042,131	\$8,601,796.25	\$8,552,249.51	99.42%
11/2014	1,683,433,769	\$8,563,930.17	\$8,524,868.21	99.54%
12/2014	2,193,053,690	\$10,889,033.04	\$10,864,394.49	99.77%
	24,524,366,965	\$106,606,536.61	\$106,267,136.47	99.68%

Target Revenue: \$ 105,674,041
Total Cost: (Target Revenue/Average Collection: \$ 106,016,795
Allowance: (Total Cost-Target Revenue) \$ 342,754

Dayton Power and Light Company Calculation of Allowance for Undercollection				
	KWH	KWh sales X current rider = Rider Expected Revenue	Rider Collection	Expected Revenue / Rider Collection
01/2015	1,346,431,244	\$2,721,512.11	\$2,714,392.69	99.74%
02/2015	1,283,707,188	\$2,578,341.63	\$2,564,487.48	99.46%
03/2015	1,243,786,282	\$2,509,473.96	\$2,496,861.64	99.50%
04/2015	1,082,440,170	\$2,102,169.30	\$2,090,858.99	99.46%
05/2015	990,072,117	\$1,898,852.47	\$1,891,078.85	99.59%
06/2015	1,122,981,076	\$2,169,858.31	\$2,158,324.68	99.47%
07/2015	1,238,133,049	\$2,407,602.86	\$2,395,785.46	99.51%
08/2015	1,279,995,451	\$2,491,948.50	\$2,478,968.12	99.48%
09/2015	1,207,649,850	\$2,334,608.11	\$2,321,472.80	99.44%
10/2014	1,046,149,408	\$3,337,258.12	\$3,306,464.29	99.08%
11/2014	1,048,023,677	\$3,400,144.97	\$3,379,289.96	99.39%
12/2014	1,153,227,498	\$3,939,833.64	\$3,911,751.19	99.29%
	14,042,597,010	\$31,891,603.98	\$31,709,736.15	99.45%

Target Revenue: \$ 31,879,575.00

Total Cost: (Target Revenue/Average Collection: \$ 32,055,011

Allowance: (Total Cost-Target Revenue) \$ 175,436

	Calculati	Duke Energ on of Allowance for	•	
	KWH	KWh sales X current rider = Rider Expected Revenue	Rider Collection	Expected Revenue / Rider Collection
01/2015	1,871,582,765	\$3,254,975.02	\$3,259,533.52	100.14%
02/2015	1,768,914,725	\$3,064,106.65	\$3,101,848.78	101.23%
03/2015	1,786,576,988	\$3,037,145.67	\$3,032,360.01	99.84%
04/2015	1,504,685,715	\$2,497,394.24	\$2,499,732.68	100.09%
05/2015	1,468,348,068	\$2,441,312.51	\$2,445,585.45	100.18%
06/2015	1,727,076,567	\$2,908,351.78	\$2,858,495.35	98.29%
07/2015	1,837,181,245	\$3,103,680.41	\$3,100,510.53	99.90%
08/2015	1,836,939,788	\$3,128,720.35	\$3,202,010.02	102.34%
09/2015	1,824,260,331	\$3,052,765.93	\$3,079,879.14	100.89%
10/2014	1,448,992,754	\$1,364,543.75	\$1,390,394.17	101.89%
11/2014	1,422,980,578	\$1,357,651.75	\$1,389,358.06	102.34%
12/2014	1,829,281,400	\$1,737,607.65	\$1,791,324.51	103.09%
	20,326,820,924	\$30,948,255.70	\$31,151,032.22	100.85%

Target Revenue:

Total Cost: (Target Revenue/Average Collection:

Allowance: (Total Cost-Target Revenue)

\$19,853,995

\$19,684,932

-\$169,063

Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company Calculation of Allowance for Undercollection				
-	KWH NAME OF PARTY OF	KWh sales X current rider = Rider Expected Revenue	Rider Collection	Expected Revenue / Rider Collection
01/2015	1,680,370,740	\$4,383,305.11	\$4,283,235.42	97.72%
02/2015	1,703,537,817	\$4,502,049.22	\$4,479,452.97	99.50%
03/2015	1,644,496,403	\$4,229,213.06	\$4,346,997.47	102.79%
04/2015	1,434,641,418	\$3,476,123.60	\$3,701,156.81	106.47%
05/2015	1,368,259,988	\$3,465,743.54	\$3,480,059.35	100.41%
06/2015	1,465,800,428	\$3,700,512.41	\$3,873,998.58	104.69%
07/2015	1,609,025,447	\$4,094,553.34	\$4,147,466.28	101.29%
08/2015	1,657,724,433	\$4,346,032.59	\$4,364,409.91	100.42%
09/2015	1,662,142,872	\$4,374,651.13	\$4,353,393.45	99.51%
10/2014	1,457,883,282	\$1,883,425.69	\$1,874,254.80	99.51%
11/2014	1,405,267,573	\$1,813,631.30	\$1,813,607.71	100.00%
12/2014	1,512,028,235	\$2,001,840.00	\$1,992,998.62	99.56%
	18,601,178,636	\$42,271,080.99	\$42,711,031.37	101.04%

Target Revenue:	\$ 64,617,855
Total Cost: (Target Revenue/Average Collection:	\$ 63,978,442
Allowance: (Total Cost-Target Revenue)	\$ (639,413)

SMM-20

	Calculati	Ohio Edisor on of Allowance for	-	
	KWH	KWh sales X current rider = Rider Expected Revenue	Rider Collection	Expected Revenue / Rider Collection
01/2015	2,310,945,494	\$5,602,298.07	\$5,390,485.00	96.22%
02/2015	2,334,974,892	\$5,608,320.08	\$5,565,281.94	99 23%
03/2015	2,188,820,783	\$5,320,172.24	\$5,271,520.34	99.09%
04/2015	1,895,998,232	\$4,517,098 53	\$4,485,520.53	99.30%
05/2015	1,783,726,237	\$4,238,963.61	\$4,197,817.60	99.03%
06/2015	1,986,591,943	\$4,744,632.80	\$4,717,048.22	99.42%
07/2015	2,084,178,173	\$5,014,812.78	\$4,966,077.56	99.03%
08/2015	2,158,228,455	\$5,245,067.69	\$5,191,718.59	98.98%
09/2015	2,141,915,537	\$5,192,751.01	\$5,142,581.08	99.03%
10/2014	1,922,078,915	\$2,812,788.35	\$2,786,723.15	99.07%
11/2014	1,896,316,381	\$2,775,349.01	\$2,753,369.41	99.21%
12/2014	2,076,995,240	\$3,074,392.12	\$3,048,022.48	99.14%
	24,780,770,282	\$54,146,646.29	\$53,516,165.90	÷

Target Revenue: Total Cost: (Target Revenue/Average Collection: Allowance: (Total Cost-Target Revenue) \$ 106,465,608 \$ 107,640,747 \$ 1,175,139

Toledo Edison/First Energy Calculation of Allowance for Undercollection				
	KWH	KWh sales X current rider = Rider Expected Revenue	Rider Collection	Expected Revenue / Rider Collection
01/2015	931,820,088	\$1,790,719.83	\$1,663,277.45	92.88%
02/2015	938,598,036	\$1,801,607.37	\$1,782,884.40	98.96%
03/2015	880,950,760	\$1,716,028.16	\$1,699,753.59	99.05%
04/2015	819,624,644	\$1,418,569.00	\$1,446,578.21	101.97%
05/2015	787,277,044	\$1,397,916.19	\$1,385,222.35	99.09%
06/2015	872,691,052	\$1,564,678.85	\$1,558,634.17	99.61%
07/2015	882,595,392	\$1,632,794.24	\$1,648,069.65	100.94%
08/2015	939,989,881	\$1,732,425.63	\$1,764,734.65	101.86%
09/2015	933,362,934	\$1,678,616.18	\$1,720,498.41	102.50%
10/2014	846,543,221	\$659,670.11	\$653,133.63	99.01%
11/2014	790,013,665	\$623,407.21	\$617,453.84	99.05%
12/2014	845,814,172	\$672,472.91	\$676,304.09	100.57%
	10,469,280,889	\$16,688,905.68	\$16,616,544.44	99.57%

Target Revenue: \$ 44,367,112
Total Cost: (Target Revenue/Average Collection: \$ 44,533,671
Allowance: (Total Cost-Target Revenue) \$ 166,559

SMM 22

CSP kWh Sales

	Past 12 Monts	
	kWh	
Jan	1,790,122,687	
Feb	1,776,726,948	
Mar	1,707,284,156	
Apr	1,405,552,325	
May	1,413,132,991	
June	1,614,891,079	
July	1,744,206,917	
Aug	1,733,461,591	
Sept	1,757,308,783	
Oct	1,440,053,850	
Nov	1,338,058,850	
Dec	1,774,665,266	
Total	19,495,465,443	

kWh Sales

	Past 12 Monts	
	kWh	
Jan	2,433,650,877	
Feb	2,171,539,825	
Mar	2,183,420,825	
Apr	1,920,872,210	
May	1,740,734,568	
June	2,102,256,390	
July	2,040,268,120	
Aug	2,081,816,510	
Sept	2,031,278,050	
Oct	1,942,042,131	
Nov	1,683,433,769	
Dec	2,193,053,690	
Total	24,524,366,965	

DPL kWh Sales

	Past 12 Monts
	kWh
Jan	1,346,431,244
Feb	1,283,707,188
Mar	1,243,786,282
Apr	1,082,440,170
May	990,072,117
June	1,122,981,076
July	1,238,133,049
Aug	1,279,995,451
Sept	1,207,649,850
Oct	1,046,149,408
Nov	1,048,023,677
Dec	1,153,227,498
Total	14,042,597,010

Duke kWh Sales

	Past 12 Monts	
	kWh	
Jan	1,871,582,765	
Feb	1,768,914,725	
Mar	1,786,576,988	
Apr	1,504,685,715	
May	1,468,348,068	
June	1,727,076,567	
July	1,837,181,245	
Aug	1,836,939,788	
Sept	1,824,260,331	
Oct	1,448,992,754	
Nov	1,422,980,578	
Dec	1,829,281,400	
Total	20,326,820,924	

CEI kWh Sales

	Past 12 Monts
	kWh
Jan	1,680,370,740
Feb	1,703,537,817
Mar	1,644,496,403
Apr	1,434,641,418
May	1,368,259,988
June	1,465,800,428
July	1,609,025,447
Aug	1,657,724,433
Sept	1,662,142,872
Oct	1,457,883,282
Nov	1,405,267,573
Dec	1,512,028,235
Total	18,601,178,636

OE kWh Sales

	Past 12 Monts
	kWh
Jan	2,310,945,494
Feb	2,334,974,892
Mar	2,188,820,783
Apr	1,895,998,232
May	1,783,726,237
June	1,986,591,943
July	2,084,178,173
Aug	2,158,228,455
Sept	2,141,915,537
Oct	1,922,078,915
Nov	1,896,316,381
Dec	2,076,995,240
Total	24,780,770,282

TE kWh Sales

	Past 12 Monts			
	kWh			
Jan	931,820,088			
Feb	938,598,036			
Mar	880,950,760			
Apr	819,624,644			
May	787,277,044			
June	872,691,052			
July	882,595,392			
Aug	939,989,881			
Sept	933,362,934			
Oct	846,543,221			
Nov	790,013,665			
Dec	845,814,172			
Total	10,469,280,889			

0.0059258 0.0001830

Two-Tiered Rider CSP

Proposal					
First Block 833,000 kWh (10,000,000 per Year) (18)	\$				
Over 833,000 kWh [Lower of 10/99 Rate (1) or Uniform per Kwh rate (4)]	\$				

0.0001830
96,825,238.70
9,495,465,443
0.0049666
122
4,476,391,580
10,000,000
1,220,000,000
7,229,462.11
3,256,391,580
0.0001830
595,919.66
7,825,381.77
22,232,230.59
(14,406,848.82)
88,999,856.92
15,019,073,863
\$0.0059258
0.0009592
19.3%
(

Two-Tiered Rider Ohio Power

_	Olilo i Owel		
<u>Propos</u>	<u>al</u> First Block 833,000 kWh (10,000,000 per Year) (18) Over 833,000 kWh [Lower of 10/99 Rate (1) or Uniform per Kwh rate (4)]	\$ \$	0.0063895 0.0001681
Calcula 1	ntion 10/99 USF Rider	\$	0.0001681
2	USF Rider Revenue Requirement	\$	106,016,795.21
3	Total kWh Used in Calculation		24,524,366,965
4	Uniform per Kwh rate	\$	0.0043229
5	Accounts with Annual kWh Greater than 10,000,000 kWh		183
6	Total Kwh of Accounts Over 10,000,000 kWh Annually		9,976,412,166
7	First Block Annual kWh (833,334 Monthly)		10,000,000
8	Total kWh in First Block (5) x (7)		1,830,000,000
9	Revenue First Block Rate x (8)	\$	11,692,834.29
10	Total Second Block kWh (6) - (8)		8,146,412,166
11	Lower of 10/99 Rate (1) or Uniform per Kwh rate	\$	0.0001681
12	Second Block Revenue (11) x (10)	\$	1,369,411.89
13	Total First and Second Block Revenue (9) + (12)	\$	13,062,246.18
14	Revenue @ ODOD Proposed Rate (6) x (4)	\$	43,127,198.63
15	Revenue shortfall (13) - (14)	\$	(30,064,952.46)
Adjustme	ent to Calculation		
16	Adjusted Cost (2) - (9) - (12)	\$	92,954,549.03
17	Adjusted kWh (3) - (6)		14,547,954,799
18	Adjusted First Block Rate (16)/(17)	\$	0.0063895
19	Change (18) - (4)	\$	0.0020666
20	% Change		47.8%
21	Annual Cost to Consumer Using 1042 kWh per Month (19) x 1042 x 12	\$	25.84

Two-Tiered Rider DPL

Proposa	<u> </u>		
	First Block 833,000 kWh (10,000,000 per Year) (18) Over 833,000 kWh [Lower of 10/99 Rate (1) or Uniform per Kwh Rate	\$ \$	0.0026925 0.0005700
Calcula 1	tion 10/99 USF Rider	\$	0.0005700
2	USF Rider Revenue Requirement		\$32,055,010.95
3	Total kWh Used in Calculation		14,042,597,010
4	Uniform per Kwh Rate (2) / (3)	\$	0.0022827
5	Accounts with Annual kWh Greater than 10,000,000 kWh		100
6	Total Kwh of Accounts Over 10,000,000 kWh Annually		3,711,126,963
7	First Block Annual kWh (833,000 Monthly)		10,000,000
8	Total kWh in First Block (5) x (6)		1,000,000,000
9	Revenue First Block Rate x (8)	\$	2,692,472.24
10	Total Second Block kWh (6) - (8)		2,711,126,963
11	Lower of 10/99 Rate (1) or Uniform Per Kwh Rate (4)	\$	0.0005700
12	Second Block Revenue (11) x (10)	\$	1,545,342.37
13	Total First and Second Block Revenue (9) + (12)	\$	4,237,814.61
14	Revenue @ Uniform per Kwh Rate (6) x (4)	\$	8,471,382.85
15	Reduction in Total Revenue (13) - (14)	\$	(4,233,568.23)
Adjustme	nt to Calculation		
16	Adjusted Cost (2) - (9) - (12)	\$	27,817,196.34
17	Adjusted kWh (3) - (6)		10,331,470,047
18	Adjusted USF (16)/(17)	\$	0.0026925
19	Change (18) - (4)	\$	0.0004098
20	% Change		18.0%
21	Annual Cost to Consumer Using 1010 kWh per Month (19) x 1010 x 12	\$	4.97

Two-Tiered Rider <u>Duke</u>

<u>Propos</u>			
	First Block 833,000 kWh (10,000,000 per Year) (18) Over 833,000 kWh [Lower of 10/99 Rate (1) or Uniform per Kwh Rate	\$ \$	0.0010965 0.0004690
	(), o,	•	0.000 1000
Calcula	<u>ition</u>		
1	10/99 USF Rider	\$	0.0004690
2	USF Rider Revenue Requirement	\$	19,684,931.69
3	Total kWh Used in Calculation		20,326,820,924
4	Uniform per Kwh Rate (2) / (3)	\$	0.0009684
5	Accounts with Annual kWh Greater than 10,000,000 kWh		117
6	Total Kwh of Accounts Over 10,000,000 kWh Annually		5,318,720,291
7	First Block Annual kWh (833,000 Monthly)		10,000,000
8	Total kWh in First Block (5) x (6)		1,170,000,000
9	Revenue First Block Rate x (8)	\$	1,282,897.37
10	Total Second Block kWh (6) - (8)		4,148,720,291
11	Lower of 10/99 Rate (1) or Uniform Per Kwh Rate (4)	\$	0.0004690
12	Second Block Revenue (11) x (10)	\$	1,945,749.82
13	Total First and Second Block Revenue (9) + (12)	\$	3,228,647.19
14	Revenue @ Uniform per Kwh Rate (6) x (4)	\$	5,150,763.42
15	Reduction in Total Revenue (13) - (14)	\$	(1,922,116.23)
<u>Adjustme</u>	ent to Calculation		
16	Adjusted Cost (2) - (9) - (12)	\$	16,456,284.51
17	Adjusted kWh (3) - (6)		15,008,100,633
18	Adjusted USF (16)/(17)	\$	0.0010965
19	Change (18) - (4)	\$	0.0001281
20	% Change		13.2%
21	Annual Cost to Consumer Using 1046 kWh per Month (19) x 1046 x 12	\$	1.61

Two-Tiered Rider <u>CEI</u>

SMM-33

<u>Proposal</u>
First Block 833,000 kWh (10,000,000 per Year) (18)

Propo	Sal First Block 833,000 kWh (10,000,000 per Year) (18) Over 833,000 kWh [Lower of 10/99 Rate (1) or Uniform per Kwh Rate (4)]	\$	0.0042748 0.0005680
<u>Calcu</u>	<u>lation</u> 10/99 USF Rider	\$	0.0005680
2	USF Rider Revenue Requirement	\$	63,978,441.98
3	Total kWh Used in Calculation	•	18,601,178,636
4	Uniform per Kwh Rate (2) / (3)	\$	0.0034395
5	Accounts with Annual kWh Greater than 10,000,000 kWh		136
6	Total Kwh of Accounts Over 10,000,000 kWh Annually		5,551,598,219
7	First Block Annual kWh (833,000 Monthly)		10,000,000
8	Total kWh in First Block (5) x (6)		1,360,000,000
9	Revenue First Block Rate x (8)	\$	5,813,684.57
10	Total Second Block kWh (6) - (8)	•	4,191,598,219
11	Lower of 10/99 Rate (1) or Uniform Per Kwh Rate (4)	\$	0.0005680
12	Second Block Revenue (11) x (10)	\$	2,380,827.79
13	Total First and Second Block Revenue (9) + (12)	\$	8,194,512.36
14	Revenue @ Uniform per Kwh Rate (6) x (4)	\$	19,094,628.97
15	Reduction in Total Revenue (13) - (14)		(\$10,900,116.62)
	nent to Calculation		,
16	Adjusted Cost (2) - (9) - (12)	\$	55,783,929.62
17	Adjusted kWh (3) - (6)		13,049,580,417
18	Adjusted USF (16)/(17)	\$	0.0042748
19	Change (18) - (4)		\$0.0008353
20	% Change		24.3%
21	Annual Cost to Consumer Using 716 kWh per Month (19) x 716 x 12	\$	7.18
	-		

Two-Tiered Rider Ohio Edison

		al	S	o	р	0	r	P
--	--	----	---	---	---	---	---	---

<u>F10p0s</u>	First Block 833,000 kWh (10,000,000 per Year) (18) Over 833,000 kWh [Lower of 10/99 Rate (1) or Uniform per Kwh Rate	\$ \$	0.0051158 0.0010461						
Calculation									
1	10/99 USF Rider	\$	0.0010461						
2	USF Rider Revenue Requirement	\$	107,640,747.08						
3	Total kWh Used in Calculation		24,780,770,282						
4	Uniform per Kwh Rate (2) / (3)	\$	0.0043437						
5	Accounts with Annual kWh Greater than 10,000,000 kWh		169						
6	Total Kwh of Accounts Over 10,000,000 kWh Annually		6,391,225,728						
7	First Block Annual kWh (833,000 Monthly)		10,000,000						
8	Total kWh in First Block (5) x (6)		1,690,000,000						
9	Revenue First Block Rate x (8)	\$	8,645,690.29						
10	Total Second Block kWh (6) - (8)		4,701,225,728						
11	Lower of 10/99 Rate (1) or Uniform Per Kwh Rate (4)	\$	0.0010461						
12	Second Block Revenue (11) x (10)	\$	4,917,952.23						
13	Total First and Second Block Revenue (9) + (12)	\$	13,563,642.52						
14	Revenue @ Uniform per Kwh Rate (6) x (4)	\$	27,761,700.07						
15	Reduction in Total Revenue (13) - (14)	\$	(14,198,057.55)						
<u>Adjustme</u>	nt to Calculation								
16	Adjusted Cost (2) - (9) - (12)	\$	94,077,104.56						
17	Adjusted kWh (3) - (6)		18,389,544,554						
18	Adjusted USF (16)/(17)	\$	0.0051158						
19	Change (18) - (4)	\$	0.0007721						
20	% Change		17.8%						
21	Annual Cost to Consumer Using 857 kWh per Month (19) x 857 x 12	\$	7.94						

Two-Tiered Rider Toledo Edison

Proposal							
	First Block 833,000 kWh (10,000,000 per Year) (18) Over 833,000 kWh [Lower of 10/99 Rate (1) or Uniform per Kwh rate	\$ \$	0.0071340 0.0005610				
		*	0,00000				
Calcula	<u>tion</u> 10/99 USF Rider	\$	0.0005610				
1	10/99 OSF Ridel	Ψ_	0.0003010				
2	USF Rider Revenue Requirement	\$	44,533,671.34				
3	Total kWh Used in Calculation	1	0,469,280,889				
4	Uniform per Kwh rate	\$	0.0042537				
5	Accounts with Annual kWh Greater than 10,000,000 kWh		65				
6	Total Kwh of Accounts Over 10,000,000 kWh Annually		5,237,565,981				
7	First Block Annual kWh (833,334 Monthly)		10,000,000				
8	Total kWh in First Block (5) x (6)		650,000,000				
9	Revenue First Block Rate x (8)	\$	4,637,088.14				
10	Total Second Block kWh (6) - (8)		4,587,565,981				
11	Lower of 10/99 Rate (1) or Uniform per Kwh rate	\$	0.0005610				
12	Second Block Revenue (11) x (10)	\$	2,573,624.52				
13	Total First and Second Block Revenue (9) + (12)	\$	7,210,712.65				
14	Revenue @ ODOD Proposed Rate (6) x (4)	\$	22,279,280.16				
15	Revenue shortfall (13) - (14)	\$	(15,068,567.51)				
Adjustme	ent to Calculation						
16	Adjusted Cost (2) - (9) - (12)	\$	37,322,958.68				
17	Adjusted kWh (3) - (6)		5,231,714,908				
18	Adjusted First Block Rate (16)/(17)	\$	0.0071340				
19	Change (18) - (4)	\$	0.0028802				
20	% Change		67.7%				
21	Annual Cost to Consumer Using 792 kWh per Month (19) x 792 x 12	\$	27.37				

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true copy of the foregoing Supplemental Testimony of Susan M. Moser has been served upon the following parties by first class mail, postage prepaid, and electronic mail this 27th day of November 2015.

Dane Stinson

Steven T. Nourse
Matthew J. Satterwhite AEP
Service Corporation 1 Riverside
Plaza
Columbus, Ohio 43215
stnouse@aep.com
mjsatterwhite@aep.com

Randall V. Griffin
Judi L. Sobecki
The Dayton Power & Light Company
MacGregor Park
1065 Woodman Avenue
Dayton, Ohio 45432
Randall.Griffin@dplinc.com
Judi.Sobecki@dplinc.com

Elizabeth H. Watts
Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. 155 East
Broad Street
Columbus, Ohio 43215
Elizabeth. Watts@duke-energy.com

Joseph P. Serio Ohio Consumers' Counsel 10 West Broad Street Suite 1800 Columbus, Ohio 43215-3485 serio@occ.state.oh.us William L. Wright
Section Chief, Public Utilities Section
Thomas W. McNamee
Assistant Attorney General
Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 East Broad Street, 6th Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215
William.Wright@puc.state.oh.us
Thomas.McNamee@puc.state.oh.us

Sam Randazzo
Frank P. Darr
Matthew Pritchard
McNees, Wallace & Nurick
Fifth Third Center
Suite 910
21 East State Street
Columbus, Ohio 43215
sam@mwncmh.com
fdarr@mwncmh.com
mpritchard@mwncmh.com

Carrie M. Dunn
FirstEnergy Corp.
76 South Main Street
Akron, Ohio 44308
cdunn@firstenergycorp.com

Colleen L. Mooney Ohio Partners for Affordable Energy PO Box 1793 231 West Lima Street Findlay, Ohio 45839-1793 cmooney@ohiopartners.org This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

11/27/2015 10:55:47 AM

in

Case No(s). 15-1046-EL-USF

Summary: Testimony (Supplemental) of Susan M. Moser electronically filed by Dane Stinson on behalf of Ohio Development Services Agency