## BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

- - -

In the Matter of Quality :
Fixtures Installations, :

Notice of Apparent : Case No. 15-1103-TR-CVF

Violation and Intent to :
Assess Forfeiture. :

In the Matter of Mark
Burgess, Notice of
:

Apparent Violation and : Case No. 15-1209-TR-CVF

Intent to Assess : Forfeiture. :

PROCEEDINGS

before James M. Lynn, Hearing Examiner, at the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio, 180 East Broad Street, Room 11-D, Columbus, Ohio, called at 1:00 p.m. on Tuesday, November 10, 2015.

- - -

ARMSTRONG & OKEY, INC.

222 East Town Street, Second Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215-5201
(614) 224-9481 - (800) 223-9481
Fax - (614) 224-5724

- - -

		2
1	APPEARANCES:	
2	Mike DeWine, Ohio Attorney General William Wright, Section Chief	
3	By Mr. Steven Logan Beeler Assistant Attorney General	
4	180 East Broad Street, 6th Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215	
5		
6	On behalf of the Staff of the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio.	
7		
8	Garell M. Burgess 5560 North Dixie Highway	
9	Elizabethtown, Kentucky 42701.	
10	Pro se.	
11	Dustin Bass	
12	617 Robin Lane Steinhatchee, Florida 32359	
13	Pro se.	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
į.		

				3
1	INDEX			
2	Witness	Pag	е	
3	Drew A. Fowler	F		
4	Direct Examination by Mr. Beeler	5		
5	Jonathan Frye Direct Examination by Mr. Beeler	35		
	Cross-Examination by Mr. Burgess	42		
6	Drew A. Fowler			
7	Cross-Examination by Mr. Burgess	43		
8	Garell M. Burgess Direct Testimony	46		
9	Examination by The Examiner	47		
10	Cross-Examination by Mr. Beeler	52		
11	Dustin Bass Direct Testimony	63		
	Examination by The Examiner	64		
12	Cross-Examination by Mr. Beeler	67		
13	Drew A. Fowler Direct Rebuttal Examination	75		
14	Cross Rebuttal Examination	83		
15				
16	Staff Exhibit I	dentified .	Admitted	
17	1 Driver/Vehicle Examination	7	34	
18	Report			
19	2 Photograph	20	34	
	<pre>3 (Not Identified/Offered)</pre>			
20	4 Photograph	22	34	
21	5 Photograph	24	34	
22				
23	6 Notice of Preliminary Determination No. OH3284011175C	36	42	
24	7 Notice of Preliminary			
25	Determination No. OH3284011175D	36	42	

1 Tuesday Afternoon Session, 2 November 10, 2015. 3 4 THE EXAMINER: The Public Utilities 5 Commission has assigned for hearing at this time and 6 place two cases actually, Case No. 15-1103-TR-CVF, In 7 the Matter of Quality Fixture Installations, Notice 8 of Apparent Violation and Intent to Assess 9 Forfeiture. We also have Case No. 15-1209-TR-CVF, In 10 the Matter of Mark Burgess -- The inspection report 11 says Garell Burgess, but you go by Mark? 12 MR. BURGESS: I go by Mark. 13 THE EXAMINER: Notice of Apparent 14 Violation and Intent to Assess Forfeiture. 15 At this time, we'll have the appearances 16 of the parties. We'll start with counsel for Staff. 17 Mr. Beeler? 18 MR. BEELER: Thank you, your Honor. On behalf of the Staff of the Public Utilities 19 2.0 Commission of Ohio, Ohio Attorney General Mike 2.1 DeWine, Steven Beeler, Assistant Attorney General, 22 180 East Broad Street, Columbus, Ohio. 23 THE EXAMINER: Thank you. 24 Gentlemen, I see Mr. Burgess, if you'd 25 give your name and address first, please.

DIRECT EXAMINATION

24 By Mr. Beeler:

23

25

Q. Good afternoon.

6 1 Α. Afternoon. 2 Q. Please state your full name for the 3 record. 4 Α. Drew A. Fowler. 5 0. Where are you employed? I'm with the State Highway Patrol. 6 Α. 7 Q. What is your position within the Highway 8 Patrol? 9 I'm a Motor Carrier Inspector 2. Α. How long have you been with the Patrol? 10 Q. 24 years now. 11 Α. 12 Q. What are your duties in your capacity as 13 an Inspector or Motor Carrier Inspector with the Highway Patrol? 14 I'm a Commercial Truck and Bus Inspector, 15 Α. 16 Safety, for vehicle and driver. 17 And as part of that capacity, you do Q. 18 motor vehicle inspections throughout the year, 19 correct? 2.0 Α. Roadside, yes, yes. 2.1 What training do you have in the area of

- 22 motor carrier inspections, continuing education or certifications, et cetera? 23
- 24 We have five schools we attend: Α. 25 American Level 1, Level 2, Level 3, Hazardous

Materials and Commercial Bus.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

- Q. Okay. Now, is that training updated yearly?
  - A. It is. If there's something new that comes out, we're updated in our computers, yes.
  - Q. Those would be courses that you would attend, correct?
  - A. If that's needed to be, yes, yes. What happens that they usually do, they do updates. And if it's something they have to do a two or three-day thing, they'll bring us up to Columbus.
  - Q. Are motor carrier inspections conducted to protect the safety of Ohio's traveling public?
    - A. Yes.
  - Q. How many inspections on average do you perform in a year?
- 17 A. Over a thousand.
- MR. BEELER: May I approach the witness, your Honor?
- THE EXAMINER: Yes.
- 21 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)
- Q. I hand you what has been previously
  marked as Staff Exhibit 1 and this is the
  Driver/Vehicle Examination Report, inspection date of
  March 20th, 2015. Inspector Fowler, do you recognize

8 1 this document? 2 Α. Yes, sir, I do. 3 Ο. What is it? 4 Α. This is our Driver Vehicle Examination 5 Report. Whenever we do an inspection, it always goes to the driver when it's completed. 6 7 Q. Is this document a Patrol record? 8 Α. Yes, it is. 9 Is it kept in the ordinary course of 10 business? 11 Yes, it is. Α. 12 Q. Is it the practice of the Patrol to make 13 the report? 14 Α. Yes, it is. As part of that report, do you report on 15 Q. 16 matters observed pursuant to a duty imposed by law to 17 which there was a duty to report? 18 Α. Yes, I do. Is this document in the same condition 19 Q. 2.0 substantially as when you prepared it? 2.1 Α. Yes.

report an inspection of the motor carrier vehicle 23 24 owned at least in part by Quality Fixtures 25 Installations?

22

Q.

Is the reason for you producing this

1 A. Yes.

4

5

8

9

- Q. How do you know that it was owned by Quality Fixtures Installations?
  - A. I ran the trailer tag, the Florida tag on the trailer.
- Q. Okay. Were there any markings on the trailer?
  - A. There was.
  - Q. How about the truck, who owned it?
  - A. There was not --
- 11 Q. Well, who owned the truck?
- 12 A. The driver did. Once I found out -- Once 13 I went and spoke to him, he said he owned the truck.
- Q. Okay. Who was the driver listed on your report?
- A. Mr. Burgess.
- 17 Q. Is Mr. Burgess here today?

  MR. BURGESS: Present.
- 19 A. Yes, he is.
- Q. Again, I believe you said this, but just to clarify, the truck was registered in Kentucky and the trailer was registered in Florida, correct?
- A. Correct.
- Q. As far as you know, the driver owned the truck and the carrier owned the trailer?

A. Correct.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- Q. Do you remember this stop on March 20th,
  - A. Yes, I do.
  - Q. Please describe it.
  - A. I was traveling on 275 eastbound, probably was in the afternoon. And I saw this vehicle, and I saw a Florida trailer plate. And I saw an image of a marking on the trailer, so I got a little closer to the vehicle, ran up on the side of them, looked on the left door, did not see anything on the vehicle on the truck. So I backed off a little bit, looked over at the trailer. Did not see the breakaway switch which is supposed to be there. And once I did that, then I got up behind him, and I made my traffic stop.
  - THE EXAMINER: Mr. Fowler, when you say you did not see anything on the truck, do you mean there were no  $-\!\!\!-$
- THE WITNESS: No markings.
- 21 THE EXAMINER: -- markings to indicate
  22 like a name of a carrier?
- THE WITNESS: It wasn't the same as it said on the trailer.
- THE EXAMINER: Oh, it was different from

```
11
      what was said on the trailer?
 1
 2
                  THE WITNESS: There was nothing on the
 3
      truck at all.
 4
                  THE EXAMINER: On the tractor part?
 5
                  THE WITNESS: Well, it's not a tractor;
 6
      it was a pickup truck.
 7
                  THE EXAMINER: Oh, I see. Then on the
      trailer, were there markings at all?
 8
 9
                  THE WITNESS: Yes, "Installation
                  It was faded, but it was there.
10
      Fixtures."
                  THE EXAMINER: All right. Thank you.
11
12
             Q.
                  (By Mr. Beeler) Please go on and describe
13
      the inspection, if you could.
14
                  After I pulled the vehicle over, I called
15
      into dispatch, told them I'd probably be 30, 35
16
      minutes on my inspection. I walked to the left
17
      side -- Actually, I went to the right side, because
18
      we were on the interstate, of Mr. Burgess' vehicle
19
      and went around the right side to the driver's side
2.0
      door so I could see the traffic coming to me and
2.1
      asked him, "How are you today, sir?"
22
                  And he asked me why I pulled him over.
23
     And I said, "You have a Florida trailer plate and
```

construction contractor type." He said, "Yes, I am."

Kentucky tags, and it looks like you are a

24

```
I said, "Well, sir, where are you coming from?" He said, "Fairfield." I said, "You're working in Fairfield today?" He goes, "Yes, I am."
```

2.0

2.1

And so he gave me his driver's license.

I said, "Do you have a medical card?" He said, "No,

I do not." He had a registration, a temporary,

nothing for the trailer which they do sometimes,

sometimes they don't. As long as the trailer's

valid, it doesn't really matter on the plates.

THE EXAMINER: Sorry, what was your comment about the registration?

THE WITNESS: The registration on the truck, he had. Nothing for the trailer. By law, there is a law that you are required to have that for the trailer too, but if the plate is okay, there's no problems, I really don't get excited about it. The plate goes back to who it belongs to. He tells me who it belongs to, make sure it's not a stolen vehicle. Then I pursue, go on from there.

I got his credentials, went back to my vehicle, started running everything in the system.

He stayed in his truck. I didn't want him out of his vehicle getting hit or anything for his safety.

Went back up to his truck after I did the paperwork on the computer, started talking to

him. Told him I'm going to turn the lights on, going to check things out. I also mentioned to him, "Your breakaway switch is missing." I don't know if he really knew it or not. He didn't really say much about that. I showed him where it has a pin that goes in, emergency braking for the trailer. He said okay. So I started from the front of the vehicle checking the lights, tires and then so on.

2.0

2.1

THE EXAMINER: Again, Mr. Fowler, you said that this actually was a pickup truck?

THE WITNESS: Pickup truck pulling a trailer, yes, sir. There's a lot of that out there, your construction contractors.

THE EXAMINER: All right. Thank you.

THE WITNESS: You're welcome, sir. So I was going through my inspection, and I was just checking. I checked the steering. I checked the tie rods. I checked the drag link. I checked for idler arms, anything moving out of the ordinary.

It has to be if the tie rod or drag link, if there's more than an eighth of an inch of hand pressure applied and you grab ahold of it and you move it, and that one you can see on my pictures there, you can see where it had a gap. It was not safe, let's put it that way. So I wrote that up.

And then I walked down the vehicle, checked the tire, checked underneath the truck, checked the lug nuts, checked anything to do with the safety of the vehicle, the vehicle and the trailer itself.

Q. Okay.

2.0

2.1

- A. Lights, turn signals, brake lights.
- Q. Did you put the vehicle out of service?
- A. I put both vehicles out of service, the tie rod end on the right front of the truck, I think King Ranch I believe it was. And the trailer, I put it out of service when it didn't have the breakaway hooked up.
- Q. Then on Page 2 of Staff Exhibit 1 of your report, about midway down, there's a Reason Code "OBVI," that means it was an obvious stop, correct?
  - A. Correct.
  - Q. Just please explain that.
- A. There was no markings on the vehicle.

  Due to the vehicles both being out-of-state, anything over 10,001, a combination of both, the GVWR, gross vehicle weight rating, the vehicle has to have company markings.
- Q. Did you witness anything else that would be an obvious violation?

1 Α. Then also the breakaway was not -- there 2 was no pin in the breakaway, just a hole was there. 3 THE EXAMINER: Mr. Beeler, if I can step in for a minute. Mr. Fowler, you mentioned a gross 4 5 vehicle weight or combined weight, I quess, for towing unit in the trailer. On the inspection report 6 7 on Page 1, there's some indication what the gross 8 vehicle weight rating is for the trailer as well as 9 the pickup truck. 10 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. THE EXAMINER: How did you obtain what 11 12 the gross weight is for each of those? 1.3 THE WITNESS: It's on the door jamb of 14 the driver's side door of the truck. You can open up 15 the door, it's either right here (indicating) or down 16 at the bottom (indicating), and it has a -- it's VIN 17 plate. 18 THE EXAMINER: Vehicle Identification 19 What about for the trailer, where was that? Number. 2.0 THE WITNESS: It was on the left front 2.1 corner. 22 THE EXAMINER: So they have a similar 23 plate? 24 By law, you have to have THE WITNESS: some ID on that vehicle. 25

```
1
                  THE EXAMINER: So you were able to
2
     determine by checking both of those locations --
 3
                  THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. That's a --
 4
                  THE REPORTER: Sir, can you please let
5
     him finish the question.
                  THE EXAMINER: By checking at both
 6
 7
     locations on the pickup truck and on the trailer,
8
     you're able to determine the combined gross weight
9
     was over 10,001 pounds?
10
                  THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
11
                  THE EXAMINER: Thank you. Apologies to
12
     the reporter.
13
                  MR. BEELER: Thank you, your Honor.
14
             0.
                  (By Mr. Beeler) Does the driver get a
15
     copy of your report --
16
                  Yes, he does.
             Α.
17
             Q.
                  -- at the stop?
18
                  So yes, he does?
19
             Α.
                  Yes, he does.
2.0
                  So there are violations listed on this
             Q.
2.1
     report, correct?
22
             Α.
                  Yes, sir.
23
                  I know you've mentioned it, but if you
             0.
24
     could, please, just go through them and tell me what
25
     they are listed on the report.
```

- A. Okay. On the top, "Carrier name and/or USDOT number not displayed as required."
  - Q. Okay.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

- A. "Operating a property-carrying vehicle without possessing a valid medical certificate."
  - Q. That would be a driver violation?
  - A. That's a driver violation, yes, sir.

And then "No improper breakaway or emergency braking was not hooked up, see my notes."

"No fire extinguisher with vehicle."

"No/insufficient warning devices, none with the vehicle," meaning that is emergency -- if you break down on the roadside, you need either three triangles reflective or you need three cones or three flares.

- Q. Okay. And as we discussed earlier, there are both driver violations and carrier violations listed on this report?
  - A. That is correct.
- Q. So we have two separate cases here today, a carrier case and a driver case, but this one report covers both of those cases; correct?
  - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. I'm just going to go through each of the violations very quickly, and I'm going to start with

no fire extinguisher. You did not find a fire extinguisher on the commercial motor vehicle, correct?

A. Correct.

1

2

3

4

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

2.1

- 5 Q. Did the driver dispute that during the 6 stop?
  - A. No, he did not.
    - Q. So as a result, you cited the carrier for that violation, correct?
      - A. Yes, sir.
  - Q. So it's your testimony that by observing the lack of a fire extinguisher, the carrier was in violation of 49 CFR 393.95(A)?
    - A. Yes, sir.
    - Q. Similarly, with the warning devices, the vehicle did not have proper warning devices, correct?
  - A. Correct.
    - Q. Why not? Can you explain why?
- 19 A. I don't know why. I'm not sure. They're 20 required to have them.
  - Q. You tested to see that they didn't work?
- A. No, you don't look to see that they work.

  They don't have a box of them. It's a box of

  triangles, it's like triangles. And they don't have

  it in the vehicle with them, so you can't produce it

or show me or anything.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

- Q. Thank you for that clarification. So as a result of that observation, you cited the carrier for that violation?
  - A. Yes, I did.
- Q. So it's your testimony here today that you observed the vehicle without warning devices, correct?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Turning to the improper breakaway and emergency braking not being hooked up, the vehicle did not have proper breakaway emergency braking, correct?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. Just, again, please explain why that was the case.
- A. The breakaway for emergency braking, if
  the trailer disconnects from unit 1, which is the
  truck, this breakaway pulls out, the wire comes out
  and automatically shuts the trailer down so it
  doesn't roll down the road freely hitting oncoming
  cars.
- THE EXAMINER: So it activates the brakes
  on --
- 25 THE WITNESS: It activates the brakes on

the trailer only, emergency braking, yes, sir.

- Q. (By Mr. Beeler) So as a result of your observations at the scene, you cited that driver for that?
  - A. Yes, equipment violation.
- Q. And it's your testimony today that you observed this lack of emergency braking system, correct?
  - A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

17

- MR. BEELER: May I approach, your Honor?

  THE EXAMINER: Yes.
- 12 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)
- Q. I hand you what's been previously marked
  as Staff Exhibit 2. It's just a photo taken by
  Inspector Fowler at the stop. Do you recognize this
  picture?
  - A. Yes, I do.
  - Q. Did you take this picture?
- 19 A. Yes, I did.
- 20 Q. Does this picture accurately represent 21 the scene at the day of -- the scene at the day of 22 the inspection?
- 23 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. What does this picture show?
- 25 A. It shows the breakaway box where it's

connected where it goes into the battery, and then where you see the hole in the front there, there's a pin that goes in that, and the pin is missing.

- Q. Okay. So this picture does show an improper --
  - A. Breakaway system.
  - Q. -- breakaway system?
  - A. Yes, sir.

2.1

- Q. So it's your testimony that this picture supports your testimony that the vehicle was in violation of 49 CFR 393.43 because the breakaway system was not hooked up correctly?
  - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Turning back to what we were discussing, the violation, the right front steer axle rod which is 49 CFR 396.3(A)(1), you observed the right front steer axle tie rod with excessive play, correct?
  - A. Yes, sir.
  - Q. How do you know that?
- A. First of all, you visualize it. You look at it and what it does. It has a rotation. It's a lack of rotation that's allowed, but when it has -- I always call it the yo-yo syndrome like this. So if I see that, I reach in there and I grab it with my hands, pull it, push it up wherever it will go. If

- it goes more than an eighth of an inch, it's out of service.
  - Q. So as a result of that observation, you cited the carrier for the violation, correct?
    - A. Yes, sir.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

- Q. And it's your testimony that you observed the excessive play on the tie rod, correct?
  - A. Yes, sir.

MR. BEELER: May I approach, your Honor?

THE EXAMINER: Yes.

(EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)

- Q. I have what's previously been marked as Staff Exhibit 4. We're going to skip 3 if that's okay. I decided not to introduce that one. Do you recognize this picture?
  - A. Yes, I do.
    - Q. Did you take this picture?
  - A. Yes, I did.
- Q. Does this picture accurately represent the scene at the day of the inspection?
  - A. Yes, it does.
  - Q. What does this picture show?
- A. This shows the right front of the
  driver's vehicle tie rod and drag link bar which
  controls your steering.

2.3

```
1
                  And this picture essentially shows the
             0.
 2
     axle tie rod, right?
 3
                  Yes.
             Α.
 4
                  And in the way you test the excessive
             Ο.
 5
     play, is you use hand pressure; is that correct?
 6
             Α.
                  Yes, sir.
 7
             Q.
                  This picture at least gives the
8
     Commission an idea of what a tie axle rod is, right?
9
             Α.
                  Yes, sir.
10
                  THE EXAMINER: Mr. Fowler, can you give
     me a little better idea where the rod is in the
11
12
     picture?
13
                  THE WITNESS: May I approach?
14
                  THE EXAMINER: Certainly, yes.
15
                  THE WITNESS: This is the....
16
                  (Off the record.)
17
                  THE EXAMINER: For purposes of our
18
     reporter, the tie rod is in the photograph with the
19
     tire still on the ground. Where the dirt is, the
2.0
     tire rod goes from left to right from the inside of
2.1
     the rim, I guess.
22
                  THE WITNESS: Inside the wheel.
23
                  THE EXAMINER: Or inside the wheel and
24
     toward the outside apparently across the wheel, I
25
      suppose.
```

```
1
                  THE WITNESS: Right. What that does is
 2
      that controls the steering on the right side of the
 3
      vehicle itself.
 4
                  THE EXAMINER: Okay, thank you.
 5
                  THE WITNESS: You're welcome, sir.
                  (By Mr. Beeler) So it's your testimony
 6
             0.
 7
      that this picture supports your claim that the
      vehicle was in violation of the tie rod violation; is
 8
 9
      that correct?
10
             Α.
                  Yes, sir.
                  MR. BURGESS: If I may, your Honor, may I
11
12
      have --
13
                  MR. BEELER: I object.
                  MR. BURGESS: I'd like --
14
15
                  MR. BEELER:
                               I object.
16
                  THE EXAMINER: You can have your own
17
      photographs or other comments, but wait until you
18
      actually take the witness stand.
19
                  MR. BURGESS: Okay.
2.0
                  THE EXAMINER: Thank you.
2.1
                  (By Mr. Beeler) Turning now to the
22
      carrier name and/or USDOT number not displayed as
23
      required, you observed the carrier without markings,
24
      correct?
25
             Α.
                  Yes, sir.
```

2.5 1 MR. BEELER: May I approach, your Honor? 2 THE EXAMINER: Yes. 3 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) 4 This was previously marked as Staff Q. 5 Exhibit 5. It's another photograph. Do you recognize this picture? 6 7 Α. Yes, I do. 8 0. Did you take this picture? 9 Yes, I did. Α. What does this picture show? 10 Q. 11 It shows a door with nothing on it. Α. 12 Q. Is this door the side of the truck that 13 you inspected? 14 Α. Yes, sir. And there's no markings on that door? 15 Q. 16 No markings on the vehicle, correct. Α. 17 As a result of that observation, you Q. cited the carrier of that violation, correct? 18 19 Α. Correct. 2.0 Q. And it's your testimony that this 2.1 photograph supports your observation that the carrier was in violation of that section, correct? 22 23 Α. Yes, sir. 24 Finally, moving to operating a CMV 25 without USDOT registration, the driver did not have a

USDOT registration, correct?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

- A. Correct. It was not registered.
- Q. Was unable to produce one?
- A. Well, they usually don't produce it.

  It's usually on the doors of the vehicles, so we go

  over, we look at it. There's no form they carry with

  them.

THE EXAMINER: Mr. Fowler, is that registration required again because of the combined gross weight of the towing unit and the trailer?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

- Q. (By Mr. Beeler) So --
  - MR. BEELER: Sorry, your Honor.

14 THE EXAMINER: Not a problem, go ahead.

- Q. (By Mr. Beeler) So as a result of that observation, you then cited the carrier for lack of USDOT registration, correct?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Finally, turning to the driver violation failure to produce a medical card, the driver did not produce a medical card?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. You asked for a medical card; is that correct?
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. Did the driver dispute that?
- A. Just said he didn't have one.
  - Q. So, again, it's your testimony that the driver was in violation of the medical card section, correct?
    - A. Yes, sir.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

2.1

- Q. Okay. So throughout this stop, you believe that this was a commercial move, correct?
- A. Yes, I do.
  - Q. Why did you believe that?
- 11 A. I asked the driver where he was working
  12 that day I stopped him. He told me he was working in
  13 Fairfield; Fairfield, Ohio.
- 14 Q. Okay.
- 15 A. So that's my determination. I asked,

  16 "Where you going from this point? Coming from

  17 Fairfield and where you heading to?" He said he was

  18 heading back home to Elizabethtown.
- Q. At any point during the stop, did you look in the back of the trailer?
  - A. No, I did not.
- Q. Do you know why you didn't look in the back of the trailer?
- 24 A. No.
- 25 Q. On your report, Staff Exhibit 1, is it --

scratch that.

Is it that you don't remember looking in the back of the trailer or you definitely didn't look in the back of the trailer?

- A. I'm pretty sure I did not. I did have camera problems. It fogged up on me, so I didn't really -- nothing came out. I don't remember opening it up. I did not.
- Q. So Staff Exhibit 1, you do note on the first page where it says "Cargo," "Other, Const Equipment"; is that correct?
  - A. The reason I put that is because usually on these vehicles, they are contractors. They do construction. It could be building or anything doing with construction.

THE EXAMINER: Mr. Fowler, you're indicating that although you've written that on the inspection report, you actually did not look inside of the trailer?

THE WITNESS: No, sir, I did not.

21 THE EXAMINER: This is a trailer I assume 22 that was fully enclosed?

THE WITNESS: It was, yes.

THE EXAMINER: All right.

Q. (By Mr. Beeler) Would it matter if the

trailer were empty so long as the truck were used?

A. Actually it does not.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

- Q. It's possible for a trailer to be empty?
- A. The carrier can use a trailer for other things. I mean, the way we do it is if a truck is by itself singly, is not pulling a trailer, there's not too much enforcement done, but if you're pulling a trailer, you can pick up, do things with it or do whatever you want to do, so that's how we use it.

  I've been doing this for 27 years. The contractors are all out there, they do this.
- Q. It's also possible for a trailer to be empty on the way to pick up materials to go to a job, correct?
  - A. Yes, it is.
- Q. How about when the job's done, to return home, the trailer would be empty?
  - A. Could be, yes, sir.
- Q. Could be. At the inspection, did the driver indicate that this was only a personal move?
- A. That did not -- That was not brought up until I told him he was placed out of service.
  - O. Tell me a little more about that.
- A. After I did my inspection, I do not leave people on the side of the highways. It's not safe.

I told him, I said, "You have a bad tie rod and you have no breakaway on your trailer. I will escort you up to the next exit." And it was a Gabriel parking lot, to get him off the interstate highway.

And pretty much when I told him that, he got on the phone and spoke with somebody. I don't know who he talked to. I asked him what he wanted to do. "Do you want to sit here on the interstate and wait for a tow truck, or do you want me to take you to a safe haven?" He chose to go up to the next exit. Everything kind of went sour after I told him. His attitude and everything changed towards me.

- Q. Did he make a phone call during this stop?
- A. After I told him he was out of service, he did.
  - Q. Do you know who he called?
  - A. No, I do not.

2.1

- Q. So it's your testimony that the story changed once he -- it became a personal move once the phone call was made?
- A. He said all he was doing was helping people out, his friends or something like that, but that was not brought up until the inspection was completed and after he was placed out of service.

31 Did the driver say he worked for Quality 1 0. 2 Fixtures? 3 Yes, he did. Α. 4 THE EXAMINER: Mr. Fowler, then at any 5 point, did the driver indicate what he was hauling in 6 that trailer? Did he ever say? 7 THE WITNESS: I don't remember, sir. 8 THE EXAMINER: Okay. 9 0. (By Mr. Beeler) Again, the driver originally just said that he was doing work in 10 Fairfield; is that correct? 11 12 Α. Yes, sir. 13 0. Just kind of a general ask question here, 14 is there anything else important to note that we have not discussed that you feel the Commission would like 15 16 to know for the record? 17 Α. No, sir. 18 MR. BEELER: I have no further questions, 19 your Honor, on direct, but I would reserve Mr. Fowler 2.0 for rebuttal, if necessary. THE EXAMINER: Mr. Fowler, you can take 2.1 22 your seat and still be under oath, so I'll likely be 23 asking further questions. 24 THE WITNESS: No problem, sir. MR. BEELER: At this time, at least with 25

this witness, I would move for the admission of Staff Exhibits 1, 2, 4 and 5.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

Exhibit 5?

THE EXAMINER: And gentlemen, Mr. Bass and Mr. Burgess, do you have any objection to admitting these exhibits into evidence?

MR. BURGESS: I would like to see 4 and 5, please.

MR. BASS: He wants them to be admitted into evidence. I don't know how this is evidence in a commercial case whenever it's a private vehicle. This is a privately owned truck for Mr. Burgess, and private individuals pull trailers on a regular basis. I understand there were violations on the trailer and the truck, but this wasn't being used as a commercial vehicle.

THE EXAMINER: If you feel that -- If you feel that these photos illustrate what was seen on the vehicle, that's fine. And then if you go -
MR. BASS: There was actually a statement, your Honor, that went with this one right

here.

THE EXAMINER: You're referring to Staff

MR. BASS: Yes, sir. The comment was

25 there were no placards on the vehicle, but it's a

private vehicle.

2.0

2.1

THE EXAMINER: Let's say this, first of all, Staff Exhibit 1, which is the inspection report, 2, which is a photo of the breakaway, and 4, which is a photo of the tie rod, do you have any objection to admitting any of those into evidence?

MR. BASS: No, sir.

THE EXAMINER: And then Staff Exhibit 5, do you feel that that accurately portrays what the side of the pickup truck looked like?

MR. BASS: I feel it portrays what the truck looked like, but the comment made with the introduction of the evidence was inaccurate.

THE EXAMINER: I see. Well, but the photograph itself --

MR. BASS: Yes, sir. Yes, sir, it is a picture of the door.

THE EXAMINER: No objection to the photograph itself, but your perspective is the vehicle is used for private purposes; am I correct?

MR. BASS: And privately owned, sir.

THE EXAMINER: What we can do then is if you have no objection to the photograph itself as to saying it accurately shows what the side of the pickup truck looked like, that can be admitted into

```
evidence. And then if you have a different
1
 2
     perspective on why this does not prove Staff's case,
 3
     you can state that when you're on the witness stand.
 4
     Do you understand what I'm saying, the distinction
 5
      I'm trying to make?
                  MR. BASS: Yes, sir.
 6
 7
                  THE EXAMINER: So, therefore, as far as
8
     this accurately showing what the side of the truck
9
     looks like, you have no objection to that?
10
                  MR. BASS: No objections.
                  THE EXAMINER: So therefore, we'll admit
11
12
     Staff Exhibits 1, 2, 4 and 5 into evidence.
13
                  MR. BEELER: Thank you, your Honor.
14
                  (EXHIBITS ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.)
15
                  THE EXAMINER: Now, gentlemen, whichever
16
     of you two would like to take the stand first.
17
                  MR. BEELER: Excuse me, your Honor.
18
                  THE EXAMINER: Oh, just a minute.
19
                  MR. BEELER: That was just this witness.
2.0
      I still have another.
2.1
                  THE EXAMINER: My mistake.
22
                  MR. BEELER: Procedurally, I typically
23
     take the exhibits that that witness handled into
24
     evidence, but I still have another Staff witness to
25
     go.
```

Q.

Α.

0.

22

23

24

I'm a Chief of the Compliance Division.

How long have you been in that position?

What is your position?

- Q. What are your duties in that position?
- A. To review the fine assessments of the compliance officers to make sure they're accurate.
- Q. What are your expert qualifications in the subject matter of violations, certifications, continuing education?
- A. I've taken the North American Out Of Service, Parts A and B. I've taken Hazardous Materials training, Bulk Package training as well.
- Q. Explain how you determine the amount of forfeitures in these cases.
- A. The violations are grouped into four different categories, and based upon the types of violations and the severity of the violations, we assess a monetary fine to the violations.
- Q. Okay. And does the Commission apply that process uniformly to everyone?
- A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

- MR. BEELER: May I approach the witness, your Honor?
- THE EXAMINER: Yes.
- 22 (EXHIBITS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)
- Q. (By Mr. Beeler) I have what's previously
  marked as Staff Exhibits 6 and 7. They are two
  Notices of Preliminary Determination, both dated

1 May 29th, 2015. One is in relation to the carrier 2 case and the other is in relation to the driver case. 3 Take a second to look these over.

Starting with Staff Exhibit 6, do you recognize this document?

> Α. Yes.

Α.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

- Q. What is it?
- It's a Notice of Preliminary Determination letter, and it's a letter that's sent to a Respondent when we are unable to reach a resolution of a case. Provides them with information on how to pay the fine as well as how to make a request for an administrative hearing.
- And Staff Exhibit 6, that's the Notice of Q. Preliminary Determination that applies to the carrier, correct?
  - Α. That's correct.
- And you know that because on the case 0. number there below, up in the "Regarding" section, it says "OH" and a long number with a "C" at the end, correct?
  - That's correct. Α.
- That's for carrier? 0.
- 24 Α. Yes.
- 25 Q. Was this document prepared by you or

under your direction?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Dated May 29th, 2015, correct?
- A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

9

15

23

24

- Q. Is this document a Commission record?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Is it recorded in the ordinary course of business?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Is it the practice of the Commission to make this letter or determination?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. Is this document in the same condition substantially as when it was prepared?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Explain how the civil forfeiture was derived on Staff Exhibit 6 if you can.
- A. As I indicated earlier, the violations
  are broken up into groups. In this particular case,
  it indicates that for carrier name for USDOT number
  not displayed, it's a Group 4 violation with a \$100
  fine.
  - In addition to that, it also indicates that a USDOT registration is required. And in the event that it was not -- it was not registered, this

is also a Group 4 violation which receives a \$500 fine.

The letter also indicates that there was one Group 2 violation which was the no or improper breakaway which was assessed a \$50 fine.

There was also one Group 3 violation which was the right front steer axle tie rod end with excessive play, and that was assessed a \$40 fine.

Indicates that the total for all the violations was \$690.

- Q. Is this penalty consistent with the recommended fine schedule and recommended civil penalty procedure adopted by the Commercial Motor Vehicle Alliance?
- 15 A. Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

- Q. Again, the total forfeiture amount is \$690?
- 18 A. That's correct.
- 19 Q. Is that the correct amount for this case?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. Would you recommend that amount to the Commission?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. Turning now to Staff Exhibit 7, do you recognize this document?

40 1 Α. Yes. 2 Q. What is it? 3 It's a Notice of Preliminary Α. 4 Determination letter that was sent to the driver. 5 0. Okay. Is it prepared by you or under your direction? 6 7 Α. Yes. 8 Q. Again, it's dated May 29th, 2015? 9 Yes. Α. 10 Is this document a Commission record? Q. 11 Yes. Α. 12 Q. Kept in the ordinary business of the Commission? 13 14 Α. Yes. Is it the practice of the Commission to 15 Q. 16 make this Preliminary Determination? 17 Α. Yes. Is this document in the same condition as 18 0. 19 when it was originally prepared? 2.0 Α. Yes. Explain how the civil forfeiture was 2.1 Ο. 22 derived for the driver in this case.

broken up into various groups based upon the type of

violation, as well as the severity. In this

23

24

25

Α.

Again, this is -- the violations are

particular case, this was -- the driver was in violation of not possessing a valid medical certificate. This is a Group 4 violation for which there's a \$100 fine for this type of violation.

- Q. Is that penalty consistent with the recommended fine schedule and recommended civil penalty procedure adopted by the Commercial Motor Vehicle Alliance?
  - A. Yes.
  - O. That forfeiture is \$100?
- 11 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- 12 Q. That's the correct amount for this case?
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. Would you recommend this amount to the Commission?
- 16 A. Yes.
- Q. Is there anything else that you think would be important to note for the Commission that you've not discussed?
- 20 A. No.
- MR. BEELER: Thank you, your Honor. No further questions.
- THE EXAMINER: Thank you. We can go off the record for just a minute, Cindy.
- 25 (Off the record.)

THE EXAMINER: We're back on the record. 1 2 Mr. Burgess has a question. 3 4 CROSS-EXAMINATION 5 By Mr. Burgess: Mr. Frye, the information that you had in 6 7 preparing your documents was based solely on this 8 citation or alleged citation of this officer; is that 9 correct? 10 That's correct. Α. MR. BURGESS: Thank you. That's all. 11 12 THE EXAMINER: Thank you, Mr. Burgess. 13 Mr. Beeler, you said you had no further 14 questions? 15 MR. BEELER: Yes. And your Honor, at 16 this time, I would move for the admission of Staff 17 Exhibits 6 and 7 into the record. 18 THE EXAMINER: Mr. Bass and Mr. Burgess, do you have any objection admitting Staff Exhibits 6 19 2.0 or 7 into evidence? 2.1 MR. BURGESS: No. 22 MR. BASS: No. THE EXAMINER: We'll admit Staff Exhibits 23 24 6 and 7 into evidence. Thank you. 25 (EXHIBITS ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.)

1 THE EXAMINER: Mr. Frye, you can take 2 your seat. Thank you. 3 MR. BEELER: Again, I would just reserve 4 Mr. Fowler for rebuttal, if necessary. 5 THE EXAMINER: Gentlemen, Mr. Burgess, Mr. Bass, whichever you would prefer to take the 6 7 witness stand. 8 MR. BURGESS: I do have a couple questions for the officer. 9 10 THE EXAMINER: I'm sorry, you can ask him questions again related to the violation against you, 11 12 the medical card. 13 MR. BURGESS: Yes, sir. 14 THE EXAMINER: You can ask Mr. Fowler, 15 yes. 16 17 DREW A. FOWLER 18 having been previously sworn, as prescribed by law, was examined and testified as follows: 19 2.0 CROSS-EXAMINATION 2.1 By Mr. Burgess: 22 I quess the first question I would want Q. to ask, during any time during this stop, was myself 23 24 belligerent in any way? 25 Α. (Shakes head.)

- Q. Did I withhold anything --
- 2 A. No, sir.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

18

- Q. -- that you asked or requested?
- A. No, sir.
  - Q. The reason I ask this point, you have mention on here of fire equipment and things. Did you ever ask me to produce them?
    - A. Yes, I did.
    - Q. Okay.
- MR. BEELER: I would object, your Honor, that's not the medical card violation.
- 12 THE EXAMINER: Well, that's true.
- 13 Mr. Burgess, if you could just limit yourself to the medical card.
- Q. (By Mr. Burgess) I guess the next
  question I would have is do you carry with you a
  medical card to operate your vehicle?
  - A. I don't have to, sir.
    - O. You don't have to?
- 20 A. No.
- 21 Q. Is your vehicle privately owned?
- 22 A. State of Ohio owns it.
- Q. The State of Ohio. You're driving a commercial vehicle that's owned by the state without a commercial --

- It's not a commercial vehicle. Α.
- All right. Thank you. Q.

That's it, your Honor. MR. BURGESS:

THE EXAMINER: Thank you. Mr. Fowler, you can take your seat.

Then, gentlemen, as I was indicating, 6 7 whichever one of you want to first come to the

1

2

3

4

5

9

11

12

13

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

8 witness stand. Mr. Bass, you can testify as to the violations against the carrier which would be

10 everything other than the medical card.

> Mr. Burgess, you can testify as to any details you want to mention regarding the medical card. Which of you would like to come up first?

14 MR. BURGESS: Doesn't make any

15 difference. I'll do it.

16 THE EXAMINER: Raise your right hand, 17 please. Thank you.

(Witness placed under oath.)

THE EXAMINER: Thank you, Mr. Burgess. If you'd like to take your seat, please, and thank you for making the trip here. And if you'd like to make any comments as far as your perspective on the alleged violation about not having a medical card, medical certificate.

### GARELL M. BURGESS

being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was examined and testified as follows:

2.0

2.1

## DIRECT TESTIMONY

THE WITNESS: I guess my statement would be the premise for -- the stop initially would be my strongest premise. It's a Ford F3 pickup truck. I use it on the farm. I was not conducting any business in Fairfield. I moved some furniture for a family member.

As far as being hooked to the trailer, it's my understanding there's some fine lines here between the DOT stats which means the gross tonnage of the truck is in culmination with the trailer. The trailer gross tonnage is based on the amount of weight you can put in the trailer.

I've done some research on some DOT specifications, and that's where I came up with the combined weight of both vehicles which I weighed at a scale was 9,000 pounds, so I would say that as far as my truck, I do not use for commercial endeavors.

I use it personally. I do farm work. I do some plumbing work at the house. From time to time, I help out friends and other jobs and other work, but as far as registering that vehicle as a

commercial vehicle, my personal belief, it's utterly absurd.

I mean, if the premise of the officer at the time is that it had tools in it, anybody carrying a cell phone is considered a tool. If you have a jack in your car, you could be considered a road repair service. I mean, it's absurd.

\_ \_

# EXAMINATION

By The Examiner:

2.0

2.1

Q. Let's go back to the date you were stopped for the inspection. When you were stopped, what do you recall saying to Mr. Fowler?

Do you remember any of the conversation or anything you were asked or answered?

A. Yes, he -- he was real cordial. First thing he told me, he said that my truck was too big to be pulling this trailer which I thought was kind of odd, that a pickup truck could be too big to pull a trailer.

And then the second comment he made to me, he said, "This is merely a safety inspection.

There will be no fines assessed or anything like that. We just want to check you for safety" which made me fully cooperative. I mean, anything the

gentleman had to say... I will concede the fact that the emergency brake was -- and I'll be honest with you, I think and I still to this day the stabilizer bar had a weak joint in it, and it needed to be changed.

- Q. So that's on the trailer?
- A. No, on the truck.

2.0

2.1

- Q. On the truck, I see.
- A. I do appreciate it; however, I mean, the trailer and the truck, you can't separate them, but you can't put them together either. I mean, it's a tit for tat. The only tools that he saw was in the back of my truck. I had some scaffolding where I had done some work on one of my barns, and he automatically assumed that I was in the construction business, and I was doing all kind of business in Ohio. I have never done business in Ohio, none, not a contracting job or anything like that.
- Q. Mr. Burgess, did you indicate to Mr. Fowler, the inspector, what you were doing that day?
  - A. Yes, I did.
- Q. Could you tell me again.
- A. I told him that I dropped off some
  furniture up here for a family member's son, and the

trailer had been laying at my house. It had been at one point used for storage in Florida. Basically I just borrowed a trailer from a friend, and I hate to see him go through this because I borrowed a trailer to move a family member, and it's --

- Q. Did you receive any pay, any compensation for moving that friend?
- A. Come on, this is family. You don't take money from family.
- Q. Well, it could be a factor to take into account. You're saying no?
- A. No. I wasn't up here on any sort of commercial impact. That truck doesn't hire. It doesn't lease. I don't subcontract the truck out.
- Q. You're saying the trailer, where was the trailer?
- A. It was in Elizabethtown.
- Q. In Elizabethtown. And how did it happen you were towing the trailer? It was not your -- you didn't own the trailer?
  - A. No, I don't.
- Q. It was on the pickup truck?
- 23 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

Q. How did it happen you were connected to that trailer?

- A. Well, Dustin brought it up. He asked me if he could park it there.
  - Q. That's Mr. Bass?
- A. Yes, he asked me if I could drop it off.

  I said it was fine. He said we're going to maybe do some storage unit later on or something to that effect.
- Q. It was dropped off to where? At your home?
- 10 A. Yes, at my place.
- 11 Q. I see.

2.1

- A. Three months later, I asked him, "Hey,
  I've got -- it's kind of misty and rainy out here," I
  said, "I've got some furniture to move, do you mind
  if I use your trailer?" He said, "No, help
  yourself."
  - Q. You're indicating that you had gone into a weigh station at some point, and that the combined weight of your pickup truck and the trailer you're saying is under 9,000?
  - A. Yeah. I take that back, it was a little over 9,000, 9,250 pounds, something like that, or 60 pounds. I think it goes in 20-pound increments.
- Q. That weigh station, did that happen to be on the day of the inspection?

A. No, it was not.

2.0

2.1

- Q. So at the time that the inspection occurred, was there anything in the trailer or was it empty by that time or were you still towing what you're saying was furniture?
- A. It was absolutely empty at the time. And I made the sly remark that I was towing sailboats. I did that to the officer and I did that to the attorney which I mean, like I say again, this it was the questioning and the things that we were looking at were so absurd that it just I'm a smart aleck, I guess. I joke every once in a while.
- Q. At any time, did the inspector take a look inside the trailer?
- A. No. If he would have, I would be more than happy to open it up. I was totally compliant.
- Q. You're saying at that time it was empty anyway?
  - A. Yes, it had been for maybe 40 minutes to an hour.
  - Q. I see. So you were returning from wherever you had dropped off the furniture?
    - A. Right.
- Q. I see.
- THE EXAMINER: Thank you. I likely will

```
52
      have other questions. I can't think of any right
 1
 2
      now.
 3
                  Mr. Beeler, questions?
 4
                  MR. BEELER: Yes, I have questions.
 5
 6
                        CROSS-EXAMINATION
 7
      By Mr. Beeler:
 8
             Ο.
                  Good afternoon.
 9
                  Good afternoon.
             Α.
10
             Q.
                  What is your relationship with Quality
      Fixtures?
11
12
                  I -- I don't know the technical.
13
      Dustin's my friend, and I basically do whatever it
14
      takes to help him out to promote his business.
15
                  THE EXAMINER: Again, for our court
16
      reporter, that's Mr. Bass who represents Quality
17
     Fixtures.
18
                  (By Mr. Beeler) So you have worked for
             0.
19
      Quality Fixtures in the past, correct?
2.0
                  Yes.
             Α.
2.1
             Ο.
                  How about currently?
22
                  No, not right now. He doesn't need me.
             Α.
23
      I do maybe an estimate every once in a while for him
24
      or something like that.
25
                  THE EXAMINER: You do what?
```

THE WITNESS: Estimate for a project or something like that.

- Q. (By Mr. Beeler) When was the last time you did an estimate for him?
- A. I'm working on one now, one in Florida.

  THE EXAMINER: When you say an estimate,
  do you mean like how long -- the expense of if you
  were to haul something for him?

THE WITNESS: No.

2.0

2.1

THE EXAMINER: What do you mean?

THE WITNESS: No, Quality Fixtures does installations in stores in Florida, and we sub out labor. We're not in the hauling or carrying business, no shape, form or fashion.

Right now I'm in the process of bidding and would be more than happy to show the Court, we're bidding a project in Milburn, Florida for a Victoria's Secret store which is the installation of everything in the store from the shelving, the brackets, the carpentry package.

THE EXAMINER: All right. Thank you for that explanation.

Mr. Beeler, back to you.

Q. (By Mr. Beeler) When you do jobs for Quality Fixtures, how are you paid?

- A. Through a company called Paycor.
- Q. And that's -- is that payment hourly?
- A. It's direct deposit. Paycor breaks it down an hour some way. I don't know.
  - Q. You're paid by the job?
- A. No, I'm paid by the hour. A lot of stuff that I do for Quality Fixtures is pro bono. Just like the estimations, I don't receive any income from those.
- Q. But you have been paid by Quality Fixtures in the past, correct, for this?
  - A. Yes, I have.

2.1

- Q. And have you ever made a move, a move with this trailer in the past, with the trailer with the "Quality Fixtures" markings?
- A. It doesn't have "Quality Fixtures" markings. It's got "Quality Installation." The name on the trailer was being scrubbed off. Road grime had stuck to some of the trash, the sticky stuff. If you'll notice in referring to that truck, you'll see the road grime that was stuck. There's no signs on this trailer at all. It's sticky stuff that road ground has stuck to, flat and simple.
- Q. You would agree there's a faded -- there was a --

A. No, I'm not agreeing to that. I went throughout with gasoline and scrubbed it off, and it still stuck to it. There's two or three different people that had different names. They're not on this trailer any way, shape or form that say "Quality Fixtures" that match the license plate.

- Q. Did you ever try painting over it?
- A. No.
  - Q. Could you have painted over it?
- 10 A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

- 11 Q. Couldn't have painted over it?
- A. No, because the sticky stuff wasn't off
  of it. The paint would have never stuck. Would have
  bled right through.

THE EXAMINER: What's the sticky stuff you're referring to?

THE WITNESS: We had taken all the lettering off of the truck. The adhesive that holds it on there, I took gas, I scrubbed it. I did everything to remove it the best that I could.

THE EXAMINER: All right. Thank you.

- Q. (By Mr. Beeler) You made a phone call during the stop, correct?
- A. Uh-huh.
- Q. Who did you call?

- A. I called one of my mechanics to see if we could get the truck road ready for me.
  - Q. Did you call anyone else?
- A. I called my girlfriend, and I think I called my attorney, too.
  - Q. Did you call Mr. Bass during that stop?
- A. I did, but that wasn't at the end of the stop. That was at the initial onset of the stop. I just told him I got pulled over, we'll see what's going on.
- Q. So you did state that this was a personal move, correct?
  - A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

- Q. Why would you call -- why did you call Mr. Bass if this was a personal move?
- A. Because the only way the officer could have stopped me was using the license plate on the trailer. I have never seen him get behind it, and I had temporary tags on my truck, so I thought there might be an issue with the lights or something like that on the trailer that would need to be corrected.
  - Q. You said you were moving a family member?
- A. Yes. I moved --
- Q. What's the name -- What's the relationship and the name of your family member?

- A. It was my brother's daughter's soon-to-be husband, and his name is Jeremy, and I don't know his last name. I mean, I did it as a favor for my brother and my niece is who I did it for.
- Q. Did you call any of those family members when you were stopped on the side of the road?
- A. Why should I? I done delivered everything that I was going to. There was no need to call them.
  - Q. You didn't call any of them?
- A. No.

2.0

2.1

- Q. Did you bring any of them here today to testify on your behalf?
  - A. No.
- Q. So besides your testimony here today on the stand, you didn't bring any other evidence to show that this was a personal move; is that correct?
- A. I think the evidence pretty much speaks for itself. I mean, if you look at it real close, I mean, like I say, again, I capitulate the fact that the trailer brakes needed to be repaired. And I personally thank this officer right now for catching the tie on, but the other stuff is muckety muck.
- I mean, it was all piled on after the fact. It's a private vehicle that we can make

```
commercial if we can create a premise that I do construction work or that I haul stuff with it. I mean, it's -- I don't know. I don't mean to sound like I'm getting frustrated, but it's like a three-card money game, and I don't like playing three card money. Never did.
```

2.0

2.1

THE EXAMINER: So, Mr. Burgess, if I can remember your earlier comments, you had indicated Mr. Fowler and you were -- did you indicate to Mr. Fowler you were involved in moving furniture for a family member?

THE WITNESS: Yes, I did.

THE EXAMINER: I see.

THE WITNESS: As a matter of fact, the company that we had been doing work for happened to be in the same area. So, I mean, I guess he put two and two together and got six out of it.

THE EXAMINER: When you say a company you had been doing work for, this was?

THE WITNESS: It was CIP, but we didn't haul material for them either. They gave us an invoice sheet. I went to a job wherever it was in Alabama or Florida, and we inventoried the stuff and went on like that.

THE EXAMINER: So you had had the trailer

```
59
 1
      and you had used the trailer for some commercial
 2
      work?
 3
                  THE WITNESS: No.
 4
                  THE EXAMINER: I thought you said that.
 5
                  THE WITNESS: No, no. That's not what
      I'm saying. I'm saying the trailer does not do
 6
 7
      commercial work. The truck does not do commercial
 8
     work.
 9
                  THE EXAMINER: You indicated some
10
      company, CIP --
                  THE WITNESS: CIP, he asked me what
11
12
      company we worked for, I told him CIP.
13
                  THE EXAMINER: He, being Mr. Fowler?
14
                  THE WITNESS: Yes, the inspector. I
15
     mean, like I say, I was honest.
16
                  THE EXAMINER: So you indicated who you
17
     worked for?
18
                  THE WITNESS: A happenstance is what it
19
      is.
2.0
                  THE EXAMINER: You indicated who you
2.1
     worked for, but you also had indicated you were doing
22
     a move -- moving furniture for a family member?
                  THE WITNESS: That's correct.
23
24
                  THE EXAMINER: I just want to try to get
25
     the details straight for the court reporter looking
```

on the record later.

2.0

2.1

Mr. Beeler, any other questions?

MR. BEELER: Yes, a few more.

- Q. (By Mr. Beeler) I know you just had a discussion with Mr. Lynn about whether you've used the trailer in the past. Why was the trailer in Kentucky with you?
- A. I had intended on using it for some personal things. It was just there. Dustin really didn't have a place to store it in Florida. I've got plenty of places that we can store it in Elizabethtown, and that's why it was there. And for the record, if we ever needed it, it would be available in a centralized location in the eastern seaboard of the United States.
  - Q. The trailer is registered under Mr. Bass in Florida, correct?
- A. Actually, it is now. Because of the confusion that it created in this case, I told Dustin and I recommended to him, we need to take this out of Quality Fixtures' name and put it over in your name or put it in my name or put it in somebody's name because it has created all kinds of confusion in this stop because of the tagging of the trailer.
  - Q. What places in the past have you done

work for Mr. Bass?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

Have you done work in -- Just describe to me some of the jobs that you've done work for him.

- A. We did a job in, goodness, Lutz, Florida, done one in Tampa, Florida, done one in Alabama, done one in Maine. We've done a couple in Maine. I don't think that was -- Done a couple in Virginia, some in Pennsylvania.
- Q. So you have done work in various states. So you're a national company that does jobs in more states than just Florida, correct?
- A. I guess that's how his business is set up. I don't really know.
- THE EXAMINER: Mr. Burgess, did you say
  the trailer had been registered in the name of
  Quality Fixtures?
- 17 THE WITNESS: Yes, it was.
- 18 THE EXAMINER: At that point in time it
- 19 was?
- THE WITNESS: Yes, it was.
- 21 THE EXAMINER: At the time of the
- 22 inspection?
- 23 THE WITNESS: But it created so much
- 24 confusion and this stuff here, I told Dustin, "We
- 25 don't use it for the company, get it out of the

company name."

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2 THE EXAMINER: So now it's in registered

3 in Mr. Bass's name?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE EXAMINER: Anything else?

MR. BEELER: Just a few more.

- Q. (By Mr. Beeler) As we've been discussing, you're disputing this was a threshold issue, that this is a personal move and not a commercial move. You're not disputing you didn't have a medical card, correct?
- A. The medical card, I'm not required to have one. It's a private truck.
  - Q. And you didn't have one that day?
  - A. No, I don't have one. I don't drive commercially. The truck isn't under a lease to a company. It does no commercial hauling at all, period, my truck doesn't, not for Dustin, not for anyone.
- MR. BEELER: No further questions, your
- 21 Honor.
- THE EXAMINER: Thank you, Mr. Burgess.
- 23 That was a lot of questions, if you can take your
- 24 seat.
- 25 THE WITNESS: If you have anymore, feel

free.

THE EXAMINER: Well, you're still under oath, so you may be asked. Off the record.

(Off the record.)

THE EXAMINER: Back on the record. You can come up. Raise your right hand.

(Witness placed under oath.)

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

1

4

5

6

7

# DUSTIN BASS

being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was
examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT TESTIMONY

THE EXAMINER: Please have a seat. And your perspective as far as the alleged violations against the carrier which would be anything other than the medical card.

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

THE EXAMINER: Whatever comments you have to make from your perspective.

THE WITNESS: I purchased the trailer from a man in Florida from a man named Tom Willetts.

The name on the side of that trailer was Quality

Construction, Tom Willetts. It was -- We took a heat gun and had to remove the lettering off of it.

I then in looking down the road thought

we could use some storage up there where Mark's at in Kentucky so we could have somewhere up there to store stuff if we needed it. Pulled it up there to his house and it sat for three months without anything happening to it but the tires getting rotted, dry rot sitting there.

So Mark called me up and asked me if he could use it to go move some furniture, and I didn't have no problem with it. It didn't dawn on me that it's a commercial vessel and all these requirements would fall in because we haven't used it to this point.

Then he called me and said he had been pulled over and the officer told him his truck was too big to pull the trailer. He thought that was kind of odd, and here we are. I don't know much about -- He called and asked if he could borrow the trailer, and I didn't see a problem with that at the time.

#### EXAMINATION

By The Examiner:

2.1

- Q. Mr. Bass, you say you purchased the trailer. The trailer had what name on it?
  - A. Tom Willetts Construction. It did have

- DOT numbers on it because he was a full-on contractor, and he pulled that trailer for work.

  That's what he used it for, and he had the stickers on there. So for the officer to say that if he could identify that it was a name on the side of it, he could have identified those DOT numbers as well, but it wasn't registered to that company.
  - Q. Let me back up and make sure I'm understanding. The person from whom you obtained the trailer was in construction?
    - A. A general contractor, yes, sir.
    - Q. You're saying you removed --
- A. His lettering.

2.0

2.1

- Q. -- his markings? I'll call it his markings from the trailer?
  - A. Yes, sir.
  - Q. And then at that point, were there any markings on the trailer?
  - A. Whenever it left my house, no, sir. I had a heat gun and scraped all the lettering off, but by the time in pulling it up to Kentucky and leaving it parked there at Mark's house, I guess it was grime that built up on the adhesive that would still remain on there, so it stood out.
    - Q. Help me out, too. The carrier violations

went to Quality Fixtures. What's your connection to Quality Fixtures Installations?

- A. I'm the owner.
- O. You're the owner?
- A. Yes.
- Q. As Mr. Burgess had said, the company is involved -- so I can get a better picture and a better understanding, the company is involved with, say, setting up the inside of displays or whatever for retail?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Of getting the retail store up and 13 running with shelving --
  - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. -- and what goes inside?
- So Mr. Burgess has worked for you at
- 17 times?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

- A. Yes, sir, he has worked for me at times.

  He's a good friend of mine.
- Q. But on the day of this, the day of the inspection, which is March 20th of 2015, was he doing any sort of work for you at that time?
- A. No, sir, he was not. In fact, before
  that, it had been about three weeks before I had used
  Mark's services or Mr. Burgess' services.

- Q. So he apparently did get in touch with you just to say he was stopped?
- A. Yes, sir, he let me know he had been pulled over with the trailer, and he didn't know exactly what was going on with it yet, but he'd let me know. And then he called me and informed me of what the outcome was, and then I received the documentation in the mail.
- Q. Okay. You're referring to the alleged violations and fines and so forth?
- 11 A. Yes, sir.
- THE EXAMINER: I probably will have other questions, but I'll give Mr. Beeler the opportunity if he is ready.
- Are you ready, Mr. Beeler, or are you still jotting some down?
- MR. BEELER: No, I'm ready, your Honor.
- 18 Thank you.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- 19
- 20 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 21 By Mr. Beeler:
- 22 Q. I think you just covered it with
- 23 Mr. Lynn. Do you have a business named Quality
- 24 Fixtures, right?
- 25 A. Yes, sir.

- Q. That's in Florida?
- 2 A. In Steinhatchee, Florida.
  - Q. You have a website; is that right?
  - A. Yes, sir.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

- Q. That website says that you do jobs in some other states, correct?
  - A. Yes, sir.
  - Q. And do you have --
- A. We provide labor in states, in other states. We don't go and bid -- we don't contract the work, pulling permits and all that. We go in basically under the umbrella of the general contractor that usually typically lives in that state or in that area. He pulls the permits, and we go in and provide -- basically we're a different kind of labor rate, I guess.
- Q. Do you have any company trucks or trailers?
- A. No, no, not anymore. That trailer I purchased with anticipation of growth, and once we got it, it just sat empty, so I had no use for it to be a company trailer.
- Q. Have you owned company trucks or trailers in the past?
- A. No. We fly to jobs sometimes and are

picked up and sometimes we drive our personal vehicles, but everybody drives their personal vehicle to work every day. It's not much of a difference.

And I know you don't have to have a medical card to drive a personal vehicle which was not a part of my infraction, but I don't see how if a vehicle wasn't registered a commercial vehicle, it was privately owned, privately managed, privately maintained, I don't know how the requirement of a medical card could exist.

- Q. Okay. You tried to remove the markings on the trailer?
  - A. Yes. It took me two days of scraping.
  - Q. Did you try --

2.0

2.1

- A. Before I had it transported anywhere, I bought it right there in the town that I live in, and it was within a mile of my house. I transported it from there to my house, and I eliminated the lettering on it at that time.
  - Q. Did you try painting over the lettering?
- A. No, not at the time. At the time, whenever I removed the lettering, you could faintly see, but you couldn't -- it wasn't visible. Until it made the treck from Florida up to Kentucky, you couldn't make out the wording on the side, but upon

arrival to Kentucky, I guess the road grime on the road and all that built up on it, and it showed where the adhesive had been on the trailer.

- Q. You may have already answered this, but how did you get the trailer from Florida to Kentucky?
  - A. I pulled it.
  - Q. Pulled it behind a --
  - A. A personal vehicle.
  - Q. Okay.

2.0

2.1

- A. It was not -- It was not -- To my knowledge, because of owning a trailer, I had to go and get it DOT certified. I mean, I wasn't aware of that, or I would have done it out the gate. I had no malice. I didn't have any intent to violate any laws on DOT side or in any aspect.
- Q. Why again did you take the trailer to Kentucky?
- A. Because we were going to use it for storage. Because some of these jobs, we have extra material on, and sometimes the stores will ask us if we could store the stuff somewhere until further needed when it's required to have it somewhere else, but we never ended up storing anything in it.

And that's why when Mr. Burgess called me and asked me if he could borrow the trailer, I had no

problem with it at the time. There again, I wasn't aware that because of the trailer being in the company name, that it was required to have the DOT stuff on it.

2.0

2.1

And as soon as I found that out because it wasn't in service for the company, I took it out of the company name and just put it in my name, and it still today sits right at Mr. Burgess' house with all the repairs done to it, but it's just sitting there because we don't use it, we really don't use it.

- Q. Okay. If you were doing a job with this trailer as you said before, you know you would have to comply with federal regulations?
- A. Had it reached that point with that trailer, yes, I would have investigated more. At the time, I had just purchased basically a storage unit.
- Q. Again, and like Mr. Burgess, you're here more disputing a threshold matter that this was not a commercial vehicle?
- A. Yes, sir, it was not being used in a commercial aspect.
- Q. You're not really disputing the violations per se, you just think they're not applicable, right?

A. If the pin was gone and it's a violation, the pin was gone, I'm not arguing that at all, and I will pay the fine for that. I just don't want to be penalized for something that wasn't accurate which is the fact that it wasn't being used in a commercial aspect.

2.0

2.1

- Q. And that would be the same for the fire extinguisher and the breakaway?
- A. Well, there was a fire extinguisher inside the trailer, there was one. It's kind of odd to me that the officer took pictures of every violation Mr. Burgess had on his truck and here the trailer is with all these violations and no pictures of it, no pictures of the lettering on the sides, no pictures of the inside of the trailer.

Mr. Burgess would have happily complied to open up the trailer and have the officer look inside, but it wasn't even suggested. And that, to me, says that he didn't see it being used as a commercial vessel, not unless all of a sudden everyone you see pulling a trailer down the road is a contractor and they're doing construction on it, and that's not accurate.

MR. BEELER: No further questions, your Honor. Thank you.

```
1
                  THE EXAMINER: Okay. We'll go back to
 2
     Mr. Burgess for a minute. You don't have to take the
 3
      stand. You're still under oath anyway. I just want
      to be clear. I know I asked you this, but just to
 4
 5
      clarify. So you're saying that to your memory, you
      told the inspector that you had been transporting
 6
 7
      furniture for a family member?
 8
                  MR. BURGESS: That's correct.
 9
                  THE EXAMINER: And you're also saying
     that the inspector did not look inside the trailer;
10
11
      am I right about that?
12
                  MR. BURGESS: Not only did I say that,
13
     the officer also said it too.
14
                  THE EXAMINER: Well, at any rate, you're
15
      indicating he did not look inside the trailer, and
16
      this is a fully enclosed trailer?
                  MR. BURGESS: Yes, sir, it is.
17
18
                  THE EXAMINER: Thank you.
19
                  Mr. Bass, thank you. You can stay there
2.0
      for a second.
2.1
                  Mr. Beeler, do you have any other
22
     questions at all?
23
                  MR. BEELER: Not for Mr. Bass, no.
24
                  THE EXAMINER: Not for Mr. Bass, okay.
25
                  Mr. Bass, you can take your seat for a
```

moment. Thank you for your testimony and for 1 2 traveling here. 3 MR. BASS: Yes, sir. 4 THE EXAMINER: Do you have more questions 5 for Mr. Burgess or for your Inspector Fowler? MR. BEELER: May I have a moment just 6 7 to -- I may re-call Mr. Fowler for rebuttal. 8 THE EXAMINER: That's fine. We'll go off the record. 9 10 (Off the record.) THE EXAMINER: Thank you. Back on the 11 12 record. And before you get to your questions, 13 Mr. Beeler, just wanted to also check again with 14 Mr. Burgess on something. You're still under oath. You indicated 15 16 that you were not paid for moving what you are saying 17 was household furniture, that is, didn't have payment 18 from a family member or anyone else; is that correct? 19 MR. BURGESS: No. No, it was at my own 20 expense. 2.1 THE EXAMINER: It was at your own 22 expense?

THE EXAMINER: Thank you.

MR. BURGESS: Yes.

Mr. Beeler?

23

24

MR. BEELER: Your Honor, I would re-call as a rebuttal witness Inspector Fowler.

THE EXAMINER: Inspector Fowler, if you'd like to come back up here and have a seat, please.

5 | - - -

## DREW A. FOWLER

being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT REBUTTAL EXAMINATION

By Mr. Beeler:

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

- Q. Hello again.
- A. Hello.
- Q. Mr. Bass and Mr. Burgess mentioned a number of times while they were testifying the gross weight of the vehicle. Please explain how gross weight would work in this situation.
- A. The gross vehicle weight is what the manufacturer puts on a vehicle. They assess what the number will be on the weight. It's the rating of the vehicle; it's not weight. It's the rating.
- Q. How would that apply to -- How would that apply to this stop?
- A. That applies to anything over 10,001
  interstate commerce or private commerce has to have
  company markings, USDOT number, medical card, logbook

- 1 | maybe if it's over 150 air miles.
- Q. And the truck in this case was 10,000
- 3 pounds, and the trailer was over 8,000 pounds?
  - A. It was 8 something.
  - Q. And that's stated on your report?
- 6 A. On my report, yeah.
- 7 Q. Again, it's not what it actually weighs, 8 it's --
- 9 A. It's the rating, the rating of the vehicle the manufacturer puts on the vehicle.
- 11 Q. That's what you can read on the side of the door?
- A. Of the door of the truck normally. It's always where the VIN identification information is.
- MR. BEELER: Okay, thank you.
- THE EXAMINER: Mr. Beeler, if I can ask a
- 17 question.

- MR. BEELER: Sure.
- 19 THE EXAMINER: The rating you're
- 20 referring to, Inspector Fowler, that's the weight of
- 21 | the vehicle plus a typical load; am I correct?
- THE WITNESS: No.
- THE EXAMINER: What is it then?
- 24 THE WITNESS: It's just the rating that
- 25 the manufacturer puts on the vehicle. It has nothing

to do with the weight. They rate it. It's hard to explain on the rating, but that's how we indicate on interstate commerce 10,000. It's 26,001 in Ohio intrastate.

THE EXAMINER: Combined weight?

THE WITNESS: Again, that's a rating,

7 also.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

THE EXAMINER: At any rate, you went on what was the I'll call it a plate that's both on the, say, inside the driver's door or around the driver's door frame on the pickup truck, and then apparently on like the front of the trailer or something?

THE WITNESS: On the side of the trailer, yes, sir.

THE EXAMINER: All right.

Mr. Beeler, any other questions?

MR. BEELER: Yes, your Honor.

- Q. (By Mr. Beeler) And the Federal Motor
  Carrier Regulations, their premised on gross vehicle
  weight; that's correct?
  - A. Rating. GWR, gross weight rating.
  - Q. So they're based --
- 23 A. That's how they assess the 10,001/26,001.
- I don't want to confuse you. 26,001 is intrastate.
- 25 | 10,001 is interstate.

- Q. And we're dealing with interstate?
- A. We're dealing with interstate, yes, sir.
  - Q. Again, Mr. Bass, Mr. Burgess mentioned a number of times privately owned, the term privately owned vehicle. Does that have any bearing on this case?
    - A. No, it does not.
    - Q. Why not?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

- A. Because if they're using that truck and they're pulling that trailer and then you run their plates on their vehicle, you can also come back to Mr. Burgess, the trailer goes back to the company, and that's how I see it as a private move, private.
  - THE EXAMINER: Mr. Fowler, when you made the stop, you had asked the driver, Mr. Burgess, who he was working for?
- 17 THE WITNESS: Yes, I did.
- THE EXAMINER: I see. Again, I may be
  going over questions I asked before. Just to be
  sure, I want to make sure it's in the record, did you
  ask him what he was hauling?
- 22 THE WITNESS: Yes, I did.
- THE EXAMINER: You did ask him what was
- 24 in the trailer?
- 25 THE WITNESS: I did, but I didn't ask him

79 1 to open it up --2 THE EXAMINER: Nor did you --3 THE WITNESS: -- his truck or his 4 trailer. 5 THE EXAMINER: You didn't ask him to open up, nor did you look inside the trailer? 6 7 THE WITNESS: When he told me he worked 8 for this company, I took it installation means 9 construction. 10 THE EXAMINER: Who did he say he worked for? 11 12 THE WITNESS: Installation Fixtures. 1.3 THE EXAMINER: I see, Installation 14 Fixtures. At any rate, though, you did not open up the trailer? 15 16 THE WITNESS: No, I did not, sir. 17 THE EXAMINER: Although he told you who 18 he worked for, you did not ask him what he was 19 hauling; am I correct? 2.0 THE WITNESS: I did not. 2.1 THE EXAMINER: Just wanted to be sure. 22 Thank you. Mr. Beeler? 23

You just stated to Mr. Lynn that he said

24

25

By Mr. Beeler:

Q.

- Installation Fixtures. I thought you said earlier he said Quality Fixtures?
- A. Well, it's the same thing to me, Quality Fixtures, Installation.
  - Q. Why is it the same thing?
  - A. Oh, you mean the name of the company?
    - Q. Yes. So you were discussing --
- A. I understand what they haul, construction, the equipment they use, what they do.

  That's why I'm saying it's for fixtures.
  - Q. Thanks for clarifying that.
- 12 A. That's what Mr. Burgess told me, they do fixtures.
- Q. Again, the driver did say he was working for Quality Fixtures at the stop, right?
- A. Yes, he did.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

19

20

2.1

- Q. He also said he was working in Fairfield?
- 18 A. That day, yes, sir.
  - THE EXAMINER: Inspector, did you say
    there was -- I know when you originally saw the
    trailer go by, you didn't see any markings on it, am
    I right, or did you see some sort of faint markings?
- 23 | THE WITNESS: There was faint markings.
- THE EXAMINER: What did those say?
- THE WITNESS: I don't remember.

 $\label{eq:theorem} \mbox{THE EXAMINER: Just wanted to clarify.}$  Thank you.

- Q. (By Mr. Beeler) So when you do an inspection regarding the registration issue, it really wouldn't matter who the trailer was registered to; it was what they were using it for, correct?
  - A. Correct.

2.0

2.1

- Q. At one point -- Moving on here, at one point, one of the Respondents mentioned that the trailer has recently -- the registration has recently been transferred to another name, to Mr. Bass's name. Does that have any bearing on this case?
- A. No, if you get stopped and it belongs to him and he's pulling with his truck and if they open the doors on the back of the truck and open the trailer and see there's stuff inside which is normally construction equipment to do the job....
- Q. Again, I think you said this earlier, but the driver mentioned that he was moving a family or friend only after he was put out of service; is that correct?
- A. Was placed out of service. That's when he mentioned to me he was just helping a family member, helping family and friends.
  - Q. Finally here, we've been talking about

- the markings on the trailer quite a bit today and what they were and whether they were faded or not. The markings on the trailer, would that matter for a stop?
- A. No, sir, because they're required to be on the truck. The markings are required to be on the truck, not on the trailer.
- Q. Can you explain that a little further, if you could?
- A. Well, the way it's set up, if you're displaying your markings, usually trailers do have them on, they do, and the trucks do not. People will say, "Well, I had them on my trailer, why isn't that good enough?" Because Unit 1 is required to have it on both sides of their door.
  - O. Unit 1 would be the truck?
- 17 A. The truck, yes.
- MR. BEELER: No further questions. Thank you.
  - THE EXAMINER: Mr. Bass or Mr. Burgess, any other questions for the inspector about the particular violation against you?
- MR. BURGESS: Yes, I do.

2.0

2.1

## CROSS-EXAMINATION

By Mr. Burgess:

2.0

2.1

- Q. You said the initial reason you pulled me over was the signage on the side of the trailer; is that correct?
  - A. Excuse me?
- Q. You said earlier in your statement that the reason that you pulled the trailer over was the signage on the trailer; is that correct?
- A. No, I pulled you over because of your Florida tags.
  - Q. But the initial stop was --
- A. I can't view your truck until I see the door.
  - Q. The marking on the trailer, if I'm not mistaken, the reason you said was the tag, then you came up to the side of the truck, saw the lettering on the side of the truck and then saw the trailer brake which indicated to you that it was a commercial vehicle; is that correct?
  - A. No -- Well, I saw your tags, Florida tags, did see your markings on the trailer, which I really don't care about the markings on the trailer, then I saw your truck did not have anything on it at all.

Q. Well, that's because there was nothing on the truck.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

THE EXAMINER: Just to clarify, you said no markings, like no identifying name?

THE WITNESS: No identification.

THE EXAMINER: USDOT number?

- Q. (By Mr. Burgess) So you're in agreement there was no identification other than the license plate on the side of the trailer?
  - A. There was no markings on your trailer.
- Q. My second thing is, I would like to go to

  -- and this time I don't want to skip step one -
  just a statement you made a moment ago. You said

  that the gross weight was specific to engaged in

  commercial use; is that correct?
  - A. Say it again, please.
- Q. The identifying stickers were indicated for a gross weight in commerce is your exact words; is that correct?
- A. You mean your identification plate on your truck and trailer?
  - O. That's correct.
- A. That identifies if you're over the weight rating.
- Q. In commerce is what you said?

A. It's commerce.

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

Q. Well, step one is if you're not engaged in commerce, how would step two, three and four be applicable? I mean, that's I guess my initial premise of our argument.

THE EXAMINER: Well, you're going back to statements you made on the witness stand.

MR. BEELER: I would just object to that question. That is their argument. They've said that on the stand.

THE EXAMINER: Well, Mr. Burgess, I think we understand your point. You're actually making a point you had earlier on the stand, which from your perspective you were not engaged in commerce, so therefore, you're saying the gross weight of the vehicle shouldn't be an issue.

MR. BURGESS: It's not applicable.

MR. BASS: Because of the statement made earlier that it was in commerce.

MR. BEELER: Objection.

MR. BASS: It's -- Yeah.

THE EXAMINER: Mr. Bass, do you have questions for the inspector?

MR. BASS: Just sounded like a minute ago --

```
1
                  MR. BEELER: Objection.
 2
                  MR. BURGESS: Are you objecting to him?
 3
                  MR. BASS: To me asking questions?
 4
                  THE EXAMINER: Let's back up then.
 5
                  MR. BASS: I can make a statement.
                  THE EXAMINER: Mr. Burgess, do you have
 6
 7
      anymore questions for the inspector?
 8
                  MR. BURGESS: Other than the fact that
 9
      it's more of a statement than a question. I mean, I
10
     was not belligerent, and I would love to see the
     photos of --
11
12
                  THE EXAMINER: You mentioned earlier --
13
                  MR. BURGESS: I mean, I would. I mean, I
14
     was totally in compliance.
                  THE EXAMINER: Well, you mentioned
15
16
      earlier you were not belligerent. Thank you for
17
      indicating that.
18
                  MR. BURGESS: I would just ask the Court
19
     not to skip over one, two and three and get to seven,
2.0
     eight, nine.
2.1
                  THE EXAMINER: We understand.
22
                  Mr. Bass, any questions?
23
                  MR. BASS: I can't ask questions of the
24
     witness, but I could make a statement.
25
                  THE EXAMINER: You can? Okay.
```

1 MR. BASS: Did he say a minute ago --MR. BEELER: Objection. This is more in 2 3 the form of surrebuttal which is not typically 4 permitted in Commission proceedings. 5 THE EXAMINER: I quess we'll assume that --6 7 MR. BURGESS: I will say one thing for 8 the record, if I may. I really do appreciate the 9 officer looking at and finding the issues to my truck 10 because my safety and the safety of the other drivers around me, I mean, I'm grateful for that. It was 11 12 something that I would not have caught until I done 13 an alignment, and I totally capitulate to that. Once 14 again, officer, I thank you for your inspection. 15 THE EXAMINER: Thank you. 16 Mr. Bass, you're correct, you are not 17 permitted to ask questions of the witness. I had a 18 little difficulty switching from one track to another 19 given the multiple situations we have here; however, 2.0 do you have any other statements you want to make? 2.1 Would you like to come up with any other remarks? 22 MR. BASS: I still don't -- the case 23 against the company? 24 THE EXAMINER: Exactly. MR. BASS: Being that the trailer --25

THE EXAMINER: Any other comments you wanted to make beyond what you've already said.

2.0

2.1

MR. BASS: I just heard the officer say the reason he pulled the trailer over is because he saw the Florida plates which makes me feel like it was singled out because of that and that drawed his attention to it because of the out-of-state tags which I don't know -- Probably nothing to do with the case, just a prejudice.

THE WITNESS: Can I explain it to him?

MR. BEELER: I would object.

THE EXAMINER: Mr. Beeler, anything else?

MR. BEELER: No, your Honor.

THE EXAMINER: Okay. Now, different point, Mr. Beeler, do you want to file a brief at all in the matter?

And gentlemen, a brief is just simply a written summary of the arguments from both sides.

You do not have to do it. Often it isn't done. I'm only allowing the opportunity.

MR. BEELER: I'm not requesting writing a brief.

THE EXAMINER: That's fine. Gentlemen, you don't have to. If you want to file any written comments summarizing what you had to say, fine. If

you don't, that's okay. You certainly expressed yourself very well here today. Any other remarks then? And if not, I believe --MR. BASS: No, sir. THE EXAMINER: Thank you all for making the trip. Nobody had a short distance to drive. With that, we'll draw the proceedings to a close. And thanks to our court reporter for keeping track of all the multiple voices going on. (The hearing was concluded at 2:37 p.m.) 2.1 

Proceedings CERTIFICATE I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings taken by me in this matter on Tuesday, November 10, 2015, and carefully compared with my original stenographic notes. Cynthia L. Cunningham 

Armstrong & Okey, Inc., Columbus, Ohio (614) 224-9481

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

**Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on** 

11/24/2015 4:15:52 PM

in

Case No(s). 15-1103-TR-CVF, 15-1209-TR-CVF

Summary: Transcript in the matter of Quality Fixtures Installations and Mark Burgess hearing held on 11/10/15 electronically filed by Mr. Ken Spencer on behalf of Armstrong & Okey, Inc. and Cunningham, Cindy