

**BEFORE
THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO**

In the Matter of the Application of The)	
TimkenSteel Corporation for Approval of)	Case No. 15-1857-EL-AEC
a Unique Arrangement for the)	
TimkenSteel Corporation's Stark County)	
Facilities.)	

**MOTION TO INTERVENE
BY
THE OFFICE OF THE OHIO CONSUMERS' COUNSEL**

The Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel ("OCC"), on behalf of Ohio Power's 1.4 million residential utility consumers, moves the Public Utilities of Ohio ("PUCO") to grant the OCC's intervention in this case. A mercantile customer, TimkenSteel Corporation ("Applicant"), seeks discounted rates from Ohio Power Company ("Ohio Power" or "Utility") for economic development. In this type of case the PUCO considers various factors including a balance between the benefits of economic development programs and the cost to Ohioans who fund the subsidy for the programs.

Under Ohio Adm. Code 4901:1-38-05(F), interested parties may file a motion to intervene. And parties may file comments and objections to a unique arrangement Application within twenty days of the filing of the Application. The reasons for granting OCC's motion to intervene are set forth in the attached Memorandum in Support.

Respectfully submitted,

BRUCE J. WESTON (0016973)
OHIO CONSUMERS' COUNSEL

/s/ Jodi Bair

Jodi Bair, Counsel of Record
(0062921)
Assistant Consumers' Counsel

Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel

10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3485
(614) 466-9559 – Bair Telephone
jodi.bair@occ.ohio.gov
(will accept service via email)

**BEFORE
THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO**

In the Matter of the Application of)
TimkenSteel Corporation for Approval of) Case No. 15-1857-EL-AEC
a Unique Arrangement for the)
TimkenSteel Corporation's Stark County)
Facilities.)

MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT

OCC moves to intervene under its legislative authority to represent residential utility consumers in Ohio, pursuant to R.C. Chapter 4911. R.C. 4903.221 provides, in part, that any person “who may be adversely affected” by a PUCO proceeding is entitled to seek intervention in that proceeding. The interests of Ohio’s residential consumers meet this standard, in a proceeding where the mercantile customer’s electricity discounts are proposed for funding by the Utility's customers. Thus, this element of the intervention standard in R.C. 4903.221 is satisfied.

R.C. 4903.221(B) requires the PUCO to consider the following criteria in ruling on motions to intervene:

- (1) The nature and extent of the prospective intervenor’s interest;
- (2) The legal position advanced by the prospective intervenor and its probable relation to the merits of the case;
- (3) Whether the intervention by the prospective intervenor will unduly prolong or delay the proceeding; and
- (4) Whether the prospective intervenor will significantly contribute to the full development and equitable resolution of the factual issues.

First, the nature and extent of OCC's interest are in representing residential consumers in this case where a mercantile customer proposes a discount that other customers would pay. This interest is different than that of any other party.

Second, OCC's advocacy for residential consumers will include advancing the position that rates should be no more than what is reasonable and permissible under Ohio law. OCC's position is therefore directly related to the merits of such a proposal in this case.

Third, OCC's intervention will not unduly prolong or delay the proceeding. OCC, with its longstanding expertise and experience in PUCO proceedings, will duly allow for the efficient processing of the case with consideration of the public interest.

Fourth, OCC's intervention will significantly contribute to fully developing and equitably resolving the factual issues. The case resolution should include a balance between economic development and preserving reasonable rates (per R.C. 4928.02(A)) to residential customers who would fund the economic development discounts.

OCC also satisfies the intervention criteria in the Ohio Administrative Code, which are subordinate to the criteria that OCC satisfies in the Ohio Revised Code. To intervene, a party should have a "real and substantial interest" according to Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-11(A)(2). As the residential utility consumer advocate, OCC has a real and substantial interest in this case where the outcome could have the effect of increasing the rates paid by residential customers.

In addition, OCC meets the criteria of Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-11(B)(1)-(4). These criteria mirror the statutory criteria in R.C. 4903.221(B) that OCC has already addressed, and that OCC satisfies.

Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-11(B)(5) states that the PUCO shall consider the “extent to which the person’s interest is represented by existing parties.” While OCC does not concede the lawfulness of this criterion, OCC satisfies this criterion because OCC has been uniquely designated as the statutory representative of Ohio’s residential utility consumers.¹ That interest is different from, and not represented by, any other entity in Ohio.

Three years ago the Supreme Court of Ohio confirmed OCC’s right to intervene in PUCO proceedings, in ruling on an appeal in which OCC claimed the PUCO erred by denying its intervention. The Court found that the PUCO abused its discretion in denying OCC’s intervention and that OCC should have been granted intervention.²

OCC meets the criteria set forth in R.C. 4903.221, Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-11, and the precedent established by the Supreme Court of Ohio for intervention. On behalf of the Company’ residential consumers, the PUCO should grant the OCC’s Motion to Intervene.

¹ R.C. Chapter 4911.

² *Ohio Consumers’ Counsel v. Public Util. Comm.*, 111 Ohio St.3d 384, 2006-Ohio-5853, ¶18-20.

Respectfully submitted,

BRUCE J. WESTON (0016973)
OHIO CONSUMERS' COUNSEL

/s/ Jodi Bair

Jodi Bair, Counsel of Record
(0062921)
Assistant Consumers' Counsel

Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel

10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3485
(614) 466-9559 – Bair Telephone
jodi.bair@occ.ohio.gov
(will accept service via email)

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that a true and correct copy of the foregoing Motion to Intervene has been served upon the below-named persons via electronic transmission this 20th day of November, 2015.

/s/ Jodi Bair

Jodi Bair
Assistant Consumers' Counsel

SERVICE LIST

Steven T. Nourse
AEP Service Corporation
1 Riverside Plaza, 29th Floor
Columbus, OH 43215
stnourse@aep.com

William Wright
Attorney General's Office
Public Utilities PUCO of Ohio
180 E. Broad St., 6th Fl.
Columbus, OH 43215
William.wright@puc.state.oh.us

David F. Boehrn
Michael L. Kurtz
Jody Kyler Cohn
BOEHM, KURTZ & LOWRY
36 East Seventh Street, Suite 1510
Cincinnati, Ohio 45202
dboehm@BKLawfirm.com
mkurtz@BKLawfirm.com
jkyler@BKLawfirm.com

Michael J. Settineri
William A. Sleck
Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease LLP
52 E. Gay St., P.O. Box 1008
Columbus, OH 473216
misettineri@vorys.com
wasleck@vorys.com

Kimberly W. Bojko
Ryan P. O'Rourke
Carpenter Lipps & Leland LLP
280 North High St., Ste. 1300
Columbus, Ohio 43215
Bojko@carpenterlipps.com
orourke@carpenterlipps.com

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

11/20/2015 4:37:24 PM

in

Case No(s). 15-1857-EL-AEC

Summary: Motion Motion to Intervene by the Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel electronically filed by Ms. Deb J. Bingham on behalf of Bair, Jodi Ms.