BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Adoption of Ohio)	
Admin. Code Chapter 4901:1-2)	
Concerning Rules Involving the)	Case No. 15-282-AU-ORD
Underground Technical Committee and)	
Protection of Underground Utility)	
Facilities.		

REPLY COMMENTS OF JOINT COMMENTERS

I. Introduction

By Entry dated May 6, 2015, the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio ("Commission") proposed comprehensive rules to implement Substitute Senate Bill 378 ("SB 378"). Duke Energy Ohio, Columbia Gas of Ohio, Inc., Vectren Energy Delivery of Ohio, Inc., Ohio Power Company, Ohio Edison Company, The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company, The Toledo Edison Company, The Dayton Power and Light Company, Ohio Cable Telecommunications Association, Ohio Telecom Association and Ohio Oil and Gas Association (together "Joint Commenters") filed their initial comments on June 1, 2015, generally supporting Staff's proposed rules and offering several proposed clarifications to the new language.

Also on June 1, 2015, the Ohio Utilities Protection Service ("OUPS") and the East Ohio Gas Company d/b/a Dominion East Ohio ("DEO") filed comments in the above captioned matter. Additionally, on June 2, 2015, the American Petroleum Institute ("API") filed its comments to the proposed rules. Joint Commenters now file this response to the other initial comments filed in this proceeding.

II. Comments

a. Rule 4901:1-2-02 (L) – Definitions

¹ While API's cover letter is dated June 1, 2015, the filing was not docketed until June 2, 2015 and therefore, is untimely. API did not file leave to file the comments on June 2, and as such, the comments should be stricken from the record.

² Joint Commenters decision not to reply to all comments filed in this proceeding may not be interpreted as Joint Commenters agreement with or acquiescence to other parties' comments.

In its initial comments, API questions including the definition of "respondent" in the rule, arguing that it is unnecessary to define the word since it isn't used in the rest of the rule.³ This concern can be easily addressed by incorporating the word "respondent" throughout Chapter 4901:1-2 as recommended by both the Joint Commenters and DEO in its initial comments.⁴

Further, as argued by Joint Commenters in the initial comments, the word "respondent" should be clarified to state that a "person" who is a "respondent" is the same "person" as defined in R.C. 3781.25 and should also include the word "alleged" because no determination of culpability has been made at the time the term is defined.

The definition of "respondent," with clarifications suggested by the Joint Commenters, is paramount to the intent of SB 378, as it clearly includes municipalities and political subdivisions. Without this definition, it would remain unclear as to whether municipalities and political subdivisions are subject to the enforcement provisions of SB 378. Therefore, the Joint Commenters request that API's suggestion of eliminating the definition of "respondent" be rejected and instead be used throughout Chapter 4901:1-2 as recommended by Joint Commenters in the initial comments.

b. Rule 4901:1-2-11(A), (D) and (G) – "Inquiry Procedures"

In its initial comments, OUPS seeks to add the following language to subsection A of this Rule:

If, as a result of the Staff's investigation under 4901:1-2-11(D), an inquiry is found not to be initiated in "good faith" or intentionally fraudulent, the aggrieved person responsible for that inquiry may be subject to fines and/or penalties as determined by the commission.⁵

While the Joint Commenters agree that requests for inquiries should not be initiated in bad faith nor should be made with fraudulent intent, we do not believe there is any statutory authority for the Commission to subject such "aggrieved persons" to fines and/or penalties as determined by the Commission.

OUPS also seeks to add language to subsection (D) to indicate that the Staff's investigation shall be limited to whether there was a compliance failure and to determine the illegitimacy of the inquiry⁶. The Utility Joint Commenters believe that the Staff's role at this point should be limited to whether or not there was a compliance failure. The

2

³ API did not supply formal, written comments to the rule, but rather made notes directly on the rule itself. As such, see *API* p.2, comment 2.

⁴ See Initial Comments of Joint Commenters, p.1-2. See also, Comments of East Ohio Gas Company d/b/a Dominion East Ohio, p.5.

⁵ See *Ohio Utilities Protection Service Response to Propose Rule Making*, p.1.

⁶ *Id*. at 2.

legitimacy of the inquiry is best left to the determination of the underground technical committee (UTC). We urge the Commission not to accept the additional language proposed for subsection (D).

III. Conclusion

For the reasons stated above, the Joint Commenters respectfully request that the Commission adopt rules consistent with the Initial Comments of Joint Commenters filed on June 1, 2015 and the instant Reply Comments.

Respectfully submitted, Columbia Gas of Ohio, Inc.

/s/ Brooke E. Leslie

(Counsel of Record)

Brooke E. Leslie (Reg. No. 0081179)

290 W. Nationwide Blvd.

P.O. Box 117

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Tel: (614) 460-5558

Email: bleslie@nisource.com

(Willing to accept service via email)

Counsel for Columbia Gas of Ohio, Inc.

Duke Energy Ohio

/s/ Jeanne W. Kingery

Jeanne W. Kingery (Reg. No. 0012172)

Associate General Counsel

Duke Energy Business Services LLC

155 East Broad Street, 21st Floor

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Tel: (614) 222-1334

Email: Jeanne.Kingery@duke-energy.com

(Willing to accept service via email)

Counsel for Duke Energy Ohio

Vectren Energy Delivery of Ohio, Inc.

/s/ Andrew J. Campbell

Andrew J. Campbell (0081485)

WHITT STURTEVANT LLP

The KeyBank Building, Suite 1590

88 East Broad Street

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Telephone: (614) 224-3911

Facsimile: (614) 224-3960

campbell@whitt-sturtevant.com (willing to accept service by email)

Counsel for Vectren Energy Delivery of Ohio, Inc.

The Dayton Power and Light Company

/s/ Randall v. Griffin

Randall V. Griffin (Reg. No. 0080499)

1065 Woodman Drive

Dayton, OH 45432

Telephone: (937) 259-7221

Telecopier: (937) 259-7813

Email: randall.griffin@aes.com

(willing to accept service via email)

Counsel for the Dayton Power and Light Company

Ohio Edison Company, The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company, The Toledo Edison Company

/s/ James W. Burk

James W. Burk (Reg. No. 0043808)

76 S. Main Street

Akron, Ohio 44308

Tel: (330) 348-5861

Email: burkj@firstenergycorp.com

Counsel for Ohio Edison Company, The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company, The Toledo Edison Company

Ohio Power Company

/s/ Steven T. Nourse

Steven T. Nourse (Reg. No. 0046705)

American Electric Power Service Corp.

1 Riverside Plaza, 29th Floor

Columbus, OH 43215

Tel: (614) 716-1915

Email: stnourse@aep.com

(willing to accept service via email)

Counsel for Ohio Power Company

OHIO TELECOM ASSOCIATION

/s/ Scott E. Elisar

Scott E. Elisar (Reg. No. 0081877)

(Counsel of Record)

McNees Wallace & Nurick LLC

21 E. State Street, 17th Floor

Columbus, Ohio 43215

(614) 719-2850 (Direct Dial)

(614) 395-3925 (Mobile)

(614) 469-4653 (Fax)

selisar@mwncmh.com

(willing to accept service via email)

ATTORNEY FOR OHIO TELECOM ASSOCIATION

Ohio Cable Telecommunications Association

/s/ Stephen M. Howard

Stephen M. Howard (Reg. No. 0022421) Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease LLP 52 East Gay Street Columbus, Ohio 43215 (614) 464-5401 (Direct Dial)

e-mail: smhoward@vorys.com

(willing to accept service via email)

Attorney for Ohio Cable Telecommunications Association

OHIO OIL AND GAS ASSOCIATION

/s/ Micahael J. Settineri

Michael J. Settineri (Reg. No. 0073369)

Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease LLP

52 East Gay Street

Columbus, Ohio 43215

(614) 464-5462 (Direct Dial)

e-mail: mjsettineri@vorys.com

(willing to accept service via email)

Attorney for Ohio Oil and Gas Association

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 30th day of June, 2015, a true and accurate copy of the foregoing *Reply Comments of Joint Commenters* was served by ordinary U.S. mail, postage prepaid, upon the following:

Andrew J. Campbell Mark A. Whitt Rebekah J. Glover Whitt Sturtevant LLP The KeyBank Building, Suite 1590 88 East Broad Street Columbus, Ohio 43215 THE EAST OHIO GAS COMPANY D/B/A DOMINION EAST OHIO	Roger L. Lipscomb Ohio Utilities Protection Service 4740 Belmont Avenue Youngstown, Ohio 44505 OHIO UTILITIES PROTECTION SERVICE
Christian B. Zeigler Christina H. Polesovsky API Ohio 88 East Broad Street, Suite 1320 Columbus, Ohio 53215 API OHIO	

/s/ Brooke E. Leslie

Brooke E. Leslie Attorney for Columbia Gas of Ohio, Inc. This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

6/30/2015 3:54:49 PM

in

Case No(s). 15-0282-AU-ORD

Summary: Reply Comments of Duke Energy Ohio, Columbia Gas of Ohio, Inc., Vectren Energy Delivery of Ohio, Inc., Ohio Power Company, Ohio Edison Company, The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company, The Toledo Edison Company, The Dayton Power and Light Company, Ohio Cable Telecommunications Association, Ohio Tele-com Association and Ohio Oil and Gas Association electronically filed by Cheryl A MacDonald on behalf of Columbia Gas of Ohio, Inc.