

BOEHM, KURTZ & LOWRY

ATTORNEYS AT LAW
36 EAST SEVENTH STREET
SUITE 1510
CINCINNATI, OHIO 45202
TELEPHONE (513) 421-2255
TELECOPIER (513) 421-2764

Via E-FILE

June 30, 2015

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
PUCO Docketing
180 E. Broad Street, 10th Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215


In re: Case No. 14-1580-EL-RDR

Dear Sir/Madam:

Please find attached the DIRECT TESTIMONY AND EXHIBITS OF STEPHEN J. BARON on behalf of the OHIO ENERGY GROUP e-filed today in the above-referenced matters.

Copies have been served on all parties on the attached certificate of service. Please place this document of file.

Respectfully yours,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read 'D. Boehm', is written over the typed name.

David F. Boehm, Esq.

Michael L. Kurtz, Esq.

Jody Kyler Cohn, Esq.

BOEHM, KURTZ & LOWRY

MLKkew

Encl.

Cc: Certificate of Service

**BEFORE THE
PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO**

**IN RE: IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION)
OF DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. FOR)
APPROVAL TO CONTINUE ITS COST) CASE NO. 14-1580-EL-RDR
RECOVERY MECHANISM FOR ENERGY)
EFFICIENCY PROGRAMS THROUGH 2016)**

DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

STEPHEN J. BARON

**ON BEHALF OF
THE OHIO ENERGY GROUP**

**J. KENNEDY AND ASSOCIATES, INC.
ROSWELL, GEORGIA**

June 2015

**BEFORE THE
PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO**

**IN RE: IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION)
OF DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. FOR)
APPROVAL TO CONTINUE ITS COST) CASE NO. 14-1580-EL-RDR
RECOVERY MECHANISM FOR ENERGY)
EFFICIENCY PROGRAMS THROUGH 2016)**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	QUALIFICATIONS AND SUMMARY	1
II.	DUKE'S INCENTIVE MECHANISM SHOULD NOT CONTINUE THROUGH 2016.	3
III.	THE COMMISSION SHOULD REQUIRE MODIFICATIONS TO DUKE'S INCENTIVE MECHANISM IF IT IS RETAINED THROUGH 2016	5

I. QUALIFICATIONS AND SUMMARY

Q. Please state your name and business address.

A. My name is Stephen J. Baron. My business address is J. Kennedy and Associates, Inc. ("Kennedy and Associates"), 570 Colonial Park Drive, Suite 305, Roswell, Georgia 30075.

Q. What is your occupation and by who are you employed?

A. I am the President and a Principal of Kennedy and Associates, a firm of utility rate, planning, and economic consultants in Atlanta, Georgia.

Q. Please describe briefly the nature of the consulting services provided by Kennedy and Associates.

A. Kennedy and Associates provides consulting services in the electric and gas utility industries. Our clients include state agencies and industrial electricity consumers. The firm provides expertise in system planning, load forecasting, financial analysis, cost-of-service, and rate design. Current clients include the Georgia and Louisiana Public Service Commissions, and industrial and commercial customers throughout the United States. My educational background and professional experience are summarized on Baron Exhibit __ (SJB-1).

Q. On whose behalf are you testifying in this proceeding?

A. I am testifying on behalf of The Ohio Energy Group ("OEG"), a group of large industrial customers of Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. ("Duke" or "the Company"). The members of OEG who take service from the Companies are: AK Steel Corporation, Air Products and Chemicals, Inc., Ford Motor Company, and GE Aviation.

1 **Q. Have you previously presented testimony in Duke Energy Ohio cases?**

2 A. Yes. I previously testified in Case Nos. 91-372-EL-UNC, 91-410-EL-AIR and 99-1658-EL-ETP
3 (the Company's restructuring case in which rates were unbundled and the Company was
4 restructured to implement retail competition) and in Duke's Standard Service Offer proceedings,
5 Case No. 10-2586-EL-SSO and Case No. 14-841-EL-SSO. I also participated in Case No. 11-
6 4393-EL-RDR (Duke's energy efficiency and peak demand reduction ("EE-PDR") rider case).

7
8 **Q. What is the purpose of your testimony?**

9 A. To address Duke's request to extend its current EE-PDR incentive mechanism through 2016 and
10 explain why that request should be rejected. I also provide recommendations regarding
11 reasonable and appropriate modifications to the Company's existing incentive mechanism in the
12 event that the Commission wishes to continue an incentive mechanism for Duke's EE-PDR
13 efforts beyond 2015. My testimony is in support of OEG's initial and reply comments previously
14 filed in this case.

15
16 **Q. Would you please summarize your testimony?**

17 A. Yes. First, as a threshold issue, OEG continues to believe that there is no need for an incentive
18 mechanism to induce the Company to engage in EE-PDR programs. As I will discuss, the
19 Company is required to pursue these programs under Ohio law – no further incentive is
20 necessary.

21
22 Notwithstanding OEG's threshold opposition to an incentive mechanism, if the Commission
23 wishes to continue such a mechanism for Duke, then it should modify the Company's existing

1 incentive mechanism as follows: 1) cap the total incentive dollar amount at the lessor of 3% of
2 EE-PDR expenditures or \$1 million; 2) exclude “banked” savings from the annual incentive
3 calculation; and 3) calculate the incentive using only the savings that exceed the minimum
4 benchmarks required by R.C. § 4928.66. At minimum, the Commission should adopt the Office
5 of the Ohio Consumers’ Counsel’s (“OCC”) recommended incentive cap of 13% of EE-PDR
6 expenditures.

7
8 **II. DUKE’S INCENTIVE MECHANISM SHOULD NOT**
9 **CONTINUE THROUGH 2016.**
10

11 **Q. Would you please explain the basis for OEG’s opposition to continuing Duke’s incentive**
12 **mechanism through 2016?**

13 A. Yes. OEG has consistently opposed any additional compensation to the Company to achieve
14 EE-PDR savings in excess of the statutory required benchmarks. While I acknowledge that the
15 Commission has approved incentive mechanisms for Duke and other Ohio utilities in the past,
16 OEG recommends that no incentive mechanism be approved for Duke in 2016. As discussed in
17 OEG’s comments, there is a legal requirement for the Company to engage in EE-PDR programs
18 that are sufficient to achieve the statutory benchmark level of energy and demand savings. No
19 incentive is required to induce the Company to meets its legal obligations.

20
21 The issue in this case is whether the Commission should continue rewarding the Company in
22 2016 with a monetary incentive to engage in additional cost-effective EE-PDR programs that
23 would produce energy and demand savings above the statutory benchmark level. Assuming for
24 the sake of argument, that additional cost- effective EE-PDR is in the public interest and should

1 be encouraged, the question before the Commission is whether Duke (or any Ohio utility) needs
2 a payment to engage in cost-effective or cost-minimizing behavior.

3
4 **Q. Does Duke have an obligation, without any added incentive, to pursue cost-effective or**
5 **cost-minimizing activities, such as EE-PDR activities that will produce savings in excess of**
6 **the statutory benchmark?**

7 A. Yes. Prior to retail access, this would have included such behavior as purchasing power when it
8 was less costly than operating the Company's own generation, or making investments to improve
9 the heat rate of its owned generation (assuming that such investments were cost-effective). Even
10 today, as a distribution utility, Duke presumably engages in cost-effective behavior with regard
11 to important activities such as distribution maintenance or vegetation control. In return for
12 pursuing these cost-effective/cost-minimizing activities, the Company is permitted to recover its
13 prudently incurred/reasonable costs and to earn a return on its invested capital at a fair rate of
14 return. No additional compensation is required, necessary or appropriate. In a similar manner,
15 the Company is permitted to recover 100% of its costs associated with EE-PDR. In addition,
16 Duke is permitted to recover lost distribution revenues. There is simply no reason to enrich the
17 Company by permitting Duke's shareholders to share in the savings that are produced by EE-
18 PDR activities in excess of the statutory benchmark level. The Company will continue to receive
19 recovery of its costs for the activities, including lost revenues. There is no evidence that the
20 Company will refuse to engage in cost-effective EE-PDR beyond the statutory benchmark level
21 if shareholders do not receive an additional incentive to do so.

1 **Q. What is your response to the argument that a utility needs an incentive to pursue EE-PDR**
2 **in lieu of supply side investments for which shareholders receive an opportunity to earn a**
3 **rate of return?**

4 A. This argument would not apply in the case of Duke since the Company no longer invests in
5 supply side generation resources. Thus, there is no lost rate of return “disincentive” to engaging
6 in cost-effective EE-PDR in excess of the statutory benchmark. As such, there is no need to
7 incentivize the Company. The real question that the Commission has to ask in evaluating the
8 continuation of Duke’s incentive mechanism in 2016 is “what are the benefits to ratepayers?”
9 As I have explained, those benefits are not apparent.

10
11
12 **III. THE COMMISSION SHOULD REQUIRE MODIFICATIONS TO DUKE’S**
13 **INCENTIVE MECHANISM IF IT IS CONTINUED THROUGH 2016**
14

15 **Q. If the Commission decides to continue an EE-PDR incentive mechanism for Duke through**
16 **2016, should the existing incentive mechanism be modified?**

17 A. Yes. There are three necessary modifications that should be made if the Commission approves
18 an incentive mechanism for Duke through 2016. The first modification is to cap the total amount
19 of incentive payment to shareholders that can be recovered from customers. It is my
20 understanding that each of the other major investor-owned utilities in Ohio have such caps on the
21 total dollar amount of incentives that can be collected by shareholders.¹ Of these, the
22 FirstEnergy operating companies’ (“FirstEnergy”) incentive cap of \$10 million is perhaps the
23 most relevant since it was established by the Commission in a litigated proceeding, rather than a

¹ Opinion & Order, Case No. 11-5568-EL-POR (March 21, 2012) at 8; Opinion & Order, Case No. 12-2190-EL-POR (March 20, 2013) at 16; Opinion & Order, Case No. 13-833-EL-POR (December 4, 2013) at 8.

1 settlement. Table 1 below summarizes the MWh retail sales of FirstEnergy and Duke for 2014.
2 As can be seen, Duke has about 39% of the MWh sales of First Energy.
3
4

Table 1 Comparison of FirstEnergy Ohio vs. Duke Ohio mWh Sales by Quarter - 2014*					
	<u>Q1</u>	<u>Q2</u>	<u>Q3</u>	<u>Q4</u>	<u>Total 2014</u>
CEI	1,697,939	1,466,527	1,624,959	1,512,028	
OE	2,277,885	1,952,439	2,188,352	2,076,995	
TE	<u>932,923</u>	<u>871,299</u>	<u>932,374</u>	<u>845,814</u>	
FE Total	4,908,747	4,290,265	4,745,685	4,434,837	18,379,534
Duke Ohio	1,709,379	1,711,446	1,860,720	1,811,746	7,093,291
Ratio: Duke/FE					38.6%
Source: PUCO Summary of Electric Customer Choice Switch Rates in Terms of Sales					

5
6 Adjusted for the relative differences in MWh retail sales, the corresponding cap on
7 incentive payments to Duke's shareholders would be in the range of \$3.9 million.
8

9 **Q. Why do you believe that a cap on shareholder incentive payments is appropriate in this**
10 **case?**

11 A. First, as I indicated, Duke is the only major Ohio utility that does not currently have a cap on EE-
12 PDR incentives. Moreover, as I discussed in the previous section of my testimony, I do not
13 believe that any incentive, beyond cost recovery of expenditures, is necessary to induce the
14 Company to engage in cost-effective EE-PDR programs, including programs that produce
15 savings in excess of the mandatory benchmark. In particular, because Duke is permitted to
16 recover both its actual costs and estimated lost revenues, there is no barrier that would prevent

1 the Company from pursuing additional EE-PDR without excessive, additional monetary
2 inducements from customers. A limitation (cap) on the total amount of incentives each year is a
3 reasonable constraint for a utility such as Duke.
4

5 **Q. What is your recommended incentive cap for Duke in 2016?**

6 A. I support the OEG recommended cap of 3% of EE-PDR program expenditures, with a maximum
7 amount of \$1.0 million. Tying the cap to expenditure levels, with a maximum dollar amount,
8 provides some level of incentive to the Company and, at the same time, provides a strong level
9 of consumer protection against excessive charges for EE-PDR. Based on the Company's recent
10 filing for 2014 (Case No. 15-534-EL-RDR), Duke is requesting an incentive payment for its
11 shareholders of \$12.975 million based on total EE-PDR expenditures of \$30.315 million. This
12 amounts to an adder of 43% on the costs incurred to implement the program.² A 43% adder on
13 top of actual EE-PDR costs is excessive. Essentially, Duke is marking-up its EE-PDR costs by
14 43% and then charging this amount to its captive distribution customers.
15

16 **Q. If the Commission determines that there should be a more generous incentive payment to**
17 **the Company in 2016 than OEG recommends, do you have an alternative**
18 **recommendation?**

19 A. Yes. In that case, the Commission should adopt the OCC's recommendation to cap the incentive
20 at 13% of program expenditures. Using the 2014 expenditure level of \$30.315 million as an
21 example, the maximum incentive payment to shareholders would be \$3.9 million, rather than the
22 \$12.975 million requested by the Company for 2014 based on its current uncapped incentive

² Duke also is seeking recovery of \$2.1 million in lost revenues for 2014.

1 mechanism.³ As I showed previously, a \$3.9 million cap for Duke would be comparable to the
2 Commission-authorized \$10 million cap for FirstEnergy.

3
4 **Q. How much is the Company's requested 2014 incentive payment on a per MWh basis?**

5 A. The total MWh saved in 2014, according to the Company's analysis is 222,852 (this includes
6 78,792 MWh of banked savings). This equates to an incentive payment to shareholders of
7 \$58/MWh for each saved MWh (assuming that the banked MWh savings are included in the
8 calculation).⁴ This \$58/MWh is only the cost of the incentive payment and does not include
9 other charges to customers for EE-PDR in 2014 (i.e. program expenditures, lost revenues).

10
11 **Q. Why is an incentive cap that is tied to EE-PDR expenditures appropriate?**

12 A. An incentive cap tied to total expenditures provides a reasonable level of consumer protection by
13 preventing an unreasonable "add-on" to each dollar of program expense. Allowing incentive
14 payments based on projected avoided costs with no maximum creates an unreasonable potential
15 earnings windfall to shareholders that is detached from shareholder investment and risk
16 exposure. Investors are entitled to receive the opportunity for a fair rate of return on invested
17 capital, commensurate with the risk they incur in providing such capital. No such risk
18 relationship exists with regard to the incentive payments associated with shared savings. If the
19 Commission finds that shareholders should receive an incentive to encourage EE-PDR savings
20 beyond the statutory benchmark, then these incentive payments should be limited in some

21

³ Direct Testimony of James Ziolkowski, Case No. 15-534-EL-RDR, Attachment JEZ-1, page 3 of 10.

⁴ As I will discuss, incentive payments should not be based on "banked" MWh. Without the use of "banked" savings, there would be no incentive payments in 2014 based on the current formula.

1 reasonable manner to the total size of the EE-PDR program. Tying the incentive cap to
2 expenditure levels is a reasonable way to achieve this objective.

3
4 **Q. Would you discuss the next modification that should be made to the Company's incentive**
5 **mechanism, if it is to be in effect through 2016?**

6 A. The Company relies on prior savings that have been "banked" for purposes of computing the
7 incentive payments. In its incentive payment calculations for both 2013 and 2014 (see Case Nos.
8 14-457-EL-RDR and 15-534-EL-RDR) the Company has relied on previously "banked" savings
9 to achieve any incentive payments. Without the inclusion of the "banked" MWh, there would be
10 no incentive payments to Duke in either year. While the use of "banked" MWh is appropriate to
11 determine if the Company met its statutory savings benchmark, it is inappropriate to include
12 previously "saved" MWh in the determination of incentive payments. These incentive payments
13 are designed as an inducement to exceed the benchmark savings amount each year. Prior
14 overachievement of a previous benchmark does not need to be "induced." It has already been
15 achieved and no further payment to shareholders is necessary or appropriate.

16
17 **Q. Has the Commission recently issued an Order rejecting Duke's use of "banked" MWh**
18 **savings for the purposes of computing incentive payments?**

19 A. Yes. Counsel advises me that in its May 20, 2015 Order in Case No. 14-457-EL-RDR, the
20 Commission stated as follows:

21 Therefore, the Commission finds Duke's use of banked savings to claim an
22 incentive is improper. We note the tiered incentive structure is designed to
23 motivate and reward the utility for exceeding energy efficiency standards on
24 an annual basis. As the mandated benchmark rises every year, Duke must
25 continue to find ways to encourage energy efficiency. If it has a large bank
26 of accrued savings to rely on, the motivation to push energy efficiency

1 programs in following years diminishes. Thus, in order for the structure to
2 continue to serve as a true incentive for Duke to exceed the benchmarks, the
3 Commission finds the banked saving cannot be used to determine the annual
4 shared savings achievement level.

5
6 Based on the Commission's decision in Case No. 14-457-EL-RDR, if an incentive payment is
7 permitted in 2016, it should not be based on "banked" prior savings.
8

9 **Q. Would you discuss your third modification to the Company's incentive mechanism?**

10 A. The third modification that I recommend is that the computation of the incentive payment for
11 2016 be based on a tiered percentage of the dollar savings that are in excess of the dollar savings
12 that are already required pursuant to the mandated benchmark. While Duke's current tiered
13 formula only reflects a sharing percentage if the MWh savings is in excess of the benchmark
14 level mandated for the year, the resulting percentage is then applied to 100% of the dollar
15 savings, not just the dollar savings above the benchmark level. Conceptually, the incentive is
16 supposed to be tied to the amount of EE-PDR that is achieved each year in excess of the
17 mandated benchmark level. While Duke's formula does this with respect to the percentage
18 factor, it does not tie the incentive dollar amounts to the dollar savings above the benchmark.
19 Such a modification would be more consistent with the intent of the incentive mechanism, which
20 is designed to "motivate and reward the utility for exceeding energy efficiency standards on an
21 annual basis."⁵
22

23 **Q. Does that complete your Direct Testimony?**

24 A. Yes.

⁵ Order, Case No. 14-457-EL-RDR (May 20, 2015) at 5.

AFFIDAVIT


STATE OF GEORGIA)


COUNTY OF FULTON)

STEPHEN J. BARON, being duly sworn, deposes and states: that the attached is his sworn testimony and that the statements contained are true and correct to the best of his knowledge, information and belief.


Stephen J. Baron

Sworn to and subscribed before me on this
30th day of June 2015.


Notary Public



**BEFORE THE
PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO**

**IN RE: IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION)
OF DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC. FOR)
APPROVAL TO CONTINUE ITS COST) CASE NO. 14-1580-EL-RDR
RECOVERY MECHANISM FOR ENERGY)
EFFICIENCY PROGRAMS THROUGH 2016)**

EXHIBIT __ (SJB-1)

OF

STEPHEN J. BARON

**ON BEHALF OF
THE OHIO ENERGY GROUP**

**J. KENNEDY AND ASSOCIATES, INC.
ROSWELL, GEORGIA**

Professional Qualifications

Of

Stephen J. Baron

Mr. Baron graduated from the University of Florida in 1972 with a B.A. degree with high honors in Political Science and significant coursework in Mathematics and Computer Science. In 1974, he received a Master of Arts Degree in Economics, also from the University of Florida. His areas of specialization were econometrics, statistics, and public utility economics. His thesis concerned the development of an econometric model to forecast electricity sales in the State of Florida, for which he received a grant from the Public Utility Research Center of the University of Florida. In addition, he has advanced study and coursework in time series analysis and dynamic model building.

Mr. Baron has more than thirty years of experience in the electric utility industry in the areas of cost and rate analysis, forecasting, planning, and economic analysis.

Following the completion of my graduate work in economics, he joined the staff of the Florida Public Service Commission in August of 1974 as a Rate Economist. His responsibilities included the analysis of rate cases for electric, telephone, and gas utilities, as well as the preparation of cross-examination material and the preparation of staff recommendations.

In December 1975, he joined the Utility Rate Consulting Division of Ebasco Services, Inc.

J. KENNEDY AND ASSOCIATES, INC.

as an Associate Consultant. In the seven years he worked for Ebasco, he received successive promotions, ultimately to the position of Vice President of Energy Management Services of Ebasco Business Consulting Company. His responsibilities included the management of a staff of consultants engaged in providing services in the areas of econometric modeling, load and energy forecasting, production cost modeling, planning, cost-of-service analysis, cogeneration, and load management.

He joined the public accounting firm of Coopers & Lybrand in 1982 as a Manager of the Atlanta Office of the Utility Regulatory and Advisory Services Group. In this capacity he was responsible for the operation and management of the Atlanta office. His duties included the technical and administrative supervision of the staff, budgeting, recruiting, and marketing as well as project management on client engagements. At Coopers & Lybrand, he specialized in utility cost analysis, forecasting, load analysis, economic analysis, and planning.

In January 1984, he joined the consulting firm of Kennedy and Associates as a Vice President and Principal. Mr. Baron became President of the firm in January 1991.

During the course of his career, he has provided consulting services to more than thirty utility, industrial, and Public Service Commission clients, including three international utility clients.

J. KENNEDY AND ASSOCIATES, INC.

He has presented numerous papers and published an article entitled "How to Rate Load Management Programs" in the March 1979 edition of "Electrical World." His article on "Standby Electric Rates" was published in the November 8, 1984 issue of "Public Utilities Fortnightly." In February of 1984, he completed a detailed analysis entitled "Load Data Transfer Techniques" on behalf of the Electric Power Research Institute, which published the study.

Mr. Baron has presented testimony as an expert witness in Arizona, Arkansas, Colorado, Connecticut, Florida, Georgia, Indiana, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Michigan, Minnesota, Maryland, Missouri, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Texas, Utah, Virginia, West Virginia, Wisconsin, Wyoming, the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission and in United States Bankruptcy Court. A list of his specific regulatory appearances follows.

**Expert Testimony Appearances
of
Stephen J. Baron
As of May 2015**

Date	Case	Jurisdic.	Party	Utility	Subject
4/81	203(B)	KY	Louisville Gas & Electric Co.	Louisville Gas & Electric Co.	Cost-of-service.
4/81	ER-81-42	MO	Kansas City Power & Light Co.	Kansas City Power & Light Co.	Forecasting.
6/81	U-1933	AZ	Arizona Corporation Commission	Tucson Electric Co.	Forecasting planning.
2/84	8924	KY	Airco Carbide	Louisville Gas & Electric Co.	Revenue requirements, cost-of-service, forecasting, weather normalization.
3/84	84-038-U	AR	Arkansas Electric Energy Consumers	Arkansas Power & Light Co.	Excess capacity, cost-of-service, rate design.
5/84	830470-EI	FL	Florida Industrial Power Users' Group	Florida Power Corp.	Allocation of fixed costs, load and capacity balance, and reserve margin. Diversification of utility.
10/84	84-199-U	AR	Arkansas Electric Energy Consumers	Arkansas Power and Light Co.	Cost allocation and rate design.
11/84	R-842651	PA	Lehigh Valley Power Committee	Pennsylvania Power & Light Co.	Interruptible rates, excess capacity, and phase-in.
1/85	85-65	ME	Airco Industrial Gases	Central Maine Power Co.	Interruptible rate design.
2/85	I-840381	PA	Philadelphia Area Industrial Energy Users' Group	Philadelphia Electric Co.	Load and energy forecast.
3/85	9243	KY	Alcan Aluminum Corp., et al.	Louisville Gas & Electric Co.	Economics of completing fossil generating unit.
3/85	3498-U	GA	Attorney General	Georgia Power Co.	Load and energy forecasting, generation planning economics.
3/85	R-842632	PA	West Penn Power Industrial Intervenor	West Penn Power Co.	Generation planning economics, prudence of a pumped storage hydro unit.
5/85	84-249	AR	Arkansas Electric Energy Consumers	Arkansas Power & Light Co.	Cost-of-service, rate design return multipliers.
5/85		City of Santa Clara	Chamber of Commerce	Santa Clara Municipal	Cost-of-service, rate design.

J. KENNEDY AND ASSOCIATES, INC.

**Expert Testimony Appearances
of
Stephen J. Baron
As of May 2015**

Date	Case	Jurisdct.	Party	Utility	Subject
6/85	84-768-E-42T	WV	West Virginia Industrial Intervenors	Monongahela Power Co.	Generation planning economics, prudence of a pumped storage hydro unit.
6/85	E-7 Sub 391	NC	Carolina Industrials (CIGFUR III)	Duke Power Co.	Cost-of-service, rate design, interruptible rate design.
7/85	29046	NY	Industrial Energy Users Association	Orange and Rockland Utilities	Cost-of-service, rate design.
10/85	85-043-U	AR	Arkansas Gas Consumers	Arkla, Inc.	Regulatory policy, gas cost-of-service, rate design.
10/85	85-63	ME	Airco Industrial Gases	Central Maine Power Co.	Feasibility of interruptible rates, avoided cost.
2/85	ER-8507698	NJ	Air Products and Chemicals	Jersey Central Power & Light Co.	Rate design.
3/85	R-850220	PA	West Penn Power Industrial Intervenors	West Penn Power Co.	Optimal reserve, prudence, off-system sales guarantee plan.
2/86	R-850220	PA	West Penn Power Industrial Intervenors	West Penn Power Co.	Optimal reserve margins, prudence, off-system sales guarantee plan.
3/86	85-299U	AR	Arkansas Electric Energy Consumers	Arkansas Power & Light Co.	Cost-of-service, rate design, revenue distribution.
3/86	85-726-EL-AIR	OH	Industrial Electric Consumers Group	Ohio Power Co.	Cost-of-service, rate design, interruptible rates.
5/86	86-081-E-GI	WV	West Virginia Energy Users Group	Monongahela Power Co.	Generation planning economics, prudence of a pumped storage hydro unit.
8/86	E-7 Sub 408	NC	Carolina Industrial Energy Consumers	Duke Power Co.	Cost-of-service, rate design, interruptible rates.
10/86	U-17378	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Gulf States Utilities	Excess capacity, economic analysis of purchased power.
12/86	38063	IN	Industrial Energy Consumers	Indiana & Michigan Power Co.	Interruptible rates.

J. KENNEDY AND ASSOCIATES, INC.

**Expert Testimony Appearances
of
Stephen J. Baron
As of May 2015**

Date	Case	Jurisdic.	Party	Utility	Subject
3/87	EL-86-53-001 EL-86-57-001	Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC)	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Gulf States Utilities, Southern Co.	Cost/benefit analysis of unit power sales contract.
4/87	U-17282	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Gulf States Utilities	Load forecasting and imprudence damages, River Bend Nuclear unit.
5/87	87-023-E-C	WV	Airco Industrial Gases	Monongahela Power Co.	Interruptible rates.
5/87	87-072-E-G1	WV	West Virginia Energy Users' Group	Monongahela Power Co.	Analyze Mon Power's fuel filing and examine the reasonableness of MP's claims.
5/87	86-524-E-SC	WV	West Virginia Energy Users' Group	Monongahela Power Co.	Economic dispatching of pumped storage hydro unit.
5/87	9781	KY	Kentucky Industrial Energy Consumers	Louisville Gas & Electric Co.	Analysis of impact of 1986 Tax Reform Act.
6/87	3673-U	GA	Georgia Public Service Commission	Georgia Power Co.	Economic prudence, evaluation of Vogtle nuclear unit - load forecasting, planning.
6/87	U-17282	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Gulf States Utilities	Phase-in plan for River Bend Nuclear unit.
7/87	85-10-22	CT	Connecticut Industrial Energy Consumers	Connecticut Light & Power Co.	Methodology for refunding rate moderation fund.
8/87	3673-U	GA	Georgia Public Service Commission	Georgia Power Co.	Test year sales and revenue forecast.
9/87	R-850220	PA	West Penn Power Industrial Intervenors	West Penn Power Co.	Excess capacity, reliability of generating system.
10/87	R-870651	PA	Duquesne Industrial Intervenors	Duquesne Light Co.	Interruptible rate, cost-of-service, revenue allocation, rate design.
10/87	I-860025	PA	Pennsylvania Industrial Intervenors		Proposed rules for cogeneration, avoided cost, rate recovery.
10/87	E-015/	MN	Taconite	Minnesota Power	Excess capacity, power and

J. KENNEDY AND ASSOCIATES, INC.

**Expert Testimony Appearances
of
Stephen J. Baron
As of May 2015**

Date	Case	Jurisdic.	Party	Utility	Subject
	GR-87-223		Intervenors	& Light Co.	cost-of-service, rate design.
10/87	8702-El	FL	Occidental Chemical Corp.	Florida Power Corp.	Revenue forecasting, weather normalization.
12/87	87-07-01	CT	Connecticut Industrial Energy Consumers	Connecticut Light Power Co.	Excess capacity, nuclear plant phase-in.
3/88	10064	KY	Kentucky Industrial Energy Consumers	Louisville Gas & Electric Co.	Revenue forecast, weather normalization rate treatment of cancelled plant.
3/88	87-183-TF	AR	Arkansas Electric Consumers	Arkansas Power & Light Co.	Standby/backup electric rates.
5/88	870171C001	PA	GPU Industrial Intervenors	Metropolitan Edison Co.	Cogeneration deferral mechanism, modification of energy cost recovery (ECR).
6/88	870172C005	PA	GPU Industrial Intervenors	Pennsylvania Electric Co.	Cogeneration deferral mechanism, modification of energy cost recovery (ECR).
7/88	88-171-EL-AIR 88-170-EL-AIR Interim Rate Case	OH	Industrial Energy Consumers	Cleveland Electric/ Toledo Edison	Financial analysis/need for interim rate relief.
7/88	Appeal of PSC	19th Judicial Docket U-17282	Louisiana Public Service Commission Circuit Court of Louisiana	Gulf States Utilities	Load forecasting, imprudence damages.
11/88	R-880989	PA	United States Steel	Carnegie Gas	Gas cost-of-service, rate design.
11/88	88-171-EL-AIR 88-170-EL-AIR	OH	Industrial Energy Consumers	Cleveland Electric/ Toledo Edison. General Rate Case.	Weather normalization of peak loads, excess capacity, regulatory policy.
3/89	870216/283 284/286	PA	Armco Advanced Materials Corp., Allegheny Ludlum Corp.	West Penn Power Co.	Calculated avoided capacity, recovery of capacity payments.
8/89	8555	TX	Occidental Chemical Corp.	Houston Lighting & Power Co.	Cost-of-service, rate design.

J. KENNEDY AND ASSOCIATES, INC.

**Expert Testimony Appearances
of
Stephen J. Baron
As of May 2015**

Date	Case	Jurisd.	Party	Utility	Subject
8/89	3840-U	GA	Georgia Public Service Commission	Georgia Power Co.	Revenue forecasting, weather normalization.
9/89	2087	NM	Attorney General of New Mexico	Public Service Co. of New Mexico	Prudence - Palo Verde Nuclear Units 1, 2 and 3, load forecasting.
10/89	2262	NM	New Mexico Industrial Energy Consumers	Public Service Co. of New Mexico	Fuel adjustment clause, off-system sales, cost-of-service, rate design, marginal cost.
11/89	38728	IN	Industrial Consumers for Fair Utility Rates	Indiana Michigan Power Co.	Excess capacity, capacity equalization, jurisdictional cost allocation, rate design, interruptible rates.
1/90	U-17282	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Gulf States Utilities	Jurisdictional cost allocation, O&M expense analysis.
5/90	890366	PA	GPU Industrial Intervenors	Metropolitan Edison Co.	Non-utility generator cost recovery.
6/90	R-901609	PA	Armco Advanced Materials Corp., Allegheny Ludlum Corp.	West Penn Power Co.	Allocation of QF demand charges in the fuel cost, cost-of-service, rate design.
9/90	8278	MD	Maryland Industrial Group	Baltimore Gas & Electric Co.	Cost-of-service, rate design, revenue allocation.
12/90	U-9346 Rebuttal	MI	Association of Businesses Advocating Tariff Equity	Consumers Power Co.	Demand-side management, environmental externalities.
12/90	U-17282 Phase IV	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Gulf States Utilities	Revenue requirements, jurisdictional allocation.
12/90	90-205	ME	Airco Industrial Gases	Central Maine Power Co.	Investigation into interruptible service and rates.
1/91	90-12-03 Interim	CT	Connecticut Industrial Energy Consumers	Connecticut Light & Power Co.	Interim rate relief, financial analysis, class revenue allocation.
5/91	90-12-03 Phase II	CT	Connecticut Industrial Energy Consumers	Connecticut Light & Power Co.	Revenue requirements, cost-of-service, rate design, demand-side management.

J. KENNEDY AND ASSOCIATES, INC.

**Expert Testimony Appearances
of
Stephen J. Baron
As of May 2015**

Date	Case	Jurisd.	Party	Utility	Subject
8/91	E-7, SUB SUB 487	NC	North Carolina Industrial Energy Consumers	Duke Power Co.	Revenue requirements, cost allocation, rate design, demand- side management.
8/91	8341 Phase I	MD	Westvaco Corp.	Potomac Edison Co.	Cost allocation, rate design, 1990 Clean Air Act Amendments.
8/91	91-372 EL-UNC	OH	Armco Steel Co., L.P.	Cincinnati Gas & Electric Co.	Economic analysis of cogeneration, avoid cost rate.
9/91	P-910511 P-910512	PA	Allegheny Ludlum Corp., Armco Advanced Materials Co., The West Penn Power Industrial Users' Group	West Penn Power Co.	Economic analysis of proposed CWIP Rider for 1990 Clean Air Act Amendments expenditures.
9/91	91-231 -E-NC	WV	West Virginia Energy Users' Group	Monongahela Power Co.	Economic analysis of proposed CWIP Rider for 1990 Clean Air Act Amendments expenditures.
10/91	8341 - Phase II	MD	Westvaco Corp.	Potomac Edison Co.	Economic analysis of proposed CWIP Rider for 1990 Clean Air Act Amendments expenditures.
10/91	U-17282	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Gulf States Utilities	Results of comprehensive management audit.
Note: No testimony was prefiled on this.					
11/91	U-17949 Subdocket A	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	South Central Bell Telephone Co. and proposed merger with Southern Bell Telephone Co.	Analysis of South Central Bell's restructuring and
12/91	91-410- EL-AIR	OH	Armco Steel Co., Air Products & Chemicals, Inc.	Cincinnati Gas & Electric Co.	Rate design, interruptible rates.
12/91	P-880286	PA	Armco Advanced Materials Corp., Allegheny Ludlum Corp.	West Penn Power Co.	Evaluation of appropriate avoided capacity costs - QF projects.
1/92	C-913424	PA	Duquesne Interruptible Complainants	Duquesne Light Co.	Industrial interruptible rate.
6/92	92-02-19	CT	Connecticut Industrial Energy Consumers	Yankee Gas Co.	Rate design.

J. KENNEDY AND ASSOCIATES, INC.

**Expert Testimony Appearances
of
Stephen J. Baron
As of May 2015**

Date	Case	Jurisdic.	Party	Utility	Subject
8/92	2437	NM	New Mexico Industrial Intervenors	Public Service Co. of New Mexico	Cost-of-service.
8/92	R-00922314	PA	GPU Industrial Intervenors	Metropolitan Edison Co.	Cost-of-service, rate design, energy cost rate.
9/92	39314	ID	Industrial Consumers for Fair Utility Rates	Indiana Michigan Power Co.	Cost-of-service, rate design, energy cost rate, rate treatment.
10/92	M-00920312 C-007	PA	The GPU Industrial Intervenors	Pennsylvania Electric Co.	Cost-of-service, rate design, energy cost rate, rate treatment.
12/92	U-17949	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	South Central Bell Co.	Management audit.
12/92	R-00922378	PA	Armco Advanced Materials Co. The WPP Industrial Intervenors	West Penn Power Co.	Cost-of-service, rate design, energy cost rate, SO ₂ allowance rate treatment.
1/93	8487	MD	The Maryland Industrial Group	Baltimore Gas & Electric Co.	Electric cost-of-service and rate design, gas rate design (flexible rates).
2/93	E002/GR-92-1185	MN	North Star Steel Co. Praxair, Inc.	Northern States Power Co.	Interruptible rates.
4/93	EC92 21000 ER92-806-000 (Rebuttal)	Federal Energy Regulatory Commission	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Gulf States Utilities/Entergy agreement.	Merger of GSU into Entergy System; impact on system
7/93	93-0114-E-C	WV	Airco Gases	Monongahela Power Co.	Interruptible rates.
8/93	930759-EG	FL	Florida Industrial Power Users' Group	Generic - Electric Utilities	Cost recovery and allocation of DSM costs.
9/93	M-009 30406	PA	Lehigh Valley Power Committee	Pennsylvania Power & Light Co.	Ratemaking treatment of off-system sales revenues.
11/93	346	KY	Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers	Generic - Gas Utilities	Allocation of gas pipeline transition costs - FERC Order 636.
12/93	U-17735	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Cajun Electric Power Cooperative	Nuclear plant prudence, forecasting, excess capacity.

J. KENNEDY AND ASSOCIATES, INC.

**Expert Testimony Appearances
of
Stephen J. Baron
As of May 2015**

Date	Case	Jurisdic.	Party	Utility	Subject
4/94	E-015/ GR-94-001	MN	Large Power Intervenor	Minnesota Power Co.	Cost allocation, rate design, rate phase-in plan.
5/94	U-20178	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Louisiana Power & Light Co.	Analysis of least cost integrated resource plan and demand-side management program.
7/94	R-00942986	PA	Armco, Inc.; West Penn Power Industrial Intervenor	West Penn Power Co.	Cost-of-service, allocation of rate increase, rate design, emission allowance sales, and operations and maintenance expense.
7/94	94-0035- E-42T	WV	West Virginia Energy Users Group	Monongahela Power Co.	Cost-of-service, allocation of rate increase, and rate design.
8/94	EC94 13-000	Federal Energy Regulatory Commission	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Gulf States Utilities/Entergy	Analysis of extended reserve shutdown units and violation of system agreement by Entergy.
9/94	R-00943 081 R-00943 081C0001	PA	Lehigh Valley Power Committee	Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission	Analysis of interruptible rate terms and conditions, availability.
9/94	U-17735	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Cajun Electric Power Cooperative	Evaluation of appropriate avoided cost rate.
9/94	U-19904	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Gulf States Utilities	Revenue requirements.
10/94	5258-U	GA	Georgia Public Service Commission	Southern Bell Telephone & Telegraph Co.	Proposals to address competition in telecommunication markets.
11/94	EC94-7-000 ER94-898-000	FERC	Louisiana Public Service Commission	El Paso Electric and Central and Southwest	Merger economics, transmission equalization hold harmless proposals.
2/95	941-430EG	CO	CF&I Steel, L.P.	Public Service Company of Colorado	Interruptible rates, cost-of-service.
4/95	R-00943271	PA	PP&L Industrial Customer Alliance	Pennsylvania Power & Light Co.	Cost-of-service, allocation of rate increase, rate design, interruptible rates.
6/95	C-00913424 C-00946104	PA	Duquesne Interruptible Complainants	Duquesne Light Co.	Interruptible rates.

J. KENNEDY AND ASSOCIATES, INC.

**Expert Testimony Appearances
of
Stephen J. Baron
As of May 2015**

Date	Case	Jurisdic.	Party	Utility	Subject
8/95	ER95-112 -000	FERC	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Entergy Services, Inc.	Open Access Transmission Tariffs - Wholesale.
10/95	U-21485	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Gulf States Utilities Company	Nuclear decommissioning, revenue requirements, capital structure.
10/95	ER95-1042 -000	FERC	Louisiana Public Service Commission	System Energy Resources, Inc.	Nuclear decommissioning, revenue requirements.
10/95	U-21485	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Gulf States Utilities Co.	Nuclear decommissioning and cost of debt capital, capital structure.
11/95	I-940032	PA	Industrial Energy Consumers of Pennsylvania	State-wide - all utilities	Retail competition issues.
7/96	U-21496	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Central Louisiana Electric Co.	Revenue requirement analysis.
7/96	8725	MD	Maryland Industrial Group	Baltimore Gas & Elec. Co., Potomac Elec. Power Co., Constellation Energy Co.	Ratemaking issues associated with a Merger.
8/96	U-17735	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Cajun Electric Power Cooperative	Revenue requirements.
9/96	U-22092	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Entergy Gulf States, Inc.	Decommissioning, weather normalization, capital structure.
2/97	R-973877	PA	Philadelphia Area Industrial Energy Users Group	PECO Energy Co.	Competitive restructuring policy issues, stranded cost, transition charges.
6/97	Civil Action No. 94-11474	US Bank- ruptcy Court Middle District of Louisiana	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Cajun Electric Power Cooperative	Confirmation of reorganization plan; analysis of rate paths produced by competing plans.
6/97	R-973953	PA	Philadelphia Area Industrial Energy Users Group	PECO Energy Co.	Retail competition issues, rate unbundling, stranded cost analysis.
6/97	8738	MD	Maryland Industrial Group	Generic	Retail competition issues

J. KENNEDY AND ASSOCIATES, INC.

**Expert Testimony Appearances
of
Stephen J. Baron
As of May 2015**

Date	Case	Jurisdct.	Party	Utility	Subject
7/97	R-973954	PA	PP&L Industrial Customer Alliance	Pennsylvania Power & Light Co.	Retail competition issues, rate unbundling, stranded cost analysis.
10/97	97-204	KY	Alcan Aluminum Corp. Southwire Co.	Big River Electric Corp.	Analysis of cost of service issues - Big Rivers Restructuring Plan
10/97	R-974008	PA	Metropolitan Edison Industrial Users	Metropolitan Edison Co.	Retail competition issues, rate unbundling, stranded cost analysis.
10/97	R-974009	PA	Pennsylvania Electric Industrial Customer	Pennsylvania Electric Co.	Retail competition issues, rate unbundling, stranded cost analysis.
11/97	U-22491	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Entergy Gulf States, Inc.	Decommissioning, weather normalization, capital structure.
11/97	P-971265	PA	Philadelphia Area Industrial Energy Users Group	Enron Energy Services Power, Inc./ PECO Energy	Analysis of Retail Restructuring Proposal.
12/97	R-973981	PA	West Penn Power Industrial Intervenor	West Penn Power Co.	Retail competition issues, rate unbundling, stranded cost analysis.
12/97	R-974104	PA	Duquesne Industrial Intervenor	Duquesne Light Co.	Retail competition issues, rate unbundling, stranded cost analysis.
3/98 (Allocated Stranded Cost Issues)	U-22092	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Gulf States Utilities Co.	Retail competition, stranded cost quantification.
3/98	U-22092		Louisiana Public Service Commission	Gulf States Utilities, Inc.	Stranded cost quantification, restructuring issues.
9/98	U-17735		Louisiana Public Service Commission	Cajun Electric Power Cooperative, Inc.	Revenue requirements analysis, weather normalization.
12/98	8794	MD	Maryland Industrial Group and Millennium Inorganic Chemicals Inc.	Baltimore Gas and Electric Co.	Electric utility restructuring, stranded cost recovery, rate unbundling.
12/98	U-23358	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Entergy Gulf States, Inc.	Nuclear decommissioning, weather normalization, Entergy System Agreement.
5/99 (Cross- 40-000 Answering Testimony)	EC-98-	FERC	Louisiana Public Service Commission	American Electric Power Co. & Central South West Corp.	Merger issues related to market power mitigation proposals.

J. KENNEDY AND ASSOCIATES, INC.

**Expert Testimony Appearances
of
Stephen J. Baron
As of May 2015**

Date	Case	Jurisdct.	Party	Utility	Subject
5/99 (Response Testimony)	98-426	KY	Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers, Inc.	Louisville Gas & Electric Co.	Performance based regulation, settlement proposal issues, cross-subsidies between electric. gas services.
6/99	98-0452	WV	West Virginia Energy Users Group	Appalachian Power, Monongahela Power, & Potomac Edison Companies	Electric utility restructuring, stranded cost recovery, rate unbundling.
7/99	99-03-35	CT	Connecticut Industrial Energy Consumers	United Illuminating Company	Electric utility restructuring, stranded cost recovery, rate unbundling.
7/99	Adversary Proceeding No. 98-1065	U.S. Bankruptcy Court	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Cajun Electric Power Cooperative	Motion to dissolve preliminary injunction.
7/99	99-03-06	CT	Connecticut Industrial Energy Consumers	Connecticut Light & Power Co.	Electric utility restructuring, stranded cost recovery, rate unbundling.
10/99	U-24182	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Entergy Gulf States, Inc.	Nuclear decommissioning, weather normalization, Entergy System Agreement.
12/99	U-17735	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Cajun Electric Power Cooperative, Inc.	Analysis of Proposed Contract Rates, Market Rates.
03/00	U-17735	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Cajun Electric Power Cooperative, Inc.	Evaluation of Cooperative Power Contract Elections
03/00	99-1658- EL-ETP	OH	AK Steel Corporation	Cincinnati Gas & Electric Co.	Electric utility restructuring, stranded cost recovery, rate Unbundling.

**Expert Testimony Appearances
of
Stephen J. Baron
As of May 2015**

Date	Case	Jurisdct.	Party	Utility	Subject
08/00	98-0452 E-GI	WVA	West Virginia Energy Users Group	Appalachian Power Co. American Electric Co.	Electric utility restructuring rate unbundling.
08/00	00-1050 E-T 00-1051-E-T	WVA	West Virginia Energy Users Group	Mon Power Co. Potomac Edison Co.	Electric utility restructuring rate unbundling.
10/00	SOAH 473- 00-1020 PUC 2234	TX	The Dallas-Fort Worth Hospital Council and The Coalition of Independent Colleges And Universities	TXU, Inc.	Electric utility restructuring rate unbundling.
12/00	U-24993	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Entergy Gulf States, Inc.	Nuclear decommissioning, revenue requirements.
12/00	EL00-66- 000 & ER00-2854 EL95-33-002	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Entergy Services Inc.	Inter-Company System Agreement: Modifications for retail competition, interruptible load.
04/01	U-21453, U-20925, U-22092 (Subdocket B) Addressing Contested Issues	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Entergy Gulf States, Inc.	Jurisdictional Business Separation - Texas Restructuring Plan
10/01	14000-U	GA	Georgia Public Service Commission Adversary Staff	Georgia Power Co.	Test year revenue forecast.
11/01	U-25687	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Entergy Gulf States, Inc.	Nuclear decommissioning requirements transmission revenues.
11/01	U-25965	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Generic	Independent Transmission Company ("Transco"). RTO rate design.
03/02	001148-EI	FL	South Florida Hospital and Healthcare Assoc.	Florida Power & Light Company	Retail cost of service, rate design, resource planning and demand side management.
06/02	U-25965	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Entergy Gulf States Entergy Louisiana	RTO Issues
07/02	U-21453	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission	SWEPCO, AEP	Jurisdictional Business Sep. - Texas Restructuring Plan.

**Expert Testimony Appearances
of
Stephen J. Baron
As of May 2015**

Date	Case	Jurisdct.	Party	Utility	Subject
08/02	U-25888	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Entergy Louisiana, Inc. Entergy Gulf States, Inc.	Modifications to the Inter-Company System Agreement, Production Cost Equalization.
08/02	EL01-88-000	FERC	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Entergy Services Inc. and the Entergy Operating Companies	Modifications to the Inter-Company System Agreement, Production Cost Equalization.
11/02	02S-315EG	CO	CF&I Steel & Climax Molybdenum Co.	Public Service Co. of Colorado	Fuel Adjustment Clause
01/03	U-17735	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Louisiana Coops	Contract Issues
02/03	02S-594E	CO	Cripple Creek and Victor Gold Mining Co.	Aquila, Inc.	Revenue requirements, purchased power.
04/03	U-26527	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Entergy Gulf States, Inc.	Weather normalization, power purchase expenses, System Agreement expenses.
11/03	ER03-753-000	FERC	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Entergy Services, Inc. and the Entergy Operating Companies	Proposed modifications to System Agreement Tariff MSS-4.
11/03	ER03-583-000 ER03-583-001 ER03-583-002 ER03-681-000, ER03-681-001 ER03-682-000, ER03-682-001 ER03-682-002	FERC	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Entergy Services, Inc., the Entergy Operating Companies, EWO Marketing, L.P, and Entergy Power, Inc.	Evaluation of Wholesale Purchased Power Contracts.
12/03	U-27136	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Entergy Louisiana, Inc.	Evaluation of Wholesale Purchased Power Contracts.
01/04	E-01345-03-0437	AZ	Kroger Company	Arizona Public Service Co.	Revenue allocation rate design.
02/04	00032071	PA	Duquesne Industrial Intervenor	Duquesne Light Company	Provider of last resort issues.
03/04	03A-436E	CO	CF&I Steel, LP and Climax Molybdenum	Public Service Company of Colorado	Purchased Power Adjustment Clause.

J. KENNEDY AND ASSOCIATES, INC.

**Expert Testimony Appearances
of
Stephen J. Baron
As of May 2015**

Date	Case	Jurisd.	Party	Utility	Subject
04/04	2003-00433 2003-00434	KY	Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers, Inc.	Louisville Gas & Electric Co. Kentucky Utilities Co.	Cost of Service Rate Design
0-6/04	03S-539E	CO	Cripple Creek, Victor Gold Mining Co., Goodrich Corp., Holcim (U.S.), Inc., and The Trane Co.	Aquila, Inc.	Cost of Service, Rate Design Interruptible Rates
06/04	R-00049255	PA	PP&L Industrial Customer Alliance PPLICA	PPL Electric Utilities Corp.	Cost of service, rate design, tariff issues and transmission service charge.
10/04	04S-164E	CO	CF&I Steel Company, Climax Mines	Public Service Company of Colorado	Cost of service, rate design, Interruptible Rates.
03/05	Case No. 2004-00426 Case No. 2004-00421	KY	Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers, Inc.	Kentucky Utilities Louisville Gas & Electric Co.	Environmental cost recovery.
06/05	050045-EI	FL	South Florida Hospital and Healthcare Assoc.	Florida Power & Light Company	Retail cost of service, rate design
07/05	U-28155	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Entergy Louisiana, Inc. Entergy Gulf States, Inc.	Independent Coordinator of Transmission – Cost/Benefit
09/05	Case Nos. 05-0402-E-CN 05-0750-E-PC	WVA	West Virginia Energy Users Group	Mon Power Co. Potomac Edison Co.	Environmental cost recovery, Securitization, Financing Order
01/06	2005-00341	KY	Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers, Inc.	Kentucky Power Company	Cost of service, rate design, transmission expenses. Congestion Cost Recovery Mechanism
03/06	U-22092	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Entergy Gulf States, Inc.	Separation of EGSI into Texas and Louisiana Companies.
04/06	U-25116	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Entergy Louisiana, Inc.	Transmission Prudence Investigation
06/06	R-00061346 C0001-0005	PA	Duquesne Industrial Intervenors & IECPA	Duquesne Light Co.	Cost of Service, Rate Design, Transmission Service Charge, Tariff Issues
06/06	R-00061366 R-00061367 P-00062213 P-00062214		Met-Ed Industrial Energy Users Group and Penelec Industrial Customer Alliance	Metropolitan Edison Co. Pennsylvania Electric Co.	Generation Rate Cap, Transmission Service Charge, Cost of Service, Rate Design, Tariff Issues
07/06	U-22092 Sub-J	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Entergy Gulf States, Inc.	Separation of EGSI into Texas and Louisiana Companies.

J. KENNEDY AND ASSOCIATES, INC.

**Expert Testimony Appearances
of
Stephen J. Baron
As of May 2015**

Date	Case	Jurisd.	Party	Utility	Subject
07/06	Case No. 2006-00130 Case No. 2006-00129	KY	Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers, Inc.	Kentucky Utilities Louisville Gas & Electric Co.	Environmental cost recovery.
08/06	Case No. PUE-2006-00065	VA	Old Dominion Committee For Fair Utility Rates	Appalachian Power Co.	Cost Allocation, Allocation of Rev Incr, Off-System Sales margin rate treatment
09/06	E-01345A-05-0816	AZ	Kroger Company	Arizona Public Service Co.	Revenue allocation, cost of service, rate design.
11/06	Doc. No. 97-01-15RE02	CT	Connecticut Industrial Energy Consumers	Connecticut Light & Power United Illuminating	Rate unbundling issues.
01/07	Case No. 06-0960-E-42T	WV	West Virginia Energy Users Group	Mon Power Co. Potomac Edison Co.	Retail Cost of Service Revenue apportionment
03/07	U-29764	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Entergy Gulf States, Inc. Entergy Louisiana, LLC	Implementation of FERC Decision Jurisdictional & Rate Class Allocation
05/07	Case No. 07-63-EL-UNC	OH	Ohio Energy Group	Ohio Power, Columbus Southern Power	Environmental Surcharge Rate Design
05/07	R-00049255 Remand	PA	PP&L Industrial Customer Alliance PPLICA	PPL Electric Utilities Corp.	Cost of service, rate design, tariff issues and transmission service charge.
06/07	R-00072155	PA	PP&L Industrial Customer Alliance PPLICA	PPL Electric Utilities Corp.	Cost of service, rate design, tariff issues.
07/07	Doc. No. 07F-037E	CO	Gateway Canyons LLC	Grand Valley Power Coop.	Distribution Line Cost Allocation
09/07	Doc. No. 05-UR-103	WI	Wisconsin Industrial Energy Group, Inc.	Wisconsin Electric Power Co.	Cost of Service, rate design, tariff Issues, Interruptible rates.
11/07	ER07-682-000	FERC	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Entergy Services, Inc. and the Entergy Operating Companies	Proposed modifications to System Agreement Schedule MSS-3. Cost functionalization issues.
1/08	Doc. No. 20000-277-ER-07	WY	Cimarex Energy Company	Rocky Mountain Power (PacifiCorp)	Vintage Pricing, Marginal Cost Pricing Projected Test Year
1/08	Case No. 07-551	OH	Ohio Energy Group	Ohio Edison, Toledo Edison Cleveland Electric Illuminating	Class Cost of Service, Rate Restructuring, Apportionment of Revenue Increase to Rate Schedules
2/08	ER07-956	FERC	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Entergy Services, Inc. and the Entergy Operating Companies	Entergy's Compliance Filing System Agreement Bandwidth Calculations.
2/08	Doc No. P-00072342	PA	West Penn Power Industrial Intervenor	West Penn Power Co.	Default Service Plan issues.

J. KENNEDY AND ASSOCIATES, INC.

**Expert Testimony Appearances
of
Stephen J. Baron
As of May 2015**

Date	Case	Jurisd.	Party	Utility	Subject
3/08	Doc No. E-01933A-05-0650	AZ	Kroger Company	Tucson Electric Power Co.	Cost of Service, Rate Design
05/08	08-0278 E-GI	WV	West Virginia Energy Users Group	Appalachian Power Co. American Electric Power Co.	Expanded Net Energy Cost "ENEC" Analysis.
6/08	Case No. 08-124-EL-ATA	OH	Ohio Energy Group	Ohio Edison, Toledo Edison Cleveland Electric Illuminating	Recovery of Deferred Fuel Cost
7/08	Docket No. 07-035-93	UT	Kroger Company	Rocky Mountain Power Co.	Cost of Service, Rate Design
08/08	Doc. No. 6680-UR-116	WI	Wisconsin Industrial Energy Group, Inc.	Wisconsin Power and Light Co.	Cost of Service, rate design, tariff Issues, Interruptible rates.
09/08	Doc. No. 6690-UR-119	WI	Wisconsin Industrial Energy Group, Inc.	Wisconsin Public Service Co.	Cost of Service, rate design, tariff Issues, Interruptible rates.
09/08	Case No. 08-936-EL-SSO	OH	Ohio Energy Group	Ohio Edison, Toledo Edison Cleveland Electric Illuminating	Provider of Last Resort Competitive Solicitation
09/08	Case No. 08-935-EL-SSO	OH	Ohio Energy Group	Ohio Edison, Toledo Edison Cleveland Electric Illuminating	Provider of Last Resort Rate Plan
09/08	Case No. 08-917-EL-SSO 08-918-EL-SSO	OH	Ohio Energy Group	Ohio Power Company Columbus Southern Power Co.	Provider of Last Resort Rate Plan
10/08	2008-00251 2008-00252	KY	Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers, Inc.	Louisville Gas & Electric Co. Kentucky Utilities Co.	Cost of Service, Rate Design
11/08	08-1511 E-GI	WV	West Virginia Energy Users Group	Mon Power Co. Potomac Edison Co.	Expanded Net Energy Cost "ENEC" Analysis.
11/08	M-2008-2036188, M-2008-2036197	PA	Met-Ed Industrial Energy Users Group and Penelec Industrial Customer Alliance	Metropolitan Edison Co. Pennsylvania Electric Co.	Transmission Service Charge
01/09	ER08-1056	FERC	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Entergy Services, Inc. and the Entergy Operating Companies	Entergy's Compliance Filing System Agreement Bandwidth Calculations.
01/09	E-01345A-08-0172	AZ	Kroger Company	Arizona Public Service Co.	Cost of Service, Rate Design
02/09	2008-00409	KY	Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers, Inc.	East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc.	Cost of Service, Rate Design

J. KENNEDY AND ASSOCIATES, INC.

**Expert Testimony Appearances
of
Stephen J. Baron
As of May 2015**

Date	Case	Jurisdic.	Party	Utility	Subject
5/09	PUE-2009-00018	VA	VA Committee For Fair Utility Rates	Dominion Virginia Power Company	Transmission Cost Recovery Rider
5/09	09-0177-E-GI	WV	West Virginia Energy Users Group	Appalachian Power Company	Expanded Net Energy Cost "ENEC" Analysis
6/09	PUE-2009-00016	VA	VA Committee For Fair Utility Rates	Dominion Virginia Power Company	Fuel Cost Recovery Rider
6/09	PUE-2009-00038	VA	Old Dominion Committee For Fair Utility Rates	Appalachian Power Company	Fuel Cost Recovery Rider
7/09	080677-EI	FL	South Florida Hospital and Healthcare Assoc.	Florida Power & Light Company	Retail cost of service, rate design
8/09	U-20925 (RRF 2004)	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Entergy Louisiana LLC	Interruptible Rate Refund Settlement
9/09	09AL-299E	CO	CF&I Steel Company Climax Molybdenum	Public Service Company of Colorado	Energy Cost Rate issues
9/09	Doc. No. 05-UR-104	WI	Wisconsin Industrial Energy Group, Inc.	Wisconsin Electric Power Co.	Cost of Service, rate design, tariff Issues, Interruptible rates.
9/09	Doc. No. 6680-UR-117	WI	Wisconsin Industrial Energy Group, Inc.	Wisconsin Power and Light Co.	Cost of Service, rate design, tariff Issues, Interruptible rates.
10/09	Docket No. 09-035-23	UT	Kroger Company	Rocky Mountain Power Co.	Cost of Service, Allocation of Rev Increase
10/09	09AL-299E	CO	CF&I Steel Company Climax Molybdenum	Public Service Company of Colorado	Cost of Service, Rate Design
11/09	PUE-2009-00019	VA	VA Committee For Fair Utility Rates	Dominion Virginia Power Company	Cost of Service, Rate Design
11/09	09-1485 E-P	WV	West Virginia Energy Users Group	Mon Power Co. Potomac Edison Co.	Expanded Net Energy Cost "ENEC" Analysis.
12/09	Case No. 09-906-EL-SSO	OH	Ohio Energy Group	Ohio Edison, Toledo Edison Cleveland Electric Illuminating	Provider of Last Resort Rate Plan
12/09	ER09-1224	FERC	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Entergy Services, Inc. and the Entergy Operating Companies	Entergy's Compliance Filing System Agreement Bandwidth Calculations.
12/09	Case No. PUE-2009-00030	VA	Old Dominion Committee For Fair Utility Rates	Appalachian Power Co.	Cost Allocation, Allocation of Rev Increase, Rate Design

**Expert Testimony Appearances
of
Stephen J. Baron
As of May 2015**

Date	Case	Jurisdic.	Party	Utility	Subject
2/10	Docket No. 09-035-23	UT	Kroger Company	Rocky Mountain Power Co.	Rate Design
3/10	Case No. 09-1352-E-42T	WV	West Virginia Energy Users Group	Mon Power Co. Potomac Edison Co.	Retail Cost of Service Revenue apportionment
3/10	E015/ GR-09-1151	MN	Large Power Intervenor	Minnesota Power Co.	Cost of Service, rate design
4/10	EL09-61	FERC	Louisiana Public Service Service Commission	Entergy Services, Inc. and the Entergy Operating Companies	System Agreement Issues Related to off-system sales
4/10	2009-00459	KY	Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers, Inc.	Kentucky Power Company	Cost of service, rate design, transmission expenses.
4/10	2009-00548 2009-00549	KY	Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers, Inc.	Louisville Gas & Electric Co. Kentucky Utilities Co.	Cost of Service, Rate Design
7/10	R-2010-2161575	PA	Philadelphia Area Industrial Energy Users Group	PECO Energy Company	Cost of Service, Rate Design
09/10	2010-00167	KY	Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers, Inc.	East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc.	Cost of Service, Rate Design
09/10	10M-245E	CO	CF&I Steel Company Climax Molybdenum	Public Service Company of Colorado	Economic Impact of Clean Air Act
11/10	10-0699-E-42T	WV	West Virginia Energy Users Group	Appalachian Power Company	Cost of Service, Rate Design, Transmission Rider
11/10	Doc. No. 4220-UR-116	WI	Wisconsin Industrial Energy Group, Inc.	Northern States Power Co. Wisconsin	Cost of Service, rate design
12/10	10A-554EG	CO	CF&I Steel Company Climax Molybdenum	Public Service Company	Demand Side Management Issues
12/10	10-2586-EL-SSO	OH	Ohio Energy Group	Duke Energy Ohio	Provider of Last Resort Rate Plan Electric Security Plan
3/11	20000-384-ER-10	WY	Wyoming Industrial Energy Consumers	Rocky Mountain Power Wyoming	Electric Cost of Service, Revenue Apportionment, Rate Design
5/11	2011-00036	KY	Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers, Inc.	Big Rivers Electric Corporation	Cost of Service, Rate Design
6/11	Docket No. 10-035-124	UT	Kroger Company	Rocky Mountain Power Co.	Class Cost of Service
6/11	PUE-2011-00045	VA	VA Committee For Fair Utility Rates	Dominion Virginia Power Company	Fuel Cost Recovery Rider

J. KENNEDY AND ASSOCIATES, INC.

**Expert Testimony Appearances
of
Stephen J. Baron
As of May 2015**

Date	Case	Jurisdic.	Party	Utility	Subject
07/11	U-29764	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Entergy Gulf States, Inc. Entergy Louisiana, LLC	Entergy System Agreement - Successor Agreement, Revisions, RTO Day 2 Market Issues
07/11	Case Nos. OH 11-346-EL-SSO 11-348-EL-SSO		Ohio Energy Group	Ohio Power Company Columbus Southern Power Co.	Electric Security Rate Plan, Provider of Last Resort Issues
08/11	PUE-2011-00034	VA	Old Dominion Committee For Fair Utility Rates	Appalachian Power Co.	Cost Allocation, Rate Recovery of RPS Costs
09/11	2011-00161 2011-00162	KY	Kentucky Industrial Utility	Louisville Gas & Electric Co. Kentucky Utilities Company	Environmental Cost Recovery
09/11	Case Nos. OH 11-346-EL-SSO 11-348-EL-SSO		Ohio Energy Group	Ohio Power Company Columbus Southern Power Co.	Electric Security Rate Plan, Stipulation Support Testimony
10/11	11-0452 E-P-T	WV	West Virginia Energy Users Group	Mon Power Co. Potomac Edison Co.	Energy Efficiency/Demand Reduction Cost Recovery
11/11	11-1272 E-P	WV	West Virginia Energy Users Group	Mon Power Co. Potomac Edison Co.	Expanded Net Energy Cost "ENEC" Analysis
11/11	E-01345A-11-0224	AZ	Kroger Company	Arizona Public Service Co.	Decoupling
12/11	E-01345A-11-0224	AZ	Kroger Company	Arizona Public Service Co.	Cost of Service, Rate Design
3/12	Case No. 2011-00401	KY	Kentucky Industrial Utility Consumers	Kentucky Power Company	Environmental Cost Recovery
4/12	2011-00036 Rehearing Case	KY	Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers, Inc.	Big Rivers Electric Corporation	Cost of Service, Rate Design
5/12	2011-346 2011-348	OH	Ohio Energy Group	Ohio Power Company	Electric Security Rate Plan Interruptible Rate Issues
6/12	PUE-2012-00051	VA	Old Dominion Committee For Fair Utility Rates	Appalachian Power Company	Fuel Cost Recovery Rider
6/12	12-00012 12-00026	TN	Eastman Chemical Co. Air Products and Chemicals, Inc.	Kingsport Power Company	Demand Response Programs
6/12	Docket No. 11-035-200	UT	Kroger Company	Rocky Mountain Power Co.	Class Cost of Service
6/12	12-0275-E-GI-EE	WV	West Virginia Energy Users Group	Appalachian Power Company	Energy Efficiency Rider

J. KENNEDY AND ASSOCIATES, INC.

**Expert Testimony Appearances
of
Stephen J. Baron
As of May 2015**

Date	Case	Jurisdic.	Party	Utility	Subject
6/12	12-0399-E-P	WV	West Virginia Energy Users Group	Appalachian Power Company	Expanded Net Energy Cost ("ENEC")
7/12	120015-EI	FL	South Florida Hospital and Healthcare Assoc.	Florida Power & Light Company	Retail cost of service, rate design
7/12	2011-00063	KY	Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers, Inc.	Big Rivers Electric Corporation	Environmental Cost Recovery
8/12	Case No. 2012-00226	KY	Kentucky Industrial Utility Consumers	Kentucky Power Company	Real Time Pricing Tariff
9/12	ER12-1384	FERC	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Entergy Services, Inc.	Entergy System Agreement, Cancelled Plant Cost Treatment
9/12	2012-00221 2012-00222	KY	Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers, Inc.	Louisville Gas & Electric Co. Kentucky Utilities Co.	Cost of Service, Rate Design
11/12	12-1238 E-GI	WV	West Virginia Energy Users Group	Mon Power Co. Potomac Edison Co.	Expanded Net Energy Cost Recovery Issues
12/12	U-29764	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Entergy Gulf States Louisiana	Purchased Power Contracts
12/12	EL09-61	FERC	Louisiana Public Service Service Commission	Entergy Services, Inc. and the Entergy Operating Companies	System Agreement Issues Related to off-system sales Damages Phase
12/12	E-01933A-12-0291	AZ	Kroger Company	Tucson Electric Power Co.	Decoupling
1/13	12-1188 E-PC	WV	West Virginia Energy Users Group	Appalachian Power Company	Securitization of ENEC Costs
1/13	E-01933A-12-0291	AZ	Kroger Company	Tucson Electric Power Co.	Cost of Service, Rate Design
4/13	12-1571 E-PC	WV	West Virginia Energy Users Group	Mon Power Co. Potomac Edison Co.	Generation Resource Transition Plan Issues
4/13	PUE-2012-00141	VA	Old Dominion Committee For Fair Utility Rates	Appalachian Power Company	Generation Asset Transfer Issues
6/13	12-1655 E-PC	WV	West Virginia Energy Users Group	Appalachian Power Company	Generation Asset Transfer Issues
06/13	U-32675	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Entergy Gulf States, Inc. Entergy Louisiana, LLC	MISO Joint Implementation Plan Issues

J. KENNEDY AND ASSOCIATES, INC.

**Expert Testimony Appearances
of
Stephen J. Baron
As of May 2015**

Date	Case	Jurisdct.	Party	Utility	Subject
7/13	130040-EI	FL	WCF Health Utility Alliance	Tampa Electric Company	Cost of Service, Rate Design
7/13	13-0467-E-P	WV	West Virginia Energy Users Group	Appalachian Power Company	Expanded Net Energy Cost ("ENEC")
7/13	13-0462-E-P	WV	West Virginia Energy Users Group	Appalachian Power Company	Energy Efficiency Issues
8/13	13-0557-E-P	WV	West Virginia Energy Users Group	Appalachian Power Company	Right-of-Way, Vegetation Control Cost Recovery Surcharge Issues
10/13	2013-00199	KY	Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers, Inc.	Big Rivers Electric Corporation	Ratemaking Policy Associated with Rural Economic Reserve Funds
10/13	13-0764-E-CN	WV	West Virginia Energy Users Group	Appalachian Power Company	Rate Recovery Issues – Clinch River Gas Conversion Project
11/13	R-2013-2372129	PA	United States Steel Corporation	Duquesne Light Company	Cost of Service, Rate Design
11/13	13A-0686EG	CO	CF&I Steel Company Climax Molybdenum	Public Service Company of Colorado	Demand Side Management Issues
11/13	13-1064-E-P	WV	West Virginia Energy Users Group	Mon Power Co. Potomac Edison Co.	Right-of-Way, Vegetation Control Cost Recovery Surcharge Issues
4/14	ER-432-002	FERC	Louisiana Public Service Service Commission	Entergy Services, Inc. and the Entergy Operating Companies	System Agreement Issues Related to Union Pacific Railroad Litigation Settlement
5/14	2013-2385 2013-2386	OH	Ohio Energy Group	Ohio Power Company	Electric Security Rate Plan Interruptible Rate Issues
5/14	14-0344-E-P	WV	West Virginia Energy Users Group	Appalachian Power Company	Expanded Net Energy Cost ("ENEC")
5/14	14-0345-E-PC	WV	West Virginia Energy Users Group	Appalachian Power Company	Energy Efficiency Issues
5/14	Docket No. 13-035-184	UT	Kroger Company	Rocky Mountain Power Co.	Class Cost of Service
7/14	PUE-2014-00007	VA	Old Dominion Committee For Fair Utility Rates	Appalachian Power Company	Renewable Portfolio Standard Rider Issues
7/14	ER13-2483	FERC	Bear Island Paper WB LLC	Old Dominion Electric Cooperative	Cost of Service, Rate Design Issues
8/14	14-0546-E-PC	WV	West Virginia Energy Users Group	Appalachian Power Company	Rate Recovery Issues – Mitchell Asset Transfer
8/14	PUE-2014-00026	VA	Old Dominion Committee	Appalachian Power Company	Biennial Review Case - Cost of Service Issues

J. KENNEDY AND ASSOCIATES, INC.


**Expert Testimony Appearances
of
Stephen J. Baron
As of May 2015**

Date	Case	Jurisdct.	Party	Utility	Subject
9/14	14-841-EL-SSO	OH	Ohio Energy Group	Duke Energy Ohio	Electric Security Rate Plan Standard Service Offer
10/14	14-0702-E-42T	WV	West Virginia Energy Users Group	Mon Power Co. Potomac Edison Co.	Cost of Service, Rate Design
11/14	14-1550-E-P	WV	West Virginia Energy Users Group	Mon Power Co. Potomac Edison Co.	Expanded Net Energy Cost ("ENEC")
12/14	EL14-026	SD	Black Hills Power Industrial Intervenors	Black Hills Power, Inc.	Cost of Service Issues
12/14	14-1152-E-42T	WV	West Virginia Energy Users Group	Appalachian Power Company	Cost of Service, Rate Design transmission, lost revenues
2/15	14-1297-EI-SS0	OH	Ohio Energy Group	Ohio Edison, Toledo Edison Cleveland Electric Illuminating	Electric Security Rate Plan Standard Service Offer
3/15	2014-00396	KY	Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers, Inc.	Kentucky Power Company	Cost of service, rate design, transmission expenses.
3/15	2014-00371 2014-00372	KY	Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers, Inc.	Louisville Gas & Electric Co. Kentucky Utilities Co.	Cost of Service, Rate Design
5/15	EL10-65	FERC	Louisiana Public Service Service Commission	Entergy Services, Inc. and the Entergy Operating Companies	System Agreement Issues Related to Interruptible load

J. KENNEDY AND ASSOCIATES, INC.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

In accordance with Rule 4901-1-05, Ohio Administrative Code, the PUCO's e-filing system will electronically serve notice of the filing of this document on the parties referenced on the service list of the docket card who have electronically subscribed to this case. In addition, the undersigned certifies that a courtesy copy of the foregoing document is also being served (via electronic mail) on the 30th day of June, 2015 to the following:



David F. Boehm, Esq.
Michael L. Kurtz, Esq.
Jody Kyler Cohn, Esq.

DUKE ENERGY OHIO
ELIZABETH H. WATTS
155 EAST BROAD STREET
COLUMBUS OH 43215

*HIGHT, DEBRA
PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO
180 E. BROAD STREET
COLUMBUS OH 43231

*ALLWEIN, CHRISTOPHER J. MR.
KEGLER BROWN HILL & RITTER, LPA
65 EAST STATE STREET SUITE 1800
COLUMBUS OH 43215

*SCOTT, TONNETTA Y MRS.
OHIO ATTORNEY GENERAL
180 EAST BROAD STREET
COLUMBUS OH 43215

*HUSSEY, REBECCA L MS.
CARPENTER LIPPS & LELAND
280 PLAZA, SUITE 1300 280 N. HIGH STREET
COLUMBUS OH 43215

*BOJKO, KIMBERLY W. MRS.
CARPENTER LIPPS & LELAND LLP
280 NORTH HIGH STREET 280 PLAZA SUITE 1300
COLUMBUS OH 43215

*KUHNELL, DIANNE
DUKE ENERGY BUSINESS SERVICES
139 E. FOURTH STREET EA025
P.O. BOX 960
CINCINNATI OH 45201

*BINGHAM, DEB J. MS.
OFFICE OF OHIO CONSUMERS COUNSEL
10 W. BROAD ST., 18TH FL.
COLUMBUS OH 43215

*SMITH, CHERYL A MS.
CARPENTER LIPPS & LELAND
280 N. HIGH STREET SUITE 1300
COLUMBUS OH 43081

*RINEBOLT, DAVID C MR.
OHIO PARTNERS FOR AFFORDABLE ENERGY
231 W LIMA ST PO BOX 1793
FINDLAY OH 45840-1793

*DOUGHERTY, TRENT A MR.
OHIO ENVIRONMENTAL COUNCIL
1145 CHESAPEAKE AVE SUITE I
COLUMBUS OH 43212

*WILLIAMS, SAMANTHA
NATURAL RESOURCES DEFENSE COUNCIL
20 N. WACKER DRIVE STE 1600
CHICAGO IL 60606

*MILLER, VESTA R
PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO
180 EAST BROAD STREET
COLUMBUS OH 43215

*KEETON, KIMBERLY L
OHIO ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE
PUBLIC UTILITIES SECTION
180 EAST BROAD STREET, 6TH FLOOR
COLUMBUS OH 43215-3793

*VICKERS, JUSTIN M MR.
ENVIRONMENTAL LAW & POLICY CENTER
35 EAST WACKER DRIVE SUITE 1600
CHICAGO IL 60601

*DARR, FRANK P MR.
MCNEES, WALLACE & NURICK LLC
21 E. STATE STREET 17TH FLOOR
COLUMBUS OH 43215

INDUSTRIAL ENERGY USERS OF OHIO GENERAL COUNSEL
SAMUEL C RANDAZZO
MCNEES WALLACE & NURICK LLC
FIFTH THIRD CENTER 21 EAST STATE ST
17TH FLOOR
COLUMBUS OH 43215

OHIO PARTNERS FOR AFFORDABLE ENERGY
RINEBOLT DAVID C
231 WEST LIMA ST. PO BOX 1793
FINDLAY OH 45839-1793

THE KROGER COMPANY
1014 VINE STREET
CINCINNATI OH 45202-1100

*PRITCHARD, MATTHEW R. MR.
MCNEES WALLACE & NURICK
21 EAST STATE STREET #1700
COLUMBUS OH 43215

*COCHERN, CARYS
DUKE ENERGY
155 EAST BROAD ST 21ST FLOOR
COLUMBUS OH 43215

OHIO MANUFACTURERS ASSN
33 N. HIGH ST
COLUMBUS OH 43215

PEOPLE WORKING COOPERATIVELY INC
4612 PADDOCK RD
CINCINNATI OH 45229

NATURAL RESOURCES DEFENSE COUNCIL
40 W 20TH ST
NEW YORK NY 10011

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

6/30/2015 2:53:06 PM

in

Case No(s). 14-1580-EL-RDR

Summary: Testimony Ohio Energy Group (OEG) Direct Testimony and Exhibit of Stephen J. Baron electronically filed by Mr. David F. Boehm on behalf of Ohio Energy Group