



Legal Department

American Electric Power
1 Riverside Plaza
Columbus, OH 43215-2373
AEP.com

June 24, 2015

Chairman Thomas W. Johnson
Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 East Broad Street
Columbus, OH 43215-3793

Re: **In the Matter of the Application of**)
The Ohio Historical Society)
and Ohio Power Company) **Case No. 15-0848-EL-EEC**
for Approval of a Special Arrangement)
Agreement with a Mercantile Customer)

Matthew J. Satterwhite
Senior Counsel
(614) 716-1915 (P)
(614) 716-2950 (F)
mjsatterwhite@aep.com

Dear Chairman Johnson,

Attached please find the Joint Application of Ohio Power Company (AEP Ohio) and the above-referenced mercantile customer for approval of a Special Arrangement of the commitment of energy efficiency/peak demand reduction (EE/PDR) resources toward compliance with the statutory benchmarks for 2015 (hereinafter "Joint Application").

Amended Substitute Senate Bill 221, codified at R.C. 4928.66, sets forth EE/PDR benchmarks that electric distribution utilities are required to meet or exceed. The statute allows utilities to include EE/PDR resources committed by mercantile customers for integration into the utilities' programs to be counted toward compliance with a utility's EE/PDR benchmarks. The statute also enables the Commission to approve special arrangements for mercantile customers that commit EE/PDR resources to be counted toward compliance with EE/PDR benchmarks.

The Commission's Order in Case No. 10-834-EL-EEC established a streamlined process to expedite review of these special arrangements by developing a sample application process for parties to follow for consideration of such programs implemented during the prior three calendar years. The attached Joint Application and affidavit conforms with AEP Ohio's version of the streamlined sample application. As requested by Commission Staff, any confidential information referenced in the Joint Application has been provided confidentially to Commission Staff for filing in Commission Docket 10-1599-EL-EEC and subject to the confidentially protections of R.C. 4901.16 and OAC 4901-1-24(E). AEP Ohio respectfully requests that the Commission treat the two cases as associated dockets and that any confidential information provided to Staff for filing in connection with the Joint Application be subject to the protective order requested in Docket 10-1599-EL-EEC.

Cordially,

/s/ Matthew J. Satterwhite
Matthew J. Satterwhite

Attachments



Case No.: 15-0848-EL-EEC

Mercantile Customer: THE OHIO HISTORICAL SOCIETY

Electric Utility: Ohio Power

Program Title or Description: AEP Ohio Business Incentives for Energy Efficiency: Self Direct Program

Rule 4901:1-39-05(F), Ohio Administrative Code (O.A.C.), permits a mercantile customer to file, either individually or jointly with an electric utility, an application to commit the customer's existing demand reduction, demand response, and energy efficiency programs for integration with the electric utility's programs. The following application form is to be used by mercantile customers, either individually or jointly with their electric utility, to apply for commitment of such programs in accordance with the Commission's pilot program established in Case No. [10-834-EL-POR](#)

Completed applications requesting the cash rebate reasonable arrangement option (Option 1) in lieu of an exemption from the electric utility's energy efficiency and demand reduction (EEDR) rider will be automatically approved on the sixty-first calendar day after filing, unless the Commission, or an attorney examiner, suspends or denies the application prior to that time. Completed applications requesting the exemption from the EEDR rider (Option 2) will also qualify for the 60-day automatic approval so long as the exemption period does not exceed 24 months. Rider exemptions for periods of more than 24 months will be reviewed by the Commission Staff and are only approved up the issuance of a Commission order.

Complete a separate application for each customer program. Projects undertaken by a customer as a single program at a single location or at various locations within the same service territory should be submitted together as a single program filing, when possible. Check all boxes that are applicable to your program. For each box checked, be sure to complete all subparts of the question, and provide all requested additional information. Submittal of incomplete applications may result in a suspension of the automatic approval process or denial of the application.

Any confidential or trade secret information may be submitted to Staff on disc or via email at ee-pdr@puc.state.oh.us.

Section 1: Company Information

Name: THE OHIO HISTORICAL SOCIETY

Principal address: 800 E 17th Ave, Columbus, OH 43211

Address of facility for which this energy efficiency program applies: 1982 Velma Ave, Columbus, Oh 43211-2453

Name and telephone number for responses to questions:

Amy Kaspar, The Ohio Historical Society, (614) 297-2445

Electricity use by the customer (check the box(es) that apply):

- ☒ The customer uses more than seven hundred thousand kilowatt hours per year at our facility. (Please attach documentation.)

See Confidential and Proprietary Attachment 4 – Calculation of Rider Exemption and UCT which provides the facility consumption for the last three years, benchmark kWh, and the last 12 months usage.

- ☐ The customer is part of a national account involving multiple facilities in one or more states. (Please attach documentation.) When checked, see Attachment 6 – Supporting Documentation for a listing of the customer's name and service addresses of other accounts in the AEP Ohio service territory.

Section 2: Application Information

A) The customer is filing this application (choose which applies):

- ☐ Individually, on our own.
- ☒ Jointly with our electric utility.

B) Our electric utility is: Ohio Power Company

The application to participate in the electric utility energy efficiency program is "Confidential and Proprietary Attachment 3 – Self Direct Program Project Completed Application."

C) The customer is offering to commit (choose which applies):

- ☐ Energy savings from our energy efficiency program. (Complete Sections 3, 5, 6, and 7.)
- ☐ Capacity savings from the customer's demand response/demand reduction program. (Complete Sections 4, 5, 6, and 7.)
- ☒ Both the energy savings and the demand reduction from the customer's energy efficiency program. (Complete all sections of the Application.)

Section 3: Energy Efficiency Programs

A) The customer's energy efficiency program involves (choose whichever applies):

- ☐ Early replacement of fully functioning equipment with new equipment. (Provide the date on which the customer replaced fully functioning equipment, and the date on which the customer would have replaced your equipment if you had not replaced it early. Please include a brief explanation for how the customer determined this future replacement date (or, if not known, please explain why this is not known)).
- ☒ Installation of new equipment to replace equipment that needed to be replaced. The customer installed new equipment on the following date(s): 8/30/2013
- ☐ Installation of new equipment for new construction or facility expansion. The customer installed new equipment on the following date(s):
- ☐ Behavioral or operational improvement.

B) Energy savings achieved/to be achieved by your energy efficiency program:

- 1) If you checked the box indicating that your project involves the early replacement of fully functioning equipment replaced with new equipment, then calculate the annual savings [(kWh used by the original equipment) - (kWh used by new equipment) = (kWh per year saved)]. Please attach your calculations and record the results below:

Annual savings: kWh

- 2) If you checked the box indicating that you installed new equipment to replace equipment that needed to be replaced, then calculate the annual savings [(kWh used by less efficient new equipment) - (kWh used by the higher efficiency new equipment) = (kWh per year saved)]. Please attach your calculations and record the results below:

Unit Quantity (watts) = Existing (watts x units) - Installed (watts x units)

kWh Reduction (Annual Savings) = Unit Quantity x (Deemed kWh/Unit)

Annual savings: 61,291 kWh

See Confidential and Proprietary Attachment 5 – Self Direct Program Project Calculation for annual energy savings calculations and 10-1599-EL-

EEC for the work papers that provide all methodologies, protocols, and practices used in this application for prescriptive measures, as needed.

Please describe the less efficient new equipment that you rejected in favor of the more efficient new equipment.

See 10-1599-EL-EEC for the work papers that provide all methodologies, protocols, and practices used in this application for prescriptive measures, as needed.

- 3) If you checked the box indicating that your project involves equipment for new construction or facility expansion, then calculate the annual savings [(kWh used by less efficient new equipment) – (kWh used by higher efficiency new equipment) = (kWh per year saved)]. Please attach your calculations and record the results below:

Annual savings: kWh

Please describe the less efficient new equipment that you rejected in favor of the more efficient new equipment.

- 4) If you checked the box indicating that the project involves behavioral or operational improvements, provide a description of how the annual savings were determined.

Section 4: Demand Reduction/Demand Response Programs

A) The customer's program involves (check the one that applies):

- ☒ Coincident peak-demand savings from the customer's energy efficiency program.
- ☐ Actual peak-demand reduction. (Attach a description and documentation of the peak-demand reduction.)
- ☐ Potential peak-demand reduction check the one that applies):

➤ Choose one or more of the following that applies:

- ☐ The customer's peak-demand reduction program meets the requirements to be counted as a capacity resource under a tariff of a regional transmission organization (RTO) approved by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission.
- ☐ The customer's peak-demand reduction program meets the requirements to be counted as a capacity resource under a program that is equivalent to an RTO program, which has been approved by the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio.

B) On what date did the customer initiate its demand reduction program?

The coincident peak-demand savings are permanent installations that reduce demand through energy efficiency and were installed on the date specified in Section 3 A above.

C) What is the peak demand reduction achieved or capable of being achieved (show calculations through which this was determined):

$$\text{Unit Quantity (watts)} = \text{Existing (watts x units)} - \text{Installed (watts x units)}$$

$$\text{KW Demand Reduction} = \frac{\text{Unit Quantity (watts)}}{\text{(watts)}} \times \text{(Deemed KW/Unit)}$$

.000 kW

See Confidential and Proprietary Attachment 5 – Self Direct Program Project Calculation for peak demand reduction calculation, and 10-1599-EL-EEC for the work papers that provide all methodologies, protocols, and practices used in this application for prescriptive measures, as needed.

Section 5: Request for Cash Rebate Reasonable Arrangement (Option 1) or Exemption from Rider (Option 2)

Under this section, check the box that applies and fill in all blanks relating to that choice.

Note: If Option 2 is selected, the application will not qualify for the 60-day automatic approval. All applications, however, will be considered on a timely basis by the Commission.

A) The customer is applying for:

☒ Option 1: A cash rebate reasonable arrangement.

OR

☐ Option 2: An exemption from the cost recovery mechanism implemented by the electric utility.

OR

☐ Commitment payment

B) The value of the option that the customer is are seeking is:

Option 1: A cash rebate reasonable arrangement, which is the lesser of (show both amounts):

☒ A cash rebate of \$ 4,492.16. (Rebate shall not exceed 50% project cost. Attach documentation showing the methodology used to determine the cash rebate value and calculations showing how this payment amount was determined.)

See Confidential and Proprietary Attachment 5 – Self Direct Program Project Calculation for incentive calculations for this mercantile program.

Option 2: An exemption from payment of the electric utility's energy efficiency/peak demand reduction rider.

☐ An exemption from payment of the electric utility's energy efficiency/peak demand reduction rider for ____ months (not to exceed 24 months). (Attach calculations showing how this time period was determined.)

OR

- ☐ A commitment payment valued at no more than \$_____. (Attach documentation and calculations showing how this payment amount was determined.)

OR

- ☐ Ongoing exemption from payment of the electric utility's energy efficiency/peak demand reduction rider for an initial period of 24 months because this program is part of an ongoing efficiency program that is practiced by our organization. (Attach documentation that establishes your organization's ongoing efficiency program. In order to continue the exemption beyond the initial 24 month period your organization will need to provide a future application establishing additional energy savings and the continuance of the organization's energy efficiency program.)

Section 6: Cost Effectiveness

The program is cost effective because it has a benefit/cost ratio greater than 1 using the (choose which applies):

- ☐ Total Resource Cost (TRC) Test. The calculated TRC value is: _____
(Continue to Subsection 1, then skip Subsection 2)
- ☒ Utility Cost Test (UCT). The calculated UCT value is: 3.07 (Skip to Subsection 2.)

Subsection 1: TRC Test Used (please fill in all blanks).

The TRC value of the program is calculated by dividing the value of our avoided supply costs (generation capacity, energy, and any transmission or distribution) by the sum of our program overhead and installation costs and any incremental measure costs paid by either the customer or the electric utility.

The electric utility's avoided supply costs were _____.

Our program costs were _____.

The utility's incremental measure costs were _____.

Subsection 2: UCT Used (please fill in all blanks).

We calculated the UCT value of our program by dividing the value of our avoided supply costs (capacity and energy) by the costs to our electric utility (including administrative costs and incentives paid or rider exemption costs) to obtain our commitment.

Our avoided supply costs were \$ 14,937.25

The utility's program costs were \$ 367.75

The utility's incentive costs/rebate costs were \$ 4,492.16.

Section 7: Additional Information

Please attach the following supporting documentation to this application:

- Narrative description of your program including, but not limited to, make, model, and year of any installed and replaced equipment.

See Attachment 1 - Self Direct Project Overview and Commitment for a description of the project. See Attachment 6 - Supporting Documentation, for the specifications of the replacement equipment 10-1599-EL-EEC for the work papers that provide all methodologies, protocols, and practices used in this application for prescriptive measures, as needed. Due to the length of time since the equipment replacement, the make, model and year of the replaced equipment is not available.

- A copy of the formal declaration or agreement that commits your program to the electric utility, including:

- 1) any confidentiality requirements associated with the agreement;

See Attachment 2 - Self Direct Program Project Blank Application including Rules and Requirements. All confidentiality requirements are pursuant to the Retrospective Projects/Rules and Requirements that are part of the signed application which is provided as Confidential and Proprietary Attachment 3 - Self Direct Program Project Completed Application.)

- 2) a description of any consequences of noncompliance with the terms of the commitment;

See Attachment 2 - Self Direct Program Project Blank Application including Rules and Requirements. All consequences of noncompliance are pursuant to the Retrospective Projects/Rules and Requirements that are part of the signed application which is provided as Confidential and Proprietary Attachment 3 - Self Direct Program Project Completed Application.

- 3) a description of coordination requirements between the customer and the electric utility with regard to peak demand reduction;

None required because the resources committed are permanent installations that reduce demand through increased efficiency during the Company's peak summer demand period generally defined as May through September and do not require specific coordination and communication to provide demand reduction capabilities to the Company.

- 4) permission by the customer to the electric utility and Commission staff and consultants to measure and verify energy savings and/or peak-demand reductions resulting from your program; and,

See Attachment 2 - Self Direct Program Blank Application including Rules and Requirements granting such permission pursuant to the Retrospective Projects/Rules and Requirements that are part of the signed application which is provided as Confidential and Proprietary Attachment 3 - Self Direct Program Project Completed Application.

- 5) a commitment by you to provide an annual report on your energy savings and electric utility peak-demand reductions achieved.

See Attachment 1 - Self Direct Project Overview and Commitment for the commitment to comply with any information and compliance reporting requirements imposed by rule or as part of the approval of this arrangement by the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio.

- A description of all methodologies, protocols, and practices used or proposed to be used in measuring and verifying program results. Additionally, identify and explain all deviations from any program measurement and verification guidelines that may be published by the Commission.

The Company applies the same methodologies, protocols, and practices to Self Direct Program retrospective projects that are screened and submitted for approval as it does to prospective projects submitted through its Prescriptive and Custom Programs. The Commission has not published a technical reference manual for use by the Company so deviations can not be identified. The project submitted is a prescriptive project and energy savings are determined as described in Confidential and Proprietary Attachment 5 - Self Direct Program Project Calculation, and 10-1599-EL-EEC for the work papers that provide all methodologies, protocols, and practices used in this application for prescriptive measures, as needed.



Public Utilities Commission

Application to Commit
Energy Efficiency/Peak Demand
Reduction Programs
(Mercantile Customers Only)

Case No.: 15-0848-EL-EEC

State of Ohio :

Ozgun Donaci, Affiant, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that:

1. I am the duly authorized representative of:

DNV GL Energy Services USA Inc. agent of Ohio Power

2. I have personally examined all the information contained in the foregoing application, including any exhibits and attachments. Based upon my examination and inquiry of those persons immediately responsible for obtaining the information contained in the application, I believe that the information is true, accurate and complete.

[Signature] Energy Efficiency Engineer
Signature of Affiant & Title

Sworn and subscribed before me this 21st day of May, 2015 Month/Year

Brenda Brooks (Walke)
Signature of official administering oath

Brenda Brooks (Walke) Notary
Print Name and Title

My commission expires on 01-16-2018



Brenda Walke
Notary Public, State of Ohio
My Commission Expires 01-16-2018



Self Direct Project Overview & Commitment

The Public Utility Commission of Ohio (PUCO) will soon review your application for participation in AEP Ohio's Energy Efficiency/Peak Demand Response program. Based on your submitted project, please select by initialing one of the two options below, sign and fax to 877-607-0740.

Customer Name	THE OHIO HISTORICAL SOCIETY	
Project Number	AEP-14-14368	
Customer Premise Address	1982 VELMA AVE, COLUMBUS, OH 43211-2453	
Customer Mailing Address	800 E 17th Ave, Columbus, OH 43211	
Date Received	10/21/2014	
Project Installation Date	8/30/2013	
Annual kWh Reduction	61,291	
Total Project Cost	\$15,175.00	
Unadjusted Energy Efficiency Credit (EEC) Calculation	\$5,989.55	
Simple Payback (yrs)	8.7	
Utility Cost Test (UCT) for EEC	3.07	
Utility Cost Test (UCT) for Exemption	0.08	
<i>Please Choose One Option Below and Initial</i>		
Self Direct EEC: 75%	\$4,492.16	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Initial: AK
EE/PDR Rider Exemption	12 Months (with possible extension up to 21 months after PUCO Approval)	<input type="checkbox"/> Initial: _____

Note: This is a one time selection. By selecting EEC, the customer will receive payment in the amount stated above. Selection of EE/PDR rider exemption, will result in the customer not being eligible to participate in any other energy efficiency programs offered by AEP Ohio during the period of exemption. In addition, the term of EE/PDR rider exemption is subject to ongoing review for compliance and could be changed by the PUCO.

If EEC has been selected, will the Energy Efficiency Funds selected help you move forward with other energy efficiency projects? **YES** ☒ **NO** ☐

Note: Exemptions for periods beyond 24 months are subject to look-back or true-up adjustments every year to ensure that the exemption accurately reflects the EEDR savings. Applicants must file for renewal for any exemption beyond 12 months.

Project Overview:

The Self Direct (Prescriptive and Custom) project that the above has completed and applied is as follows.
Added Demand Control Ventilation in the facility for better control the CFM being allowed in the space

The documentation that was included with the application proved that the energy measures applied for were purchased and installed.

By signing this document, the Mercantile customer affirms its intention to commit and integrate the above listed energy efficiency resources into the utility's peak demand reduction, demand response, and energy efficiency programs. By signing, the Mercantile customer also agrees to serve as a joint applicant in any filings necessary to secure approval of this arrangement by the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio, and comply with any information and compliance reporting requirements imposed by rule or as part of that approval.

Ohio Power Company

By: *John J. Will*
Title: Manager
Date: 2/23/2015

THE OHIO HISTORICAL SOCIETY

By: *Amy Kaspar*
Title: ARCHITECT
Date: 2/23/15

Preliminary

AIRSENSE

Model 310e



**Microprocessor-based, Infrared
Environmental CO₂ Sensor**

Operator's manual

© Copyright 2002

AirSense Model 310e Operator's Manual

Date

12/1/02

AirSense Model 310e Operator's Manual

Table of Contents

Introduction.....	1
Displays and Indicators	1
Specifications	3
Installation	4
Cover Removal	4
Mounting	4
Wiring	5
Power Supply.....	5
Signal Output.....	5
Thermistor Connection	6
Verifying Voltage or Current Output Connection	7
Cover Replacement	7
Field Adjustments.....	7
Analog Output Range Adjustment	8
Altitude Correction.....	10
Altitude Correction Procedure.....	10
Calibration	11
Verification Procedure.....	11
High CO ₂ Limit	12
Adjusting the High CO ₂ Limit	12
Optional Thermistor	13
Optional High Limit Contact	13
Setting High Limit Contact Polarity.....	13
Duct Sampling Option.....	15
Overview	15
Duct Kit Contents	15
Duct Kit Installation.....	16
Disclaimers and Notices	17
Safety Critical Applications.....	17
Limited Warranty and Remedies.....	17

AirSense Model 310e Operator's Manual

Introduction

The AirSense Model 310e is a non-dispersive infrared analyzer for measuring environmental CO₂ concentration in ventilation systems and indoor living spaces. Its measurement range of 0 - 5000 ppm (parts per million; 1000 ppm = 0.1%) covers the range required to monitor compliance with ASHRAE or other ventilation efficiency standards.

Packaged in a compact, distinctively styled enclosure, the Model 310e can be discreetly installed anywhere from the board room to the boiler room. Standard center wiring access and fully floating outputs make installation a snap.

The Model 310e provides several output alternatives. A voltage or 4 - 20 mA current output is standard. An optional LCD readout is available. An optional relay contact can be configured to open or close above a user-adjustable setpoint.

Terminals for connection to an optional thermistor are available. With the correct thermistor installed A direct temperature input can be provided to the controller.

A simple one-point calibration procedure and a built-in calibration port that requires no special fittings or adapters make the Model 310e simple to maintain.

Displays and Indicators

The basic Model 310e has a single green LED on the front panel which illuminates whenever the unit is operating. This LED is on steadily when the measured concentration is below the high CO₂ limit, and blinks whenever the concentration is above the limit.

The standard factory high limit is 1000 ppm, but can be easily adjusted in the field. The procedure for adjusting the high CO₂ limit is described on page 12.

The display option adds a 4 digit liquid crystal display (LCD) to the front panel. The display shows the measured CO₂

AirSense Model 310e Operator's Manual

concentration in parts per million (ppm). 1000 parts per million equals 0.1%.

AirSense Model 310e Operator's Manual

Specifications

Operating principle		Non-dispersive infrared (NDIR)
Gas sampling method		Diffusion or sample draw
Measurement range		0 - 5000 ppm CO ₂
Typical drift (per year)		±75 ppm (@ 1200ppm)
Accuracy		±5% of reading or ±75 ppm, whichever is greater
Repeatability		±20 ppm
Recommended Calibration Interval		Five Years
Response time		Less than 1 minute
Operating temperature range		0 to 50 ° C
Operating humidity range		0 - 90% RH (non condensing)
Storage temperature		-30 to + 60 ° C
Power requirements		20 - 28 V _{RMS} AC, 18 - 30 VDC
Power consumption		Less than 2W @ 24 VAC
Calibration adjustments		Span only (offset electronically nulled)
Calibration verification time		10 minutes typical
Dimensions		5.2" x 3.2" x 1.4"
Voltage output (linear)		0 - 10 volts DC standard
Current output (linear)		4 - 20 mA (R _L ≤ 500Ω)
Warm-up time		3 minutes
Weight		6.5 Oz. (.35 Kg)
Optional Digital Display		4 digit, .35" LCD
Optional High Limit Contact	setpoint range	0 to full scale
	contact polarity	jumper selectable
	contact rating	2A @ 24 VAC
Operating life expectancy		10 years typical
Warranty		18 months, parts and labor through repair or exchange

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

6/26/2015 10:20:35 AM

in

Case No(s). 15-0848-EL-EEC

Summary: Application Ohio Historical Society and Ohio Power Company for approval of a special arrangement agreement with a mercantile customer electronically filed by Mr. Matthew J Satterwhite on behalf of Ohio Power Company