

**BEFORE  
THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO**

In The Matter of the Application of Duke	)	
Energy Ohio, Inc. for Recovery of	)	Case No. 15-534-EL-RDR
Program Costs, Lost Distribution	)	
Revenue, and Performance Incentives	)	
Relate to its Energy Efficiency and	)	
Demand Response Programs for 2014.	)	

---

**MOTION TO INTERVENE  
BY  
THE OFFICE OF THE OHIO CONSUMERS' COUNSEL**

---

The Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel ("OCC") moves to intervene in this case where the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio ("PUCO") will determine how much money customers will pay Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. ("Duke" or "Utility") for its energy efficiency programs through the Energy Efficiency and Peak Demand Response Rider ("EE/PDR Rider") for 2014. In this regard, Duke collects its energy efficiency portfolio costs, which includes its shared savings incentive, through a charge included on customers' electric bills. OCC is filing on behalf of all the residential utility customers of Duke. The reasons the PUCO should grant OCC's Motion are further set forth in the attached Memorandum in Support.

Respectfully submitted,

BRUCE J. WESTON  
OHIO CONSUMERS' COUNSEL

/s/ Kyle L. Kern

Kyle L. Kern, Counsel of Record  
(Reg. No. 0084199)

Assistant Consumers' Counsel  
**Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel**  
10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800  
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3485  
Telephone: (Kern Direct) 614-466-9585  
[Kyle.kern@occ.ohio.gov](mailto:Kyle.kern@occ.ohio.gov)  
(will accept service via e-mail)

**BEFORE  
THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO**

In the Matter of the Application of Duke	)	
Energy Ohio, Inc. for Recovery of	)	Case No. 15-534-EL-RDR
Program Costs, Lost Distribution	)	
Revenue, and Performance Incentives	)	
Related to its Energy Efficiency and	)	
Demand Response Programs for 2014.	)	

---

**MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT**

---

In this proceeding, Duke seeks to collect 2014 energy efficiency costs from customers. OCC has authority under law to represent the interests of the residential utility customers of Duke, pursuant to R.C. Chapter 4911.

R.C. 4903.221 provides, in part, that any person “who may be adversely affected” by a PUCO proceeding is entitled to seek intervention in that proceeding. The interests of Ohio’s residential customers may be “adversely affected” by this case, especially if the customers were unrepresented in a proceeding where the Utility will collect its energy efficiency costs (including its shared savings incentive) for 2014 from customers. Thus, this element of the intervention standard in R.C. 4903.221 is satisfied.

R.C. 4903.221(B) requires the Commission to consider the following criteria in ruling on motions to intervene:

- (1) The nature and extent of the prospective intervenor’s interest;
- (2) The legal position advanced by the prospective intervenor and its probable relation to the merits of the case;
- (3) Whether the intervention by the prospective intervenor will unduly prolong or delay the proceeding; and

- (4) Whether the prospective intervenor will significantly contribute to the full development and equitable resolution of the factual issues.

First, the nature and extent of OCC's interest is representing the residential customers of Duke in this case where the Utility will collect energy efficiency costs from customers. This interest is different than that of any other party and especially different than that of the utility whose advocacy includes the financial interest of stockholders.

Second, OCC's advocacy for residential customers will include advancing the position that the rates for electric service (including energy efficiency costs) paid by Duke's customers should be no more than what is reasonable and lawful under Ohio law. OCC's position is therefore directly related to the merits of this case that is pending before the PUCO, the authority with regulatory control of public utilities' rates and service quality in Ohio.

Third, OCC's intervention will not unduly prolong or delay the proceedings. OCC, with its longstanding expertise and experience in PUCO proceedings, will duly allow for the efficient processing of the case with consideration of the public interest.

Fourth, OCC's intervention will significantly contribute to the full development and equitable resolution of the factual issues. OCC will obtain and develop information that the PUCO should consider for equitably and lawfully deciding the case in the public interest.

OCC also satisfies the intervention criteria in the Ohio Administrative Code (which are subordinate to the criteria that OCC satisfies in the Ohio Revised Code). To intervene, a party should have a "real and substantial interest" according to Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-11(A)(2). As the advocate for residential utility customers, OCC has a very

real and substantial interest in this case in this case involving the Utility's 2014 EE/PDR Rider costs, which could affect the rates residential customers pay for electric service.

In addition, OCC meets the criteria of Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-11(B)(1)-(4). These criteria mirror the statutory criteria in R.C. 4903.221(B) that OCC already has addressed and that OCC satisfies.

Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-11(B)(5) states that the Commission shall consider the "extent to which the person's interest is represented by existing parties." While OCC does not concede the lawfulness of this criterion, OCC satisfies this criterion in that it uniquely has been designated as the state representative of the interests of Ohio's residential utility customers. That interest is different from, and not represented by, any other entity in Ohio.

Moreover, the Supreme Court of Ohio confirmed OCC's right to intervene in PUCO proceedings, in deciding two appeals in which OCC claimed the PUCO erred by denying its interventions. The Court found that the PUCO abused its discretion in denying OCC's interventions and that OCC should have been granted intervention in both proceedings.<sup>1</sup>

OCC meets the criteria set forth in R.C. 4903.221, Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-11, and the precedent established by the Supreme Court of Ohio for intervention. On behalf of Ohio residential customers, the Commission should grant OCC's Motion to Intervene.

---

<sup>1</sup> See *Ohio Consumers' Counsel v. Pub. Util. Comm.*, 111 Ohio St.3d 384, 2006-Ohio-5853, ¶¶13-20 (2006).

Respectfully submitted,

BRUCE J. WESTON  
OHIO CONSUMERS' COUNSEL

/s/ Kyle L. Kern

Kyle L. Kern, Counsel of Record  
(Reg. No. 0084199)

**Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel**  
10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800  
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3485  
Telephone: (Kern Direct) 614-466-9585  
[Kyle.kern@occ.ohio.gov](mailto:Kyle.kern@occ.ohio.gov)  
(will accept service via e-mail)

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that a copy of this *Motion to Intervene* was served on the persons stated below via electronic transmission, this 28th day of May 2015.

/s/ Kyle L. Kern

Kyle L. Kern  
Assistant Consumers' Counsel

## **SERVICE LIST**

Ryan O'Rourke  
Attorney General's Office  
Public Utilities Commission of Ohio  
180 E. Broad St., 6<sup>th</sup> Fl.  
Columbus, OH 43215  
[Ryan.orourke@puc.state.oh.us](mailto:Ryan.orourke@puc.state.oh.us)

Amy A. Spiller  
Deputy General Counsel  
Elizabeth H. Watts  
Associate General Counsel  
139 E. Fourth Street, 1303-Main  
P.O. Box 960  
Cincinnati, OH 45201-0960  
[Amv.Spiller@duke-energy.com](mailto:Amv.Spiller@duke-energy.com)  
[Elizabeth.Watts@duke-energy.com](mailto:Elizabeth.Watts@duke-energy.com)

David F. Boehm  
Michael L. Kurtz  
Kurt J. Boehm  
Jody Kyler Cohn  
Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry  
36 East Seventh Street, Suite 1510  
Cincinnati, OH 45202  
[dboehm@BKLawfirm.com](mailto:dboehm@BKLawfirm.com)  
[mkurtz@BKLawfirm.com](mailto:mkurtz@BKLawfirm.com)  
[kboehm@BKLawfirm.com](mailto:kboehm@BKLawfirm.com)  
jkylercohn@BKLawfirm

Colleen L. Mooney  
Ohio Partners for Affordable Energy  
231 West Lima Street  
P.O. Box 1793  
Findlay, OH 45839-1793  
[cmooney@ohiopartners.org](mailto:cmooney@ohiopartners.org)

Counsel for the Ohio Energy Group

Attorney Examiners:

Christine.pirik@puc.state.oh.us  
Nicholas.walstra@puc.state.oh.us

**This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities**

**Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on**

**5/28/2015 1:45:14 PM**

**in**

**Case No(s). 15-0534-EL-RDR**

Summary: Motion Motion to Intervene by the Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel electronically filed by Ms. Deb J. Bingham on behalf of Kern, Kyle L.