

BEFORE

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Commission's)
Review of the Ohio Power Company's) Case No. 14-2275-EL-RDR
Distribution Investment Rider Plan.)

ENTRY

The attorney examiner finds:

- (1) Ohio Power Company d.b.a. AEP Ohio (AEP Ohio or the Company) is a public utility as defined in R.C. 4905.02 and, as such, is subject to the jurisdiction of this Commission.
- (2) On August 8, 2012, the Commission issued its Opinion and Order approving, with certain modifications, AEP Ohio's application for a standard service offer (SSO) in the form of an electric security plan (ESP), in accordance with R.C. 4928.143. In re Columbus Southern Power Company and Ohio Power Company, Case No. 11-346-EL-SSO, et al. (ESP 2 Case), Opinion and Order (Aug. 8, 2012). Among other provisions of the ESP, the Commission modified and approved AEP Ohio's proposed Distribution Investment Rider (DIR) to allow for the recovery of capital costs for distribution infrastructure investments to facilitate improved service reliability. Additionally, the Commission directed AEP Ohio to work with Staff to develop a DIR plan to emphasize proactive distribution maintenance that focuses spending on where it will have the greatest impact on maintaining and improving reliability for customers. Finally, the Commission required that the DIR be reviewed annually for accounting accuracy, prudence, and compliance with the DIR plan developed by Staff and AEP Ohio. ESP 2 Case at 46-47.
- (3) On December 15, 2014, in the above captioned case, AEP Ohio filed the DIR plan developed with Staff, as directed by the Commission in the ESP 2 Order.
- (4) In order to assist the Commission in its review of AEP Ohio's DIR plan, the attorney examiner finds that the following procedural schedule should be established:

- (a) May 20, 2015 - Deadline for the filing of motions to intervene.
- (b) June 4, 2015 - Deadline for the filing of comments on the DIR plan by Staff and intervenors.
- (c) June 18, 2015 - Deadline for all parties to file reply comments.

It is, therefore,

ORDERED, That the procedural schedule set forth in Finding (4) be adopted.
It is, further,

ORDERED, That a copy of this Entry be served upon all parties and other interested persons of record in this case and all parties of record in Case No. 11-346-EL-SSO, et al.

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

s/Greta See

By: Greta See
Attorney Examiner

GAP/dah

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

5/12/2015 4:26:36 PM

in

Case No(s). 14-2275-EL-UNC

Summary: Attorney Examiner Entry that the procedural schedule set forth in Finding (4) be adopted; electronically filed by Debra Hight on behalf of Greta See, Attorney Examiner.