

FILE

13-0990-EL-BGN

Butler, Matthew

From: Puco ContactOPSB
Sent: Thursday, May 07, 2015 10:27 AM
To: denbert@frontier.com
Subject: RE: OPSB-ContactUs

Mr. Albert,

Thank you for contacting the Ohio Power Siting Board regarding the Greenwich Windpark. An application for rehearing filed in this case remains pending. I will docket your comments in the online record for case number 13-0990-EL-BGN where Chairman Porter and Board members are able to review it.

A complete list of all proposed and approved wind facilities under the Board's jurisdiction is available online at <http://www.opsb.ohio.gov/opsb/?LinkServID=895FE98C-C363-FCF9-6BFDC7DF3A3F7AA2>.

Respectfully,

Matt Butler
Administrative Officer
Rates and Analysis Department
Public Utilities Commission of Ohio | Ohio Power Siting Board
614-644-7670
www.PUCO.ohio.gov | www.OPSB.ohio.gov

-----Original Message-----

From: denbert@frontier.com [mailto:webmaster@puc.state.oh.us]
Sent: Wednesday, May 06, 2015 1:48 PM
To: Puco ContactOPSB
Subject: OPSB-ContactUs

This form was sent at: May 6, 2015 1:47 PM

CONTACT_REASON: Complaint
TITLE: Mr.
FIRST_NAME: Dennis
LAST_NAME: Albert
EMAIL: denbert@frontier.com
PHONE_NUMBER: 419-577-1236
ALTERNATIVE_PHONE_NUMBER:
STREET_ADDRESS1: 2631 Alpha Rd.
STREET_ADDRESS2:
CITY: Greenwich
STATE: OH
ZIP: 44837
COUNTY: Huron
COUNTRY: USA
COMPANY_NAME:
CASE_NUMBER: 13-0990-EL-BGN
COMMENTS: Dear Chairman Porter et al:

RECEIVED-DOCKETING DIV
2015 MAY -7 AM 10:43
PUCO

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business.
Technician 1/1 Date Processed MAY 07 2015

I have reviewed some of the latest comments regarding the opposition to Windlab's wind facility and the proverbial nail has been hit on the head more than once. There is one question that I would like to ask the "powers that be" at the OPSB. Out of all the cases that have ever been presented for industrial wind facilities approval, what percentage have actually been denied as compared to those approved. Since the inception of this proposed wind facility here in Greenwich, there have been oversights exposed, not only on Windlab's part but on the part of OPSB as well. When these oversights were addressed, the answer we got was basically this " Oh don't worry about that, these things can be mitigated at a later date."! Of course, everyone starts to do the double shuffle repositioning themselves for more time to cover their tracks while the residents of Greenwich are expected to "toe the line".

A Farm Bureau representative told us once at a community meeting that the OPSB is a legal entity with the same powers of jurisprudence as the courts of our land. Does this also mean that the OPSB is above the law and is not obligated to practice what they supposedly represent? Is the "rubber stamping while looking the other way" the accepted method for serving the best interests of Ohioans in Ohio's quest for other renewable forms of energy?

These questions and more need answering by the OPSB, not only to the people of Greenwich, but to all Ohioans!