## RECEIVED-DOCKETING DIV

**Public Utilities Commission of Ohio** 

2015 MAY -6 PH 1:23

PUCO

# Memo

To:

**Docketing Division** 

From:

George Martin, Grade Crossing Planner, Rail Division

Re:

In the matter of the authorization of Norfolk Southern Railway to install an active grade crossing

warning device in Crawford County

Date:

May 6, 2015

The Ohio Rail Development Commission (ORDC) has authorized funding for Norfolk Southern Railway (NS) to install mast-mounted flashing lights and roadway gates at the following location:

Crawford County, Village of Chatfield, Washington St, DOT# 481585D, approved cost \$427,416.00.

The crossing was surveyed on October 24, 2014, due to its hazard ranking, and was found to warrant the upgrade.

The project will be paid for with federal funds, and is actual cost. As the plan and estimate in the above referenced amount have already been approved, staff requests a Finding & Order with completion in nine months. Construction may commence at once. Staff requests that the following language be incorporated in the Entry:

It is expected that all work necessary for FHWA acceptance of the warning devices will be completed by the in-service due date and that the railroad will be responsible for this work. This work includes, but is not limited to:

Any ancillary work to make the warning devices function as designed and visible to the roadway user, and

MUTCD compliance, including minor roadway work if necessary.

A suggested case coding and heading would be:

PUCO Case No. 15- 25-4 -RR-FED In the matter of the authorization of Norfolk Southern Railway to install an active grade crossing warning device in Crawford County

C: Legal Department

Please serve the following parties of record

Ms Cathy Stout

Ohio Rail Development Commission

1980 West Broad St, Mailstop #3140

Columbus, Oh 43223

Mr Stephen Klinger

Norfolk Southern Railway

1200 Peachtree St, Box 123

Atlanta, Ga 30309

Mr D Casey Talbot

Eastman & Smith Ltd

One Seagate, 24th Floor

PO Box 10032

Toledo, Oh 43699-0032

Mr Kevin Ulmer

Village of Chatfield

PO Box 102

Chatfield, Oh 44825

Ohio Power Company

## OHIO RAIL DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION INTER-OFFICE COMMUNICATION

TO:

George Martin, Rail Division, PUCO

FROM:

Cathy Stout, Manager, Safety Section, ORDC

BY:

Joe Reinhardt, Project Manager, ORDE

SUBJECT:

Crawford County, Washington Street, DOT 481585D

DOT 481585D, PID 99315

DATE:

May 4, 2015

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio (PUCO) established a diagnostic survey at the subject location on Washington Street. The Ohio Rail Development Commission (ORDC) attended the review. The Diagnostic Team recommended the improvement of warning devices to flashing lights and roadway gates. Copies of the diagnostic review form and the plan and estimate are attached.

PE has already been provided by the railroad. ORDC approves the site plans and estimates as provided. Please issue a construction-only order for the project outlined above. This construction authorization is made with the stipulation and understanding that any field work needs prior approval before the work begins. This authorization is made with the stipulation and understanding that an approved estimate may contain entries for items or activities that may be cited and found to be ineligible for federal participation during the project audit.

It is expected that all work necessary for FHWA acceptance of the warning devices will be completed by the in-service due date and that the <u>railroad will be responsible</u> for this work. This work includes, but is not limited to:

- any ancillary work to make warning devices function as designed and visible to the roadway user, and
- MUTCD compliance including minor roadway work if necessary.

Thank you for your assistance with these matters.

Attachment:

Diagnostic Review

Plan & Estimate

c:

George Martin, PUCO

ORDC Project Manager (file)



Mail Stop #3140, 1980 West Broad Street, Columbus OH 43223 John R. Kasich, Governor • Mark Policinski, ORDC Chairman

May 4, 2015

Mr. Stephen Klinger Public Projects Engineer 1200 Peach Street NE, Box 123 Atlanta, Ga. 30309

RE: Crawford County, Washington Street, DOT 481585D

PID# 99315, NS Project 10.2148

Dear Mr. Klinger:

The plan and estimate dated April 7, 2015, for the referenced project has been reviewed and is acceptable. NS may proceed with the construction of the proposed grade crossing warning system in accordance with the abbreviated plan. This authorization is made with the stipulation and understanding that the approved estimate may contain entries for items or activities that may be cited and found to be ineligible for federal participation during the project audit. Reimbursement of eligible actual cost is limited to \$427,416.00. Additional costs must be approved in writing by the Ohio Rail Development Commission (ORDC) prior to being incurred. Emergency verbal authorizations by ORDC may be permitted and will be confirmed by ORDC in writing within ten (10) business days of the verbal approval.

This authorization is contingent upon NS accepting the following instructions:

- 1. NS's project foreman will furnish written notification five (5) working days prior to the date work will start at the project site to Joe Reinhardt, ORDC, email <a href="mailto:joe.reinhardt@dot.state.oh.us">joe.reinhardt@dot.state.oh.us</a> and to the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio at <a href="mailto:George.martin@puc.state.oh.us">George.martin@puc.state.oh.us</a>. NS's project foreman will also notify the same of any stops and re-starts of the work activity and of the date work was completed for the project.
- 2. NS will arrange for utilities to be located at the project site by the Ohio Utilities
  Protection Service (OUPS) prior to any construction activities at the site. Utilities that
  are not participating members of the service must be contacted directly by NS.
- 3. NS's project foremen will notify Joe Reinhardt at 614-580-7728 (telephone) or <a href="mailto:joe.reinhardt@dot.state.oh.us">joe.reinhardt@dot.state.oh.us</a> (email) of any changes in the scope of work, cost overruns, material changes, etc. which are not included in the approved plan and estimate and secure approval of same before the work is performed.
- 4. Open cut of roadways is not permitted except in unusual circumstances and must be coordinated with the local highway authority and preapproved by ORDC.
- 5. NS will furnish two (2) copies of each partial bill to ORDC. Please find the enclosed ODOT Purchase Order to reference when billing.



www.rail.ohio.gov phone: 614.644.0306

IMPROVING RAIL TODAY FOR TOMORROW'S ECONOMY

- 6. NS will furnish two (2) copies of the final all-inclusive bill to ORDC stating the exact dates of starting and completing work, the initial and final dates of construction and location where the accounts may be audited.
- 7. This installation will include any ancillary work to make the warning devices function as designed and meet MUTCD.

Thank you for your assistance with these matters.

Sincerely,

Joseph Reinhardt Project Manager

C: Randall Schumacher, Rail Division Supervisor, PUCO George Martin, Grade Crossing Planner, PUCO Susan Arduini, ORDC ORDC (file) Diagnostic Review Team Survey


Reason for Survey: (e.g. formula, accident, constituent, etc.)	a ·	Date: 10/24/2014	
Location Data			
Street or Road Name: Washington Stree	t		
Route/Road Number (i.e. Twp., Co., SR or US)		US DOT No.: 481585D	
County: CRA Township:	City: (In or Near)	Vil. of Chatfield	
Railroad Name: Norfolk Southern	Railroad Division: Lake	Branch/Line Sandusky Name:	
Nearest RR Timetable Station: Chatfield		RR Milepost: 73.31	
On-Site Review Team			
2. GERGE MARTIN - 3. EVERETT CHIS.	DC 614-644-0251 PJCO 614-752- M NG 419-483 Villege 419-988	-1101	
9. Existing Traffic Control Devices			
9		Quantity/Comments	
9.	s	Quantity/Comments	
9.  Existing Traffic Control Devices  Type of Warning Devices  Advance Warning Signs (condition?)  'Stop' Signs	s Installed?  Yes No	Quantity/Comments	
9. Existing Traffic Control Devices Type of Warning Devices Advance Warning Signs (condition?) 'Stop' Signs 'Stop Ahead' Signs		Quantity/Comments	
9. Existing Traffic Control Devices Type of Warning Devices Advance Warning Signs (condition?) 'Stop' Signs 'Stop Ahead' Signs Pavement Markings (condition?)	Installed?	22	
9. Existing Traffic Control Devices Type of Warning Devices Advance Warning Signs (condition?) 'Stop' Signs 'Stop Ahead' Signs Pavement Markings (condition?) Crossbucks	Installed?	Quantity/Comments 2 2 W VIECO	
9. Existing Traffic Control Devices Type of Warning Devices Advance Warning Signs (condition?) 'Stop' Signs 'Stop Ahead' Signs Pavement Markings (condition?) Crossbucks Number of Tracks Signs	Installed?   No   Yes   No   No   No   No   No   No   No   N	2- W YIELD	
9.  Existing Traffic Control Devices Type of Warning Devices Advance Warning Signs (condition?)  'Stop' Signs  'Stop Ahead' Signs Pavement Markings (condition?)  Crossbucks Number of Tracks Signs Inventory Tags	Installed?	22	
9. Existing Traffic Control Devices Type of Warning Devices Advance Warning Signs (condition?) 'Stop' Signs 'Stop Ahead' Signs Pavement Markings (condition?) Crossbucks Number of Tracks Signs Inventory Tags Interconnected Highway Traffic Signal	Installed?   No	2- W YIELD	
Existing Traffic Control Devices Type of Warning Devices Advance Warning Signs (condition?) 'Stop' Signs 'Stop Ahead' Signs Pavement Markings (condition?) Crossbucks Number of Tracks Signs Inventory Tags Interconnected Highway Traffic Signal Mass-Mounted Flashing Lights	Installed?	2- W YIELD 2-	
9. Existing Traffic Control Devices Type of Warning Devices Advance Warning Signs (condition?) 'Stop' Signs 'Stop Ahead' Signs Pavement Markings (condition?) Crossbucks Number of Tracks Signs Inventory Tags Interconnected Highway Traffic Signal Mast-Mounted Flashing Lights Cantilever Flashing Lights	Installed?	2- W YIELD	
Existing Traffic Control Devices Type of Warning Devices Advance Warning Signs (condition?) 'Stop' Signs 'Stop Ahead' Signs Pavement Markings (condition?) Crossbucks Number of Tracks Signs Inventory Tags Interconnected Highway Traffic Signal Mast-Mounted Flashing Lights Cantilever Flashing Lights Side Lights	Installed?	2 W VIECO  2 Number: Length:	
Existing Traffic Control Devices Type of Warning Devices Advance Warning Signs (condition?) 'Stop' Signs 'Stop Ahead' Signs Pavement Markings (condition?) Crossbucks Number of Tracks Signs Inventory Tags Interconnected Highway Traffic Signal Mast-Mounted Flashing Lights Cantilever Flashing Lights Side Lights Automatic Gates	Installed?   No   Yes   Yes   No   Yes   Ye	2 W YIECD  2 Number: Length:  Number: Length:	
Existing Traffic Control Devices Type of Warning Devices Advance Warning Signs (condition?) 'Stop' Signs 'Stop Ahead' Signs Pavement Markings (condition?) Crossbucks Number of Tracks Signs Inventory Tags Interconnected Highway Traffic Signal Mast-Mounted Flashing Lights Cantilever Flashing Lights Side Lights Automatic Gates Bells	Installed?   No   Yes   Xes   Xes   Xes   Yes   Xes   Xes   Yes   Xes   Yes   Xes   Xes   Yes   Yes   Xes   Yes   Xes   Yes   Xes   Yes   Xes   Yes   Yes   Xes   Yes   Yes   Xes   Yes   Yes   Xes   Yes   Yes	2 W VIECO  2 Number: Length:	
Existing Traffic Control Devices Type of Warning Devices Advance Warning Signs (condition?) 'Stop' Signs 'Stop Ahead' Signs Pavement Markings (condition?) Crossbucks Number of Tracks Signs Inventory Tags Interconnected Highway Traffic Signal Mast-Mounted Flashing Lights Cantilever Flashing Lights Side Lights Automatic Gates Bells Sidewalk Gate Arms	Installed?	2 W YIECD  2 Number: Length:  Number: Length:	
Existing Traffic Control Devices Type of Warning Devices Advance Warning Signs (condition?) 'Stop' Signs 'Stop Ahead' Signs Pavement Markings (condition?) Crossbucks Number of Tracks Signs Inventory Tags Interconnected Highway Traffic Signal Mast-Mounted Flashing Lights Cantilever Flashing Lights Side Lights Automatic Gates Bells Sidewalk Gate Arms 'No Turn' Signs	Installed?	2 W YIECD  2 Number: Length:  Number: Length:	
Existing Traffic Control Devices Type of Warning Devices Advance Warning Signs (condition?) 'Stop' Signs 'Stop Ahead' Signs Pavement Markings (condition?) Crossbucks Number of Tracks Signs Inventory Tags Interconnected Highway Traffic Signal Mast-Mounted Flashing Lights Cantilever Flashing Lights Side Lights Automatic Gates Bells Sidewalk Gate Arms 'No Turn' Signs Illumination	Installed?   No   Yes	2 W YIECD  2 Number: Length:  Number: Length:	
Existing Traffic Control Devices Type of Warning Devices Advance Warning Signs (condition?) 'Stop' Signs 'Stop Ahead' Signs Pavement Markings (condition?) Crossbucks Number of Tracks Signs Inventory Tags Interconnected Highway Traffic Signal Mast-Mounted Flashing Lights Cantilever Flashing Lights Side Lights Automatic Gates Bells Sidewalk Gate Arms 'No Turn' Signs	Installed?	2 W YIECD  2 Number: Length:  Number: Length:	

	Safety Data (Obtain crash reports, if possible, prior to review)		
1 2777	tial Information (from database)	Revised	
Number & dates of crashes 0			
in previous 5 years			
Hazard Ranking 1361	Date Run: 9/5/2014		
Railroad Data			
Railroad Characteristics	Initial Information (from database)	Revised	
Total trains per day	30		
< 1 per day			
Day thru trains	14		
Night thru trains	14		
Daytime switching movements			
Nighttime switching movements	2		
Total number of tracks	1		
Number of main tracks	1		
Number of other tracks			
Maximum train speed	60		
Typical train speed	50		
Amtrak			
If non-gated crossing, is clearing sight distant		Yes No	
If multiple tracks, can two trains occupy cr			
Can one train block the motorists' view of	another train at crossing? 🔲 Yes (Explain be	elow) 🛛 No	
Can one or more tracks be eliminated thro	ough the crossing? 🔲 Yes 🏿 🏋 No		
Are there other track(s) crossing this same	<del></del>		
I the minima carrol of residal of cashing religious	e roadway within 100 ft of this crossing? 🔲 `	Yes 🗖 No	
If yes, Crossing DOT #(if different)	e roadway within 100 ft of this crossing? \(\bigcap\) \\	<del>-</del> -	
If yes, Crossing DOT #(if different)		<del>-</del> -	
If yes, Crossing DOT #(if different)		<del>-</del> -	
If yes, Crossing DOT #(if different) (take me Roadway Data	easurement between track centerlines at close	<del>-</del> -	
If yes, Crossing DOT #(if different) If yes, distance (take me Roadway Data Local Highway Authority:	easurement between track centerlines at close Village of Chatfield	est point along roadway)	
If yes, Crossing DOT #(if different) If yes, distance (take me Roadway Data Local Highway Authority: Roadway Characteristics	Village of Chatfield Initial Information (from database)	est point along roadway)	
If yes, Crossing DOT #(if different)   If yes, distance (take me Roadway Data   Local Highway Authority:   Roadway Characteristics   Average daily traffic   Highway paved	Village of Chatfield Initial Information (from database)  53 (2014)  Yes No	est point along roadway)  Revised	
If yes, Crossing DOT #(if different) If yes, distance (take me Roadway Data  Local Highway Authority:  Roadway Characteristics  Average daily traffic	Village of Chatfield Initial Information (from database)  53 (2014)  Yes No	est point along roadway)  Revised	
If yes, Crossing DOT #(if different) (take me	Village of Chatfield Initial Information (from database)  53 (2014)  Yes	est point along roadway)  Revised	
If yes, Crossing DOT #(if different)   f yes, distance (take me Roadway Data Local Highway Authority: Roadway Characteristics Average daily traffic Highway paved Roadway Surface:	Village of Chatfield Initial Information (from database)  53 (2014)  Yes	est point along roadway)  Revised	
If yes, Crossing DOT #(if different) (take me	Village of Chatfield Initial Information (from database)  53 (2014)  Yes	est point along roadway)  Revised	
If yes, Crossing DOT #(if different)   f yes, distance (take me Roadway Data Local Highway Authority: Roadway Characteristics Average daily traffic Highway paved Roadway Surface: Blacktop  Gravel Roadway width:  ft. Number of highway lanes Urban or Rural	Village of Chatfield Initial Information (from database)  53 (2014)  Yes	est point along roadway)  Revised	
If yes, Crossing DOT #(if different)   f yes, distance (take me Roadway Data   Local Highway Authority: Roadway Characteristics   Average daily traffic   Highway paved   Roadway Surface:   Blacktop	Village of Chatfield Initial Information (from database)  53 (2014)  Yes No Concrete Other  PULAL  Yes	est point along roadway)  Revised	
If yes, Crossing DOT #(if different)   f yes, distance (take me Roadway Data   Local Highway Authority:   Roadway Characteristics    Average daily traffic   Highway paved   Roadway Surface:   Blacktop	Village of Chatfield Initial Information (from database)  53 (2014)  Yes	est point along roadway)  Revised	
If yes, Crossing DOT #(if different)   f yes, distance (take me Roadway Data   Local Highway Authority: Roadway Characteristics   Average daily traffic   Highway paved   Roadway Surface: Blacktop	Village of Chatfield Initial Information (from database)  53 (2014)  Yes No Concrete Other  PULAL  Yes	est point along roadway)  Revised	
If yes, Crossing DOT #(if different)   f yes, distance (take me Roadway Data   Local Highway Authority: Roadway Characteristics   Average daily traffic   Highway paved   Roadway Surface:   Blacktop	Village of Chatfield  Initial Information (from database)  53 (2014)  Yes No Concrete Other  PULAL  Yes Amount  Yes Amount	est point along roadway)  Revised	

Quadrant DE Curb and Gutter:	Quadrant 510 Curb and Gutter:
Functional (Curb height = 4" or more)	Functional (Curb height = 4" or more)
Non-functional (Curb height = Less than 4")	Non-functional (Curb height = Less than 4")
None Standard	None
Pedestrians: No ZYes	
Is sidewalk present? 🕻 No 🔲 Yes	
Is there a nearby intersection that could cause queuing over the could restauce	rossing? K No Yes
Is this intersection signalized? No Yes	
Are the signals currently interconnected with the existing crossi	ing warning devices? X No Tyes
Is there a 'Do not Stop on Track' sign? 📈 No 💮 Yes	· · · · · ·
location in the foreseeable future? 🔀 No 💮 Yes	by new or upgraded traffic signal, sidewalk) planned at or near this
If yes, Improvement typeLead Agency	Timeline/completion
Is it the consensus of the Diagnostic Review Team that this is a po Explain reasons:	otential closure project: No Yes
Type of Development	
Open Space Institutional Location of nearby	v schools:
Residential pulce	Je Washington
Utility Information	
Is commercial power available? No Yes	
Utility Provider (Company Name) Ohio Ruck	Discuss Niconitaco
	Phone Number
Nearest Available Power Source	
What other utilities are present?  Gas  Cable (add locations to sketch) Petroleum Water Other	☐ Telephone
Is(are) there potential utility conflict(s) Yes No [	☑ Unknown
Comments:	<b>~</b> - ···
*	

Potential Red Flags / Project Challenges	
Traffic Signal Preemption (include traffic signal intersection name and LHA with	h jurisdiction over traffic signal, if known):
Crossing Consolidation or Closure:	
Real Estate or ROW:	
Culverts / Drainage / Ballast Conditions:	
Roadway and/or Sidewalks:	
Noadway andror Sidewarks.	
Circuitry (e.g. reaches out to other crossings, specific needs, etc.):	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
Environmental:	
Other:	
	)A
	DIV.
	NI.
	JUX-24-14
	0.2911
	10

Diagnostic Team Recommendations	
	Quadrants Needed
Install/upgrade active devices	
Automatic Flashing Lights (AFLS)	
AFLS /Cants	
X AFLS / Gares	NE 4 SW
☐ AFLS / Gates / Cants	
☐ Bells / number	
Upgrade circuitry / type	
☐ Sidelights	
Guardrail Needed	
☐ Install/Replace curb	
Bungalow placement & offset from rail & highway	
Other (define)	
	·
☐ Install/upgrade traffic signal preemption	
☐ No improvements needed	
Other (define)	
Acknowledgement of Recommendations (each entity represente acknowledgement):  GM  JUNE  SHOP  SHOP  JUNE  SHOP  JU	ed at the diagnostic must have at least one signature






TABLE I

**Clearing Sight Distances** 

Maximum Authorized Train Speed	Distance (dT) Along Railroad from Crossing (ft)
1 - 10	240
15	360
20	480
25	600
30	720
35	840
40	960
45	1080
50	1200
55	1320
(60)	1440
65	1560
70	1680
75	1800
80	1920
85	2040
90	2160

Source: R-H Grade Crossing Handbook Table 36 (pp. 132-133)

#### Notes:

All calculated distances are rounded up to the next higher 5foot increment.

Distances indicated are for 65-ft double bottom semi-tractor trailers and level single track 90 degree crossings; and may need to be adjusted for multiple tracks, skewed crossings or approaches on grades.

Clearing Sight Distance is to be measured in each vehicle travel direction at <u>non-gated crossings</u> as viewed from a point 25 feet from centerline of nearest track in the center of whichever travel lane is nearest the direction along track being measured.

Table 2

### **Stopping Sight Distances**

Highway Vehicle Speed	Distance (dH) Along Roadway from Crossing (ft)
0	n/a
5	50
10	70
15	105
20	135
(25)	180
30	225
35	280
40	340
45	410
50	490
55	570
60	660
65	760
70	865

Source: R-H Grade Crossing Handbook Table 36 (pp. 132-133)

#### Notes

All calculated distances are rounded up to the next higher 5foot increment.

Distances indicated are for 65-ft double bottom semi-tractor trailers on dry level pavements.

Stopping Sight Distance is to be measured on each roadway approach to crossing from stop bar.

Jul-14