

In the Matter of the Application of )  
The Dayton Power and Light Company to ) Case No. 15-0361-EL-RDR  
Update its Transmission Cost Recovery )  
Rider – Non-Bypassable )

The Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel ("OCC") moves to intervene in this case where there will be increases in rates for residential customers located in Southwestern Ohio.<sup>1</sup> OCC is filing on behalf of all the 516,000 residential utility customers of Dayton Power and Light Company ("DP&L"). The reasons the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio ("PUCO") should grant OCC's Motion are further set forth in the attached Memorandum in Support.

**Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel**  
10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800  
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3485  
Telephone [Moore]: (614) 387-2965  
[kevin.moore@occ.ohio.gov](mailto:kevin.moore@occ.ohio.gov)  
(will accept service via e-mail)

<sup>1</sup> See R.C. Chapter 4911, R.C. 4903.221 and Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-11.

In the Matter of the Application of )  
The Dayton Power and Light Company to ) Case No. 15-0361-EL-RDR  
Update its Transmission Cost Recovery )  
Rider – Non-Bypassable )

The above-captioned case involves a proposed increase to DP&L’s Transmission Cost Recovery Rider – Non-Bypassable (“TCRR-N”), which is designed to charge all customers for transmission related costs charged to DP&L by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission or PJM Interconnection, L.L.C. OCC has authority under law to represent the interests of all the 516,000 residential utility customers of DP&L, under R.C. Chapter 4911.

R.C. 4903.221 provides, in part, that any person “who may be adversely affected” by a PUCO proceeding is entitled to seek intervention in that proceeding. The interests of Ohio’s residential customers may be “adversely affected” by this case, especially if the customers were unrepresented in a proceeding that sets rates those residential customers would pay. Thus, this element of the intervention standard in R.C. 4903.221 is satisfied.

R.C. 4903.221(B) requires the PUCO to consider the following criteria in ruling on motions to intervene:

- (1) The nature and extent of the prospective intervenor's interest;
- (2) The legal position advanced by the prospective intervenor and its probable relation to the merits of the case;
- (3) Whether the intervention by the prospective intervenor will unduly prolong or delay the proceeding; and

- (4) Whether the prospective intervenor will significantly contribute to the full development and equitable resolution of the factual issues.

First, the nature and extent of OCC's interest is representing the residential customers of DP&L in this case involving the determination of rates and associated matters. This interest is different than that of any other party and especially different than that of the utility whose advocacy includes the financial interest of stockholders.

Second, OCC's advocacy for residential customers will include advancing the position that rates should be no more than what is reasonable and lawful under Ohio law, for service that is adequate under Ohio law. OCC's position is therefore directly related to the merits of this case that is pending before the PUCO, the authority with regulatory control of public utilities' rates and service quality in Ohio.

Third, OCC's intervention will not unduly prolong or delay the proceedings. OCC, with its longstanding expertise and experience in PUCO proceedings, will duly allow for the efficient processing of the case with consideration of the public interest.

Fourth, OCC's intervention will significantly contribute to the full development and equitable resolution of the factual issues. OCC will obtain and develop information that the PUCO should consider for equitably and lawfully deciding the case in the public interest.

OCC also satisfies the intervention criteria in the Ohio Administrative Code (which are subordinate to the criteria that OCC satisfies in the Ohio Revised Code). To intervene, a party should have a "real and substantial interest" according to Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-11(A)(2). As the advocate for residential utility customers, OCC has a very real and substantial interest in this case where rates would be determined.

In addition, OCC meets the criteria of Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-11(B)(1)-(4). These criteria mirror the statutory criteria in R.C. 4903.221(B) that OCC already has addressed and that OCC satisfies.

Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-11(B)(5) states that the PUCO shall consider “The extent to which the person’s interest is represented by existing parties.” While OCC does not concede the lawfulness of this criterion, OCC satisfies this criterion in that it uniquely has been designated as the state representative of the interests of Ohio’s residential utility customers. That interest is different from, and not represented by, any other entity in Ohio.

Moreover, the Supreme Court of Ohio confirmed OCC’s right to intervene in PUCO proceedings, in deciding two appeals in which OCC claimed the PUCO erred by denying its interventions. The Court found that the PUCO abused its discretion in denying OCC’s interventions and that OCC should have been granted intervention in both proceedings.<sup>2</sup>

OCC meets the criteria set forth in R.C. 4903.221, Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-11, and the precedent established by the Supreme Court of Ohio for intervention. On behalf of Ohio residential customers, the PUCO should grant OCC’s Motion to Intervene.

---

<sup>2</sup> See *Ohio Consumers’ Counsel v. Pub. Util. Comm.*, 111 Ohio St.3d 384, 2006-Ohio-5853, ¶¶13-20.

Respectfully submitted,

BRUCE J. WESTON  
OHIO CONSUMERS' COUNSEL

/s/ Kevin F. Moore

Kevin F. Moore, Counsel of Record  
(Reg. No. 0089228)  
Assistant Consumers' Counsel

**Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel**

10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800

Columbus, Ohio 43215-3485

Telephone [Moore]: (614) 387-2965

[kevin.moore@occ.ohio.gov](mailto:kevin.moore@occ.ohio.gov)

(will accept service via e-mail)

### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that a copy of this *Motion to Intervene* was served on the persons stated below *via* electronic transmission, this 27<sup>th</sup> day of April 2015.

/s/ Kevin F. Moore  
Kevin F. Moore  
Assistant Consumers' Counsel

### **SERVICE LIST**

William Wright  
Attorney General's Office  
Public Utilities Commission of Ohio  
180 E. Broad St., 6<sup>th</sup> Fl.  
Columbus, Ohio 43215  
[William.wright@puc.state.oh.us](mailto:William.wright@puc.state.oh.us)

Judi L. Sobecki  
The Dayton Power and Light Company  
1065 Woodman Drive  
Dayton, Ohio 45432  
[judi.sobecki@aes.com](mailto:judi.sobecki@aes.com)

Attorney for The Dayton Power and Light  
Company

Attorney Examiners:  
  
[Bryce.mckenney@puc.state.oh.us](mailto:Bryce.mckenney@puc.state.oh.us)  
[Gregory.price@puc.state.oh.us](mailto:Gregory.price@puc.state.oh.us)

Frank P. Darr (Counsel of Record)  
Matthew R. Pritchard  
Samuel C. Randazzo  
McNees Wallace & Nurick LLC  
21 East State Street, 17th Floor  
Columbus, Ohio 43215  
[fdarr@mwncmh.com](mailto:fdarr@mwncmh.com)  
[mpritchard@mwncmh.com](mailto:mpritchard@mwncmh.com)  
[sam@mwncmh.com](mailto:sam@mwncmh.com)

Attorneys for Industrial Energy Users-Ohio

**This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities**

**Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on**

**4/27/2015 1:14:27 PM**

**in**

**Case No(s). 15-0361-EL-RDR**

Summary: Motion Motion to Intervene by the Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel  
electronically filed by Ms. Deb J. Bingham on behalf of Mr. Kevin F. Moore