

BOEHM, KURTZ & LOWRY

ATTORNEYS AT LAW
36 EAST SEVENTH STREET
SUITE 1510
CINCINNATI, OHIO 45202
TELEPHONE (513) 421-2255
TELECOPIER (513) 421-2764

Via E-File

April 6, 2015

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
PUCO Docketing
180 E. Broad Street, 10th Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215

In re: Case Nos. 13-2385-EL-SSO and 13-2386-EL-AAM

Dear Sir/Madam:

Please find attached the MEMORANDUM CONTRA APPLICATIONS FOR REHEARING BY THE OHIO ENERGY GROUP for filing in the above-referenced matter.

Copies have been served on all parties on the attached certificate of service. Please place this document of file.

Respectfully yours,



David F. Boehm, Esq.
Michael L. Kurtz, Esq.
Kurt J. Boehm, Esq.
Jody Kyler Cohn, Esq.
BOEHM, KURTZ & LOWRY

MLKkew
Encl.

Cc: Certificate of Service
Sarah Parrot sarah.parrot@puc.state.oh.us
Greta See greta.see@puc.state.oh.us

**BEFORE THE
PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO**

In The Matter Of The Application Of Ohio Power	:	Case No. 13-2385-EL-SSO
Company For Authority To Establish A Standard	:	
Service Offer Pursuant To §4928.143, Revised Code,	:	
In The Form Of An Electric Security Plan	:	
	:	
In The Matter Of Application Of Ohio Power For	:	Case No. 13-2386-EL-AAM
Approval Of Certain Accounting Authority	:	

**MEMORANDUM CONTRA APPLICATIONS FOR REHEARING
BY THE OHIO ENERGY GROUP**

The Ohio Energy Group (“OEG”) submits this Memorandum Contra Applications for Rehearing filed by various parties at the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio (“Commission”) on March 27, 2015 in this proceeding. OEG’s decision not to respond to other arguments raised in this proceeding should not be construed as implicit agreement with those arguments.

I. The Commission Acted Lawfully and Reasonably In Approving the Placeholder Power Purchase Agreement Rider.

Many parties claim that the Commission erred in approving Ohio Power Company’s (“AEP Ohio” or “Company”) proposed Purchase Power Agreement Rider (“PPA Rider”) as a placeholder and in setting forth a list of factors that utilities seeking to include generation units in a PPA Rider-like mechanism must address in future filings.¹ OEG disagrees. As OEG already set forth in detail in both its Post-Hearing and Reply Briefs in this

¹ Application for Rehearing by the Office of the Ohio Consumers’ Counsel (“OCC Rehearing”) at 3-46; Application for Rehearing of the Retail Energy Supply Association at 7-17; Application for Rehearing by Constellation NewEnergy, Inc. and Exelon Generation, LLC at 5-16; Application for Rehearing and Memorandum in Support of Interstate Gas Supply, Inc. at 4-15; Application for Rehearing and Memorandum in Support of Industrial Energy Users-Ohio at 11-52; Application for Rehearing and Memorandum in Support of The Ohio Manufacturer’s Association Energy Group (“OMAEG Rehearing”) at 4-13; Application for Rehearing of Ohio Partners for Affordable Energy and the Appalachian Peace and Justice Network at 7-21; Application for Rehearing of the Ohio Hospital Association at 3-7; Application for Rehearing by the Environmental Law & Policy Center, Ohio Environmental Council, and Environmental Defense Fund (“Environmental Intervenors Rehearing”) at 2-18.

proceeding, a properly designed PPA Rider is consistent with both Ohio and federal law.² For the sake of brevity, OEG incorporates by reference its arguments regarding the legality of the PPA Rider here. That other parties may interpret the relevant Ohio statutes (R.C. 4928.143(B)(2)(d), R.C. 4928.02, etc.) differently is not a sufficient basis for the Commission to second-guess its carefully-reasoned finding that the placeholder PPA Rider can be lawfully adopted in an Electric Security Plan (“ESP”) proceeding.

Any arguments regarding the potential implications of establishing the PPA Rider are premature. Presently, the PPA Rider is merely a placeholder. No costs or credits have yet been approved to flow through that Rider. As the Commission notes, it has established placeholder riders repeatedly in ESP proceedings.³ Hence, the Commission acted consistent with its past practice when it established the placeholder PPA Rider. And because the PPA Rider is currently set at zero and does not yet flow through the costs or credits associated with any generating unit, there is no harm (or benefit) to customers as a result of merely establishing the Rider in this proceeding.

Some of the arguments against the PPA Rider might have validity if AEP Ohio had requested approval of a Market Rate Offer. But it did not. The Legislatively-prescribed ESP process empowers the Commission to maintain jurisdiction over certain elements of generation pricing, primarily to provide price stability consistent with R.C. 4928.143(B)(2)(d). And that is what a properly designed PPA Rider can provide. If the right generating units are offered to customers at their average embedded cost plus a reasonable profit margin, and if the transaction is supported by appropriate terms and conditions, then the PPA Rider can be win-win. Customers can benefit by having a state-regulated cost-based hedge against full reliance on volatile FERC/PJM-regulated wholesale pricing. And utility shareholders can benefit by locking in a fixed return on certain generating units, which provides financial stability to them when wholesale prices are low in exchange for agreeing to a price cap when market prices are high.

Since Senate Bill 221 was enacted in 2008, there have been times when legacy generation pricing at average embedded cost has been both higher and lower than the marginal cost based wholesale market. Marginal cost pricing is good for customers during times of surplus, but very costly during times of shortages, when pricing

² Post-Hearing Brief of the Ohio Energy Group at 1-5; Reply Brief of the Ohio Energy Group at 1-7.

³ Opinion & Order (February 25, 2015) (“Order”) at 25.

must be high enough on a sustained basis to support new construction. Whether the average embedded cost of a particular generation unit will be higher or lower than the marginal cost of generation across the entire PJM footprint over the long term is debatable. But what is fairly clear is that marginal cost pricing for energy and capacity is more volatile.

The potential benefits of a properly structured PPA to the State of Ohio can extend beyond price stability. A properly structured PPA can support economic development consistent with R.C. 4928.02(N) by preserving power plant jobs, retaining state, local and school tax revenue, and utilizing local fuel sources for coal generation. Additionally, maintaining nuclear generation in Ohio can provide environmental benefits and help the State satisfy the requirements of the U.S. EPA's proposed Clean Power Plan since that generation resource is zero carbon-emitting. These are issues that FERC/PJM cannot even lawfully consider as they cannot adopt policies specifically aimed at promoting the economic interests of any particular state.

AEP and FirstEnergy both obviously want to de-risk their generation portfolios by entering into PPAs for some of their units while maintaining the high risk/high reward of market pricing for the remainder of their generation assets. This puts the Commission and its Staff in the favorable position of being able to demand serious concessions to benefit customers. Now is a buyer's market. The authorized return on equity, capital structure, calculation of tax expense and depreciation expense, appropriate ratepayer/shareholder sharing of profits and losses, and the audit and review process are all areas where proposed PPAs can be brought closer into compliance with the Commission's minimum standards for PPA Rider proposals set forth in the Order. If the generation owners are willing to make the necessary concessions to win Commission approval, then customers and the State economy will benefit. But if they are not, then customers will be no worse off than they are now. The Commission's Order was an important first step to establishing a solid regulatory framework for generation pricing in Ohio and it should not be weakened on rehearing.

II. Recovery of Costs Associated with AEP Ohio's Interruptible Program Through the Company's Economic Development Rider is Reasonable.

A few parties argue that the costs of AEP Ohio's interruptible program should be recovered through its Economic Development Rider rather than its Energy Efficiency and Peak Demand Reduction Rider ("EE/PDR Rider") given the ability of customers to opt-out of the EE/PDR Rider pursuant to Senate Bill 310.⁴ OEG submits that such an approach would be reasonable given the economic development objectives served by continuing the Company's IRP-D tariff throughout the ESP period.

Respectfully submitted,



David F. Boehm, Esq.
Michael L. Kurtz, Esq.
Kurt J. Boehm, Esq.
Jody Kyler Cohn, Esq.
BOEHM, KURTZ & LOWRY
36 East Seventh Street, Suite 1510
Cincinnati, Ohio 45202
Ph: (513) 421-2255 Fax: (513) 421-2764
E-Mail: dboehm@BKLawfirm.com
mkurtz@BKLawfirm.com
kboehm@BKLawfirm.com
jkylercohn@BKLawfirm.com

April 6, 2015

COUNSEL FOR THE OHIO ENERGY GROUP

⁴ Application for Rehearing of Ohio Power Company at 45-46; OMAEG Rehearing at 13-16; Environmental Intervenors Rehearing at 18-19.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that true copy of the foregoing was served by electronic mail (when available) or ordinary mail, unless otherwise noted, this 6th day of April, 2015 to the following:



David F. Boehm, Esq.
Michael L. Kurtz, Esq.
Kurt J. Boehm, Esq.
Jody Kyler Cohn, Esq.

*BINGHAM, DEB J. MS.
OFFICE OF THE OHIO CONSUMERS' COUNSEL
10 W. BROAD ST., 18TH FL.
COLUMBUS OH 43215

NOURSE, STEVEN T. MR.
AMERICAN ELECTRIC POWER
1 RIVERSIDE PLAZA
COLUMBUS OH 43215

*DOUGHERTY, TRENT A MR.
OHIO ENVIRONMENTAL COUNCIL
1207 GRANDVIEW AVE. SUITE 201
COLUMBUS OH 43212

*MCDERMOTT, JACOB A MR.
FIRSTENERGY
76 S. MAIN ST
AKRON OH 44313

*CHMIEL, STEPHANIE M MS.
THOMPSON HINE
41 S. HIGH STREET, SUITE 1700
COLUMBUS OH 43215

*YURICK, MARK
TAFT STETTINUIS & HOLLISTER LLP
65 E. STATE STREET SUITE 1000
COLUMBUS OH 43215

*ORAHOD, TERESA
BRICKER & ECKLER LLP
100 SOUTH THIRD STREET
COLUMBUS OH 43215-4291

*MOONEY, COLLEEN L
OPAE
231 WEST LIMA STREET
FINDLAY OH 45840

*SINENENG, PHILIP B MR.
THOMPSON HINE LLP
41 S. HIGH STREET SUITE 1700
COLUMBUS OH 43215

*BLEND, CHRISTEN M. MS.
PORTER WRIGHT MORRIS & ARTHUR, LLP
41 SOUTH HIGH STREET 30TH FLOOR
COLUMBUS OH 43215

WATTS, ELIZABETH H.
DUKE ENERGY OHIO
155 EAST BROAD ST 21ST FLOOR
COLUMBUS OH 43215

*WILLIAMS, SAMANTHA
NATURAL RESOURCES DEFENSE COUNCIL
20 N. WACKER DRIVE STE 1600
CHICAGO IL 60606

*POULOS, GREGORY J. MR.
ENERNOC, INC.
471 EAST BROAD STREET SUITE 1520
NEW ALBANY OH 43215

*BOJKO, KIMBERLY W. MRS.
CARPENTER LIPPS & LELAND LLP
280 NORTH HIGH STREET 280 PLAZA SUITE 1300
COLUMBUS OH 43215

*WILLIAMSON, DERRICK P
SPILMAN THOMAS & BATTLE, PLLC
1100 BENT CREEK BLVD., SUITE 101
MECHANICSBURG PA 17050

*PRITCHARD, MATTHEW R. MR.
MCNEES WALLACE & NURICK
21 EAST STATE STREET #1700
COLUMBUS OH 43215

*COFFEY, SANDRA
PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO
180 E. BROAD ST.
COLUMBUS OH 43215

*MCDANIEL, NICHOLAS A. MR.
ENVIRONMENTAL LAW AND POLICY CENTER
1207 GRANDVIEW AVENUE STE. 201
COLUMBUS OH 43212

*TEUSCHER, TYLER A. MR.
THE DAYTON POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY
1065 WOODMAN DR.
DAYTON OH 45432

ENVIRONMENTAL LAW & POLICY CENTER
NICHOLAS MCDANIEL
1207 GRANDVIEW AVE STE 201
COLUMBUS OH 43212

CONSTELLATION NEWENERGY INC SR COUNSEL
CYNTHIA FONNER BRADY
P.O. BOX 1125
CHICAGO IL 60690-1125

FIRSTENERGY SOLUTIONS CORP
MARK HAYDEN
76 S MAIN ST
AKRON OH 44308

*SCHMIDT, KEVIN R MR.
THE LAW OFFICES OF KEVIN R. SCHMIDT, ESQ.
88 EAST BROAD STREET, SUITE 1770 MAIL STOP 01
COLUMBUS OH 43215

*KUHNELL, DIANNE
DUKE ENERGY BUSINESS SERVICES
139 E. FOURTH STREET EA025 P.O. BOX 960
CINCINNATI OH 45201

*SIWO, J. THOMAS
BRICKER & ECKLER LLP
100 SOUTH THIRD STREET
COLUMBUS OH 43215

*BACH, MARISSA J. MS.
HESS ENERGY MARKETING, LLC
ONE HESS PLAZA
WOODBIDGE NJ 07030

*WILLIAMS, GREGORY L. MR.
WHITT STURTEVANT LLP
THE KEYBANK BUILDING
88 EAST BROAD STREET, SUITE 1590
COLUMBUS OH 43215

*SMALZ, MICHAEL R. MR.
OHIO POVERTY LAW CENTER
555 BUTTLES AVENUE
COLUMBUS OH 43215

DAYTON POWER & LIGHT COMPANY
DONA SEGER-LAWSON
1065 WOODMAN DRIVE
DAYTON OH 45432

*CLARK, JOSEPH
DIRECT ENERGY
21 E STATE ST 19TH FLOOR
COLUMBUS OH 43215

DOMINION RETAIL INC ASSIST GEN COUNSEL
GARY A JEFFRIES
501 MARTINDALE STREET SUITE 400
PITTSBURGH PA 15212

EXELON GENERATION COMPANY LLC
SANDY I. GRACE, ATTY
101 CONSTITUTION AVE N.W. SUITE 400 EAST
WASHINGTON DC 20001

KROGER COMPANY, THE
MR. DENIS GEORGE 1014 VINE STREET-GO7
CINCINNATI OH 45202-1100

OHIO ENVIRONMENTAL COUNCIL
1207 GRANDVIEW AVE. SUITE 201
COLUMBUS OH 43212-3449

OHIO PARTNERS FOR AFFORDABLE ENERGY
RINEBOLT, DAVID C
231 WEST LIMA ST, P.O. BOX 1793
FINDLAY OH 45839-1793

RETAIL ENERGY SUPPLY ASSOCIATION (RESA)
STEPHEN HOWARD
52 E. GAY ST.
COLUMBUS OH 43215

NATURAL RESOURCES DEFENSE COUNCIL
40 W 20TH ST
NEW YORK NY 10011

INDUSTRIAL ENERGY USERS OF
OHIO GENERAL COUNSEL
SAMUEL C RANDAZZO
MCNEES WALLACE & NURICK LLC
FIFTH THIRD CENTER 21 EAST STATE ST 17TH FLOOR
COLUMBUS OH 43215

ROYER, BARTH E
BELL & ROYER CO LPA
33 SOUTH GRANT AVENUE
COLUMBUS OH 43215-3927

*PETRICOFF, M HOWARD
VORYS SATER SEYMOUR AND PEASE LLP
52 E. GAY STREET P.O. BOX 1008
COLUMBUS OH 43216-1008

YURICK, MARK S.
TAFT STETTINIUS & HOLLISTER LLP
65 EAST STATE STREET SUITE 1000
COLUMBUS OH 43215-4213

*DOUGHERTY, TRENT A MR.
OHIO ENVIRONMENTAL COUNCIL
1207 GRANDVIEW AVE. SUITE 201
COLUMBUS OH 43212

MOONEY , COLLEEN L ATTORNEY
231 WEST LIMA STREET
FINDLAY OHIO 45840

ENVIRONMENTAL DEFENSE FUND
JOHN FINNIGAN
128 WINDING BROOK LANE
TERRACE PARK OH 45174

O'BRIEN , THOMAS
BRICKER & ECKLER LLP
100 SOUTH THIRD STREET
COLUMBUS OH 43215-4291

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

4/6/2015 4:11:09 PM

in

Case No(s). 13-2385-EL-SSO, 13-2386-EL-AAM

Summary: Memorandum Ohio Energy Group (OEG) Memorandum Contra Applications for Rehearing electronically filed by Mr. Michael L. Kurtz on behalf of Ohio Energy Group