FIIE

Hunter, Donielle

2

From: Sent:	Kathi Henry <kmhenry@roadrunner.com> Monday, February 02, 2015 10:54 AM</kmhenry@roadrunner.com>	ס־) SFEB	CEIVED
To: Subject:	Puco Docketing North Coast Gas January 20 Meeting	UCO	-2 AH	DOCKETIN
Case Number 14-1754			T: 23	a on

With regards to the meeting scheduled by North Coast Gas Transmission on January 20, we were extremely upset with the entire setup upon entering. The meeting was set up as a "show and tell". We had asked for a meeting where we had a list of direct questions to be answered where discussion could take place. This list of questions was sent to North Coast days ahead of the meeting, to give them plenty of time to consider their answers. None of these questions were addressed. This meeting was set up as a "divide and conquer" meeting. You could not tell who was working for North Coast as they all had nametags with just their first names, no indication that they were from the gas company. One person we were able to talk to, (apparently he was the one who designed the route), was extremely combative and arrogant. When we showed him a map of our property and asked why the pipeline could not be moved to the property line, his answer to us was our neighbor would disagree. Our neighbor is a development company with a very small piece of land. we were not asking him to move it to their property, but simply not to bisect the entire frontage of our farm which is zoned commercial. This type of answer is totally unacceptable. When we asked him about the impact of the two active stone quarries within two miles of this land, his answer was, "I can guarantee there is no impact". We are simply supposed to accept his word on this with no science behind it? Again, his answer was totally unacceptable. Our neighbor across the road was discussing his property with a representative of West Erie Realty and the representative thought our neighbor's property belonged to us. Again, this is unacceptable that they don't know who owns what property they plan on destroying. This meeting should not be considered a reasonable attempt of satisfying the requirements of the siting board. These people were not there to work with the land owners in any way, shape or form. They were simply there to explain what they planned to do.

The whole process of an accelerated review is completely flawed and should be done away with. Just because there is only one customer for the pipeline, is not reason enough to not have to go through the entire process. We are requesting this pipeline project be put back on the table for a full review hearing. With more and more pipelines planned for the State of Ohio, there needs to be much better oversight, not less. The timing of the Oregon Lateral pipeline review, (during the holiday season), appears to be deliberate, sneaky and underhanded.

How is it that a FOR PROFIT company who stands to make billions of dollars on this project, has the right to declare eminent domain on any land owner in the first place? Most of the land owners involved in this particular pipeline have invested their hard earned money in building their dream homes or have invested in their land for their retirements. To have the value of their property devalued due to a high pressure gas line is reprehensible.

In closing, 1) In our opinion, this meeting did not fulfill the conditions set by the siting board; 2) we are requesting the siting board to pull this project out of the accelerated review process and onto a full review.

Respectfully,

Mark and Kathi Henry 9240 Fremont Pike Perrysburg, Oh 43551 This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business.

Technician Date Processed FEB 0 2 2015

Ronald Henry Properties - P57-400-100000031000

P57-400-100000030000

- P57-400-10000005000

- P57-400-100000003000