

KENTUCKY INTRALATA EQUAL ACCESS TASK FORCE Administrative Case No. 323, Phase I

Report of the Task Force Coordinating Committee to the:



PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF KENTUCKY

TABLE 2 - SUMMARY OF TWO-PIC SOFTWARE AVAILABILITY/COST BY SWITCH TYPE

Switch Vendor	Switch Type	2-PIC Gascric	Avail. Date	Planning Price
AGCS	GTD-SEAX	SVR 1.6.4.1	Sec (1)	\$6.8M Dev. + \$10K/site
	No.2-EAX-2A	SVR 1.4.1.1	Sec (1)	\$2.8M Dev.(2)
	No.2-EAX-28	SVR 1.3.5.1	Sec (1)	
ALCATEL	1210	OSM 303	NOW (5)	\$50-\$350K
ADS	ITS 4/5	Release \$	NOW (3)	\$150K/site
*AT&T	IAESS	1AE11	Sec (4)	\$6.9 - \$7.3M Dev. Cost (2)
	2BESS	2BE5	Sec (4)	
	. SESS	5E9	Sec (4)	
NEC	NEAX 61E	G2	NOW (3)	\$0
NTI	DMS-100	BCS35	4th Qtr '92	\$40k/site
	DMS-10	405.10	NOW (3)	\$5/wired line max of \$12.5K/site
SIEMENS	DCO/RNS	18.0	1st Qtr '94	\$16K/site
	EWSD	11.0	1995	\$7.4Ksite

## Notes on Table 2

"AT&T has not provided individual per switch planning priors for the two-PIC feature. The aggregate priors for the two-PIC feature for AT&T switches in Kontacky is proprietary and is not provided in this seport. Individual local exchange company superis should suffer AT&T planning priors.

- (1) AGCS has indicated that it will take 15 to 18 months to develop the two-PIC feature after they have received a request for development.
- (2) These development costs are one-time costs for all switches identified by this switch vendor.
- (3) It should be noted that it will take a certain amount of time—which may vary by local exchange company and switch vendor—to request, engineer and install the intraLATA equal access feature.
- (4) AT&T has indicated that it will take approximately 18 to 24 months to develop the two-PIC feature once a local exchange company has committed to purchase the feature.

## site = a stand alone switch or a host/remote complex

As reflected in Table 2, two vendors provided a "development" cost for the intraLATA software feature package. Whether any or all of this development cost applies to Kentucky is a function of when the feature is required in Kentucky and the extent to which the feature is used in other states or regions. With the exception of GTE, the local exchange companies did not include these development costs in their individual cost analyses since there is some question as to their applicability. GTE, however, included the \$6,800,000 development costs from AGCS as they felt this cost

MCI EXHIBIT 1.2



KENTUCKY INTRALATA EQUAL ACCESS TASK FORCE Administrative Case No. 323, Phase I

Report of the Task Force Coordinating Committee to the:



TABLE 2 - SUMMARY OF TWO-PIC SOFTWARE AVAILABILITY/COST BY SWITCH TYPE

Switch Vendor	Switch Type	2-PIC Generic	Avail. Date	Planning Price
AGCS	GTD-SEAX	SVR 1.6.4.1	Sec (1)	\$6.8M Dev. + \$10K/site
	No.2-EAX-2A	SVR 1.4.1.1	Sec (1)	\$2.8M Dev.(2)
	No.2-EAX-2B	SVR 1.3.5.1	Sec (I)	
ALCATEL.	1210	OSM 303	NOW (3)	\$50-\$350K
ADS	ITS 4/5	Reiesse \$	иом (3)	\$150K/site
*AT&T	IAESS	1AE11	Sec (4)	\$6.9 - \$7.3M Dev. Cost (2)
	2BESS	28E5	Sec (4)	
	5ESS	5E9	Sec (4)	
NEC	NEAX 61E	02	NOW (3)	\$0
NTI	DMS-100	BCS35	4th Qtr '92	\$40k/site
	DMS-10	405.10	NOW (3)	\$5/wired line max of \$12.5K/site
SIEMENS	DCO/RNS	18.0	1st Qtr '94	\$16K/site
	EWSD	11.0	1995	\$7.4Ksite

## Notes on Table 2

"ATAT has not provided individual per resisch planning prices for the two-PIC fluence. The aggregate prices for the two-PIC fluence for ATAT evoluties in Kantacky is proprietary and is not provided in this seport. Individual local exchange company reports should selfect ATAT planning prices.

- (1) AOCS has indicated that it will take 15 to 18 months to develop the two-PIC feature after they have received a request for development.
- (2) These development costs are one-time costs for all switches identified by this switch vendor.
- (3) It should be noted that it will take a certain amount of time-which may vary by local exchange company and switch vendor-to request, engineer and install the intraLATA equal access feature.
- (4) AT&T has indicated that it will take approximately 18 to 24 months to develop the two-PIC feature once a local exchange company has committed to purchase the feature.

## site = a stand alone switch or a host/remote complex

As reflected in Table 2, two vendors provided a "development" cost for the intraLATA software feature package. Whether any or all of this development cost applies to Kentucky is a function of when the feature is required in Kentucky and the extent to which the feature is used in other states or regions. With the exception of GTE, the local exchange companies did not include these development costs in their individual cost analyses since there is some question as to their applicability. GTE, however, included the \$6,800,000 development costs from AGCS as they felt this cost