

DELIVERED BY EMAIL

CRA No. D14673

November 5, 2014

James W. Burk FirstEnergy Corp. 76 South Main Street Akron, OH 44308

Re: Notification of CBP Auction Results

Dear Mr. Burk:

Pursuant to the requirements of paragraph 9 of the October 15, 2014 Finding and Order of the Public Utility Commission of Ohio ("PUCO" or "Commission"), please find attached a redacted version of the post-auction letter addressed to you (and cc'd to others) that the CBP Manager, CRA International, submitted on October 14, 2014 following the conclusion of the FirstEnergy Ohio Utilities' Competitive Bidding Process Auction to procure supply for Standard Service Offer customers for the FirstEnergy Ohio Utilities.

Compared to the redacted letter submitted on October 14, the attached letter has fewer redactions pursuant to paragraph 9 of the Finding and Order cited above.

Sincerely yours,

CRA INTERNATIONAL, INC.

Bradley a. Mille

Bradley A. Miller Vice President

cc:

Katie Stenman, Chief of Staff, Public Utilities Commission of Ohio Ray Strom, Public Utilities Commission of Ohio

Attachment



DELIVERED BY EMAIL

CRA No. D14673

October 14, 2014

James W. Burk FirstEnergy Corp. 76 South Main Street Akron, OH 44308

Re: Notification of CBP Auction Results

Dear Mr. Burk:

This is to inform you that we have confirmed the results of the FirstEnergy Ohio Utilities' Competitive Bidding Process Auction ("Auction") to procure supply for Standard Service Offer (SSO) customers for the FirstEnergy Ohio Utilities. The Auction began on Tuesday, October 14, 2014 and concluded the same day.

There are three tables attached to this letter.

- Table 1 summarizes the results of the Auction.
- Table 2 shows, for each winning bidder, the number of tranches won for each contract in the auction.
- Table 3 provides the CBP Manager's assessment of the conduct of the auction.

In accordance with the Bidding Rules, winning bidders will be contacted directly by the FirstEnergy Ohio Utilities to execute the Master SSO Supply Agreement no later than three (3) business days following the close of the auction.



Sincerely yours,

CRA INTERNATIONAL, INC.

Bradley a. Miller

Bradley A. Miller Vice President

CC:

Thomas W. Johnson, Chairman, Public Utilities Commission of Ohio Lynn Slaby, Commissioner, Public Utilities Commission of Ohio M. Beth Trombold, Commissioner, Public Utilities Commission of Ohio Steven D. Lesser, Commissioner, Public Utilities Commission of Ohio Asim Z. Haque, Commissioner, Public Utilities Commission of Ohio Katie Stenman, Chief of Staff, Public Utilities Commission of Ohio Ray Strom, Public Utilities Commission of Ohio Charles E. Jones, Senior Vice President and President, FirstEnergy Utilities Dennis M. Chack, President, Ohio Operations
John Skory, Regional President, The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company Randall A. Frame, Regional President, Ohio Edison Company Linda L. Moss, Regional President, The Toledo Edison Company Frank Mossburg, Boston Pacific Company



Table 1. Summary of SSO Auction Results

Delivery Period (12 months)	June 1, 2015 to May 31, 2016
Number of Registered Bidders	5
Total initial eligibility of Registered Bidders (# tranches)	
Total initial eligibility divided by tranche target	
Number of bidders that submitted bids in round 1	
Number of tranches bid in round 1	43
Number of tranches bid in round 1 divided by tranche target	2.69
Number of tranches to procure in auction (tranche target)	16
Number of tranches procured in auction	16
Number of rounds in clock phase	18
Was there a sealed-bid round?	No
Number of winning bidders	4
Starting price range	\$100-\$130
Starting price	
Tranche-weighted average price of winning bids	\$73.82



Table 2. Winning Bidders and Tranches Won

Winning Bidder	Number of Winning Tranches	Tranche-Weighted Average Price to be Paid (\$/MWh)
AEP Energy Partners, Inc.	5	\$73.82
ConocoPhillips Company	2	\$73.82
Exelon Generation Company, LLC	5	\$73.82
FirstEnergy Solutions Corp.	4	\$73.82
TOTAL	16	\$73.82



Table 3. CBP Manager's Assessment of the Conduct of the Auction

	Question	
1	Were the competitive bidding rules violated?	No
2	Does the CBP Manager believe the auction was open, fair, transparent, and competitive?	Yes
3	Did bidders have sufficient information to prepare for the auction?	Yes. Bidders received information from the competitive bidding process documents, the Information Website, questions-and-answers posted to the Information Website, and bidder information sessions.
4	Was the information generally provided to bidders in accordance with the published timetable? Was the timetable updated appropriately as needed?	Yes
5	Were there any issues and questions left unresolved prior to the auction that created material uncertainty for bidders?	We do not believe that there were any unresolved issues or questions that created material uncertainty for bidders.
6	Were there any procedural problems or errors with the auction, including the electronic bidding process, the back-up bidding process, and communications between bidders and the CBP Manager?	No
7	Were protocols for communication between bidders and the CBP Manager adhered to?	Yes
8	Were there any hardware or software problems or errors, either with the auction system or with its associated communications systems?	No
9	Were there any unanticipated delays during the auction?	No
10	Did unanticipated delays appear to adversely affect bidding in the auction?	No
11	Were appropriate data back-up procedures planned and carried out?	Yes



	Question	
12	Were any security breaches observed with the auction process?	No
13	Were protocols followed for communications among FirstEnergy Ohio Utilities, the CBP Manager, the PUCO, and the PUCO's consultant during the auction?	Yes
14	Were the protocols followed for decisions regarding changes in auction parameters (e.g., volume adjustments and price decrements)?	Yes
15	Were the calculations (e.g., for price decrements or bidder eligibility) produced by the auction software double-checked or reproduced off-line by the CBP Manager?	Yes
16	Was there evidence of confusion or misunderstanding on the part of bidders that delayed or impaired the auction?	No
17	Were the communications between the CBP Manager and bidders timely and effective?	Yes
18	Was there evidence that bidders felt unduly rushed during the process?	No
19	Was there any evidence of collusion or improper coordination among bidders?	No
20	Was there any evidence of anti-competitive behavior in the auction?	No
21	Was information made public appropriately? Was confidential and sensitive information treated appropriately?	Yes
22	Were there factors exogenous to the auction (e.g., changes in market environment) that materially affected the auction in unanticipated ways?	No, not that we are aware of.

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

11/5/2014 11:53:19 AM

in

Case No(s). 12-2742-EL-UNC

Summary: Report of Auction Manager - Notification of CBP Auction Results - Updated Redacted Version electronically filed by Raymond W. Strom on behalf of PUCO Staff