Butler, Matthew

From:

Puco ContactOPSB

Sent:

Thursday, October 09, 2014 8:59 AM

To:

'Kevin Ledet'

Subject:

RE: 13-0990-EL-BGN

Mr. Ledet,

We will docket your letter and forward a copy to each Board member. We read comments as they are received.

Sincerely,

Matt Butler

Public Outreach Manager Ohio Power Siting Board **Public Utilities Commission of Ohio** 614-644-7670 OPSB.ohio.gov



This message and any response to it may constitute a public record and thus may be publicly available to anyone who requests it.

From: Kevin Ledet [mailto:kaledet1@gmail.com] Sent: Wednesday, October 08, 2014 10:11 PM

To: Puco ContactOPSB Subject: 13-0990-EL-BGN

I would like you to deliver this information to the voting and nonvoting members of the OPSB and the public comments section. Please you don't have to tell me that information is in the staff report. I've printed that out along time ago and have read it repeatedly. Have you ever read what we send your way or do you just docket it?

2014 OCT -9 AM 10: 03 PUCO

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file locument delivered in the regular course of busines Date Processed UL

To the voting, nonvoting members of the OPSB and the public comments section,

I see that Windlab has sent to the OPSB a case document dated 9/30/2014. This document is about the shadow flicker that their project will create for the residents in and around the project area. It appears that this report is supposed to satisfy condition 16 of the OPSB approval conditions. This shadow flicker report is vastly different from the one that Windlab sent to the OPSB on 12/24/2014. The number of total structures affected by the shadow flicker is completely different, 200 in the new report compared to 314 in the original. There have been no catastrophic events in the area; tornados, earthquakes, massive fires or people simply dismantling their homes to account for this change in receptors. I feel rather uneasy putting my future and the future of my children and grandchildren into the hands of a company that can send such conflicting information to a state government agency. A state government agency that is, I hope, here to protect the health, safety and welfare of the citizens of the State of Ohio.

Let us compare another area of concern. In the new shadow flicker report dated 9/30/2014 on pg. 6 house number 157, in the original report this was house 272, and it is a participating property that is going to receive 63 hours of flicker throughout the year. In the new report, it is stated that the shadow flicker is the result of turbines 8, 11, 12 and 13 at approximately 291, 128, 74 and 136 degrees respectively from the house. In the original report dated 12/24/2014 this same property was going to experience the same 63 hours of shadow flicker but the shadow flicker is the result of turbines 17, 18, 20 and 21 at approximately 290, 70 and 125 from the house. Has anybody at the OPSB asked Windlab to explain the differences in these 2 reports? Is this an example of the type of data that is either entered incorrectly or the computer model inaccurately calculating the conclusion? Either way something is wrong! This report is made by Windlab for Windlab.

Both reports state that trees would likely supply vegetative cover. What kind of nonsense is that? I have a friend who owns a lovely house that is completely surrounded by trees. At one time those trees were alive but since the emerald ash borer killed them, in less than 2 years, they are nothing but sticks now. What kind of vegetative cover do you think they are supplying? Another thing is that, this is Ohio, and we have an annual event called winter which is proceeded by fall. This is usually the same time the leaves leave maybe that is why we call them leaves! I have been told that until you experience shadow flicker in a deciduous woods after the leaves are down you haven't been disoriented. How long is the OPSB going to ignore these issues? You are responsible for the health, safety and welfare of the citizens of Ohio and not just an approval process.

Kevin Ledet GNU