BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Application of Duke Energy Ohio for Authority to Establish a)	Case No. 14-841-EL-SSO
Standard Service Offer Pursuant to Section 4928.143, Revised Code, in the Form of An Electric Security Plan, Accounting Modifications and Tariffs)))	
for Generation Service)	
In the Matter of the Application of Duke)	
Energy for Authority to Amend its)	Case No. 14-842-EL-ATA
Certified Supplier Tariff, P.U.C.O.)	
No. 20)	

PREFILED TESTIMONY OF

PATRICK DONLON RATES AND ANALYSIS DEPARTMENT RATES DIVISION

STAFF EXHIBIT____

October 2, 2014

1	1.	Q.	Please state your name and business address.
2		A.	My name is Patrick Donlon and my business address is 180 East Broad
3			Street, Columbus, Ohio 43215.
4			
5	2.	Q.	By whom and in what capacity are you employed?
6		A.	I am employed by The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio (PUCO) as the
7			Interim Director of Rates and Analysis Department.
8			
9	3.	Q.	How long have you been in your present position?
10		A.	I assumed my present position in May of 2014.
11			
12	4.	Q.	What are your responsibilities in your current position?
13		А.	In my current position, I am responsible for managing the Rates and
14			Analysis Department of the PUCO.
15			
16	5.	Q.	Will you describe briefly your educational and business background?
17		A.	I received a Bachelor of Science degree in Accounting with a minor in
18			Economics Management from Ohio Wesleyan University in 2000. In 2010,
19			I earned a Master of Business Administration degree from Franklin
20			University. I worked for American Electric Power (AEP) for just under
21			ten years in two stints with the company serving in various roles. For AEP,
22			I was an accountant in the Generation Accounting Department, an Hourly

1			Energy Trader for AEP focusing in the Southwestern Power Pool (SPP)
2			market, a Fuel, Emissions and Logistics (FEL) Coordinator and a financial
3			planning analyst in Commercial Operations. I started with the PUCO in
4			August 2012 as Public Utilities Administrator 2 of the Rates Division of
5			Utilities Department, until assuming my current role.
6			
7	6.	Q.	Have you provided testimony before the Public Utility Commission of
8			Ohio?
9		A.	Yes, I provided testimony in various electric SSO cases, gas and electric
10			rate cases and natural gas GCR cases.
11			
12	7.	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony in this proceeding?
12 13	7.	Q. A.	What is the purpose of your testimony in this proceeding? The purpose of my testimony is to address Duke's proposed elimination of
	7.	-	
13	7.	-	The purpose of my testimony is to address Duke's proposed elimination of
13 14	7. 8.	-	The purpose of my testimony is to address Duke's proposed elimination of
13 14 15		А.	The purpose of my testimony is to address Duke's proposed elimination of the Load Factor Adjustment (LFA) rider.
13 14 15 16		A. Q.	The purpose of my testimony is to address Duke's proposed elimination of the Load Factor Adjustment (LFA) rider. Please explain the Company's proposed treatment of the LFA Rider.
13 14 15 16 17		A. Q.	The purpose of my testimony is to address Duke's proposed elimination of the Load Factor Adjustment (LFA) rider. Please explain the Company's proposed treatment of the LFA Rider. The company is proposing to eliminate the LFA rider effective June 1,
13 14 15 16 17 18		A. Q.	 The purpose of my testimony is to address Duke's proposed elimination of the Load Factor Adjustment (LFA) rider. Please explain the Company's proposed treatment of the LFA Rider. The company is proposing to eliminate the LFA rider effective June 1, 2015 subject only to a true-up. Once the rider is trued up, the Company

1		A.	No, while Staff does agree that the LFA Rider should be eliminated, Staff
2			believes that the initial rate increase to certain customers would be too high
3			and thus the rider should be phased out over the period of the ESP.
4			
5	10.	Q.	What is Staff's estimated rate impact to customers if the LFA Rider was
6			eliminated immediately?
7		А.	Staff estimated the rate impacts to the customers as shown in the chart
8			below. The chart is an estimate of total bill impacts.

				Est. % Impact
		Approximate		on Non-Shop
	Total #	# of Customers	% of	Customers with
Schedule	of Customers	over 50% LF	Total	83% LF
DS	18,703	3,711	20%	12%
DP	273	183	67%	11%
TS	34	21	62%	15%

Estimated Impacts of Eliminating LFA Rider

9

Generally customers above 50% LFA currently benefit from rider

10

11 11. Q. What is Staff's suggested solution to mitigate the rate impact to customers12 on the LFA Rider?

A. Staff believes that the LFA Rider should be phased out over the term of the

14 ESP. Staff suggest that the LFA rider is reduced by 33% in year one and

two and 34% in year three, with a true up to follow for any remaining

16 balance. Once the rider has been trued up, the Company can eliminate the

1			tariff schedule. This will reduce the initial rate impact of those customers
2			receiving a credit for the LFA Rider, while still reducing the cost of those
3			customers that are paying into the LFA Rider.
4			
5	34.	Q.	Does this conclude your testimony?
6		A.	Yes.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that the foregoing Testimony of Patrick Donlon has been served upon all of the parties of record in Case No. 14-841-EL-SSO by electronic and/or U.S. mail, postage pre-paid mail this 2nd day of October, 2014.

> <u>/s/Steven L. Beeler</u> Steven L. Beeler

PARTIES OF RECORD:

Steven.beeler@puc.state.oh.us Thomas.lindgren@puc.state.oh.us Ryan.orourke@puc.state.oh.us dboehm@BKLlawfirm.com mkurtz@BKLlawfirm.com jkylercohn@BKLlawfirm.com Schmidt@sppgrp.com Judi.sobecki@aes.com Bojko@carpenterlipps.com mohler@carpenterlipps.com cmooney@ohiopartners.org stnourse@aep.com mjsatterwhite@aep.com yalami@aep.com asonderman@keglerbrown.com mkimbrough@keglerbrown.com hussey@carpenterlipps.com mhpetricoff@vorys.com mjsettineri@vorys.com glpetrucci@vorys.com dmason@ralaw.com mtraven@ralaw.com

rchamberlain@okenergylaw.com Amy.Spiller@duke-energy.com Elizabeth.watts@duke-energy.com Rocco.dascenzo@duke-energy.com Jeanne.Kingery@duke-energy.com haydenm@firstenergycorp.com jmcdermott@firstenergycorp.com scasto@firstenergycorp.com joliker@igsenergy.com mswhite@igsenergy.com joseph.clark@directenergy.com sam@mwncmh.com fdarr@mwncmh.com mpritchard@mwncmh.com callwein@wamenergylaw.com tdougherty@theOEC.org dhart@douglasehart.com cloucas@ohiopartners.org gpoulos@enernoc.com swilliams@nrdc.org tobrien@bricker.com ghull@eckertseamans.com jvickers@elpc.org

Attorney Examiners:

<u>Christine.pirik@puc.state.oh.us</u> <u>Nicholas.walstra@puc.state.oh.us</u> This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

10/2/2014 2:36:08 PM

in

Case No(s). 14-0841-EL-SSO, 14-0842-EL-ATA

Summary: Testimony Testimony of Patrick Donlon electronically filed by Mrs. Tonnetta Y Scott on behalf of PUCO