

P:\PIT\2009\C091118.44 - AEP East Amsterdam-Miller\GIS\PROJECT_FILES\WDSIR\APEX\SOILMAP_2014_09_08.mxd

PHOTOGRAPHS



Wetland Delineation and Stream Identification Report – Addendum AEP, East Amsterdam – Miller Switch 138 kV Rebuild Project – Harrison and Jefferson Counties, Ohio



Stream SOH-TCW-001, Upstream Facing Northeast (9/3/14)



Stream SOH-TCW-001, Downstream Facing Southwest (9/3/14)



Stream SOH-TCW-002, Upstream Facing West (9/3/14)



Stream SOH-TCW-002, Downstream Facing East (9/3/14)



Stream SOH-TER-028, Upstream Facing North (4/3/14)



Stream SOH-TER-028, Downstream Facing South (4/3/13)

gai consultants

Wetland Delineation and Stream Identification Report – Addendum AEP, East Amsterdam – Miller Switch 138 kV Rebuild Project – Harrison and Jefferson Counties, Ohio



Stream SOH-TER-029, Upstream Facing West (4/3/14)



Stream SOH-TER-029, Downstream Facing East (4/3/14)



Wetland WOH-TCW-001, Facing North (9/3/14)



Wetland WOH-TCW-001, Facing West (9/3/14)



Wetland WOH-TCW-002, Facing Northeast (9/3/14)



Wetland WOH-TCW-002, Facing Southeast (9/3/14)

gai consultants

Wetland Delineation and Stream Identification Report – Addendum AEP, East Amsterdam – Miller Switch 138 kV Rebuild Project – Harrison and Jefferson Counties, Ohio



Wetland WOH-TCW-003, Facing West (9/3/14)



Wetland WOH-TCW-003, Facing East (9/3/14)



Wetland WOH-TER-010, Facing Northeast (9/3/14)



Wetland WOH-TER-010, Facing Southwest (9/3/14)

gai consultants

APPENDIX A USACE Wetland Data Forms



WEILAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Eastern Mountains and Piedmont Region
Project/Site: East Amsterdam - Miller Switch 138KV City/County: Jefferson County Sampling Date: 9/3/14
Applicant/Owner: AEP State: 0H Sampling Point: WOH-TCW - 001
Investigator(s): TCW, RJM Section, Township, Range: Sprinfield Township
Landform (hilslope, terrace, etc.):
Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 40,42392 Long: -80,90765 Datum: NAD 83
Soil Map Unit Name: MpF-Mocristown channess Sitt loam 25-702 slope NWI classification: NIA
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No (If no, explain in Remarks)
Are Vegetation N, Soil Y, or Hydrology N significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes K No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.
Hudrophytic Vagatation Dresant2 Vag
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes X No
Hydric Soil Present? Yes X No Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes X No
Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes X No
Remarks:
Sample area located in a flat valley PEM wetland, Likely a relict of
Jampe wer locards with the anticy i brit weights, tirring a relief of
Past strip mining activity,
HYDROLOGY
Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required, check all that apply) Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
Surface Water (A1) True Aquatic Plants (B14) Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
High Water Table (A2) Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) Drainage Patterns (B10)
Saturation (A3) Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) Moss Trim Lines (B16)
Water Marks (B1) Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
Sediment Deposits (B2) Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) Crayfish Burrows (C8)
Drift Deposits (B3) Thin Muck Surface (C7) Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4) Other (Explain in Remarks) Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
Iron Deposits (B5) Geomorphic Position (D2) Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) Shallow Acuitard (D3)
X Water-Stained Leaves (B9) Microtopographic Relief (D4) Aquatic Fauna (B13) FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations:
Surface Water Present? Yes No X Depth (inches):
Water Table Present? Yes No X Depth (inches):
Saturation Present? Yes X No Depth (inches): 0.51 Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes X No
(includes capillary fringe)
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:
Remarks:
Wethand hydrology present with prmary and secondary indirators

...

- .

Tree Stratum (Plot size 1. NoNE		Absolute) % Cover	Dominant Indicato Species? Status	Dominance Test worksheet: Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A)
2 3				Total Number of Dominant Species (B)
4 5			······	Percent of Dominant Species That AreO (AU)	'B)
6 7				Prevalence Index worksheet:	
······································		P	= Total Cover	Total % Cover of: Multiply by:	
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size	15'r)		OBL species x 1 = FACW species x 2 =	
1. NONE		11 <u></u> -		FAC species x 3 =	
2 3				FACU species x 4 = UPL species x 5 =	
4				Column Totals: (A) (I	з)
5 6				Prevalence Index = B/A =	0
7		<u></u>		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:	_
8 9				1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation	
10		-6-	= Total Cover	$\begin{array}{c c} \underline{X} & 2 \text{ - Dominance Test is >50\%} \\ \hline & 3 \text{ - Prevalence Index is \leq 3.0^1 \end{array}$	
	5'5	- <u>P</u>	- Total Cover	4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supportin	g
Herb Stratum (Plot size	. <u> </u>	75	Yas FARID	data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)	
1. Schous ruperinus 2. Tupha latitulia		-10-	No PACW	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation' (Explain)	
3. Epilobium coloratum		4	No OGL	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must	
4. Bildens cernua 5. Persienta pennsulvantea			No PACW	be present, unless disturbed or problematic. Definitions of Vegetation Strata:	-
6. Bochmeria cylindrica		7	No FACW		
7. Pilea pumila	15	9	No FACW	Tree - Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more	in
8. Leersia oryzoides 9.	ł		No OBL	diameter.	
10					
11, 12			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Sapling/Shrub- Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 i DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.	ŀ .
v	59.5/23.8	119	= Total Cover		
	201-			Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless	
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size 1. NONE	30'r)		of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.	
2					
3				Woody Vines - All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in	
4 5		·		height.	
6		- Ø	= Total Cover	-	_
		<u> </u>			
				Hydrophytic Vegetation	
				Present? Yes <u>No</u>	
Vegetation Remarks: (Include photo numbers h	ere or on a separate	sheet).		11	-
			1.01		
hydrophytes dominant ->	passes o	lomina	ince fest		
,	8				
	×.				

US Army Corps of Engineers

Sampling Point: WOH-TCW-001

Depth Matrix			Redox Features					
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
0-2	10 YR 2/1	100%					5:2	
2-6	N 4/	65%	544/1	20%	\mathbf{V}	M		
	4		104K 5/6	15 %	<u> </u>	M	<u> </u>	
5-18	<u>N 2.5/</u>	98%	10 FR 5/6	2%	C		SiL L	5% (on Frayme
pe: C=conc	centration, D=Depletion	RM=Reduced	Matrix, MS=Masked	Sand Grains.			² Location: PL=Pore	Lining, M=Matrix.
/dric Soil Ind	licators:						Indicators for Prob	lematic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histosol (A1) Dark Surface (S7) Histic Epipedon (A2) Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (MLRA 147, 148 Black Histic (A3) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (MLRA 147, 148 Hydrogen Sulfide (A4) Z Loarny Gleyed Matrix (F2) Depleted Matrix (F3) 2 crm Muck (A10) (LRR N) Redox Dark Surface (F6) Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11) Depleted Dark Surface (F7) Thick Dark Surface (A12) Redox Depressions (F8) Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) (LRR N, Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR N, MLRA 147,148) Umbric Surface (F13) (MLRA 136, 122) Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4) Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 127, 5 Stripped Matrix (S6) 3Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed				4 147, 148) (LRR N, N (36, 122) 9) (MLRA 1 RA 127, 14	8) Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 136, 147) Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12) Other (Explain in Remarks) 9, MLRA 136) 4 148)			
strictive La	yer (if observed):							
Type: Depth (inch	hes):					Hydri Soil Pres		<u> </u>
oil Descriptio	on Remarks:							
Soils	s meet ind	rater	F2					

WETLAND DETERMINAT	ION DATA FORM - Eastern Mountains and Piedmont Region
Project/Site: East Another dom-Miller Switch 1	
Applicant/Owner: AEP	State: 04 Sampling Date
Investigator(s): TCW, RJM	Section, Township, Range: Springfield Township
Landform (hilslope, terrace, etc.): Toeslope	Local relief (concave, convex, none): Slope (%) 2-37_
	at: 40.42755 Long: -80,90591 Datum: NAD 83
	15-25% slopes NWI classification: PUBG
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this	
	gnificantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes No
	aturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.) a map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.
Hydrophylic Vegetation Present? Yes X No	
Hydric Soil Present? Yes X No	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes Xes No
Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes X No	Wott-Taw-007
Remarks: Sample area located at the within a PEM fringe or	shallow end of a man-made importament ea.
HYDROLOGY	
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required, check all that apply)	Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
	uatic Plants (B14) Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
	en Sulfide Odor (C1) Drainage Patterns (B10) d Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) Moss Trim Lines (B16)
	e of Reduced Iron (C4) Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
	Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) Crayfish Burrows (C8)
Drift Deposits (B3)	ck Surface (C7) Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
	Explain in Remarks) Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
Iron Deposits (B5)	Geomorphic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) Water-Stained Leaves (B9)	Shallow Aquitard (D3)
Aquatic Fauna (B13)	Microtopographic Relief (D4)
Field Observations:	$h \supset C^{11}$
···· - ··· · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	th (inches):
	th (inches):
Saturation Present? Yes X No Dep (includes capillary fringe)	th (inches): <u>+6 surface</u> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos,	previous inspections) if available
Remarks:	
Wetked hydrology present wi	th primary and secondary indicators
	×
i i	
	÷
	3

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

9/12/2014 1:38:51 PM

in

Case No(s). 14-0531-EL-BLN

Summary: Correspondence enclosing an addendum to the Application filed on June 27, 2014 (Part 2 of 6) electronically filed by Mr. Yazen Alami on behalf of AEP Ohio Transmission Company