BEFORE

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Application of Duke)	
Energy Ohio, Inc. for Approval to)	Case No. 13-2417-GA-UNC
Implement a Capital Expenditure Program.)	
In the Matter of the Application of Duke)	
In the Matter of the Application of Duke) Energy Ohio, Inc. for Approval to Change)	Case No. 13-2418-GA-AAM

ENTRY

The attorney examiner finds:

- (1) On December 20, 2013, Duke Energy of Ohio, Inc. (Duke) filed an application for authority to implement an information technology capital expenditure program (IT-CEP). In addition to the approval of its IT-CEP, Duke seeks authority to change its accounting methods.
- (2) On March 14, 2014, the attorney examiner issued an Entry setting a procedural schedule that included the opportunity to file comments and reply comments.
- (3) Pursuant to the attorney examiner's Entry, Staff filed comments on May 2, 2014.
- (4) Duke filed comments on May 16, 2014.
- (5) On June 26, 2014, Staff filed a motion for leave to file surreply comments and concurrently filed its surreply comments. In its surreply comments, Staff challenged Duke's assertions concerning the application of rate caps in previous gas cases.
- (6) Duke did not respond to Staff's motion for leave to file surreply comments or its surreply comments.
- (7) By Entry issued August 26, 2014, the attorney examiner granted Duke an opportunity, until September 5, 2014, to respond to Staff's surreply comments.
- (8) On September 4, 2014, Duke filed a motion to extend the time to file a response to Staff's surreply comments, along with a request for expedited treatment. Duke requested authorization

to file a response by September 12, 2014. In support of its motion, Duke explains that additional time to continue discussions with Staff may lead to a resolution of all issues. Dukes notes that Staff has no objection to an expedited ruling granting the motion.

(9) The attorney examiner finds that Duke has stated good cause to extend the time to file a response to Staff's surreply comments. Accordingly, Duke shall be granted until September 12, 2014, to file a response.

It is, therefore,

ORDERED, That Duke be granted until September 12, 2014, to file a response to Staff's surreply comments. It is, further,

ORDERED, That a copy of this Entry be served upon the parties and all interested persons of record.

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

s/ L. Douglas Jennings

By: L. Douglas Jennings Attorney Examiner

jrj/vrm

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

9/12/2014 11:03:25 AM

in

Case No(s). 13-2417-GA-UNC, 13-2418-GA-AAM

Summary: Attorney Examiner Entry setting procedural schedule; electronically filed by Vesta R Miller on behalf of L. Douglas Jennings, Attorney Examiner, Public Utilities Commission of Ohio