

FILE

3

Case No. 14-1030-EL-BGA

Ohio Power Citing Board

Facts Pertaining to Ed Rogers's Petition for Intervening

August 21, 2014

PUCO

2014 AUG 26 AM 11:32

RECEIVED-DOCKETING DIV

It has been stated in Mr. Borchers's and Ms. Bloomfield's argument that intervener status should not be granted to Ed Rogers for several proposed reasons. With reasoning itself, if the argument proposed does not stand on sound facts or is presented with omissions with truthful, documented information, the argument is nullified and should be ignored.

With this in mind, please allow me to refute the claims within these two's claims.

Firstly, the alleged claim that I had full and complete opportunity to have Invenergy address my concerns. This is wrong. One can easily find documented in the O.P.S.B's own record how Invenergy never followed the O.P.S.B's own administrative code 4906-5-08 (C)(3)(d) stating a letter must be sent alerting all land owners within the proposed wind industrial complex of the O.P.S.B's hearing. These letters were never sent as the code states nor has the O.P.S.B. supplied the supporting evidence to explain why Invenergy never sent these required, informative announcements. I am sure if Mr. Borchers and Ms. Bloomfield were representing Invenergy in 2009, these letters would have been sent, the O.P.S.B's policy would have been followed, and Ohioans would have been allowed to have the due process which each citizen naturally has and is guaranteed by U.S Constitution.(Amendment V)

How may one have "due process" when one has no idea what is going on since there were no letters? Please see the record that my letters to the O.P.S.B. started after the January Hearing of 2010. Likewise, the omission of following O.P.S.B. Administrative Code by Invenergy in something as important as these letters also breaks the Ohio Constitution protecting in writing what we all possess again naturally. This is the "acquiring, possessing, and protecting property, and seeking happiness and safety." (Ohio Constitution, Article I, Section 1)

I am just a common everyday citizen attempting to voice my concerns about Invenergy's attempt to extend their continued errors, as it has taken me five years to figure out how to apply as an intervener. When government ceases to function for the individual, either on accident because of complexity or by design, that government ceases to be a government for the people. However, in that time, I have discovered, even being less than a novice, that my property was listed as a consenting land owner, my neighbor's home was not even on their

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business.
Technician SM Date Processed AUG 26 2014

map, they ignored or missed an entire electrical co-op from their microwave study, and no letters were sent by Invenenergy to the residents within the foot print itself before the January 2010 hearing. Let it be known that a non-bureaucratic, normal everyday citizen has stumbled upon these over-sites and errors within this wind project with little understanding. I believe this should be grounds enough to allow me to be an intervener, something I would have done earlier if a normal citizen could navigate these policies. Even the Bricker, Eckler, and their interns have failed horribly to navigate especially, if I can point out such grievous errors.

They want to deny my intervener status potentially so even more and bigger errors cannot come to light, when Bricker and Eckler should be the first one to defend positively my right of intervenership.

If the state, boards, law firms, and citizens stop following the rule of law and are not given the time to intervene when injustices have transpired, then we all fail.

Intervener status should be granted.

Humbly Submitted To Your Candid Judging,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Ed Rogers', written in a cursive style. The signature is positioned above the printed name 'Ed Rogers'.

PS- After a letter a few years ago to the O.P.S.B. to remove my status as a consenting property owner, which they did indeed do after being pointed out. However, the new map posted in 2014 has my home listed, once again, as a consenting property owner. When will the errors stop?

Certificate of Service

I hereby certify that I sent this correspondence both electronically and by regular U.S. Mail on August 22, 2014.

Certified by:


Ed Rogers

9247 CR 130

Kenton, Ohio 43326