

and that some may add useful information and perspectives a contentious board might welcome. Furthermore that such citizens, if they are to be hushed by your agency through some law or rule, deserve to understand clearly why their voice is considered irrelevant simply because of their home address.

Several additional questions come to mind which may help you construct your response. Namely, may persons living 10 feet outside a project boundary make comments? May immediate family members or legal counsel of persons living in or very near a project boundary make comments? May absentee landowners make comments? What if an elected official from within a project area calls and asks someone residing outside a project boundary with a particular expertise to comment? May they? What if a citizen living in or very near a project boundary asks someone to comment? Now what if someone living outside a project area educates someone living within a project area and causes them to write a letter to OPSB detailing facts and materials the "unwelcome outsider" provided them. Is that allowed?

Finally, if Ms. Bloomfield's June 13th, 2014 letter to Barcy McNeil is superfluous and/or erroneous - that is to say that if it has no bearing on the facts of the Greenwich Wind Industrial Facility case - would the board consider removing it from the case record when replacing any allowable letters from interested parties residing outside the project area?

If permissible, please also include (docket) this letter in the Greenwich Wind case record. Thank you for your consideration in this matter.

--

Mr. Tom Stacy, Ohioan for Affordable Electricity
6628 County Road 10
Zanesfield, OH 43360
(937) 407-6258