

(4)

FILE

**From:** Kevin Ledet [mailto:kaledet1@gmail.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, August 20, 2014 12:08 AM  
**To:** Puco ContactOPSB  
**Subject:** Case No 13-0990-EL-BGN

RECEIVED-DOCKETING DIV  
2014 AUG 21 AM 11:34

PUCO

To Patrick Donlon,  
Would you please pass this along to all members of the Ohio Power Siting Board

This is to certify that the images appearing are an  
accurate and complete reproduction of a case file  
document delivered in the regular course of business.  
Technician                      Date Processed                      AUG 21 2014

August 19, 2014

To Ms. Barcy McNeal  
Administration Docketing and  
The voting and nonvoting members of the Ohio Power Siting Board  
180 East Broad Street, 11<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Columbus ,Ohio 43215

Re: 6011 Greenwich Windpark, LLC  
Case No.13-0990-EL-BGN

This letter is reference to a case document filed by Ms. Bloomfield dated August 19, 2014. I'm enclosing an email that I sent her hoping to clarify this situation. The members of this community are hardworking and caring individuals. We are just beginning to join together for our common good. We may not know how to design a survey properly but we don't fudge the numbers. I wrote the survey and the wording may not be proper for a survey but it is not misleading. Ms. Bloomfield still insists that only property owners and adjacent property owners have a say in this. This being so, then look at the July 22<sup>nd</sup>, 2014 adjacent landowner survey filed at the Ohio Power Siting Board. Look at it!

Thank you,

Kevin Ledet

**Kevin Ledet** <kaledet1@gmail.com>

11:41 PM (0 minutes ago)



We downloaded your letter to the Ohio Power Siting Board (OPSB) that was submitted on Aug 19 2014. This email is in response to it. I sent 1 survey to the OPSB on Aug 1 2014. The survey was straight forward and really needed no explanation. A blank copy of the signature page is attached to this email. I don't see how anyone could be confused by the wording. I don't see your complaint that a number of signatories are those who have leased their land to your client. We didn't see any signatures, that are from the 29 property owners listed for this project, on the survey.

On the map dated 8/8/2014 the red dots represent some of the signatories residences. From this maps I only see 2 red dots in the project area, if that is the number of questionable signatures that you referred to. 1 by turbine 9 and the other by turbine 23. Since you neither disclosed the addresses or the signatures, that are in dispute, I figured the addresses are 4511 Ohio 13, Greenwich, OH and 3031 Alpha Rd, Greenwich, OH. Both of these properties are listed as adjacent properties to the project, they are not leased. Maybe these signatures shouldn't have been counted on this survey, they should have been counted on the adjacent landowners survey. That survey was filed with the OPSB on July 22 2014. In that survey the adjacent landowners are overwhelmingly against 6011 Greenwich Windpark LLC. Unless I'm missing something here I can't understand your complaint. As for it being illogical for someone who is being paid to have anything bad to say about the project, why is there a confidentiality clause in the lease?

I'm enclosing a copy of this email with a correspondence to the OPSB. Thanks Kevin Ledet

Survey of Greenwich, Ohio area residence concerning the proposed construction 6011 Greenwich Windpark LLC. Survey includes Township residents, Greenwich Village residents, and nearby residents of other townships.

[illegible]