Public Utilities Commission of Obio

HIE 13 PH 1:31

Memo

To: Docketing Division

From: George Martin, Grade Crossing Planner, Rail Division

Re: In the matter of the authorization of the Ashtabula, Carson & Jefferson Railroad to install an active grade crossing warning device in Ashtabula County

Date: August 13, 2014

The Ohio Rail Development Commission (ORDC) has authorized funding for the Ashtabula, Carson & Jefferson Railroad (ACJR) to install mast-mounted flashing lights and roadway gates at Ashtabula County, Village of Jefferson, E Beech St/SR 167, DOT# 502569F. The crossing was surveyed on September 26, 2013, and was found to warrant the upgrade.

The project will be paid for with federal funds, and is actual cost. As the plan and estimate in the amount of \$149,464.10 has been submitted and approved, staff requests a Finding & Order with completion of the project in nine months. Construction may commence at once. Staff requests that the following language be incorporated in the Finding & Order:

It is expected that all work necessary for FHWA acceptance of the warning devices will be completed by the in-service due date and that the railroad will be responsible for this work. This work includes, but is not limited to:

Any ancillary work to make the warning devices function as designed and visible to the roadway user, and

MUTCD compliance, including minor roadway work if necessary.

A suggested case coding and heading would be:

PUCO Case No. 14- 14 03 -RR-FED : In the matter of the authorization of Ashtabula, Carson & Jefferson Railroad to install an active grade crossing warning device in Ashtabula County

C: Legal Department

Please serve the following parties of record

Page 1

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business. Technician _____ Date Processed ______ AUG 1 3 2014 Ms Cathy Stout Ohio Rail Development Commission 1980 West Broad St, Mailstop #3140 Columbus, Oh 43223

Mr Robert Callahan, COO Ashtabula, Carson & Jefferson Railroad PO Box 517 Jefferson, Oh 44047

Mr Mark Thomas

ODOT District 4

2088 S Arlington Rd

Akron, Oh 44306

Mr Terry Finger

Village Administrator

27 E Jefferson St

.

Jefferson, Oh 44047

The Illuminating Company (First Energy)

OHIO RAIL DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION INTER-OFFICE COMMUNICATION

TO:	Randall Schumacher, Supervisor, Rail Division, PUCO	
FROM:	Cathy Stout, Manager, Safety Section, ORDC	
BY:	Mike Forte', Project Manager, Safety Section, ORDC $\mu \mu$	
SUBJECT:	ATB SR 167, E. Beech St./AC&J Grade Crossing Project	
DATE:	August 11, 2014	502569F

The Ohio Rail Development Commission (ORDC) established a diagnostic survey at the subject location on September 26, 2013. The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio (PUCO) attended the review. The Diagnostic Team recommended the improvement of warning devices to flashing lights and roadway gates. Copies of the diagnostic review form and the plan and estimate are attached.

PE has already been provided by the railroad. ORDC approves the site plans and estimates as provided. Please issue a construction-only order for the project outlined above. This authorization is made with the stipulation and understanding that an approved estimate may contain entries for items or activities that may be cited and found to be ineligible for federal participation during the project audit.

It is expected that all work necessary for FHWA acceptance of the warning devices will be completed by the in-service due date and that the <u>railroad will be responsible</u> for this work. This work includes, but is not limited to:

- any ancillary work to make warning devices function as designed and visible to the roadway user, and
- MUTCD compliance including minor roadway work if necessary.

Thank you for your assistance with these matters.

Attachment: Diagnostic Review Plan & Estimate

c: George Martin, PUCO Susan Arduni, ORDC ORDC Project Manager (file)



August 11, 2014

Robert W. Callahan Chief Operating Officer Ashtabula, Carson & Jefferson Railroad PO Box 517 Jefferson, OH 44047

RE: State Route 167, E. Beech St., USDOT 502 569F, Grade Crossing Warning Device Project PID 96998

Dear Mr. Callahan:

The bid process for the referenced project has been reviewed and is acceptable. The Ashtabula, Carson & Jefferson Railroad (AC&J) may proceed with the construction of the proposed grade crossing warning system in accordance with the abbreviated plan. This authorization is made with the stipulation and understanding that the approved estimate may contain entries for items or activities that may be cited and found to be ineligible for federal participation during the project audit. Reimbursement of eligible actual cost is limited to \$149,464.10. Additional costs must be approved in writing by the Ohio Rail Development Commission (ORDC) prior to being incurred. Emergency verbal authorizations by ORDC may be permitted but must be confirmed in writing within ten (10) business days of the verbal approval.

This authorization is contingent upon AC&J accepting the following instructions:

- AC&J's project foreman will furnish written notification five (5) working days prior to the date work will start at the project site to Mike Forte', ORDC, email mike.forte@dot.state.oh.us, and to the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio, email <u>George.martin@puc.state.oh.us</u>. AC&J's project foreman will also notify the same of any stops and re-starts of the work activity and of the date work was completed for the project.
- 2. AC&J will arrange for utilities to be located at the project site by the Ohio Utilities Protection Service (OUPS) prior to any construction activities at the site. Utilities that are not participating members of the service must be contacted directly by AC&J.
- 3. AC&J's project foremen will notify Mike Forte' at 614-374-9287 or mike.forte@dot.state.oh.us, of any changes in the scope of work, cost overruns, material changes, etc. which are not included in the approved plan and estimate and secure approval of same before the work is performed.

www.rail.ohio.gov phone: 614.644.0306 IMPROVING RAIL TODAY FOR TOMORROW'S ECONOMY

- Page 2
- 4. AC&J will furnish two (2) copies of each partial bill to ORDC. Please find the enclosed Purchase Order to reference when billing.
- 5. AC&J will furnish two (2) copies of the final all-inclusive bill to ORDC stating the exact dates of starting and completing work, the initial and final dates of construction and location where the accounts may be audited.

Thank you for your assistance with these matters.

Sincerely,

forti

Michael D. Forte' Project Manager

Attachment: ODOT P.O.

C: Randall Schumacher, Supervisor, Rail Division, PUCO George Martin, Grade Crossing Planner, PUCO ORDC (file)

OHIO RAIL DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION

.....

Ohio Rail Development Commission Mail Stop 3140, 1980 W. Broad Street, Columbus, OH 43223

Diagnostic Review Team Survey

Reason for Survey: (e.g. formula, accident, constituent, etc.)	utes.	Date: 9/26/13
Location Data		
Street or Road Name: E. Beech Street		
Route/Road Number (i.e. Twp., Co., SR or US) SR 167	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	US DOT No.: 502569F
County: ATB Township:	TEFFERSON 2 City: (In or Ne	ear) Village of Jefferson YZ
Railroad Name: Ashtabula, Carson & Jeff. RR	Railroad Division: Central	Branch/Line Jefferson Ind. Name:
Nearest RR Timetable Station: Jefferson		RR Milepost 4.81
On-Site Review Team		
(Include: Name - Organization - Phone Number	- Email)	
1 MIKE FORTE	DRDC GI	4.374.9287
	Para	10 213 5316
PI CII	1000 40	$\mathbf{f}_{1} = \mathbf{f}_{12} = 7_{2} \mathbf{c}_{1}$
3. Dob Glaham	ALTK Y	50-11)-2708
4. Mark Thomas	ODGT 3	30-786-4840
5. Jen tangen	Villing 4	41.596-3944
6. Milliola Schaefer	ACTE 4	40-813-2309
7. Dary/ Metcalf	ACJR 4	40-476-9954
8		The second se
⁷	·······	
Existing Traffic Control Devices		
Type of Warning Devices		Quantity/Comments
Advance Warning Signs (condition?)	🗹 Yes 🗌 No	2
'Stop' Signs	Yes No	
'Stop Ahead' Signs	Yes No	NA
Pavement Markings (condition?)	Yes No	GOOP
Crossbucks	Yes No	Z
Number of Tracks Signs		N/* /
Inventory Tags		
Interconnected Highway Traffic Signal		
Mast-Mounted Hashing Lights		
Cantilever Hashing Lights		Number: Length:
Side Lights		
Automatic Gates		Number: Length:
Bells		Number:
Sidewalk Gate Arms	Yes <u>V</u> No	
'No Turn' Signs		·
Illumination		
Is crossing flagged by train crew?	Yes No	IT WSHING
1		

Safety Data (Obtain cr	ash repo	rts, if possible, prior to review)		
	Initial Information (from database)		Revised	
Number & dates of crashes in previous 5 years	0 (12/27/99) & (9/21/96)			
Hazard Ranking	1585	Date Run: 9/11/13		
Railroad Data			동생님은 방법 것을 위한 것을 들었다.	
Railroad Characteris	tics	Initial Information (from database)	Revised	
Total trains per day		2		
< 1 per day			SAT ASUN 4/PER DAY	
Day thru trains		2	14 WEEKENDS NO	
Night thru trains				
Daytime switching moveme	ints			
Nighttime switching moven	nents		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Total number of tracks		l		
Number of main tracks		1		
Number of other tracks				
Maximum train speed		10		
Typical train speed		10		
Amtrak		I		
If multiple tracks, can two trains occupy crossing at the same time? Yes No Can one train block the motorists' view of another train at crossing? Yes (Explain below)				
Can one or more tracks be eli	minated thro	ough the crossing?		
Are there other track(s) cross If yes, Crossing DOT #(if d If yes, distance	ing this same ifferent) (take me	asurement between track centerlines at close	Yes 🗹 No est point along roadway)	
Roadway Data				
Local Highway Authority:		Village of Jefferson / + JEF.	FERSON TWP/STATE	
Roadway Characteri	stics	Initial Information (from database)	Revised HW	
Average daily traffic	- <u>.</u>	2720 (2012)	2430 -TMIS (2012)	
Highway paved		Yes No	Yes No	
Roadway Surface: Reaction Gravel Concrete Other				
Roadway width: 22-ft.				
Number of highway lanes	······	2		
Urban or Rural	/	Urban		
Vehicle Speed:MPH 25				
School Bus Operation: No VYes Amount ABOUT B				
Hazardous Materials Trucks: No VYes Amount				
Shoulders: No MYes				
Is the shoulder surfaced? No V Yes				
Is there existing guardrail along	یے g roadway in	crossing vicinity? 🗌 No 🚺 Yes	**************************************	
Is stopping site distance adequ	ate? (See Tal	ble 2) Yes No If no, deficient	approach(es)	

•

. .

. .

. .

.

Quadrant Curb and Gutter:		Quadrant	Curb and Gutter:	
Functional (Curb height = 4" or more)		Functional (Curb	height = 4" or more)	
Non-functional (Curb height = Less than	4")	Non-functional (C	Curb height = Less than 4")	
Г None		V None		
Pedestrians: No Yes		1	<u></u>	
Is sidewalk present? The Yes				
Is there a nearby intersection that could cause queuing over the crossing? No Yes If yes, Distance				
Is this intersection signalized?	Yes			
Are the signals currently interconnected with	h the existing crossi	ing warning devices?	No [_] Yes	1
is there a Do not Stop on Frack sign:			·	
Is a roadway improvement project (e.g. wideni location in the foreseeable future? [] No If yes.	ng, turn lanes, nearl Yes	by new or upgraded traffi	ic signal, sidewalk) planned at or ne	ar this
Improvement type	Lead Agency		Timeline/completion	
Is it the consensus of the Diagnostic Review Te Explain reasons:	eam that this is a po	ptential closure project: [No Yes	
Type of Development				
Open Space V Institutional	Location of nearby	y schools:		
Industrial Commercial	VOC.	ATIONAL 28	M1.	
Residential		• •		
Utility Information				
Is commercial power available? No PYes Utility Provider (Company Name) FT ENORCY Phone Number				
What other utilities are present? Source	M Cable m M Water	I Telephone	Fiber Optic Cable	
Is(are) there potential utility conflict(s) Yes No Unknown Comments:				
				3

•

.

Potential Red Flags / Project Challenges Traffic Signal Preemption (include traffic signal intersection name and LHA with jurisdiction over traffic signal, if known): Crossing Consolidation or Closure: RR 100' 55' ->45' Real Estate or ROW; Culverts / Drainage / Ballast Conditions: Roadway and/or Sidewalks: Circuitry (e.g. reaches out to other crossings, specific needs, etc.): Environmental: Na Other:

Diagnostic Team Recommendations	
anna <mark>an an a</mark>	Quadrants Needed
Install/upgrade active devices	
Automatic Flashing Lights (AFLS)	
AFLS /Cants	· ·
Y AFLS / Gates	NE + SW
/AFLS / Gates / Cants	
Bells / number	
Upgrade circuitry / type	
Sidelights	
Guardrail Needed	
Instali/Replace curb	
Bungalow placement & offset from rail & highway	TROB. NW
Other (define)	
The state of the second states and the secon	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Cher (define)	······································
cknowledgement of Recommendations (each entity represent cknowledgement):	ed at the diagnostic must have at least one signature
cknowledgement of Recommendations (each entity represent cknowledgement): MAX	ted at the diagnostic must have at least one signature TDF
Acknowledgement of Recommendations (each entity represent cknowledgement): MAS LRL LRL	ted at the diagnostic must have at least one signature TDF We

UPDATED (04/2013)

5



UPDATED (04/2013)

6

Field Sketch
Include utilities as marked by OUPS and LHA; include ROW boundaries as indicated by railroad and LHA.
$\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}$
Crossing Angle 0-29° 30-59° 060-90° Measured in NE Quadrant?
Sketch by: MDF

• •

1.7

7

TABLE |

Clearing Sight Distances

<u> </u>	
Maximum Authorized Train Speed	Distance (dT) Along Railroad from Crossing (ft)
1 - 10	240
15	360
20	480
25	600
30	720
35	840
40	960
45	1080
50	1200
55	1320
60	1440
65	1560
70	1680
75	1800
80	1920
85	2040
90	2160

Source: R-H Grade Crossing Handbook Table 36 (pp. 132-133)

Notes:

All calculated distances are rounded up to the next higher 5foot increment.

Distances indicated are for 65-ft double bottom semi-tractor trailers and level single track 90 degree crossings; and may need to be adjusted for multiple tracks, skewed crossings or approaches on grades.

Clearing Sight Distance is to be measured in each vehicle travel direction at <u>non-gated crossings</u> as viewed from a point 25 feet from centerline of nearest track in the center of whichever travel lane is nearest the direction along track being measured.

Table 2

Stopping Sight Distances

Highway Vehicle Speed	Distance (dH) Along Roadway from Crossing (ft)
0	n/a
5	50
10	70
15	105
20	135
25	180
30	225
35	280
40	340
45	410
50	490
55	570
60	660
65	760
70	865

Source: R-H Grade Crossing Handbook Table 36 (pp. 132-133)

Notes:

All calculated distances are rounded up to the next higher 5foot increment.

Distances indicated are for 65-ft double bottom semi-tractor trailers on dry level pavements.

Stopping Sight Distance is to be measured on each roadway approach to crossing from stop bar.