## BEFORE THE OHIO POWER SITING BOARD

- - -

In the Matter Of:
The Application of American
Transmission Systems,
:

Incorporated for the Third : Case No.

Amendment to the Certificate of : 14-811-EL-BTA

Environmental Compatibility and :
Public Need for the East :
Springfield-London-Tangy :
Transmission Line Project. :

- - -

## PROCEEDINGS

Before Administrative Law Judge Bryce McKenney, held at the offices of the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio, 180

East Broad Street, Hearing Room 11-C, Columbus, Ohio, on Monday, August 4, 2014, at 10:00 A.M.

- - -

Armstrong & Okey, Inc.
222 East Town Street, 2n Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215
(614) 224-9481 - (800) 223-9481
Fax - (614) 224-5724

\_ \_ \_

```
2
 1
      APPEARANCES:
 2
             Porter Wright Morris & Arthur LLP
 3
             By Mr. Robert J. Schmidt, Jr.
             41 South High Stret
             Suites 2800-3200
 4
             Columbus, Ohio 43215
 5
                  On behalf of the Applicant.
 6
 7
             Mr. Ryan P. O'Rourke
             Assistant Attorney General
 8
             Public Utilities
 9
             180 East Broad Street
             6th Floor
10
             Columbus, Ohio 43215
11
                  On behalf of the Staff of the
                  Ohio Power Siting Board.
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
2.2
23
24
```

		3
1	INDEX TO WITNESSES	5
2		
3	DIRECT CROSS	
4	APPLICANT' WITNESSES	
5	Jessica L. Thacker 7	
6	CEARL C MITTHE COLO	
7	STAFF'S WITNESSES	
8	Klaus Lambeck 16 19	
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
<ul><li>23</li><li>24</li></ul>		
∠4		

			4	
1		INDEX TO EXHIBITS	4	
2				
3		IDENTIFIED		
4	APPLIC	ANT'S EXHIBITS		
5	1	Written Testimony of 8 Jessica Thacker		
6	2	Third Amendment 10		
7 8	3	Notice of Mailing of Public 13 Information Letters		
9		Information Eccept		
10				
11				
12	STAFF'S EXHIBITS			
13	1	Prefiled Testimony of 17 Klaus Lambeck		
14	2	Staff Investigation Report and 17 Recommendation		
15		Recondition		
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
			J	

Monday Morning,

August 4, 2014.

2.0

2.2

ALJ MCKENNEY: Good morning. The Ohio Power Siting Board calls for hearing at this time and place Case No. 14-811-EL-BTA being inthe matter of the application of America Transmission Systems, Inc. for an amendment to its certificate to construct the East Springfield-London-Tangy 138 kilovolt transmission line project.

My name is Bryce McKenney, I am the Administrative Law Judge assigned by the Board to hear this case.

At this time I would like to take the appearances of the parties. Let's begin with the Company.

MR. SCHMIDT: Good morning. Robert J. Schmidt,
Porter, Wright, Morris & Arthur, 41 South High Street,
Columbus, Ohio 43215, on behalf of the Applicant in this
case, American Transmission Systems Incorporated.

With me is Ms. Jessica Thacker who will be testifying on behalf of ATSI today.

ALJ: Thank you, Mr. Schmidt. On behalf of Staff.

MR. O'ROURKE: Thank you, your Honor. Ryan O'Rourke with the Ohio Attorney General's office

representing Staff today. My address is 180 East Broad Street, 6th Floor, Columbus, Ohio 43215.

2.0

2.2

2.3

ALJ: Thank you, Mr. O'Rourke. At this time I would like to note for the record that we have no members of the general public here today that have arrived to give public testimony. But if anyone from the general public does arrive before we finish this they will be given an opportunity to provide public testimony this morning.

I would also like to note for the record that

the purpose of this morning's hearing is to satisfy
the requirements of Section 4906.07 B of the Ohio Revised
Code
which states that "The Board shall hold a hearing in the
same manner as a hearing is held in an application for a
certificate if the proposed change in the facility would
result in a material increase in the environmental impact
of the facility or substantial change to location of all or

Pursuant to Administrative Law Judges entry issued on July 18, 2014 the Administrative Law Judge found that this case would change the location of the line outside the 1000 foot study corridor for the certificate case. Therefore, the application for the certificate for the proposed change would result in a substantial change in

a portion of the facility."

7 the location of a portion of the line. 1 At this time we will go ahead and proceed with 2 this hearing. Mr. Schmidt, are you prepared to call your 3 first witness? 4 MR. SCHMIDT: I am, your Honor. On behalf of 5 American Transmission Systems Incorporated we would like to 6 7 call Ms. Jessica Thacker. (WITNESS SWORN) 8 9 JESICCA L. THACKER 10 11 called as a witness on behalf of the Applicant, being first 12 duly sworn, testified as follows: ALJ: Please state your name and address for 13 the record. 14 15 THE WITNESS: My name is Jesicca Thacker. And my work address is 76 South Main Street, Akron, Ohio 44308. 16 ALJ: Thank you, Ms. Thacker. Mr. Schmidt. 17 DIRECT EXAMINATION 18 19 By Mr. Schmidt: Q. Good morning, Ms. Thacker. You just provided 2.0 us with your address. Could you tell us where you work and 21 2.2 generally what your job duties entail?

transmission siting department.

2.3

24

I work at FirstEnergy as an engineer in the

8 MR. SCHMIDT: Your Honor, I would like to 1 2 approach the witness. 3 ALJ: You may approach. (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR THE PURPOSE OF 4 5 IDENTIFICATION) Ms. Thacker, I am handing you what has been 6 7 marked for identification purposes as Exhibit No. 1. Do you have that document in front of you? 8 9 Α. Yes, I do. Could you tell me, have you seen this document 10 before? 11 Yes. 12 Α. 13 Can you tell me what it is? Ο. 14 Α. It is my prefiled testimony. 15 Did you prepare this document? Q. 16 Yes, I did. Α. Was this document filed in this case? 17 Q. 18 Α. Yes, it was. Is there anything in this document that you 19 Q. 2.0 wish to change at this time recarding your prefiled 21 testimony? 22 Α. No. 2.3 Is the information contained in this prefiled

testimony true and accurate to the best of your

information and belief?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

2.0

21

- A. Yes.
- Q. Does your prefiled testimony include a summary of your experience and education and training?
  - A. Yes, it does.
  - Q. Is that included at the end of the document?
- A. Yes, it is.
  - Q. And is that information also true and accurate to the best of your information and belief?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR THE PURPOSE OF
- 12 IDENTIFICATION)
- Q. Ms. Thacker, I am handing you what has been
  marked for identification purposes as Exhibit 2. Have you
  seen this document before?
- 16 A. Yes.
- Q. Can you tell me what this document is?
- A. This is the third amendment to the East

  Springfield-London-Tangy 138 kV transmission line project.
  - Q. Were you involved in the preparation of this document?
- A. Yes. I was responsible for the development of the document and submittal.

- Q. Can you describe generally the reason that ATSI has prepared and filed this third amendment?
- A. The third amendment was filed to accommodate the existing land use of the area described in the third amendment. It also avoids any potential maintenance issues concerning the original proposed route.
- Q. What were the concerns with operation and maintenance of the original proposed route that were corrected in this application?
- A. From conversations with the property owners and tenants on this existing quarry and there is plans for expansion of the quarry, and also at the end of the use of the quarry it is going to be filled with water. That would create some issues with maintenance of a transmission line through the middle, potentially middle of the quarry.
- Q. As a general matter ATSI does not prefer to site transmission lines over open water?
  - A. No, we do not.

2.0

2.2

2.3

- Q. Once the decision was made to relocate this portion of the East Springfield-London-Tangy transmission line can you describe the process that ATSI undertook to prepare the application and gather the necessary information for that application?
  - A. URS was contracted to do the field work

associated with the amendment, and they were also contracted to prepare a draft of the third amendment filing.

- Q. What type of field work did you ask the consultant, URS, to gather?
- A. They completed a wetland delineation, a cultural resource evaluation, and a stream identification study.
- Q. Was the information gathered during this field work by URS provided to you in your capacity as the person who prepared the application for ATSI?
  - A. Yes.

2.0

2.2

2.3

- Q. Have you reviewed that information?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Based upon your review of that information, and as part of your preparation of the application, did you take into consideration whether or not there would be any increased impacts associated with the movement of the transmission line from the original approved route to the location of the third amendment?
- A. We did look at if there would be any additional impacts, and from the field work study there was little to no additional impacts from the original approved route to what is presented in the amendment.

- Q. For purposes of relocating from the original approved route to the route that is proposed in the third amendment, have all the property owners that would be involved in the relocation of the route agreed to the relocation?
  - A. Yes, they have.
- Q. Turning back to Exhibit 2, the application. You indicated that you had prepared that application on behalf of ATSI, the Applicant?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. To the best of your information and belief is all of the information in the application true and accurate?
- 14 A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

17

18

19

2.0

- 15 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR THE PURPOSE OF IDENTIFICATION)
  - Q. Ms. Thacker, I am handing you what has been marked for identification purposes as Exhibit 3. Have you seen this document before?
  - A. Yes.
    - Q. Can you tell me what that document is?
- A. It is the list of property owners that were given letters concerning the third amendment and also an example of the letters.

- Q. Were you responsible for preparing the notice letter that was sent to the property owners in this case?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Were you responsible for preparing the address list of the property owners that were to receive notice in this case?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Was the list of property owners that you prepared in this case a list of all the property owners that would be affected by the amendment?
- 11 A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

14

15

- 12 Q. And that included all of the property owners of the property upon which the route would be relocated on?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. All property owners that would be contigious to the relocated route?
- 17 A. Yes.
- Q. And all property owners that could be approached by FirstEnergy for purposes of an easement or other access rights?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. On what date approximately were these notice letters mailed?
- 24 A. July 23rd, 2014.

```
Q. And as you sit here today have you received any
 1
      of them back for failure of delivery?
 2
 3
             Α.
                  No.
                  MR. SCHMIDT: At this time I have no further
 4
      questions for the witness, your Honor.
 5
                  ALJ: Thank you, Mr. Schmidt. Anything from
 6
 7
      Staff?
                  MR. O'ROURKE: Nothing from Staff.
 8
 9
                  ALJ: Thank you, Ms. Thacker. I have no
      questions. You are excused from the stand.
10
                  Any objectioned to the -- or sorry. Company
11
      Exhibits 1, 2 and 3 will be so marked as indicated.
12
                  MR. SCHMIDT: We would move for their
13
14
      admission.
15
                  ALJ: Any objection to the admission of Company
      Exhibits 1, 2 and 3?
16
                  MR. O'ROURKE: No, your Honor.
17
18
       ALJ: They will be so admitted.
                                                        (EXHIBITS
      ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE)
19
                  ALJ: Anything further from the Company?
2.0
                  MR. SCHMIDT: No, your Honor.
21
                  ALJ: Thank you, Mr. Schmidt. Mr. O'Rourke.
2.2
                  MR. O'ROURKE: Staff calls Klaus Lambeck.
2.3
24
                  (WITNESS SWORN)
```

1 KLAUS LAMBECK 2 3 called as a witness on behalf of the Staff, being first duly sworn, testified as follows: 4 5 ALJ: Mr. Lambeck, I will ask you to state your name and address for the record. 6 7 THE WITNESS: My name is Klaus Lambeck. My address is 180 East Broad Street, 6th floor, Columbus, 8 9 Ohio 43215. ALJ: Thank you. Mr. O'Rourke. 10 11 MR. O'ROURKE: Thank you, your Honor. DIRECT EXAMINATION 12 By Mr. O'Rourke: 13 Mr. Lambeck, by whom are you employed? 14 15 I am employed by the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio as Chief of the Facilities Siting and 16 Environmental Analysis Division. 17 18 Q. And what are your job responsibilities? I basically oversee the day-to-day operations 19 of the Division, which includes Power Siting. 2.0 MR. O'ROURKE: May I approach, your Honor? 21 2.2 ALJ: Yo may. (EXHIBITS MARKED FOR THE PURPOSE OF 2.3 24 IDENTIFICATION)

MR. O'ROURKE: Your Honor, I have two exhibits that I would like to have marked. Staff Exhibit No. 1 would be the prefiled testimony of Klaus Lambeck.

ALJ: It will be so marked.

MR. O'ROURKE: And Staff Exhibit 2 would be the Staff Investigation and Report and Recommendation.

ALJ: It will be so marked.

- Q. Mr. Lambeck, you have just been handed two exhibits. I would like you to turn your attention to Staff Exhibit 1. Have you seen that before?
- A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

17

18

2.2

- 12 Q. What is that?
- A. It is my prefiled direct testimony.
- Q. Do you have any additions or corrections that you would like to make to that?
- 16 A. No.
  - Q. And are your answers in that testimony truthful and accurate to the best of your knowledge?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. And if I were to ask you those same questions would your answers be the same?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. It's my understanding that you are sponsering the Staff Report in this case; is that correct?

- A. That's correct.
- Q. And is that Staff Report reflected on Staff
  Exhibit 2?
  - A. Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

- Q. And what was your involvement with the the Staff Report?
  - A. As the Chief of the division I do supervise all the activities, and I do read and supervise the production of the Staff Reports.
  - Q. And are there any additions or corrections that you would like to make to the Staff Report?
- 12 A. No.
  - Q. Andwhat was the ultimate conclusion that the Staff made in this case reflected in the Staff Report?
  - A. That there were very little, if no, direct impacts of this amendment on social impacts and ecological impacts. That as a matter of fact this amendment provides for an improvement for the operation and maintenance of the facility.
- MR. O'ROURKE: No further questions, your
  Honor.
- 22 ALJ: Thank you, Mr. O'Rourke. Anything from the Company?
- MR. SCHMIDT: One quick question.

## 18 CROSS-EXAMINATION 1 By Mr. Schmidt: 2 The Staff Report did recommend approval without 3 Q. condition of the amendment? 4 A. Yes. 5 MR. SCHMIDT: Okay. No further questions. 6 7 ALJ: Thank your, Mr. Lambeck. I have no questions for you. 8 9 MR. O'ROURKE: With that we would move for the admission of Staff Exhibits 1 and 2, your Honor. 10 11 ALJ: Any objection to the admission of Staff Exhibits 1 and 2? 12 MR. SCHMIDT: No, your Honor. 13 ALJ: Staff Exhibits 1 and 2 will be so 14 15 admitted. (EXHIBITS ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE) 16 ALJ: Mr. Lambeck, you can step down from the 17 18 stand. THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor. 19 ALJ: Anything further from either of the 2.0 parties? Mr. Schmidt? 21 2.2 MR. SCHMIDT: No, your Honor. ALJ: Mr. O'Rourke. 2.3 24 MR. O'ROURKE: Nothing from Staff.

```
19
 1
                  ALJ: If there is nothing further we are
 2
      adjourned. Go off the record.
 3
                  (At 10:20 A.M. the hearing was concluded)
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

## CERTIFICATE

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings taken by me in this matter on August 4, 2014, and carefully compared with my original stenographic notes.

Michael O. Spencer,
Registered Professional
Reporter.

\_ \_

22 23

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

**Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on** 

8/5/2014 11:21:53 AM

in

Case No(s). 14-0811-EL-BTA

Summary: Transcript in the matter of American Transmission Systems, Inc. hearing held on 08/04/14 electronically filed by Mr. Ken Spencer on behalf of Armstrong & Okey, Inc. and Spencer, Michael O. Mr.