

FILE

(2)

Butler, Matthew

From: Puco ContactOPSB
Sent: Tuesday, July 29, 2014 6:11 PM
To: 'Ginnie R'
Subject: RE: Case 13-0990-EL-BGN

Hello Ms. Robson,

Thank you for contacting the Ohio Power Siting Board (OPSB) regarding the Greenwich Windpark proposed in case number 13-0990-EL-BGN. Your correspondence will be docketed and made available in the public comments section of the online case record for Board members and the public to review.

At this time, the Board is still reviewing the record in this case, and has yet to schedule the Greenwich Windpark for a decision. If you have not already, you may be interested in reviewing the OPSB Staff Report of Investigation filed on April 18, 2014. The staff report discusses topics including property line setback requirements and potential impacts to the project area.

If you would like to sign up to receive email notification of upcoming Board agendas and decisions, or if you simply have additional questions, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

Matt Butler
Public Outreach Manager
Ohio Power Siting Board
Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
614-644-7670
OPSB.ohio.gov



This message and any response to it may constitute a public record and thus may be publicly available to anyone who requests it.

From: Ginnie R [<mailto:GinnieR@neo.rr.com>]
Sent: Monday, July 28, 2014 5:16 PM
To: Puco ContactOPSB
Subject: Case 13-0990-EL-BGN

Ohio Power Siting Board

Greenwich Windpark LLC project - case no. 13-0990-EL-BGN

I am again questioning decisions made regarding the Greenwich Windpark. Why are only concerns of adjacent property owners taken into consideration? If a home is in the 1.25 mile or 1.5 mile radius of the turbines, any ill effects that may befall that home will not depend on whether or not the owner is "adjacent". It is a crap shoot where only 29 people throw the dice. The "crap" can land on any one of 668 or 906 (depending on whose numbers you are using) homes. In looking at windparks in other areas it seems that not the majority but several lives are ruined due to physical/mental

RECEIVED-DOCKETING DIV
2014 JUL 30 AM 8:00
PUCO
This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business
JUL 30 2014
Technician Date Processed

health issues or loss of property value. The above numbers indicate that the chance that those receiving compensation only have a 3 to 4 per cent chance of falling into that group. Those receiving compensation have all of the power and those being crapped on have none.

For 32 years I owned the property now owned by an "adjacent property owner". In 2001 that property was sold to Scott and Judi Cotterman. My current home is on the front corner of the same property but is no longer adjacent. It is just as close to the turbines as Mr. Cotterman's home. It is even closer to the turbines to the south than the 65 S. Kniffin property. My home and one other home lies between two adjacent property owners. The properties across the street from me are also just as close to many of the turbines but have never had "adjacent" status.

It is insulting to be told that you do not count.

Virginia M. Robson
65 S. Kniffin St.
Greenwich, OH 44837

(419) 752-3115
GinnieR@neo.rr.com