

**BEFORE  
THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO**

In the Matter of the Application of Vectren :  
Energy Delivery of Ohio, Inc. for : Case No. 14-813-GA-RDR  
Authority to Adjust its Distribution :  
Replacement Rider Charges. :

---

**COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**  
SUBMITTED ON BEHALF OF THE STAFF OF  
THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

---

**Michael DeWine**  
Ohio Attorney General

**William L. Wright**  
Section Chief

**Ryan P. O'Rourke**  
**Werner L. Margard III**  
Assistant Attorneys General  
Public Utilities Section  
180 East Broad Street, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Columbus, OH 43215-3793  
614.466.4397 (telephone)  
614.644.8764 (fax)  
[ryan.orourke@puc.state.oh.us](mailto:ryan.orourke@puc.state.oh.us)  
[werner.margard@puc.state.oh.us](mailto:werner.margard@puc.state.oh.us)

**July 25, 2014**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

### Page

INTRODUCTION .....	1
BACKGROUND .....	2
VEDO'S APPLICATION .....	4
STAFF'S INVESTIGATION, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS .....	6
PROOF OF SERVICE.....	8

**BEFORE  
THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO**

In the Matter of the Application of Vectren :  
Energy Delivery of Ohio, Inc. for : Case No.14-813-GA-RDR  
Authority to Adjust its Distribution :  
Replacement Rider Charges. :

---

**COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**  
SUBMITTED ON BEHALF OF THE STAFF OF  
THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

---

**INTRODUCTION**

In accordance with the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio's (Commission) Opinion and Order adopting the Stipulations and Recommendations filed in Case Nos. 07-1080-GA-AIR and 13-1571-GA-ALT ("*2007 Rate Case*" and "*2013 DRR Extension Case,*" respectively), Vectren Energy Delivery of Ohio (VEDO or Company) filed an application (Application) in the above captioned case for authority to increase its Distribution Replacement Rider (DRR). The purpose of the DRR increase is to allow VEDO to: (1) recover a return of and on certain investments made in 2013 to replace aging natural gas pipeline infrastructure and (2) recover the costs of assuming ownership and repair of previously customer-owned service lines. These comments present a summary of the Commission Staff's (Staff) investigation of VEDO's Application and the Staff's findings and recommendations.

## BACKGROUND

VEDO is an Ohio corporation engaged in the business of providing natural gas distribution service to approximately 314,000 customers in west central Ohio.<sup>1</sup> It is a public utility under Sections 4905.02 and 4905.03 of the Ohio Revised Code, and subject to the Commission's jurisdiction. The Commission's Opinion and Order in Case No. 07-1080-GA-AIR approved the Stipulation and Recommendation (2007 Rate Case Stipulation) and authorized VEDO to establish the DRR for a period of five years or until new rates are approved pursuant to a base or alternative rate case. The Commission's Opinion and Order in Case No. 13-1571-GA-ALT approved a Stipulation and Recommendation (Case No. 13-1571-GA-ALT Stipulation) that authorized VEDO to continue the DRR Program for an additional five-year period (for recovery of investments made in years 2013 through 2017) and to expand the Program's scope. The purpose of the DRR is to permit VEDO to seek recovery of: (1) the return of and return on<sup>2</sup> plant investment, including post-in-service carrying costs (PISCC) and certain incremental expenses incurred in implementation of its accelerated bare steel and cast iron mains and service lines replacement program; (2) deferred expenses associated with the Company's riser

---

<sup>1</sup> *In the Matter of the Application of Vectren Energy Delivery of Ohio, Inc. for Authority to Adjust its Distribution Replacement Rider Charges*, Case No. 14-813-GA-RDR (Application at 1) (May 1, 2014).

<sup>2</sup> The pre-tax rate of return is 11.67% as established in the *2007 Rate Case*.

investigation pursuant to Case No. 05-463-GA-COI;<sup>3</sup> (3) costs for replacement of prone-to-fail risers; (4) incremental costs related to the Company's assumption of ownership and responsibility for repairing customer service lines; and (5) actual annual Operations and Maintenance (O&M) expense savings as an offset to costs otherwise eligible for recovery under the DRR.

The *2007 Rate Case* Stipulation provided a process for establishing the annual DRR rate and the Case No. 13-1571-GA-ALT Stipulation continued that process. By May 1 of each year, the Company must file an application detailing the investments and costs that were incurred during the previous calendar year and a summary of its construction plans for the next year. VEDO bears the burden of proof regarding the justness and reasonableness of the DRR rates proposed each year. Further, Staff will perform an investigation of the annual applications and make recommendations on the justness and reasonableness of the applications. Other parties may file comments on the applications and unresolved issues will be set for hearing by the Commission. Parties will use their best efforts to permit new DRR charges to take effect on a service rendered basis on September 1 of each year. Pursuant to the approved Case No. 13-1571-GA-ALT Stipulation, the DRR is capped annually for the Residential and Group 1 General Service customers, as follows: <sup>4</sup>

---

<sup>3</sup> The initial DRR rate for recovery of VEDO's actual deferred costs of its riser investigation as of July 2008 was in effect from March 1, 2009 through February 28, 2010. The DRR was reset to zero effective March 1, 2010.

<sup>4</sup> *2013 DRR Extension Case* (Stipulation and Recommendation at 4-5) (Jan. 17, 2014).

<b><u>DRR Investment Year</u></b>	<b><u>Recovery Period</u></b>	<b><u>Applicable Cap</u></b>
2013	9/1/14 – 8/31/15	\$4.05
2014	9/1/15 – 8/31/16	\$5.45
2015	9/1/16 – 8/31/17	\$6.70
2016	9/1/17 – 8/31/18	\$8.00
2017	9/1/18 – 8/31/19	\$9.25

### **VEDO’S APPLICATION**

VEDO filed its Application on May 1, 2014. The Application is supported by the testimony and exhibits of James M. Francis, Director of Engineering and Asset Management, J. Cas Swiz, Director of Regulatory Implementation and Analysis and Shawn M. Kelly, Director of Regulatory Affairs. Mr. Francis’ testimony and exhibits present the progress made in 2013 on the Bare Steel/Cast Iron (BS/CI) Replacement Program, the Company’s 2014 BS/CI replacement plans, maintenance costs associated with the 2013 BS/CI Replacement Program, the 2013 incremental costs for maintenance and repair of service lines previously owned by customers, 2013 capital costs for replacement of previously customer-owned service lines, and the O&M cost savings realized in 2013.

Mr. Swiz’s testimony and exhibits provide explanations of the various components of the Company’s proposed revenue requirements; schedules supporting the proposed revenue requirement calculations for the 2013 Mains and Service Line and Riser

Replacement Programs; explanations and schedules showing the derivation of the annualized property tax expenses and deferred taxes on liberalized depreciation associated with the Mains and Service Line and Riser Replacement Programs; a discussion of the Company's rationale and policies for recording retirements, PISCC,<sup>5</sup> and AFUDC; and a schedule showing the true-up for and the over- or under-recovery of the revenue requirement adopted in last year's DRR application, Case No. 13-1121-GA-RDR .

Mr. Kelly's testimony principally provides the derivation of rates resulting from the Company's proposed total DRR revenue requirement, allocation of rates by rate class, a proposed tariff sheet, and the annual residential customer bill impact.

In its Application, the Company indicates that in 2013 it replaced 38.0 miles of bare steel and 5.8 miles of cast iron mains, replaced 4,004 BS/CI service lines (with an additional 288 service lines retired), and moved 3,124 inside meters outside as part of its Replacement Program. The Company proposes a Mains Replacement Program revenue requirement of \$4,816,391 and \$11,093,401 for the Service Line and Riser Replacement Program for a total DRR revenue requirement of \$15,909,792. The Company proposes that the DRR revenue requirement of \$15,909,792 be allocated to customers as follows:

---

<sup>5</sup> The PISCC rate of 7.02% represents the Company's long-term cost of debt as established in the *2007 Rate Case*.

<u>Rate Schedule</u>	<u>Proposed \$ Per Month</u>	<u>\$ Per Ccf</u>	<u>Annual Increase</u>
310, 311, and 315	\$3.60		\$0.83
320, 321, and 325 (Group 1)	\$3.60		\$0.83
320, 321, and 325 (Group 2 and 3)		\$0.02880	\$0.00536
341	\$19.57		\$4.75
345		\$0.00726	\$0.00164
360		\$0.00487	\$0.00125

### **STAFF'S INVESTIGATION, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

The Staff reviewed the Company's Application and testimony, issued several information requests seeking additional supporting data, interviewed Company personnel, reviewed the Company's competitive bidding process, and traced sample expenses back to their source data. The Staff's investigation was designed to ensure that the Company's policies and practices comport with sound ratemaking principles and Commission policies, confirm that its books and records are reliable sources of cost data, and ultimately determine if the rider increases sought in the Application are just and reasonable.

Based on that investigation, the Staff concludes that the Company's Application complies with the Commission's Opinions and Orders in Case Nos. 07-1080-GA-AIR and 13-1571-GA-ALT and will result in a just and reasonable DRR rate. Therefore, the Staff recommends that the Application be approved by the Commission.



**Michael DeWine**  
Ohio Attorney General

**William L. Wright**  
Section Chief

*/s/ Ryan P. O'Rourke*

---

**Ryan P. O'Rourke**

**Werner L. Margard III**

Assistant Attorneys General

Public Utilities Section

180 East Broad Street, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor

Columbus, OH 43215-3793

614.466.4397 (telephone)

614.644.8764 (fax)

[ryan.orourke@puc.state.oh.us](mailto:ryan.orourke@puc.state.oh.us)

[werner.margard@puc.state.oh.us](mailto:werner.margard@puc.state.oh.us)

## **PROOF OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that a true copy of the foregoing **Comments and Recommendations**, submitted on behalf of the Staff of the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio, was served via electronic mail upon the following parties of record, this 25<sup>th</sup> day of July, 2014.

/s/ Ryan P. O'Rourke

**Ryan P. O'Rourke**  
Assistant Attorney General

### **Parties of Record:**

**Andrew J. Campbell**

**Mark A. Whitt**

**Gregory L. Williams**

Whitt Sturtevant, LLP

The KeyBank Building, Suite 1590

88 east Broad Street

Columbus, Ohio 43215

[whitt@whitt-sturtevant.com](mailto:whitt@whitt-sturtevant.com)

[campbell@whitt-sturtevant.com](mailto:campbell@whitt-sturtevant.com)

[williams@whitt-sturtevant.com](mailto:williams@whitt-sturtevant.com)

**This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities**

**Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on**

**7/25/2014 9:57:29 AM**

**in**

**Case No(s). 14-0813-GA-RDR**

Summary: Comments and Recommendations submitted by Assistant Attorney General Ryan O'Rourke on behalf of the Staff of the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio. electronically filed by Kimberly L Keeton on behalf of Public Utilities Commission of Ohio