

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business.

Technician Date Processed JUL 0 9 2014

2014 JUL -9 PH 2: 45 P U C O

## Irwin, Steven

From:

PUCO ContactOPSB

Sent:

Wednesday, July 09, 2014 10:54 AM

To:

GinnieR@neo.rr.com
PUCO ContactOPSB

Cc: Subject:

RE: Greenwich Windpark (13-0990-EL-BGN)

Ms. Robson,

Thank you for your continued interested in the proposed Greenwich Windpark (OPSB Case No. 13-0990-EL-BGN). Your comments will be docketed in the case record.

All materials pertaining to the case are available under the 13-0990-EL-BGN docket. Of note, the OPSB Staff Report of Investigation outlines the potential and possible impacts to the project area. The Staff Report, filed on April 18, 2014, includes discussion of the proposed turbines, including their size and necessary setback distance under Ohio law and regulation. Additionally, Windlab presented information about the proposed turbine model at the public informational meeting held on May 22, 2013 (Windlab's Open House Posters).

Again, thank you for your comments. Please do not hesitate to contact us if you have any further questions.

Thanks,

Steve

Steve Irwin
Public Outreach Coordinator
Ohio Power Siting Board
614.466.2871
OPSB.ohio.gov



From: Ginnie R [mailto:GinnieR@neo.rr.com]

**Sent:** Tuesday, July 08, 2014 5:45 PM

To: PUCO ContactOPSB

Subject: Case 13-0990-EL-BGN

Upon reviewing the correspondence on the Ohio Power Siting Board website concerning Case 13-0990-EL-BGN, I notice that the only positive responses seem to be from either those having contracts or living in Columbus where they do not have to live with the effects (and should, therefore, have no say). I guess this just says that money talks.

At the time of the public meetings I thought we were talking about cute little windmills not monstrosities that consume the community and the wildlife. The information provided at that meeting would probably not have changed my mind since it was pretty one-sided. I fully believe that if residents had had a clue about what was really happening, the place would have been packed. I realize that ordinances and descriptions were made public (after the contracts were signed) but it was just so much technical jargon to the average citizen. As a taxpayer my voice should be heard. It not only devalues my property but is supported with my tax dollars. I have

visited the Van Wert area and was appalled. The turbines in our area are to be bigger and noisier than those - hard to believe.

My vote, if I have one, is in opposition to Case 13-0990-EL-BGN.

Virginia M. Robson 65 S. Kniffin St. Greenwich, OH 44837

(419) 752-3115 GinnieR@neo.rr.com