

FILE

**Irwin, Steven**

---

**From:** PUCO ContactOPSB  
**Sent:** Wednesday, July 09, 2014 10:27 AM  
**To:** vogelja@frontier.com  
**Cc:** PUCO ContactOPSB  
**Subject:** RE: Greenwich Windpark case number 13-0990-EL-BGN

Ms. Vogel,

Thank you for contacting the OPSB regarding the proposed Greenwich Windpark (OPSB 13-0990-EL-BGN). Your comments will be docketed in the case record.

The Greenwich Winpark remains pending before the Board. The proposal has not been scheduled for a vote. For more information about the Board, its members, and the statutory criteria considered in each case, please visit our website: <http://www.opsb.ohio.gov/opsb/index.cfm/About/>.

Thank you,

Steve

-----  
Steve Irwin  
Public Outreach Coordinator  
Ohio Power Siting Board  
614.466.2871  
[opsb.ohio.gov](http://opsb.ohio.gov)



RECEIVED-DOCKETING DIV  
2014 JUL -9 PM 2:45  
PUCO

---

**From:** [vogelja@frontier.com](mailto:vogelja@frontier.com) [<mailto:vogelja@frontier.com>]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, July 09, 2014 9:34 AM  
**To:** PUCO ContactOPSB  
**Subject:** Greenwich Windpark case number 13-0990-EL-BGN

Dear Members of the Power Siting Board,

I am not a lawyer, and I do not understand all of the "ins and outs" of the wind farm business. However, I am dismayed Ms. Bloomfield's letter (dated 7/3/2014 in the case documents). She hopes that the board will dismiss the opinions of persons who have written to the board between 6/13 and 6/30 on the basis of whether or not they are adjacent landowners of the project.

An example is Mrs. Virginia Robson who wrote to your board on 6/30. She lives right beside "adjacent landowners"... there is a yard separating them. I've seen her grandchildren playing in that yard with the "adjacent landowner's" children. In fact, I believe she lives on a portion of her original

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business.  
Technician Dmt Date Processed JUL 09 2014

property that she did not sell to the adjacent landowners. This whole business of only listening to the opinion of adjacent landowners is absolutely absurd. Is there some way that the effects of the turbines recognize property boundaries and will not penetrate any properties other than those of participating landowners or their adjacent neighbors?

Why did Ms. Bloomfield not dismiss the opinions of the many "consumers" who submitted their opinions to the board between 4/16 and 5/1? Most of these were members of Local 18. I do not doubt that these are hardworking people looking for temporary employment. However, the addresses range as far as Letart, WV (201 miles) and Wheeling WV (136 miles)! **Somehow, she urges you to disregard testimony of people living within 5 minutes of the project**, but she did not address all of these letters. This makes no sense!

Please do not disregard testimony of Greenwich Township residents who have recently learned of this project. These are heartfelt letters written individually. These people were not presented with a form letter and/or coached as to what to write to your board. If any letters should be disregarded, it would be the many, many letters written by individuals who do not reside within even a half-hour's drive from this wonderful place we call Greenwich.

Please listen to the concerns of citizens of Greenwich Township regardless of whether Ms. Bloomfield believes we live close enough to the turbines to be affected. Her dismissive attitude is insulting.

Sincerely,  
Audrey Vogel  
4902 Rome Greenwich Rd.  
Greenwich, Ohio 44837