Irwin, Steven

13-0990-EL-BGN

From: Sent: Kevin Ledet <kaledet1@gmail.com> Tuesday, June 17, 2014 1:23 PM

To:

PUCO ContactOPSB

Subject:

Public comments

Attachments:

Adjacent property owners.wpd

To Steve Irwin,

Steve I am sending you an attachment that I would like to be presented to the public and voting and non voting members of the OPSB concerning Case No. 13-0990-EL-BG Thank you Kevin Ledet

RECEIVED-DOCKETING DIV

 To the members of the Ohio Power Siting Board,

RE: 6011 Greenwich Windward, LLC Case No. 13-0990-EL-BGN

This letter is in reference to the one dated June 13, 2014 that Ms. Sally W. Bloomfield sent to Ms. McNeal, found in the Case Document section. According to her letter it appears that only the comment of property owners in the project or adjacent property owners have any credence. That being the case then the majority of the comments, for or against, this project should be disregarded by the board. Of the 56 total public comments or responses, as of 6/14/2014, roughly 31 are from people or organizations that don't meet Ms. Bloomfield's criteria. Some people as far away as Cincinnati, OH are for this project and I'm relatively certain that no matter how unsightly or noisy these turbines are, they will not be impacted. Their way of life will not be diminished and their property values will not be affected.

In light of Ms. Bloomfield's letter, I want to take a survey from adjacent property owners and see where they stand on this project because the level of public discourse has increased substantially on this turbine project.

Some of the comments that she wants the Board to reject are from land owners that boarder a railroad that separates their property from the project area. Legally she may be correct in her description, but in reality it is no different than a highway separating properties. If this is such an important component to a person's right to voice an opinion, then why isn't the CSX railway listed as an adjacent property owner. In the Case Document section of the Greenwich Windpark LLC case no. 13-0990-EL-BGN, there is an entry dated 6/3/2014 containing 116 pgs. Exhibit 2A lists the property owners and exhibit 2B lists the adjacent property owners. I've looked at it several times and can't find the CSX railroad listed anywhere, either as a property owner or adjacent property owner even though one of their two double mainlines crosses the proposed project area between turbines #19 and #22 on the south and turbines #23, #24 and #25 on the north and the other double mainline is the proposed border on the north of the project.

If Ms. Bloomfield's assertion, that only the comment of property owners and those that have property adjacent to the project area, is valid; why have a public comment section on your web site?

My wife and I have lived here for 38 years and have found Greenwich Township to be a quiet and sleepy community. This is a farming community. Neighbors get along rather well and often tend to their own business leaving other neighbors to theirs. Late night farm operations are understood and accepted, as is the spreading of manure as a necessary part of farming. These are examples of cyclical events, that at times may be irritating, but they are for a short time. The construction of these turbines is another matter altogether. This is not what built this community nor is this what the community was built for. The level of mistrust and accusations will only grow and the very fabric of this community will be torn apart. The community slept through the public meetings and I believe we have slept too long. Is there any way to petition the Ohio Power Siting Board to have another public meeting in Greenwich, OH in order for this community's real pulse to be taken?

The closer that these projects are placed to more densely populated areas the more push back these developers will experience. Eventually they will alienate the very people they say they are here to help.

Sincerely, Kevin Ledet