

May 23, 2014

PUCO Docketing Division
Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 East Broad Street
11th Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215

Re: Case No. 13-2027-EL-ATR

Dear Docketing Division:

On October 3, 2013, this proceeding was initiated by a joint petition of the Ohio Power Company, Duke Energy Ohio, Inc., and The Dayton Power and Light Company.

It has come to our attention that some confusion may have been created by a caption labeled “DPL” on two tables on page 3 of the joint petition and in Exhibit B to the “CCD Transmission Asset Exchange Agreement” that was also attached to the joint petition.

To clarify: the transmission assets referenced in those tables under the caption “DPL” are all transmission assets owned by The Dayton Power and Light Company. There are no transmission facilities owned by the parent company, DPL Inc., and notwithstanding the captions of “DPL” in those tables, there is no intent to reference the legal entity known as DPL Inc.

On this day, May 23, 2014, I served via e-mail this letter to the attorneys of all parties to this proceeding.

Respectfully submitted,

ss/ *Randall V. Griffin*

Randall V. Griffin (0080499)

The Dayton Power and Light Company

1065 Woodman Drive

Dayton, OH 45432

Telephone: (937) 259-7171

Facsimile: (937) 259-7178

Email: randall.griffin@aes.com

Attorney for The Dayton Power and Light
Company

cc: Andrea M. Kirsh (Ohio Power)
Amy B. Spiller (Duke Energy Ohio)
Joseph Buckley (PUCO Staff)
Dona Seger-Lawson (DP&L)

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

5/23/2014 4:13:39 PM

in

Case No(s). 13-2027-EL-ATR

Summary: Correspondence Letter of The Dayton Power and Light Company clarifying Tables in the Joint Petition electronically filed by Mr. Randall V Griffin on behalf of The Dayton Power and Light Company