## BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

- - -

In the Matter of the :
Application of Ohio Power :
Company for Administration:
of the Significantly :

Excessive Earnings Test : Case No. 13-2251-EL-UNC

for 2012 Under Revised:
Code Section 4928.143(F):
and Ohio Administrative:
Code 4928:1-35-10:

- - -

## PROCEEDINGS

before Ms. Greta See, Attorney Examiner, at the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio, 180 East Broad Street, Room 11-C, Columbus, Ohio, called at 10 a.m. on Tuesday, April 29, 2014.

- - -

ARMSTRONG & OKEY, INC.

222 East Town Street, Second Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215-5201
(614) 224-9481 - (800) 223-9481
Fax - (614) 224-5724

\_ \_ \_

```
2
 1
      APPEARANCES:
 2
             American Electric Power Service Corporation
             By Mr. Steven T. Nourse
 3
             1 Riverside Plaza, 29th Floor
             Columbus, Ohio 43215
 4
                  On behalf of the Applicant.
 5
             Mike DeWine, Ohio Attorney General
 6
             By Mr. William L. Wright,
             Section Chief
 7
             Public Utilities Section
             Mr. Thomas W. McNamee,
 8
             Principal Assistant Attorney General
             180 East Broad Street, 6th Floor
 9
             Columbus, Ohio 43215
10
                  On behalf of the Staff of the PUCO.
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
2.1
2.2
23
24
25
```

						3
1			INDEX			
2						
3	Wit	ness			Page	
4	Gary O. Spitznogle				_	
5	Direct Examination by Mr. Nourse 7 Examination by Attorney Examiner See 10					
6						
7	Joi	nt Exhibit		Identified	Admitted	
8	1	Stipulation and Reco	ommendation	n 5	11	
9						
10	App	licant Exhibit		Identified	Admitted	
11	1	Direct Testimony of	Gary O.	5	10	
12		Spitznogle		5	10	
13	2	Direct Testimony of Mitchell	Thomas E.	5	10	
14	3	Direct Testimony of Dr. Anil K. Makhija		5	10	
15		DI. AIIII N. MAKIIIJA		5	10	
16						
17	Sta	ff Exhibit		Identified	Admitted	
18	1	Prefiled Testimony of Joseph P. Buckley	of	6	11	
19		ooseph 1. buckley		O	<b>T</b> T	
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						

Tuesday Morning Session,
April 29, 2014.

- -

2.0

2.1

ATTORNEY EXAMINER SEE: Scheduled for today at this time is Case No. 13-2251-EL-UNC being entitled in the Matter of the Application of Ohio Power Company for Administration of the Significantly Excessive Earnings Test Under Revised Code Section 4928.143(F) and Ohio Administrative Code 4901:1-35-10.

My name is Greta See. I am the Attorney Examiner assigned to this case by the Commission. At this point I would like to take appearances of the parties on behalf of the Ohio Power Company.

MR. NOURSE: Thank you, your Honor. On behalf of the Ohio Power Company, Steven T. Nourse, 1 Riverside Plaza, Columbus, Ohio 43215.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER SEE: And on behalf of the staff of the Public Utilities Commission.

MR. McNAMEE: On behalf of the staff of the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio, I'm Thomas W. McNamee, Assistant Attorney General. The address is 180 East Broad Street, Columbus, Ohio.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER SEE: Okay. And I see that a stipulation has been filed by the parties.

1 MR. NOURSE: Yes, your Honor. We have a 2 stipulation this morning to present to you, and 3 Mr. Gary Spitznogle is coming to testify in support of the stipulation, whenever you're ready. 4 5 ATTORNEY EXAMINER SEE: Okay. Well, 6 let's go ahead and get started. 7 MR. NOURSE: And, your Honor, would it be 8 okay if we mark exhibits up front? Is that easier? 9 ATTORNEY EXAMINER SEE: Yes, it is. 10 MR. NOURSE: All right. So the company 11 has three exhibits, testimony of Gary O. Spitznogle 12 will be Exhibit No. 1 and these were filed on the 13 docket on November 22, I believe; and Thomas E. 14 Mitchell's testimony will be company Exhibit No. 2; 15 and Anil Makhija's testimony will be Exhibit No. 3. 16 Give those to the reporter. 17 MR. NOURSE: I believe staff has an 18 exhibit. 19 MR. McNAMEE: We have the stipulation 2.0 too. 2.1 MR. NOURSE: Okay. We can do that now. 22 The Joint Exhibit No. 1 would be the stipulation.

it there -- are there any objections to the admission

MR. McNAMEE: We'll need that one.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER SEE: Okay. And I take

23

24

6 of AEP Ohio Exhibits 1, 2, or 3? 1 2 MR. McNAMEE: No, your Honor. 3 we're marking perhaps we should mark Staff Exhibit 1, the prefiled testimony of Joseph Buckley. I've given 4 5 that to the reporter. 6 ATTORNEY EXAMINER SEE: Okay. Are there 7 any objections to the admission of Staff Exhibit 1? 8 MR. NOURSE: No, your Honor. 9 ATTORNEY EXAMINER SEE: The AEP exhibits 10 and staff's exhibit as well as the stipulation are so 11 marked. 12 (EXHIBITS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) 13 MR. NOURSE: Thank you, your Honor. We 14 would call Mr. Gary Spitznogle to the stand. 15 (Witness sworn.) 16 ATTORNEY EXAMINER SEE: Have a seat. 17 Please state and spell your name for the record. 18 THE WITNESS: My name is Gary O. 19 Spitznogle, last name is spelled S-P-I-T-Z-N-O-G-L-E. 2.0 ATTORNEY EXAMINER SEE: Mr. Nourse. 2.1 MR. NOURSE: Thank you, your Honor. 22

23

24

## GARY O. SPITZNOGLE

being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was examined and testified as follows:

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

5 By Mr. Nourse:

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

2.0

2.1

22

23

- Q. Good morning, Mr. Spitznogle.
- A. Good morning.
- Q. Could you state your position and briefly describe your responsibilities with AEP.
- A. Yes. I'm vice president of regulatory and finance with AEP Ohio, and my responsibilities are to oversee the financial performance of AEP Ohio and all matters pertaining to regulatory issues.
- Q. Okay. And your -- I guess your background and your educational experience are listed in detail in Company Exhibit No. 1, correct?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. Your prefiled testimony.
- 19 A. Yes.
  - Q. Okay. So we won't go through that in great detail now. Did you have an opportunity to review Joint Exhibit No. 1, the stipulation and recommendation?
- A. Yes, I did.
  - Q. Okay. So you're familiar with that

- document and were involved with final -- negotiating and finalizing the document?
  - A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

- Q. Okay. And in your opinion does the -does the stipulation reach a reasonable outcome for
  this proceeding and recommendation to the Commission?
  - A. Yes, it does.
- Q. Okay. Let me just ask you about the three-part test that the Commission uses to evaluate stipulations. Are you familiar with the three-part test?
  - A. I am, yes.
- Q. And with respect to this stipulation,
  Joint Exhibit 1, is that the product of serious
  bargaining among the staff and the company?
  - A. It is.
- Q. And there are no other parties in this proceeding to your knowledge, correct?
- A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. And does the stipulation confirm that the annual significantly excessive earnings test was conducted, and does the stipulation confirm that there were no significantly excessive earnings in 2012?
- A. Yes, it does.

- Q. And the -- in your opinion then does the stipulation in that regard provide a benefit to the public interest?
  - A. It does.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- Q. Okay. And the third criteria for the stipulation test is that the stipulation does not violate any important regulatory principles or practices; is that your understanding?
  - A. Yes, it is.
- Q. And this stipulation satisfies that criteria as well?
  - A. It does.
- Q. Thank you. So in summary does the stipulation provide confidence that the -- to the Commission that no significantly excessive earnings occurred in 2012 for Ohio Power Company?
  - A. That is correct.
- MR. NOURSE: Okay. Thank you. That's all the direct examination I have, your Honor.
- 20 ATTORNEY EXAMINER SEE: Thank you.
- 21 Mr. McNamee?
- MR. McNAMEE: Thank you, your Honor.
- I'm sorry you had to come out on this
  rainy morning, Mr. Spitznogle. I have no questions
  for you.

1 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 2 3 EXAMINATION By Attorney Examiner See: 4 5 Mr. Spitznogle, how do you believe that 6 the stipulation benefits ratepayers and serves the 7 public interest? 8 Because AEP Ohio's SEET test confirms Α. 9 that our earnings were below the threshold. 10 ATTORNEY EXAMINER SEE: Okay. Thank you. 11 You can step down, Mr. Spitznogle. 12 THE WITNESS: Okay. 13 MR. NOURSE: Thank you, your Honor. I would just -- I believe we moved for admission of the 14 15 exhibits earlier, and I would renew that motion. 16 ATTORNEY EXAMINER SEE: And there were no 17 objections so AEP Ohio Exhibits 1, 2, and 3 are 18 admitted into the record as is -- I'm sorry, 19 Mr. McNamee. 2.0 MR. McNAMEE: Oh, well, and I would move 2.1 the admission of Staff Exhibit 1 and the joint 22 exhibit as well. 23 (EXHIBITS ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.) 24 ATTORNEY EXAMINER SEE: And I take -- are 25 there any objections, Mr. Nourse?

11 1 MR. NOURSE: No. ATTORNEY EXAMINER SEE: Staff Exhibit 1 2 3 and Joint Exhibit 1 are admitted into the record. 4 (EXHIBITS ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.) 5 ATTORNEY EXAMINER SEE: And if there's 6 nothing further, the hearing is adjourned. 7 (Thereupon, the hearing was concluded at 8 12:14 p.m.) 9 10 CERTIFICATE 11 I do hereby certify that the foregoing is 12 a true and correct transcript of the proceedings 13 taken by me in this matter on Tuesday, April 29, 14 2014, and carefully compared with my original stenographic notes. 15 16 17 18 Karen Sue Gibson, Registered Merit Reporter. 19 20 (KSG-5861) 2.1 22 23 24

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

**Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on** 

5/13/2014 1:42:30 PM

in

Case No(s). 13-2251-EL-UNC

Summary: Transcript in the matter of the Ohio Power Company hearing held on 04/29/14 electronically filed by Mrs. Jennifer Duffer on behalf of Armstrong & Okey, Inc. and Gibson, Karen Sue Mrs.