BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Application of)	
Ohio Power Company for Authority to)	
Establish a Standard Service Offer))	Case No. 13-2385-EL-SSO
Pursuant to §4928.143, Revised Code,)	
in the Form of an Electric Security Plan)	
In the Matter of the Application of)	
Ohio Power Company for Approval of)	Case No. 13-2386-EL-AAM
Certain Accounting Authority)	

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF
LOUIS M. D'ALESSANDRIS
ON BEHALF OF FIRSTENERGY SOLUTIONS, CORP.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION & BACKGROUND	. 1
III.	THE PROPOSED ESP SHOULD BE MODIFIED	. 2
IV.	THE PROPOSED ASSIGMENT OF RESPONSIBILITY FOR A PJM BILLING LINE ITEM SHOULD BE MODIFIED	. 3
V.	THE POR PROGRAM SHOULD BE MODIFIED	. 4
VI.	THE OPTION FOR EARLY TERMINATION OF THE ESP SHOULD BE MODIFIED.	
VII.	AEP OHIO'S INTEREST IN OVEC	. 7
VIII.	CONCLUSION	. 7

I. INTRODUCTION & BACKGROUND

- 2 Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, BUSINESS ADDRESS, AND POSITION.
- 3 A. My name is Louis M. D'Alessandris. My business address is 341 White Pond Drive,
- 4 Akron, Ohio 44320. I am employed by FirstEnergy Solutions Corp. ("FES") as an
- 5 Advisor, State & EDC Competitive Market Policies.
- 6 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND AND
- 7 PROFESSIONAL QUALIFICATIONS.
- 8 A. I have a Bachelor of Arts degree in Business Administration from Washington and
- 9 Jefferson College, and a Master of Business Administration degree from the University
- of Cincinnati. I joined FES in early 2006. I have been in my current position since
- August 2013. Prior to that I held various positions in the Competitive Market Policies
- Group and its predecessor group. From mid-1994 to late-1995 I was a contract
- employee performing load research and evaluation of demand side management
- programs for the Cincinnati Gas & Electric Company. From late 1995 to late 1997 I
- was a Demand Side Management Analyst with the Ohio Edison Company. From late
- 16 1997 to early 2006 I worked in the marketing department at a chemical company in the
- 17 Akron area.

1

- 18 Q. WHAT ARE YOUR RESPONSIBILITIES AS ADVISOR, STATE & EDC
- 19 **COMPETITIVE MARKET POLICIES?**
- 20 A. As an Advisor, State & EDC Competitive Market Policies, I am responsible for
- 21 coordinating initiatives involving state public utility commissions where FES operates,
- including the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio (the "Commission"). As part of this

- 1 role, I am responsible for representing FES's interests in Ohio Electric Security Plan 2 ("ESP") and Market Rate Offer ("MRO") proceedings. 3 O. ON WHOSE BEHALF ARE YOU TESTIFYING? 4 A. I am testifying on behalf of FES. FES is a licensed competitive retail electric service 5 ("CRES") provider in Ohio and an energy supplier serving residential, commercial 6 and industrial customers in the Midwest and Mid-Atlantic regions, including Ohio 7 Power Company's ("AEP Ohio's") territory. FES supplies electricity to customers in 8 Illinois, Maryland, Michigan, New Jersey, Ohio and Pennsylvania. 9 O. CAN YOU DESCRIBE FURTHER FES'S EXPERIENCE THE 10 COMPETITIVE ELECTRIC MARKETS IN OHIO? 11 A. Yes. FES owns and operates competitive generation in Ohio and elsewhere. FES offers 12 a range of energy and energy-related products and services to wholesale and retail 13 customers across Ohio, including the generation and sale of electricity. It also serves 14 customers in all of the Ohio electric distribution utilities' ("EDUs") service territories. 15 FES also has substantial experience as a supplier at the wholesale level, including 16 competitive bid procurements ("CBPs") in Ohio and other states. 17 Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY IN THIS PROCEEDING? 18 A. I am providing testimony regarding certain aspects of AEP Ohio's proposed ESP that 19 should be modified as identified below and described in further detail in my testimony.
- 20 III. <u>THE PROPOSED ESP SHOULD BE MODIFIED</u>
- Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE FES'S OVERALL POSITION REGARDING AEP
 OHIO'S PROPOSED ESP.

- 1 A. The proposed ESP should be modified as described below to ensure that AEP Ohio's
- 2 ESP better conforms to state law and policy:
- The EDU should be responsible for the Generation Deactivation charge, and the
- 4 Master Supply Agreement should be modified accordingly.
- The POR program should be modified to ensure that it does not create any
- 6 barriers to effective competition.
- The option for early termination of the ESP should be modified.
- 8 FES also provides additional considerations for the Commission regarding AEP
- 9 Ohio's interest in OVEC.

10 IV. THE PROPOSED ASSIGMENT OF RESPONSIBILITY FOR A PJM 11 BILLING LINE ITEM SHOULD BE MODIFIED

- 12 Q. DO YOU AGREE WITH AEP OHIO'S PROPOSAL TO SPLIT THE
- 13 TRANSMISSION RIDER INTO MARKET-BASED ("MB") AND NON-
- 14 MARKET-BASED ("NMB") COMPONENTS?
- 15 A. Generally, yes. AEP Ohio is the last of the Ohio EDUs to treat transmission and
- ancillary components in this manner, and this change allows for greater consistency
- across the state. However, FES disagrees with how one component was classified in
- 18 Exhibit CL-2, Attachment F. Specifically, FES disagrees with the treatment of line
- item 1930. As a participant in both wholesale and retail markets, FES believes it is
- 20 good policy to attempt to standardize the assignment of responsibility of this PJM
- billing line item across all Ohio EDUs. The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company,
- Ohio Edison Company, The Toledo Edison Company (collectively, "FEOUs"), Duke
- Energy Ohio ("Duke"), and Dayton Power & Light ("DP&L") all include the PJM
- billing item assignment for line item 1930 in their Master Supply Agreements.

O. HOW SHOULD LINE ITEM 1930 BE TREATED?

A. Line item 1930 represents Generation Deactivation charges, which are commonly referred to as Reliability Must Run ("RMR"). AEP Ohio proposes this line item as a MB charge. However, this line item is recognized as NMB by FEOUs, Duke, and DP&L. If these RMR charges are treated as MB charges, then bid prices for the Competitive Bid Process ("CBP") auction products and retail service offers must include a risk premium to address this charge. Since the future RMR charges are not known to CBP auction participants or CRES providers, the risk premium could potentially be larger than the actual RMR charge. As a result, all customers are subject to increased prices, whether they shop or not. FES recommends that the RMR charge be a NMB charge and therefore the responsibility of AEP Ohio. FES's recommendation will benefit customers and facilitate retail competition in AEP Ohio's service territory.

V. THE POR PROGRAM SHOULD BE MODIFIED

Q. DOES FES SUPPORT AEP OHIO'S PROPOSAL TO IMPLEMENT A POR

PROGRAM?

A. FES takes no position on whether or not AEP Ohio should implement a POR program. FES does not base decisions on whether to enter a market on the availability of a POR program, and evaluates participation in each EDU's POR program independently. FES does believe it is important to ensure that any POR program does not discriminate against suppliers who have invested in credit and

- 1 collection procedures. FES has a proficient credit and collections team, and POR
- discounts are not always less expensive than FES's own collection efforts.

3 Q. WHAT ARE FES'S PROPOSED MODIFICATIONS TO THE POR

PROGRAM?

A. First and foremost, participation in the POR program should not be tied to consolidated billing. Under AEP Ohio's proposal, all CRES providers who enroll a customer in consolidated billing will be required to participate in the POR program. Suppliers who do not wish to participate in the POR program would therefore be forced to dual bill customers. In our experience, utilization of consolidated billing provides the most customer-friendly experience. CRES providers who choose not to participate in the POR program should not be penalized by losing access to a service that AEP Ohio provides without discrimination to all CRES providers today.

Second, FES proposes that as long as the Bad Debt Rider ("BDR") exists, the discount rate should remain 0%. AEP Ohio Witness Gabbard indicated that there is a possibility of a non-zero discount rate in the future, in the event that AEP Ohio incurs future costs to modify the POR program functionality not already recovered in rates. FES does not object to the possibility of future changes under the following conditions:

1) program costs are the only factor that may cause the rate to vary; 2) the requested increase is handled through a separate proceeding in which the costs are audited and the proper method of cost recovery is determined; and 3) any per bill or non-zero discount is eliminated when program costs are fully recovered.

Third, the \$0.77 per bill yearly administration fee should be eliminated. The Commission recently ordered DP&L to remove any billing fees to bring it in alignment

1	with the other Ohio EDUs. ¹	AEP Ohio has never charged CRES providers for
2	consolidated billing, and it shou	ald not use the POR program to start now.

Finally, customers should not be assessed an additional deposit upon entering the POR program. Given that customers will likely enter the program upon shopping, this will be viewed as a disincentive to shop and is likely to negatively affect a customer's shopping experience. Duke is the only other Ohio EDU with a POR program, and they do not engage in this practice.

VI. THE OPTION FOR EARLY TERMINATION OF THE ESP SHOULD BE MODIFIED

Q. WHAT IS AEP OHIO'S PROPOSAL REGARDING THE ESP TERM?

- A. The ESP term is to be from June 1, 2015 to May 31, 2018, with express retention of right to terminate one year early if there is a major change in federal or state law. Notice of termination must be provided by October 1, 2016.
- 14 Q. DOES FES HAVE CONCERNS ABOUT THIS PROPOSAL'S IMPACT ON

AEP'S SSO AUCTIONS?

A. Yes. The reopener provision could result in changes to the auction after load is procured for the final year of the plan. The fifth auction, which procures supply for June 1, 2016 through May 31, 2017 delivery, is scheduled for September 2016, before the ESP could be potentially be terminated. Therefore, any wholesale contract from the fifth auction would potentially be at risk. Such uncertainty unnecessarily risks driving potential

¹ Case No. 12-0426-EL-SSO, *In the Matter of the Application of The Dayton Power and Light Company to Establish a Standard Service Offer in the Form of an Electric Security Plan*, Second Entry on Rehearing, p. 22 (March 19, 2014).

2	participate are concerned that the auction results may not be honored.
3	Q. WHAT IS FES'S RECOMMENDATION REGARDING THE OPTION FOR
4	EARLY TERMINATION?
5	A. The Commission should modify AEP Ohio's reopener such that any winning bidders
6	would be made financially whole for their commitment to serve load obtained from the
7	last auction. This modification would remove uncertainty and risk from that auction,
8	and preserve AEP Ohio's option to terminate the ESP early.
9	VII. <u>AEP OHIO'S INTEREST IN OVEC</u>
10	Q. DOES FES HAVE CONCERNS WITH AEP OHIO'S PROPOSAL FOR A
11	RIDER TO RECOVER COSTS RELATED TO ITS OVEC INTEREST AND
12	POTENTIALLY OTHER POWER PURCHASE AGREEMENTS?
13	A. There is insufficient information for FES to take a position at this time, however, the
14	Commission should take steps to ensure that the rider mechanism is structured such that
15	the goals of the Mercury and Air Toxics Standards (MATS) are realized. For example,
16	the mechanism should not be utilized to keep open generation resources that were
17	previously scheduled to close.
18	VIII. <u>CONCLUSION</u>
19	Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY AT THIS TIME?
20	A. Yes.

bidders away, and may have a negative impact on auction pricing if bidders that do

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing was served this 6th day of May 2014, via email upon the parties below.

/s/ Scott J. Casto

One of the Attorneys for FirstEnergy Solutions Corp.

Steven T. Nourse
Matthew J. Satterwhite
American Electric Power Service Corp.
1 Riverside Plaza, 29th Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215
stnourse@aep.com
mjsatterwhite@aep.com

Daniel R. Conway Porter Wright Morris & Arthur Huntington Center 41 S. High Street Columbus, Ohio 43215 dconway@porterwright.com

Samuel C. Randazzo
Frank P. Darr
Mathew R. Pritchard
McNees Wallace & Nurick LLC
21 East State Street, 17th Floor
Columbus, OH 43215
sam@mwncmh.com
fdarr@mwncmh.com
mpritchard@mwncmh.com

David F. Boehm
Michael L. Kurtz
Jody Kyler Cohn
Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry
36 East Seventh Street, Suite 1510
Cincinnati, OH 45202
dboehm@BKLlawfirm.com
mkurtz@BKLlawfirm.com
jkylercohn@BKLlawfirm.com

William Wright Attorney General's Office Public Utilities Commission of Ohio 180 E. Broad St., 6th Fl. Columbus, OH 43215 William.wright@puc.state.oh.us

Kimberly W. Bojko Mallory Mohler Carpenter Lipps & Leland LLP 280 Plaza, Suite 1300 280 North High Street Columbus, Ohio 43215 bojko@carpenterlipps.com mohler@carpenterlipps.com

Barth E. Royer Bell & Royer Co., LPA 33 South Grant Avenue Columbus, OH BarthRoyer@aol.com

Gary A. Jeffries
Dominion Resources Services, Inc.
501 Martindale Street, Suite 400
Pittsburgh, PA 15212
Gary.A.Jeffries@dom.com

Rocco O. D'Ascenzo Duke Energy Ohio 139 East Fourth Street 1303-Main Cincinnati, Ohio 45202 Rocco.D'Ascenzo@duke-energy.com Mark A. Whitt (Counsel of Record) Andrew J. Campbell Gregory L. Williams WHITT STURTEVANT LLP The KeyBank Building, Suite 1590 88 East Broad Street Columbus, Ohio 43215 whitt@whitt-sturtevant.com campbell@whitt-sturtevant.com williams@whitt-sturtevant.com

Vincent Parisi Lawrence Friedeman Matthew White INTERSTATE GAS SUPPLY, INC. 6100 Emerald Parkway Dublin, Ohio 43016 vparisi@igsenergy.com Ifriedeman@igsenergy.com mswhite@igsenergy.com

Trent A Dougherty Ohio Environmental Council 1207 Grandview Ave. Suite 201 Columbus OH 43212 tdougherty@theOEC.org

John Finnigan Environmental Defense Fund 128 Winding Brook Lane Terrace Park, Ohio 45174 jfinnigan@edf.org

Colleen L Mooney
Cathryn N. Loucas
Ohio Partners for Affordable Energy
231 West Lima Street
Findlay OH 45840
cmooney@ohiopartners.org
cloucas@ohiopartners.org

Philip B. Sineneng THOMPSON HINE LLP 41 South High Street, Suite 1700 Columbus, Ohio 43215 Philip.Sineneng@ThompsonHine.com

Richard L. Sites General Counsel & Senior Director of Health Policy OHIO HOSPITAL ASSOCIATION 155 East Broad Street, 15th Floor ricks@ohanet.org

Thomas J. O'Brien Dylan F. Borchers BRICKER & ECKLER LLP 100 South Third Street Columbus, OH 43215-4291 tobrien@bricker.com dborchers@bricker.com

Mark Yurick
Zachary D. Kravitz
Taft Stettinuis & Hollister LLP
65 E. State Street Suite 1000
Columbus OH 43215
myurick@taftlaw.com
zkravitz@taftlaw.com

Nicholas McDaniel Environmental Law & Policy Center 1207 Grandview Avenue, Suite 201 Columbus, OH 43212 NMcDaniel@elpc.org Tyler A. Teuscher The Dayton Power and Light Company 1065 Woodman Dr. Dayton OH 45432 Tyler.teuscher@dplinc.com Marissa J. Bach Hess Energy Marketing, LLC One Hess Plaza Woodbridge NJ 07030 mbach@hess.com

Michael R. Smalz Ohio Poverty Law Center 555 Buttles Avenue Columbus OH 43215 msmalz@ohiopovertylaw.org Joseph Clark 21 E. State Street, 19th Floor Columbus, OH 43215 joseph.clark@directenergy.com

Maureen R. Grady (0020847)
Assistant Consumers' Counsel
OFFICE OF THE OHIO CONSUMERS' COUNSEL
10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3485
grady@occ.state.oh.us

Peggy P. Lee (0067912) SOUTHEASTERN OHIO LEGAL SERVICES 964 E. State Street Athens, Ohio 45701 plee@oslsa.org

Howard Petricoff Vorys, Sater, Seymour, and Pease LLP 52 E. Gay Street P.O. Box 1008 Columbus, OH 43216-1008 mhpetricoff@vorys.com Cynthia Fonner Brady Assistant General Counsel EXELON BUSINESS SERVICES COMPANY 4300 Winfield Road Warrenville, IL 60555 cynthia.brady@constellation.com

Derrick Price Williamson SPILMAN THOMAS & BATTLE, PLLC 1100 Bent Creek Blvd., Suite 101 Mechanicsburg, PA 17050 dwilliamson@spilmanlaw.com

Samantha Williams
NATURAL RESOURCES DEFENSE COUNCIL
20 N. Wacker Drive, Suite 1600
Chicago, IL 60606
swilliams@nrdc.org

Stephanie M. Chmiel (0087555) THOMPSON HINE LLP 41 S. High Street, Suite 1700 Columbus, Ohio 43215 Stephanie.Chmiel@ThompsonHine.com

Gregory J. Poulos (0070532) ENERNOC, INC. 471 E. Broad Street, Suite 1520 Columbus, Ohio 43215 gpoulos@enernoc.com

J. Thomas Siwo (0088069) BRICKER & ECKLER LLP 100 South Third Street Columbus, Ohio 43215-4291 tsiwo@bricker.com This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

5/6/2014 5:11:07 PM

in

Case No(s). 13-2385-EL-SSO, 13-2386-EL-AAM

Summary: Testimony Direct Testimony of Louis D'Alessandris electronically filed by Mr. Scott J Casto on behalf of FirstEnergy Solutions Corp.