

Ed Rogers
9247 CR 130
Kenton, Ohio
43326

March 12, 2014
Hardin Wind Farm Transparency Concerns

Ms. Wissman,

RECEIVED-DOCKETING DIV
2014 MAR 18 PM 5:12

PUCO

09-479-EL-BGN

11-3440-EL-BGA

I am hoping this letter finds you in good health and spirits. Thank you for your quick response to my questions but there was no answer to my initial question about the Board's policy not being enforced.

You stated that in your letter (February 24, 2014) "the Board administers all wind energy applications using the same review process." If that is true my original question in my previous letter (February 19th, 2014) was not addressed nor answered.

I would like to ask once again "why the board enforced their policy of sending letters to everyone in the foot print of the EVERPOWER Wind Corporation but neglected to have INVENERGY Wind Corporation do the same in theirs?"

I would like to have copies of both discussions in the minutes from this topic when it was decided as per each company. The logic has to be very apparent as to the difference so why hide it?

There should be no wind farm built unless they have to adhere to the Board's policy equally or there is either favoritism, neglect, and over cite being demonstrated to some wind farms and footprints by the O.P.S.B.

The Siting Board should be the first one to be transparent in this matter and address it fully. These letters need sent so the majority of the people can express their concerns or why have the hearing at all?

Thank you for time and effort trying to determine why one wind farm has to follow the rules and the other does not.

I will await the full answers to my three previous questions and a copy of the minutes.

Respectfully,


Ed Rogers

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business.
Technician TC Date Processed MAR 18 2014