

FILE

2

Irwin, Steven

From: Irwin, Steven
Sent: Monday, March 17, 2014 3:50 PM
To: herb@stevensonconstructionco.com
Cc: PUCO Contact OPSB
Subject: RE: OPSB Email - Herbert Stevenson

RECEIVED-DOCKETING DIV
2014 MAR 17 PM 4:17
PUCO

Mr. Herbert Stevenson
18504 County Road 75
Belle Center, Ohio

Mr. Stevenson,

Thank you for contacting the Ohio Power Siting Board (OPSB) regarding the proposed Scioto Ridge Wind Farm and associated transmission line and substation projects. Your correspondence in opposition to the project will be docketed in the public comments for case numbers 13-1177-EL-BGN, 13-1767-EL-BTX, and 13-1768-EL-BTX.

The OPSB voted today to certificate the Scioto Ridge Wind Farm. Formal parties to the case will have 30 days to petition the Board for rehearing,

If you have any additional questions or concerns, please contact the OPSB at (866) 270-6772. Again, thank you for your interest in this case.

Sincerely,

Steve

Steve Irwin
Public Outreach Coordinator
Ohio Power Siting Board
614.466.2871
OPSB.ohio.gov



From: webmaster@puc.state.oh.us
To: PUCO ContactThePUCO
Subject: 79974
Received: 3/14/2014 10:57:13 AM
Message:
WEB ID: 79974 AT:03-14-2014 at 10:57 AM

Related Case Number: 13-1177

TYPE: question

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business.
Technician je Date Processed MAR 17 2014

NAME: Mr. Herbert Stevenson

CONTACT SENDER ? Yes

MAILING ADDRESS:

- 18504 Co. Rd. 75
- Belle Center , Ohio 43310
- USA

PHONE INFORMATION:

- Home: (937) 468 7858
- Alternative: *(no alternative phone provided?)*
- Fax: *(no fax number provided?)*

E-MAIL: herb@stevensonconstructionco.com

INDUSTRY:Other

ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

- *(no utility company name provided?)*
- *(no account name provided?)*
- *(no service address provided?)*
- *(no service phone number provided?)*
- *(no account number provided?)*

QUESTION DESCRIPTION:

March 14, 2014 To Whom It May Concern: I have attended several meetings in opposition to the Scioto Industrial wind turbines. I oppose them for the same reasons that I'm sure you have already heard. I simply cannot understand why they are even being considered when most of the population opposes them, and the only people that are in support of them are those who will be receiving money, which are very few. In the meetings, Mr Dagger keeps implying that the P.U.C.O. is the paid puppet of the efficient electric supplying companies and that the inefficient wind turbine companies have to fight for themselves. I believe that the P.U.C.O. exists to protect the consumers. Which one of these statements is correct? Has the O.P.S.B. ever been on the side of the home owners and neighbors that are adversely affected by the Giant Industrial Skeleton? After researching the results of the introduction of wind turbines to an area--invasion of personal property, health complications, and more--I don't see how anyone can be in support of such an inefficient, unpredictable expense. Furthermore, why, if they are so beneficial, is Scioto Industrial Turbines Complex given so many tax breaks, abatements, Pilot programs, etc.? Thank you in advance for consideration of my concerns. Sincerely, Herbert J. Stevenson