BEFORE THE

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Review : of the Distribution Investment: Case No. Rider Contained in the Tariffs: 13-419-EL-RDR

of Ohio Power Company.

PROCEEDINGS

Before Sarah Parrot, Attorney Examiner, held at the offices of the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio, 180 East Broad Street, Hearing Room 11-C, Columbus, Ohio, on Thursday, February 27, 2014, at 10:00 A.M.

Armstrong & Okey, Inc.
222 East Town Street, 2nd Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215
(614) 224-9481 - (800) 223-9481
Fax - (614) 224-5724

```
2
 1
      APPEARANCES:
 2
             Mr. Steven T. Nourse
             Senior Counsel
 3
             Regulatory Services
             1 Riverside Plaza
             Columbus, Ohio 43215
 4
 5
                  On behalf of Ohio Power Company.
 6
             Mr. Joseph P. Serio
 7
                   and
             Mr. Michael J. Schuler
             Assistant Consumers' Counsel
 8
             10 West Broad Street
 9
             Suite 1800
             Columbus, Ohio 43215-3485
10
                  On behalf of the Office of the
11
                  Ohio Consumers' Counsel.
12
             Mr. Thomas W. McNamee
13
             Principal Attorney
             180 East Broad Street
14
             Columbus, Ohio 43215
15
                  On behalf of the Staff of the
                  Public Utilities Commission
16
                  of Ohio.
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

					3
1	INDEX	TO WITNESSES			
2					
3			DIRECT	ATTEX	
4	OHIO POWER WITNESSES				
5	Andrea Moore		7	10	
6					
7					
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
	I .				

		4
1	INDEX TO EXHIBITS	
2		
3	IDENTIFIED	
4	JOINT EXHIBIT	
5	1 Amended Stipulation and 7 Recommendation	
6	Recondition	
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

5 1 Thursday Morning, February 27, 2014. 2 3 4 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio has called for 5 6 hearing at this time and place Case No. 13-419-EL-RDR being in the matter of the Review 7 8 of the Distribution Investment Rider Contained 9 in the Tariffs of Ohio Power Company. 10 My name is Sarah Parrot, I am the Attorney Examiner assigned by the Commission to 11 12 hear this case. At this time we will get 13 started with the appearances beginning with 14 the Company. 15 MR. NOURSE: Thank you, Your Honor. 16 On behalf Ohio Power Company, Steven T. Nourse, 17 1 Riverside Plaza, 29th floor, Columbus, Ohio 18 43215. 19 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Thank you. 2.0 OCC. 2.1 MR. SERIO: Thank you, Your Honor. 22 On behalf of the residential utility customers 23 of AEP Ohio, Bruce J. Weston, Consumers'

Thank you.

Counsel, by Joseph P. Serio and Michael Schuler.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER:

24

```
Staff.
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

2.4

MR. MCNAMEE: On behalf of the Staff of the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio, I am Thomas W. McNamee, Assistant Attorney General, trial counsel in this case. The address is 180 East Broad Street, Columbus, Ohio.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Thank you, everyone. Any preliminary matters this morning?

MR. NOURSE: No, Your Honor.

the record I will just note that an amended stipulation and recommendation was filed by the Company and the Staff on January 17th, 2014 for the Commission's consideration. And before we get started with the witness I would just like to note that OCC is neither, my understanding, neither signed nor is opposing this stipulation.

MR. SERIO: That is correct, Your Honor.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Anything else you wish to say to that?

MR. SERIO: No, Your Honor.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Thank you, very

25 much. Mr. Nourse.

7 MR. NOURSE: Thank you, your Honor. 1 2 We would like to mark as Joint Exhibit 1 the 3 amended stipulation and recommendation that was 4 filed on January 17th with the Commission in this docket. 5 And would call Andrea Moore to the 6 7 stand to sponsor the stipulation. 8 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: The exhibit is 9 so marked. 10 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR THE PURPOSE OF 11 IDENTIFICATION) 12 (WITNESS SWORN) 13 14 ANDREA MOORE called as a witness on behalf of Ohio Power 15 16 Company, being first duly sworn, testified as 17 follows: 18 DIRECT EXAMINATION 19 By Mr. Nourse: 2.0 Ms. Moore, could you state and Q. 2.1 spell your name for the record? 22 Α. My name is Andrea Moore, A-N-D-R-E-A M-O-O-R-E. 23 24 Thank you. By whom are you employed 0. 25 and in what capacity?

- A. I am employed as Director of Regulatory Services for AEP Ohio.
- Q. And can you briefly describe your responsibilities in that position?
- A. Sure. I am responsible for coordinating filing, rider filings for the company, as will as participating in the audits of the different rider filings.
- Q. Okay. And so in this distribution investment rider audit can you explain briefly your role in that?
- A. Sure. I was actively involved with the independent auditors, Blue Ridge Services, while they were conducting their audit. And also participated in the meetings that eventually led to this stipulation.
 - Q. Okay. And you were also a witness and familiar with the Electric Security Plan case that led to the DIR; correct?
 - A. The first one, yes.
- 21 O. Yes.

2.0

- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. All right. So, you are familiar with Joint Exhibit 1, the amended stipulation?

A. Yes.

2.0

2.1

- Q. Okay. Is the stipulation the result of serious bargaining among capable, knowledgeable parties in your opinion?
- A. Yes. We had several meetings with the parties, and all were represented by either counsel or technical experts that had experience with proceedings before the Commission.
- Q. Okay. Would you agree that the stipulation represents compromised positions of the various parties?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And does the stipulation taken as a package benefit ratepayers and public interest in your opinion?
- A. Yes, I believe it does. The investments were audited again by an independent auditor, Blue Ridge Services. We adopted and addressed the recommendations of that audit report as a compromise for the parties.
- Q. Okay. And does the stipulation violate any important regulatory principles or practices in your opinion?
- A. No. It's consistent with the Commission's order.

```
And is it your recommendation that
 1
             0.
 2
      the Commission adopt the amended stipulation as
      it's decision in this case?
 3
 4
             Α.
                  Yes.
 5
                  MR. NOURSE: Thank you. I don't
 6
      have any further questions, your Honor.
 7
                  ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Any questions
 8
      from OCC?
 9
                  MR. SERIO: No, your Honor.
                                       Staff.
10
                  ATTORNEY EXAMINER:
11
                  MR. MCNAMEE: No questions.
12
                        EXAMINATION
13
      By the Attorney Examiner:
14
                  Ms. Moore, if I could just ask you
15
      to look at page 4 of the amended stipulation,
16
      the AMI meters section.
17
                  Yes.
             Α.
18
                  Sub part a} refers to a filing to be
             0.
19
      made in Case No. 13-1939-EL-RDR within 30 days
2.0
      of finalizing this stipulation.
2.1
             Α.
                  Yes.
22
                  It's my understanding that has not
23
      been filed yet. Do you know when that might
24
      occur?
25
             Α.
                  I think the 13-1939 is the Phase II,
```

```
I believe that has been filed. And we would put
an amendment in that 30 days after the
stipulation.
```

- Q. That is what I am asking. When will this amended filing be made? The way I read this language is it was to happen within 30 days of this stipulation being executed by the parties.
 - A. That's right.
- Q. Are you saying it would be 30 days from when the Commission may choose to adopt this stipulation?
- A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. Thank you for that clarification. I have no further questions. Mr. Nourse.

MR. NOURSE: Thank you. And so I would move on behalf Ohio Power Company and Staff hopefully to admit Joint Exhibit No. 1.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Any objections?

MR. SERIO: No objection.

MR. MCNAMEE: No objection.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Joint Exhibit 1

24 is admitted.

25 (EXHIBIT ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE)

```
12
                  ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Anything else?
 1
 2
                  MR. NOURSE: Thank you, your Honor.
 3
      No.
                  ATTORNEY EXAMINER: All right.
 4
      Thank you very much everyone. We are adjourned.
 5
 6
 7
                  (At 10:20 A.M. the hearing was
 8
      concluded)
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings taken by me in this matter on February 27, 2014, and carefully compared with my original stenographic notes. Michael O. Spencer, Registered Professional Reporter. 2.2.

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

3/13/2014 11:09:25 AM

in

Case No(s). 13-0419-EL-RDR

Summary: Transcript in the matter of Ohio Power Company hearing held on 02/27/14 electronically filed by Mrs. Jennifer Duffer on behalf of Armstrong & Okey, Inc. and Spencer, Michael O. Mr.