

Board Members
Director, Ohio Environmental Protection Agency
Director, Ohio Development Services Agency
Director, Ohio Department of Health
Director, Ohio Department of Natural Resources
Director, Ohio Department of Agriculture
Public Member
Ohio House of Representatives
Ohio Senate

Executive Director Kim Wissman

February 24, 2014

Mr. Rogers,

Thank you for contacting the Ohio Power Siting Board regarding wind energy development in Hardin County. Your letter dated February 19, 2014 has been docketed in case numbers 09-479-EL-BGN and 11-3446-EL-BGA.

As stated in my previous correspondence dated February 8, 2013, the Board considers your questions regarding public notification in the Invenergy Hardin Wind Farm project answered in full.

The Board administers all wind energy applications using the same review process. Board meeting minutes and public hearing transcripts are available online at <a href="http://dis.puc.state.oh.us">http://dis.puc.state.oh.us</a>. Please search the docket by case number.

Sincerely,

Kim Wissman, Executive Director

Ohio Power Siting Board

KW:mlb

RECEIVED-DOCKETING DIV

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the appears accurate of cusiness rechains a last received 2/24/14

**Ed Rogers** 

9247 C.R. 130

Kenton, Ohio

43326

February 19, 2014

Mrs. Wissman,

I am writing you in search of answers to my questions. The Scioto Ridge Wind Farm by Everpower had their Ohio Power Siting Hearing at the Hardin County Courthouse this January. Every land owner was sent a letter about two weeks prior to the hearing as per O.P.S.B.'s requirement. As a result of people being informed of this event, a great many people spoke out their concerns against such a project.

There were no letters sent to the landowners in the foot print of the Hardin Wind LLC project by Invenergy. I have addressed this gross inadequacy to you in my prior letters. Because of the letters not being sent as required, I was the only non-participating land owner which attended and expressed my long list of concerns. It is apparent that there would have been more concern expressed if the hearing was properly carried out.

My questions to the which need answered are;

- 1. Why did the O.P.S.B. require the letters to be sent in the Everpower Project but Invenergy was not required to up hold their responsibility?
- 2. Are the people residing in one project more important than people residing in the other and why was this the case?
- 3. What was the basis of the logic to allow this over site to happen?

I require the minutes from the meetings concerning both of these wind farms as per when these informative letters were discussed.

Respectfully,

Ed Rogers

Hardin County Resident