Before the Federal Communications Commission Washington, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of : WC Docket No. 13-39

Rural Call Completion

REPLY COMMENTS
SUBMITTED ON BEHALF OF
THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio (Ohio Commission) applauds the efforts of the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) to address with the myriad of issues regarding rural call completion. In this vein, the Ohio Commission respectfully submits these reply comments in support of the initial comments filed in this proceeding by the National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners, the Missouri Public Service Commission and the Oregon Public Utilities Commission. The Ohio Commission supports these comments and appreciates the FCC's studied consideration of these comments as it deliberates how best to address rural call completion issues.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/William L. Wright

William L. Wright

180 East Broad Street, 6th Floor Columbus, OH 43215-3793 614.466.4397 (telephone) 614.644.8764 (fax)

william.wright@puc.state.oh.us

On behalf of The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio

Dated: February 18, 2014

Confirmation Page Page 1 of 1

# Your submission has been accepted

## **ECFS Filing Receipt** Confirmation number: 2014218395185

Surfhhglgj

# Name Subject

13-39 Rural Call Completion

### **Contact Info**

Name of Filer: Public Utilities Commission of Ohio Email Address: william.wright@puc.state.oh.us

Attorney/Author Name: William Wright

#### **Address**

Address For: Filer

Address Line 1: 180 East Broad Street

Address Line 2: 6th Floor

City: Columbus State: OHIO **Zip**: 43215 +4: 3793

#### **Details**

Type of Filing: COMMENT

Grfxp hqw +V,

#### **File Name Custom Description**

Reply Comments Reply Comments submitted on behalf of the 84 KΒ

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio 021814.pdf

#### Disclaimer

Wklv#frqilup dwlrq#yhulihv#wkdw#HFIV#kdv#uhfhlyhg#dqg# dffhswhg#| rxu#ildqj 1#K rz hyhu/#| rxu#ildqj #z lode h#uhmhfwhg# e | #HFIV#Li#W#frqwdlqv#p dfurv/#sdvvz rugv/#uhgoqlqj/#uhdg0 rqo| #irup dwilqj /#d#yluxv/#ru#dxwrp dwlng#blqnv#wr#rwkhu# grfxp hgw1#

Ilogjv#duh#jhqhudoo|#surfhvvhg#dqg#pdgh#dydlodedn#iru# rqdqh#ylhz lqj#z lwklq#rqh#exvlqhvv#gd|#ri#uhfhlswl#\rx# pd|#xvh#wkh#dqn#ehorz#wr#fkhfn#rq#wkh#wdwxv#ri#|rxu# ildqj=#

kws=22dssv1iff1jry2hfiv2frp p haw2frgilup B frailup dwlra@ 534754;6<84;8

Iru#dq | #surednp v#sondvh#frqwdfw#wkh#Khos#Ghvn#dw#5350 74;034<61#

Size

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

**Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on** 

2/18/2014 9:54:05 AM

in

Case No(s). 93-4000-TP-FAD

Summary: Reply Comments submitted on February 18, 2014 by Assistant Attorney General William L. Wright on behalf of the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio to the Federal Communications Commission to be entered into WC Docket No. 13-39. electronically filed by Kimberly L Keeton on behalf of Public Utilities Commission of Ohio