

Irwin, Steven

From: PUCO ContactOPSB
Sent: Monday, February 10, 2014 9:47 AM
To: akulrich68@gmail.com
Cc: PUCO ContactOPSB
Subject: RE: OPSB-ContactUs
Attachments: Electric Transmission FAQ.pdf

RECEIVED-DOCKETING DIV
2014 FEB 10 AM 9:58
PUCO

RE: OPSB Case No. 13-0429-EL-BTX

Mrs. Angela Ulrich
5283 Cross Rivers Falls Blvd
Dublin, Ohio 43016

Mrs. Ulrich,

Thank you for contacting the Ohio Power Siting Board (OPSB) regarding American Electric Power Transmission Company's (AEP Transco) proposed Biers Run – Hopetown – Delano 138 kV Transmission Line Project. Your correspondence in regards to the project has been docketed in case number 13-0429-EL-BTX.

It is noted that you are in favor of the Alternate Route of the proposed project.

The project is currently under a completeness review. I've attached an FAQ sheet outlining what the OPSB staff consider when evaluating an electric transmission project.

If you have any additional questions or concerns, please contact the OPSB at (866) 270-6772. Again, thank you for your continued interest in this case.

Thanks,

Steve

Steve Irwin
Public Outreach Coordinator
Ohio Power Siting Board
614.466.2871
OPSB.ohio.gov

-----Original Message-----

From: OPSB-ContactUs [mailto:webmaster@puc.state.oh.us]
Sent: Saturday, February 08, 2014 3:24 PM
To: PUCO ContactOPSB
Subject: OPSB-ContactUs

This form was sent at: Feb 8, 2014 3:23 PM

CONTACT_REASON: Comment

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business.
Technician 3th Date Processed FEB 10 2014

TITLE: Mrs.
FIRST_NAME: Angela
LAST_NAME: Ulrich
EMAIL: akulrich68@gmail.com
PHONE_NUMBER:
ALTERNATIVE_PHONE_NUMBER:
STREET_ADDRESS1: 5283 Cross River Falls Blvd
STREET_ADDRESS2:
CITY: Dublin
STATE: OH
ZIP: 43016
COUNTY: Franklin
COUNTRY: USA
COMPANY_NAME: AEP Transco
CASE_NUMBER: 13-0429-EL-BTX
COMMENTS: I'm writing to voice my opposition of the preferred route in AEP's Biers Run - Hopetown - Delano 138 kV Transmission Line project. This "preferred" route is far more detrimental to farmland and residences than AEP's other options of using existing corridors or the alternate route that would primarily run through the Pleasant Valley Nature Center.

I am concerned that the application for this project is virtually silent on the project's impact on agriculture and farms other than to specify the acreage within the proposed rights of way. No consideration to whether or not the field is crop or pasture, if it is in production or not, loss of additional crop producing area due to placement of the lines, impact on drainage, etc. is included in the application. More consideration should be given to adverse impact on agriculture and food production than to recreational hunting opportunities provided by the Nature Center.