BEFORE THE OHIO POWER SITING BOARD

- - -

In the Matter of the : Application of Buckeye : Wind Farms, LLC, for an:

Amendment to its : Case No. 13-360-EL-BGA

Certificate to Install: and Operate a Wind: Powered Electric: Generation Facility in: Hardin County, Ohio.:

- - -

PROCEEDINGS

Before Mr. Scott Farkas, Administrative Law Judge, at the Ohio Power Siting Board, 180 East Broad Street, Columbus, Ohio, Hearing Room 11C, on Monday, January 6, 2014, at 10:00 a.m.

- - -

ARMSTRONG & OKEY, INC.

222 East Town Street, 2nd Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215-5201
(614) 224-9481/(800) 223-9481
Fax (614) 224-5724

_ _ _

2 1 APPEARANCES: 2 Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease, LLP By Mr. M. Howard Petricoff, Mr. Michael J. 3 Settineri, and Ms. Miranda Leppla 52 East Gay Street 4 Columbus, Ohio 43216-1008 On behalf of the Applicant. 5 Mike DeWine, Ohio Attorney General 6 By Mr. Werner L. Margard, III and 7 Mr. John H. Jones Assistant Attorneys General 8 Public Utilities Section 180 East Broad Street, 6th Floor 9 Columbus, Ohio 43215 and Ms. Sarah Bloom Anderson and 10 Ms. Summer J. Plantz 11 Assistant Attorneys General Environmental 12 30 East Broad Street, 25th Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215 13 On behalf of the Staff of the Ohio 14 Power Siting Board. Mr. Kevin S. Talebi 15 Champaign County Courthouse 16 200 North Main Street Urbana, Ohio 43078 17 On behalf of Champaign County. 18 Van Kley & Walker, LLC 19 By Mr. Jack A. Van Kley 132 Northwoods Blvd., Suite C-1 Columbus, Ohio 43235 20 On behalf of Intervenors Julia 2.1 Johnson and Robert and Diane 2.2 McConnell. 2.3 Ms. Breanne Parcels 205 South Main Street 24 Urbana, Ohio 43078 25 On behalf of the City of Urbana.

			1
			3
1	INDEX TO WITNESSES		
2			
3	COMPANY'S	PAGE	
4	Michael Speerschneider	1.0	
5	Direct by Mr. Settineri	12	
6			
7			
8	STAFF'S	PAGE	
9	Stuart M. Siegfried	1.0	
10	Direct by Mr. Margard Cross by Mr. Talebi	16 19	
11	Cross by Ms. Parcels	22	
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

		4
1	INDEX TO EXHIBITS	4
2		
3	COMPANY'S ID'd ADMT'd	
4	1 - Direct Testimony of Michael	
5	Speerschneider 12 15	
6	2 - Application 12 15	
7	3 - Copy of Notice 12 15	
8		
9		
10	STAFF'S ID'd ADMT'd	
11	1 - Staff Investigation Report and Recommendation 16 23	
12		
13	2 - Prefiled Testimony of Stuart M. Siegfried 16 23	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

```
1
                             Monday Morning Session,
                             January 6, 2014.
 2
 3
 4
                 ALJ FARKAS: The Commission has
 5
     called for hearing at this time and place the
 6
     matter of the Application of Buckeye Wind Farms,
     LLC, for an amendment to its Certificate to
 7
 8
     Install and Operate a wind-powered electric
 9
     generation facility in Hardin County, Ohio, Case
10
     No. 13-360-EL-BGA. My name is Scott Farkas.
      I'm the Administrative Law Judge assigned to
11
12
     hear this case.
13
                 First we'll take appearances. Let's
14
      start with the Applicant.
15
                 MR. SETTINERI: Thank you, Your
16
     Honor. On behalf of Buckeye Wind, LLC,
17
     M. Howard Petricoff, Michael Settineri, and
18
     Miranda Leppla with the lawfirm of Vorys, Sater,
19
      Seymour and Pease, LLP, 52 East Gay Street,
2.0
     Columbus, Ohio 43215.
2.1
                 ALJ FARKAS: Thank you. On behalf of
22
     the Staff?
23
                 MR. MARGARD: Thank you, Your Honor.
24
     On behalf of the Staff of the Ohio Power Siting
25
     Board, Mike DeWine, Ohio Attorney General, by
```

```
Assistant Attorneys General Werner Margard and
 1
     John Jones, Public Utilities Section, 180 East
 2
 3
     Broad Street, 6th Floor, Columbus, Ohio, and
 4
     Sarah Anderson and Summer Plantz, Environmental
 5
     Enforcement Section, 30 East Broad, 25th Floor,
     Columbus, Ohio.
 6
 7
                 ALJ FARKAS: Thank you. Is the Farm
 8
     Bureau here? The Farm Bureau is not present.
 9
      Is someone from the City of Urbana here? No one
10
      from the City of Urbana is here. How about
11
     Champaign County or Urbana Township or Union
12
     Township?
13
                 MR. TALEBI: Yes, Your Honor, Kevin
14
     Talebi, Champaign County Prosecuting Attorney on
15
     behalf of the Townships and County
16
     Commissioners.
17
                 ALJ FARKAS: How do you spell your
18
      last name?
19
                 MR. TALEBI: T-a-l-e-b-i.
2.0
                 ALJ FARKAS: Thank you. And Mr. Van
2.1
     Kley?
22
                 MR. VAN KLEY: Thank you, Your Honor.
23
     Your Honor, my name is Jack Van Kley. I
24
      represent Intervenors, Julia Johnson and Robert
25
     and Diane McConnell. I'm with the law firm of
```

Van Kley & Walker and our Columbus office is located at 132 Northwest Boulevard, Suite C-1 in Columbus, Ohio.

2.0

2.1

ALJ FARKAS: Thank you. Now, at this point, is there anything anyone wants to raise prior to us going forward?

MR. VAN KLEY: Your Honor, I would like to raise an issue and that concerns the scope of the hearing. We are, of course, aware of Your Honor's entry providing that the hearing will not cover all of -- or will not cover some of the facilities that have been proposed by the application for the amendment, and we wanted to point out one portion of that facility which we believe should be subject to this hearing, which is the relocation and burial of the electrical lines.

The application and the answers to a couple of the data requests by the Applicant to requests that were propounded to the Applicant by the Staff shows that about 6.35 miles of lines are going to be placed in new locations which were not approved in either Buckeye Wind or Buckeye II proceedings.

And in addition, there will be

another approximately 24 lines -- or 24 miles of lines that will be buried in the same corridors as the Buckeye II electrical lines. We believe that that is also a relocation of the Buckeye I lines because they are being moved from the locations that were approved for those lines in Buckeye Wind I and now are being moved over to the corridors that were approved for Buckeye Wind II.

2.0

2.1

2.4

The answers to the second set of the Staff's data requests show that these Buckeye Wind lines that are being moved to the Buckeye II corridors may or may not be combined as the same line in Buckeye II, that is, there may be two parallel lines, one for Buckeye I and one for Buckeye II running in the same general corridor, and they may be as much as 5 feet apart or closer or further away, according to the responses to the data requests. So we believe that those are relocations of the Buckeye I electrical lines that need to be heard under the statute.

Now, we are not as much concerned about the locations of the lines as we are about whether the installation of those lines is going

to cut through the roads in the community. We have a commitment from the Applicant in response to the Staff's data requests that the 6.3 miles of lines in brand-new locations will use horizontal directional drilling to go under the roads instead of cutting through them, which will do less to disrupt traffic and also will preserve the integrity of the roadways, but we have no commitment with respect to the other 24 miles of lines that are going to go into the same general vicinity as the Buckeye II corridors, and that is our concern here.

2.0

2.1

We want to make sure that the decision of this Board requires directional drilling to be done for that extra 24 miles of electrical lines as well as the 6.35 miles that the Applicant's already committed to use directional drilling for.

So that is the issue that we have here, and so we wanted to, first of all, enter a formal objection to limiting the scope of the hearing, but would also hope that the Bench would see fit to have that issue addressed today as part of this hearing.

ALJ FARKAS: I guess at this point,

```
before I allow the parties to respond to your motion, I'll just note for the record that you did not file any interlocutory appeal of the entry that set forth the scope of the hearing, and you had that right at that time to do that.
```

2.0

2.1

MR. VAN KLEY: Your Honor, we would respectfully disagree that we had that right.

Looking at the criteria in the provision that allows for interlocutory appeals, we do not believe that it fits either into the category of the types of decisions that allow for a mandatory interlocutory appeal or for discretionary interlocutory appeal because this is not of a great precedential and novel nature. So we would respectfully disagree with Your Honor in that respect.

ALJ FARKAS: But you didn't do it.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$ VAN KLEY: We did not because we don't believe we had the right to.

ALJ FARKAS: All right. I'll let the Company respond to the motion.

MR. SETTINERI: Thank you, Your
Honor. We would note, No. 1, as you pointed
out, this is the first time this issue has been
raised, but what I heard today is the only issue

```
that has been raised also relates to road
 1
 2
     crossings only. The statute related to the
 3
     relocation is a substantial change in the
 4
     location of the facility. The facility here is
     a 56 turbine wind farm collection line
 5
      substation access road. We don't view this as a
 6
      substantial relocation of the facility for the
 7
 8
     collection lines. The fact that the collection
 9
     lines are going to be 5 feet potentially from a
10
     Buckeye II line based on the engineering
11
      requirements you were to lay two lines side by
12
     side in certain areas does not constitute
13
     substantial change in location as well. We
14
      firmly support the Board's ruling -- or the
15
     Judge's ruling on this matter and stand by that.
16
                 ALJ FARKAS: Okay. Staff?
17
                 MR. WERNER: I don't have anything
18
      futher to add to what the Company's said.
19
                 ALJ FARKAS: Okay. Mr. Talebi?
2.0
                MR. TALEBI: Nothing, Your Honor.
2.1
                 ALJ FARKAS: I'm going to deny your
22
     motion. I'll let the Company go first.
23
                 MR. SETTINERI: Thank you, Your
2.4
     Honor.
             At this time we'd like to call Michael
25
     Speerschneider.
```

```
12
                 ALJ FARKAS: Raise your right hand.
 1
 2
                 (Witness sworn.)
 3
                 ALJ FARKAS: Proceed.
 4
                 MR. SETTINERI: Thank you, Your
 5
             At this time we'd like to mark Company
 6
     Exhibits 1, 2, and 3. Company Exhibit 1, a copy
 7
     of which we provided to the Bench and to the
 8
     Court Reporter, is the direct testimony of
 9
     Michael Speerschneider; Company Exhibit 2 is the
10
     application in the matter, and a copy has been
11
     provided to the Court Reporter and Bench as
12
     well, and parties were previously served; and
13
     Company Exhibit 3, which is a proof of
14
     publication of notice, a copy of which has been
15
     provided to the Bench and Court Reporter. I'll
16
     hand those out to the parties now.
17
                 ALJ FARKAS: Those will be so marked.
18
                 (EXHIBTS HEREBY MARKED.)
19
2.0
                   MICHAEL SPEERSCHNEIDER
2.1
     called as a witness on behalf of the Applicant,
22
     being first duly sworn, testified as follows:
23
                     DIRECT EXAMINATION
24
     By Mr. Settineri:
25
            Q.
                 Good morning, Mr. Speerschneider.
```

- Would you please state your name and business address for the record, please.
- A. I'm Michael Speerschneider for EverPower Wind at 1251 Waterfront Place, 3rd Floor, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15222.
- Q. And do you have before you what has been marked as Company Exhibit 1?
 - A. Yes.

2.0

2.1

- Q. Can you please identify that for me?
- A. Yes. This is my direct testimony on the matter of the amendment to the Buckeye Wind, LLC certificate.
- Q. And do you have any revisions to your testimony today?
- A. Just one. For question 11, which asks if the application including all appendices and exhibits are true and accurate to the best of my knowledge and belief, I'd just add something after the first sentence. So it should read, "Yes, subject to any clarifying statements made by Buckeye Wind in response to Staff's data requests, and subject to the construction schedule as described on page 12 of the application being extended due to on-going permitting, legislative, and market factors."

- And then go on as it is currently, "In addition, Buckeye Wind withdrew its request to amend the certificate to shift the western construction staging area on December 13, 2013."
- Q. Any other changes to your testimony,

 Mr. Speerschneider?
 - A. No.
 - Q. If I were to ask you the questions in your testimony today, would your answers be the same subject to the revision you just provided?
- 11 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

7

8

9

- MR. SETTINERI: Thank you, Your
- 13 Honor. The witness is available for
- 14 cross-examination.
- 15 ALJ FARKAS: Okay. Why don't we
- 16 start with Champaign County.
- MR. TALEBI: We have no questions,
- 18 Your Honor. Thank you.
- 19 ALJ FARKAS: Does Staff have any
- 20 questions?
- MR. MARGARD: No, thank you, Your
- Honor.
- 23 ALJ FARKAS: Do you have any
- 24 questions?
- MR. VAN KLEY: No questions for

15 1 Intervenors, Your Honor. 2 ALJ FARKAS: Okay. I have no 3 questions. 4 MR. SETTINERI: At this point in time, Your Honor, we would like to admit into 5 the record Company Exhibits 1, 2, and 3. 6 7 ALJ FARKAS: Any objection to the 8 admission of Company Exhibits 1, 2, and 3? 9 Hearing none, they will be admitted. 10 (EXHIBITS HEREBY ADMITTED.) 11 ALJ FARKAS: Okay. You're excused. 12 Do you have any other witnesses? 13 MR. SETTINERI: No, not from the 14 Company. 15 ALJ FARKAS: Staff? 16 MR. MARGARD: Thank you, Your Honor. 17 Staff would call Mr. Stuart Siegfried to the 18 stand, please. 19 ALJ FARKAS: You want to raise your 2.0 right hand? 2.1 (Witness sworn.) 22 ALJ FARKAS: Proceed. 23 MR. MARGARD: Thank you. 24

1	STUART SIEGFRIED
2	called as a witness on behalf of the Staff,
3	being first duly sworn, testified as follows:
4	DIRECT EXAMINATION
5	By Mr. Margard:
6	Q. Please state your name and business
7	address, please.
8	A. My name is Stuart Siegfried and
9	business address is 180 East Broad Street,
10	Columbus, Ohio 43215.
11	Q. And Mr. Siegfried, by whom are you
12	employed and in what capacity, please?
13	A. I'm employed by the Public Utilities
14	Commission of Ohio and I'm in the Efficiency and
15	Renewables Division here at the Commission.
16	MR. MARGARD: Your Honor, I have
17	marked for purposes of identification two
18	exhibits. The first labeled Staff Exhibit No. 1
19	is the Staff Investigation Report and

20 Recommendation filed in the docket in this case.
21 and I would request that the prefiled testimony
22 of Stuart M. Siegfried be marked as Staff
23 Exhibit 2 in this matter.
24 ALJ FARKAS: So marked.
25 (EXHIBITS HEREBY MARKED.)

17 1 MR. MARGARD: Any party require 2 copies? 3 MR. SETTINERI: What was the number 4 for Mr. Siegfried's testimony? 5 MR. MARGARD: No. 2 for his 6 testimony. 7 MR. SETTINERI: Thank you. 8 MR. TALEBI: Can I get a copy of 9 Exhibit 1? 10 ALJ FARKAS: Let's go off the 11 record. 12 (Off the record.) 13 ALJ FARKAS: Would you like to enter 14 an appearance? 15 MS. PARCELS: Yes. Breanne Parcels 16 for the City of Urbana. 17 ALJ FARKAS: Thank you. Go ahead. Mr. Siegfried, do you have before you 18 Ο. what has been marked as Staff Exhibits 1 and 2? 19 2.0 Α. Yes. 2.1 Ο. And are you the Stuart Siegfried 22 identified as the author of Staff Exhibit 2? 23 Α. Yes. 24 Q. Was this document authored by you or 25 under your direction?

A. Yes, it was.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

- Q. Do you have any changes, amendments, modifications of any kind to this document?
 - A. No, sir.
- Q. If I would ask you the questions contained in this document, would your answers be the same?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. In question 5 of your testimony you indicate that you are sponsoring the Staff report issued in this case; is that correct?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And is that the document which has been marked as Staff Exhibit No. 1?
 - A. Yes, it is.
 - Q. And that is the same document that was filed in the docket in this case?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Do you have any changes, corrections, modifications or amendments to this document, to the best of your knowledge?
- A. No. I mean, I would mention that the report does address a staging area in the western-most staging area, and there has been a change since the time that this Staff report was

```
docketed. So I think that is just maybe a matter of sequencing and would not change the contents of this based on the information that we had at the time.

Q. And by the contents, that would include the recommendations?
```

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

17

18

19

2.0

23

24

25

MR. MARGARD: That's all the questions I have, Your Honor. I will tender this witness for cross-examination and move for the admission of these exhibits subject to his cross-examination.

13 ALJ FARKAS: Does the Company have any questions?

MR. SETTINERI: No, we don't, Your Honor. Thank you.

ALJ FARKAS: Does the county have any questions?

MR. TALEBI: Yes, Your Honor.

_ _ _

21 CROSS-EXAMINATION

22 By Mr. Talebi:

Q. With regards to, I'm referring to question 11 of your testimony. Your response to the question seems to indicate that you went to

the site and examined the proposed new access, the location of the proposed new access road; is that right?

A. Yes, sir.

2.0

2.1

- Q. And you indicate in your answer that there would not be a need for any additional --well, you indicate that it would follow a collection line route that's previously been approved. On what basis are you drawing that conclusion? Just based on the information that was provided to you by the Applicant?
- A. Yes, and our GIS data that we have from the original Buckeye I proceeding.
- Q. And there's nothing then about your investigation with regards to the amendment request that suggests that any additional collection line routes would need to be, or deviations from previously-approved collection line routes would need to be made? Want me to rephrase the question?
 - A. Please.
- Q. In other words, as a result of the access road that's going to be put in, if the amendment were granted for the new access road, would there need to be any deviation to any

```
21
 1
      previously-approved collection line routes?
 2
            Α.
                 For that segment?
 3
            Q.
                 Yes.
 4
                 Not to my recollection.
 5
            Ο.
                 Did you as part of your investigation
      examine whether that would need to take place or
 6
 7
      whether that was a possibility?
 8
            Α.
                 I'm sorry. Your question, could you
 9
      clarify?
10
            Ο.
                 In other words, what I'm trying to
11
      figure out is if the amendment is granted, the
12
      new access road is built, is there going to be a
13
      requirement to change any of the collection line
14
      routes?
15
                 ALJ FARKAS: That had previously been
16
      approved?
17
                 MR. TALEBI: Yes.
18
                 Not for that particular segment, no,
            Α.
19
      the segment that corresponds to the area of the
2.0
      new access road.
2.1
                 MR. TALEBI: Okay. Thank you.
22
      That's all I have.
                 ALJ FARKAS: City of Urbana?
23
24
                 MS. PARCELS: Yes.
25
```

CROSS-EXAMINATION

By Ms. Parcels:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

- Q. Mr. Siegfried, in your testimony you have referenced movement of the proposed staging area. Do you recall at what point Buckeye Wind communicated to you prior to filing, or if they communicated to you prior to filing, that they would be withdrawing their request to move the staging area? Do you recall when that happened?
- A. I assume you are referring to the western-most staging area.
- O. Yes.
- A. Not exactly. It was after the Staff report would have been filed.
 - Q. Did Buckeye Wind representatives communicate with you before filing that Motion to Withdraw the request to move the staging area?
- A. Nothing formally. There may have
 been an informal discussion, I think maybe the
 day of the filing or the day before.
- MS. PARCELS: I have nothing further.
- 23 Thank you.
- 24 ALJ FARKAS: Mr. Van Kley?
- MR. VAN KLEY: We have no questions

```
23
      for this witness.
 1
 2
                 ALJ FARKAS: Thank you. Any redirect?
 3
                 MR. MARGARD: No, thank you, Your
 4
             I would respectfully renew my motion for
     admission of Staff Exhibits 1 and 2.
 5
                 ALJ FARKAS: Any objection? Hearing
 6
     none and seeing none, they will be admitted.
 7
 8
                 (EXHIBITS HEREBY ADMITTED.)
 9
                 ALJ FARKAS: Anything further?
10
     You're excused. Any further witnesses on
11
     behalf of the Staff?
12
                 MR. MARGARD: No, thank you, Your
13
     Honor.
14
                 ALJ FARKAS: And I don't believe any
15
      Intervenor filed any testimony so that would
16
     mean that we have no further witnesses at all.
17
     Anything further? No. Then we will be
18
     adjourned. Thank you.
19
                 (Off the record.)
2.0
                 ALJ FARKAS: Back on the record. As
2.1
      identified by counsel for the Company, the entry
22
     that scheduled the hearing did allow for closing
23
     arguments on behalf of the parties, so I will
24
     allow that. Does the company want to make a
```

closing argument?

```
MR. SETTINERI: No, Your Honor.
 1
 2
      only thing I would like to make a note is that
 3
     we reserve the right to raise in any appeals of
 4
     this matter the issue of the doctrine of
 5
     collateral estoppel on the matters that were
 6
      raised today in the hearing, given the fact that
     it's the same parties, same issues on that issue
 7
 8
     point. So we simply want to reserve that right
 9
      for later use if necessary.
10
                 ALJ FARKAS: Okay. Does Staff have
11
      any closing argument?
12
                 MR. MARGARD: I do not.
                                          Thank you.
13
                 ALJ FARKAS: Does Champaign County
14
     have any closing argument?
15
                 MR. TALEBI: No.
                 ALJ FARKAS: City of Urbana?
16
17
                MS. PARCELS: No.
18
                ALJ FARKAS: Mr. Van Kley?
19
                 MR. VAN KLEY: No, Your Honor.
2.0
      response to Mr. Settineri's point about
2.1
     collateral estoppel, however, we would point out
22
     that there is no collateral estoppel here
23
     against anyone who would object to the Board's
24
      failure to hear testimony concerning the
25
      relocation of the electrical lines, since the
```

prior approval for approximately 41 miles of lines in Buckeye Wind I previous to this amendment proceeding were in entirely different locations than are now being proposed by the amendment to the certificate. And to illustrate that point, one needs only to look at figure 4 of the application for the amendment and see how few of those lines are coded in solid green line which is the indication as to the lines that are still in the same locations as the lines that were approved in Buckeye Wind I, thus showing that most lines that are now being proposed for relocation are in completely different locations. So we do not believe that there's any collateral estoppel here and would maintain our objection to the limitation on the scope of this hearing.

ALJ FARKAS: Thank you. Okay, now we're adjourned.

- - -

Thereupon, at 10:25 a.m. the hearing was concluded.

- - -

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

CERTIFICATE

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings taken by me in this matter before the Ohio Power Siting Board, on Monday, January 6, 2014.

6, 2014.

Iris I. Dillion

Reporter.

Registered Professional

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

1/21/2014 3:36:56 PM

in

Case No(s). 13-0360-EL-BGA

Summary: Transcript in the matter of Buckeye Wind Farms, LLC hearing held on 01/06/14 electronically filed by Mrs. Jennifer Duffer on behalf of Armstrong & Okey, Inc. and Dillion, Iris I. Mrs.