

BEFORE THE
PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

Jacob Schad, Jr.)	
)	
)	
Complainant,)	
)	
vs.)	Case No. 10-790-EL-CSS
)	
Ohio Edison Company)	
)	
Respondent.)	

**JOINT MOTION TO CONTINUE HEARING AND REQUEST FOR EXPEDITED
RULING**

Pursuant to Rule 4901-1-12, Ohio Administrative Code (“O.A.C.”), Complainant Jacob Schad, Jr. and Respondent Ohio Edison Company (collectively, “Parties”) respectfully request a continuance of the December 4, 2013 hearing and expedited ruling of this request. Specifically, the Parties request that the Attorney Examiner continue the hearing to February 25, 2014.

Rule 4901-1-12(c), O.A.C. provides:

Any motion may include a specific request for an expedited ruling. The grounds for such a request shall be set forth in the memorandum in support. If the motion requests an extension of time to file pleadings or other papers of five days or less, an immediate ruling may be issued without the filing of memoranda. In all other situations, the party requesting an expedited ruling may first contact all other parties to determine whether any party objects to the issuance of such a ruling without the filing of memoranda. If the moving party certifies that no party objects to the issuance of such a ruling, an immediate ruling may be issued. If any party objects to the issuance of such a ruling, or if the moving party fails to certify that no party has any objection, any party may file a memorandum contra within seven days after the service of the motion, or such other period as the commission, the legal director, the deputy legal director, or the attorney examiner requires. No reply memoranda shall be filed in such cases unless specifically requested by the commission, the legal director, the deputy legal director, or the attorney examiner. (emphasis added).

There is good cause for this request as the Parties require additional time to conduct discovery and to allow the Commission to rule on another pending and similar case, *Corrigan v. The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company*, Case No. 09-492-EL-CSS. Deferring this case may allow the Parties a full and fair opportunity to reach an informal resolution of this proceeding, thereby mitigating the costs of litigation and reducing the administrative burden on the Commission. Finally, granting this expedited request for a continuance is not being requested for purposes of delay and will not unduly prejudice any party.

Therefore, the Parties respectfully requests that the Attorney Examiner grant their request for a continuance of the December 4, 2013 hearing date and expedited ruling of this request.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ O. Joseph Murray
O. Joseph Murray (#0008449)
10 East Main Street
Ashland, Ohio 44805
Phone: 419-289-3800
Fax: 419-289-6417
On behalf of Jacob Schad, Jr.

/s/ Carrie M. Dunn
Carrie M. Dunn (#0076952)
Attorney
FirstEnergy Service Company
76 South Main Street
Akron, Ohio 44308
Phone: 330-761-2352
Fax: 330-384-3875
On behalf of Ohio Edison Company

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

THIS IS TO CERTIFY that a copy of the foregoing was electronically filed on the Commission's Docketing Information System and is available to all interested parties.

/s/ Carrie M. Dunn
Carrie M. Dunn
Attorney

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

11/26/2013 9:21:53 AM

in

Case No(s). 10-0790-EL-CSS

Summary: Motion to Continue Hearing and Request for Expedited Ruling (Joint)
electronically filed by Ms. Carrie M Dunn on behalf of Ohio Edison Company