## BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

- - -

In the Matter of:
Scott P. Damron, Notice of:

Apparent Violation and : 13-1666-TR-CVF

Intent to Assess : Forfeiture :

- - -

## PROCEEDINGS

before Mr. Bryce McKenney, Attorney Examiner, at the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio, 180 East Broad Street, 11th Floor, Room 11-D, Columbus, Ohio, called at 10:21 a.m. on Tuesday, November 5, 2013.

- - -

ARMSTRONG & OKEY, INC.
222 East Town Street, Second Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215-5201
(614) 224-9481 - (800) 223-9481
Fax - (614) 224-5724

		2
1	APPEARANCES:	
2	Ohio Attorney General Mike DeWine By Mr. Devin D. Parram	
3	180 East Broad Street, Sixth Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215	
4	On behalf of the Staff of the Public	
5	Utilities Commission of Ohio.	
6	ALSO PRESENT:	
7	Mr. Scott P. Damron.	
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

		3
1	INDEX TO WITNESSES	
2		
3	Witness	е
4	Scott P. Damron Cross-Examination by Mr. Parram 11	
5	Closs Examinación by ni. ratiam	
6		
7	INDEX TO EXHIBITS	
8		
9	Exhibit Identified Admitte	ed
10	STAFF	
	1 Driver/Vehicle Examination 8	
<ul><li>11</li><li>12</li></ul>	Report	
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

Tuesday Morning Session,

November 5, 2013.

2.1

ATTORNEY EXAMINER MCKENNEY: Let's go on the record at this time. The Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio calls for hearing at this time and place Case No. 13-1666-TR-CVF, being In the Matter of: Scott P. Damron, Notice of Apparent Violation and Intent to Assess Forfeiture.

My name is Bryce McKenney, and I'm the attorney examiner assigned by the Commission to hear this case.

Before we move further with the case, at this time I'd like to take any prehearing motions from the parties.

MR. PARRAM: Yes, your Honor.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER MCKENNEY: Well, first, let's take the appearances of the parties.

MR. PARRAM: Yes. Good morning, your
Honor. On behalf of the Staff of the Public
Utilities Commission of Ohio, Ohio Attorney General
Mike DeWine, by Assistant Attorney General William L.
Wright, Section Chief, Devin D. Parram, 180 East
Broad Street, Columbus, Ohio, Sixth Floor, 43215.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER MCKENNEY: Thank you,

Mr. Parram.

2.1

MR. DAMRON: I'm Scott Damron on behalf of myself. My address is 412 South Eighth Street, Miamisburg, Ohio 45342.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER MCKENNEY: Thank you,
Mr. Damron.

MR. DAMRON: You're welcome.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER MCKENNEY: At this time are there any prehearing motions?

MR. PARRAM: Yes, your Honor. Staff called a staff witness, the inspector in this case, to be at the hearing today. He is unavailable at this time. I tried to contact him; and as far as I know, he is not going to be able to show up this morning.

The circumstances of his unavailability,
I'm not aware of. But considering the importance of
the witness in this case, I would like to make a
motion for a continuance for another date to have the
inspector show up.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER MCKENNEY: Mr. Parram, your motion to continue this case, as you know, has not been timely filed, as you are simply making it right now. Is there good cause for why I should grant this motion?

MR. PARRAM: I believe the importance of having the witness and the level of the violation, considering it's an out-of-service violation, which is a substantial violation, the importance of having the inspector here for this particular matter is important in this case.

2.0

2.1

ATTORNEY EXAMINER MCKENNEY: Is there good cause why he's not here? Do you know why he's not here?

MR. PARRAM: The cause I just stated is the only cause I know, your Honor.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER MCKENNEY: Thank you, Mr. Parram.

Mr. Damron, do you have anything you'd like to say regarding the Staff's motion?

MR. DAMRON: I ask that it not be continued on the fact that this reflects on his professionalism. I was subpoenaed; he was subpoenaed. He's not made it his job to be here.

This looks bad on the PUCO. He's making everyone look bad. We took the time to be here. He took the time not to be here.

I ask that it not be continued, and I have sufficient proof that the truck was fixed.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER MCKENNEY: Mr. Damron

I'm not going to get into the merits of the case.

I'm just asking for your thoughts on the Staff's

motion.

MR. DAMRON: Okay.

2.0

2.1

ATTORNEY EXAMINER MCKENNEY: Thank you for your comments. The Staff's motion to continue this case is going to be denied. We will go to hearing this morning.

Are there any other prehearing motions?

MR. DAMRON: No, sir.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER MCKENNEY: Mr. Parram, do you need some time to collect yourself before we move forward with the hearing this morning?

MR. PARRAM: No, your Honor.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER MCKENNEY: Are you prepared to move forward?

MR. PARRAM: Staff is not prepared to move forward, as we do not have our primary witness in this case, your Honor, hence, the motion for a continuance.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER MCKENNEY: I understand. Do you have anything else that you can put on for hearing?

MR. PARRAM: We only have one witness as it relates to the violation, your Honor. So that's

it. If the ALJ is not going to grant my motion for a continuance, we don't have the inspector as it relates to the violation in this case.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

ATTORNEY EXAMINER MCKENNEY: Do you have an inspection report in this case?

MR. PARRAM: We do, your Honor. Would you like for me to move and mark the inspection report, your Honor?

ATTORNEY EXAMINER MCKENNEY: You may do so, if you wish.

MR. PARRAM: Your Honor, Staff would like to have marked for purposes of identification Staff Exhibit 1, which is the Driver/Vehicle Examination Report that is at issue in this case, inspection date: February 12, 2012.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER MCKENNEY: It will be so marked.

(EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)

MR. PARRAM: May I approach, your Honor?

ATTORNEY EXAMINER MCKENNEY: You may.

MR. DAMRON: Thank you.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER MCKENNEY: Thank you.

MR. PARRAM: Your Honor, I would move for the admission of Staff Exhibit 1.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER MCKENNEY: Mr. Damron,

I will give you the opportunity to let me know if you have any objection to the admission of Staff Exhibit 1.

MR. DAMRON: No, sir.

2.0

2.1

ATTORNEY EXAMINER MCKENNEY: I understand you do not. I'm going to take the motion to admit Staff Exhibit 1 under advisement on the grounds that no foundation has been laid for Staff Exhibit 1. However, the Commission does recognize examination reports as prima facie evidence in certain cases. So at this time, I'm going to take it under advisement and will rule on this accordingly.

Mr. Parram, is there anything else that you would like to proceed with this morning?

MR. PARRAM: Yes, your Honor. I did have one preliminary matter where the Staff was not going to be putting on a witness as it relates to the forfeiture amount in this case. There was an agreement between Staff and Mr. Damron in this case to reduce the forfeiture amount from \$1,000 to zero dollars.

Prior to the hearing, we had a discussion; and we weren't contesting the forfeiture amount -- or he wasn't contesting the forfeiture amount because it is zero dollars. So we aren't

```
10
     presenting any witness on that.
1
2
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER MCKENNEY: Okay. Does
3
     the Staff rest its case then?
                  MR. PARRAM: The Staff rests its case.
4
5
                  ATTORNEY EXAMINER MCKENNEY: All right.
6
     Mr. Damron, at this time, you'll be given an
7
     opportunity to make a statement, if you wish.
                  MR. DAMRON: Okay.
8
9
                  ATTORNEY EXAMINER MCKENNEY: Mr. Damron,
10
     before you proceed, I'm going to have to ask you to,
     first, take an oath. Then I'll have to ask you to
11
12
     step up here. You can bring anything with you, if
13
     you need it.
14
                  MR. DAMRON: It's locked in the car.
                  ATTORNEY EXAMINER MCKENNEY: Mr. Damron,
15
     please raise your right hand.
16
17
                  (Witness sworn.)
18
                  ATTORNEY EXAMINER MCKENNEY: You may be
              I'll remind you, you are now under oath.
19
     seated.
20
                  MR. DAMRON: Yes, sir.
2.1
                  ATTORNEY EXAMINER MCKENNEY: At this time
22
     you may give a statement for the record.
                  MR. DAMRON: My statement is the truck
23
24
     was repaired. The attorney has the -- the receipt
```

where the truck was repaired. That's the only

11 evidence that I really have at this time. 1 2 ATTORNEY EXAMINER MCKENNEY: Do you have 3 that document, and do you care to mark it for 4 admission into evidence? 5 MR. DAMRON: They have a copy of the document. I don't have it as foreseen with the 6 problem with the keys locked in my car. 7 ATTORNEY EXAMINER MCKENNEY: Let's go off 8 9 the record real quick. (Discussion was held off the record.) 10 ATTORNEY EXAMINER MCKENNEY: Let's go 11 12 back on the record. 13 Mr. Damron, does that conclude the 14 statement that you wish to give in this case? MR. DAMRON: Yes. 15 16 ATTORNEY EXAMINER MCKENNEY: All right. 17 Mr. Parram, do you have any cross-examination? 18 MR. PARRAM: I do, your Honor. 19 20 CROSS-EXAMINATION 2.1 By Mr. Parram: 22 Do you have a copy of Staff Exhibit 1? Q. 23 Α. Staff Exhibit 1, yes. 24 The Driver/Vehicle Examination Report? 0.

25

Α.

Yes.

- Q. Did you bring it up there with you?
- 2 A. No, sir.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

MR. PARRAM: May I approach, your Honor?

ATTORNEY EXAMINER MCKENNEY: You may.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

- Q. (By Mr. Parram) Now, Mr. Damron, Staff
  Exhibit 1 is a copy of the Driver/Vehicle Examination
  Report that was provided to you after the inspection
  on February 1, 2013; is that correct?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And on Staff Exhibit 1, there are a number of different violations listed. The first violation listed is 393.209D?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And that is looseness of a Pitman arm on the steering gear output shaft. Do you see that?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. What exactly does that mean?
- A. That means the steering box output shaft is connected to an arm to the steering mechanism.

  It's secured with one bolt. There's -- there's teeth on the shaft; and there was minute looseness of the shaft in the steering arm, Pitman arm.
- Q. Okay. So prior to February 12, 2013, the date of this inspection, did you have a circumstance

13 where you were cited for looseness of the Pitman arm? 1 2 Yes, sir. Α. 3 Okay. And what date did that occur? Q. That occurred approximately eight days 4 before. It would be February 4. 5 So February the 4th, you said? 6 Ο. 7 Α. Uh-huh. I'm thinking the 3rd or the 4th. Okay. And then also let me try to find 8 Q. it here. 9 10 MR. PARRAM: May I approach the witness, your Honor? 11 12 ATTORNEY EXAMINER MCKENNEY: You may. 13 Q. (By Mr. Parram) Okay. 393.9. There's a violation listed, the third violation down. 14 indicates 393.9? 15 16 Α. Uh-huh, 393.9. 17 It says, "Inoperable Required Lamp. Q. RIGHT SIDE TAIL LIGHT INOPERATIVE." 18 19 Do you see that? 20 Yes, sir. Α. What does that mean? 2.1 Ο. 22 It means the right side taillight was Α. out. It was not working. 23

It's not an out-of-service violation.

24

25

Ο.

Α.

Okay.

Q. I understand that. And on --

I'm going to warn you not to get outside the scope of his direct. His direct was very limited. I will ask you to stick to the violation which he addressed on his direct testimony, just the out-of-service violation.

- Q. (By Mr. Parram) Is it your contention that the out of service -- the Pitman arm was repaired?
  - A. Explain to me what you're saying to me.
  - Q. After the February 4 --
  - A. Oh.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

17

- Q. -- inspection, is it your contention that the Pitman arm was repaired?
  - A. Yes.
    - Q. Were there other repairs?
  - A. Yes, sir.
- 19 O. What were those repairs?
- A. I believe it was some electrical to the taillights and a brake chamber -- brake chamber pancake.
- Q. So you said there was a problem with the taillight?
- A. Yes, but that's not what we're here for.

We're here for the out-of-service violations.

2.1

- Q. Was the taillight -
  THE WITNESS: Isn't that it exactly, sir?

  ATTORNEY EXAMINER MCKENNEY: I'll just

  ask you to answer the questions he asked.
- Q. (By Mr. Parram) Was the taillight repaired when the Pitman arm was repaired?
- A. That's explained as to the -- it is explained on the receipt, which I don't have a copy of; but you do.
- Q. And on February 12, was the right taillight still inoperable?
- A. Yes. It it was not operating properly. It had a loose wire.
- Q. Would you typically notice a taillight is inoperative on your standard walk-around before you operate your vehicle?
- A. What I was explaining to you is it was a loose wire. While the truck was sitting, yes. When I inspected it that morning, the lamp was working. Vibration and stuff on those trucks are unbelievable. It could the next stop not be working but the next stop be working, you know. That's just something that you've got to catch.
  - Q. Okay.

A. I also want to state that there was one lamp not working, but there was also two lamps next to it that were working.

2.1

- Q. And for the Pitman arm that you say was repaired, did you supervise the repairs of the vehicle?
- A. No, sir. My wife had a heart attack that morning, and I -- I called the -- I called the "pickle" post and spoke with the officer's supervisor and told him that I would have to leave the vehicle because I felt being with my wife was more important and I would have to leave the vehicle. We're talking on the day of the 4th; I would have to leave the vehicle to go be with my wife.

I believe it is stated on the receipt that you have there for the man to be paid, they requested they wanted cash because I didn't have an account with them, that they were to come to the emergency room at the hospital that I was at with my wife to be paid in cash. So at that time they were paid in cash. The receipt was obviously marked "paid." So that explains to me — that explains to me what you're asking about; right.

Q. And before you operated the vehicle, again, after the initial violation, what did you do

to ensure that the Pitman was actually repaired?

2.1

A. I looked at the Pitman arm, and I seen heat marks where he had obviously heated it up. You know, it was -- you know, I visually inspected it; and I also -- to check a Pitman arm by yourself is tough to do. You've got to shake the steering mechanism. And if the wheels aren't off the ground, it's hard to shake the steering mechanism.

You can grab them by your hand, like most drivers do, and shake them. But, you know, it wouldn't show the minute looseness that, you know, was apparently there.

MR. PARRAM: No further questions, your Honor.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER MCKENNEY: Well,
Mr. Damron, I have no questions for you. So at this
time, you're excused from the stand.

MR. DAMRON: Thank you, sir.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER MCKENNEY: Before I continue further, do you have any motions that you would like to make? You had indicated briefly off the record that you would wish to make one. This would be the appropriate time to do so.

MR. DAMRON: I would still like to make the motion of this being dismissed and let the Court

know that I also am going to report this officer for 1 2 the unprofessionalism at the time of the stop and 3 here to waste the State of Ohio of's money and time 4 and these fine people here with us. Thank you. 5 ATTORNEY EXAMINER MCKENNEY: Thank you. Mr. Damron, unfortunately, that's not relevant to 6 this particular case --7 8 MR. DAMRON: That's true. 9 ATTORNEY EXAMINER MCKENNEY: -- but your motion to dismiss this case will be taken under 10 11 advisement. 12 MR. DAMRON: Thank you. 13 ATTORNEY EXAMINER MCKENNEY: Is there anything further from either of the parties at this 14 time? We're adjourned. Thank you all. 15 16 MR. DAMRON: Thank you. 17 MR. PARRAM: Thank you. 18 (The hearing was concluded at 10:40 a.m.) 19 20 2.1 22 23 24 25

CERTIFICATE I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings taken by me in this matter on Tuesday, November 5, 2013, and carefully compared with my original stenographic notes. Margaret A. Marsh, Registered Professional Reporter. (73814-MM) 

Armstrong & Okey, Inc., Columbus, Ohio (614) 224-9481

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

**Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on** 

11/19/2013 12:21:10 PM

in

Case No(s). 13-1666-TR-CVF

Summary: Transcript in the matter of Scott P. Damron hearing held on 11/05/13 electronically filed by Mrs. Jennifer Duffer on behalf of Armstrong & Okey, Inc. and Marsh, Margaret