

BEFORE

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Application of Duke )  
Energy Ohio, Inc., to Adjust its Alternative ) Case No. 12-802-EL-RDR  
Energy Recovery Rider. )

ENTRY

The attorney examiner finds:

- (1) By opinion and order issued November 22, 2011, in *In re Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.*, Case No. 11-3549-EL-SSO, et al., the Commission approved a stipulation that, inter alia, provides for the implementation by Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. (Duke), of an Alternative Energy Recovery Rider (Rider AER-R). In accordance with the stipulation, through Rider AER-R, Duke may recover the costs it incurs in complying with the alternative energy portfolio standard requirements of Section 4928.64, et seq., Revised Code. Rider AER-R is filed quarterly and is subject to true-up and annual audits.
- (2) To assist the Commission with the audit, the Commission directed Staff to issue a request for proposal (RFP) for audit services. Thereafter, by entry issued May 22, 2013, the Commission selected Baker Tilly Virchow Krause, LLP (Baker Tilly) to perform the audit of Duke's Rider AER-R. The timeline set forth in the RFP required Baker Tilly to submit its audit report to Staff by August 16, 2013, and to file the final audit report by September 3, 2013.
- (3) By entry issued August 13, 2013, the attorney examiner granted Staff's motion for extension of the due dates and extended the due date for the draft audit report to September 16, 2013, and the due date for the final audit report to October 3, 2013.
- (4) On October 3, 2013, Baker Tilly filed the final management/performance and financial audit report on Duke's Rider AER-R.
- (5) At this time, the attorney examiner finds it appropriate to invite interested stakeholders to file comments regarding the content of the management/performance and financial audit report of

Rider AER-R filed on October 3, 2013. Consequently, the following procedural schedule shall be established:

- (a) Motions to intervene shall be filed by December 2, 2013;
- (c) Comments shall be filed by December 2, 2013;  
and
- (d) Reply comments shall be filed by December 30, 2013.

It is, therefore,

ORDERED, That the procedural schedule set forth in Finding (5) be adopted. It is, further,

ORDERED, That a copy of this entry be served upon all parties of record.

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

s/ Christine M.T. Pirik

---

By: Christine M.T. Pirik  
Attorney Examiner

jrj/vrm

**This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities**

**Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on**

**11/1/2013 4:02:00 PM**

**in**

**Case No(s). 12-0802-EL-RDR**

Summary: Attorney Examiner Entry setting procedural schedule; electronically filed by Vesta R Miller on behalf of Christine M.T. Pirik, Attorney Examiner, Public Utilities Commission of Ohio