

hio Power Siting Board

John R. Kasich, Governor Todd A. Snitchier, Chairman

Re: Buckeye II Wind Farm

Case No. 12-0160-EL-BGN

Farm (OPSB Case No. 12-0160-EL-BGN).

Mrs. Mary Johnson,

Mary Johnson

6451 Black Road Cable, Ohio 43009 RECEIVED-DOCKETING DIV

2013 OCT 18 AM 10: 17

Board Members

Scott Nally Ohio Environmental Protection Agency

Christiane Schmenk Ohio Development Services Agency

Theodore E. Wymysto, M.D. Ohio Department of Health

Jim Zehringer Ohio Department of Natural Resources

David Danfels
Ohio Department of Agriculture

Jeffery J. Lechak, P.E. Public Member

Louis W. Blessing Jr. Ohio House of Representatives

Sandra Williams
Ohio House of Representatives

Shannon Jones Ohio Senate

Tom Sawyer Onto Senate October 16, 2013

PUCO

Thank you for your continued interested in the Ohio Power Siting Board (OPSB) and the Buckeye II Wind

In its consideration of the Buckeye II Wind Farm, the OPSB mindfully considered multiple studies presented by both parties in the adjudicatory proceeding, as well as testimony given at the local public hearing. The Board found that "similar projects in other locations have no affected property values in those areas, and that concerns with property values do not render the project contrary to the public interest, convenience, and necessity."

As such, the Board found it unnecessary to require the Applicant to enter into a property value protection agreement as a condition of the certificate. The Board's analysis of property values can be found on page 52 of the Opinion, Order, and Certificate filed on May 28, 2013.

Again, the Board thanks you for your continued interest in this case.

Very truly yours,

Kim Wissman, Executive Director Ohio Power Siting Board

KW: sai

Enclosure: Correspondence from Mrs. Mary Johnson to OPSB, Received 10/12/2013

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business.

Technician Date Processed 18 2003

Irwin, Steven

From: Irwin, Steven

Sent: Friday, October 18, 2013 10:19 AM

To: Irwin, Steven

Subject: re: Johnson / Buckeye II

From: webmaster@puc.state.oh.us
To: PUCO ContactThePUCO

Subject: 77244

Received: 10/12/2013 10:37:06 AM

Message:

WEB ID: 77244 AT:10-12-2013 at 10:36 AM

Related Case Number: 12-0160

TYPE: complaint

NAME: Mrs. Mary Johnson

CONTACT SENDER? Yes

MAILING ADDRESS:

6451 Black Road

Cable, Ohio 43009

USA

PHONE INFORMATION:

• Home: 937-653-5109

• Alternative: (no alternative phone provided?)

• Fax: (no fax number provided?)

E-MAIL: (no e-mail address provided)

INDUSTRY:Other

ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

- Company: Champaign Wind (EverPower, Buckeye Wind & its affiliates)
- (no account name provided?)
- (no service address provided?)
- (no service phone number provided?)
- (no account number provided?)

COMPLAINT DESCRIPTION:

The OPSB had the chance to rectify their error and mandate a property value guarantee for those living amongst the spinning blades of the Buckeye Wind "Farm," but instead, the OPSB chose to ignore expert testimony from Michael McCann. I live in the project area. I have seen families attempt to sell their homes to no evail. A realtor recently told me, and I quote, "get out now before it [the wind farm] comes." She went onto say that they have had to change their selling techniques and pretty much told me to lie to prospective homebuyers about the project (or omit information = same as a lie in my book). My moral compass would NEVER allow me to do that. On another occassion, my daughter and I looked at a home that had a contingent offer. The realtor revealed that the contingency was the buyer wanted the property but only if the seller could assure that no wind farm or even single turbines would be errected within 2 miles of the property for at least the next 50 years. NOBODY and I mean NOBODY wants to live in a wind farm! I am sickened to learn that the Board did nothing to protect the citizens of Champaign County Ohio, and did EVERYTHING to assure this project would be errected right on top of so many families. If a property value guarantee was unnecessary, as Champaign Wind claimes, than why did they so vehemently oppose it. Shame on the Board for this catastrophic error! God help us!